

University of Groningen

## Compositional analysis and control of dynamical systems

Kerber, Florian Josef

**IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.**

*Document Version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*  
2011

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Kerber, F. J. (2011). *Compositional analysis and control of dynamical systems*. s.n.

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

**Take-down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

*Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.*

# Contents

<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. Motivation and setting . . . . .	1
1.1.1. Compositional modeling and analysis techniques . . . . .	1
1.1.2. Compositional techniques in systems and control theory . . . . .	4
1.2. Outline of the thesis . . . . .	6
<b>2. Simulation theory: from labeled transition systems to linear systems</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1. Simulation and bisimulation relations for labeled transition systems . . . . .	9
2.2. Simulation and bisimulation theory for linear systems . . . . .	11
<b>3. Compositional analysis for linear systems</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1. Introduction . . . . .	19
3.2. Feedback interconnections . . . . .	20
3.2.1. Soundness of compositional proof rules . . . . .	23
3.2.2. Completeness considerations . . . . .	30
3.2.3. Proof rules involving bisimulation relations . . . . .	36
3.2.4. Generalization to $k$ systems . . . . .	38
3.3. Interconnections with algebraic constraints . . . . .	44
3.3.1. Compositional reasoning . . . . .	49
3.3.2. Assume-guarantee reasoning . . . . .	50
3.3.3. Verification of the global specification by decomposition . . . . .	51
3.4. Conclusions . . . . .	52
<b>4. Simulation relations and compositional analysis for nonlinear systems</b>	<b>55</b>
4.1. Nonlinear simulation relations . . . . .	55
4.2. Compositional analysis for nonlinear systems . . . . .	57
<b>5. Passivity theory and compositional analysis</b>	<b>69</b>
5.1. A brief introduction to passivity theory . . . . .	70
5.2. Compositional reasoning for passive systems . . . . .	71
5.3. Outlook . . . . .	85

<b>6. Decentralized control</b>	<b>87</b>
6.1. Introduction . . . . .	87
6.2. Problem setting . . . . .	87
6.3. Interconnections in control networks . . . . .	88
6.4. Compositional analysis in the decentralized setting . . . . .	90
6.4.1. Simulation theory in the decentralized setting . . . . .	90
6.4.2. Decentralized control using compositional analysis techniques . . . . .	90
6.5. Achievable simulations . . . . .	94
6.5.1. The canonical controller . . . . .	95
6.5.2. Sandwich conditions for achievable simulations . . . . .	96
6.6. Decentralized control and achievable simulation . . . . .	97
6.6.1. Bottom-up schemes using local sandwich conditions . . . . .	98
6.6.2. Top-down decentralized control scheme using global sandwich conditions . . . . .	101
<b>7. Compositional analysis of switching linear systems</b>	<b>105</b>
7.1. Introduction . . . . .	105
7.2. Preliminaries and basic definitions . . . . .	106
7.3. Compositions of hybrid systems . . . . .	107
7.3.1. Interconnections of labeled transition systems . . . . .	108
7.3.2. Interconnections of continuous-time dynamical systems . . . . .	110
7.3.3. Interconnections of switching linear systems . . . . .	111
7.4. Simulation relations for switching linear systems . . . . .	111
7.5. Compositional reasoning . . . . .	114
7.6. Assume-guarantee reasoning . . . . .	116
7.7. Conclusions . . . . .	117
<b>8. Equivalences of switching linear systems with inequality constraints</b>	<b>119</b>
8.1. Bisimulation theory for linear systems with inequality constraints . . . . .	119
8.1.1. Facts about polyhedra . . . . .	120
8.1.2. A solution approach using the Farkas lemma . . . . .	121
8.2. Bisimulation relations for switching linear systems with inequality constraints . . . . .	128
8.2.1. Definition and semantics of switching linear systems with inequality constraints . . . . .	128
8.2.2. Structural bisimulation relations for switching linear systems with inequality constraints . . . . .	130
<b>9. Conclusions</b>	<b>135</b>
9.1. Summary . . . . .	135
9.2. Recommendations for future work . . . . .	136

<b>A. Soundness of circular assume-guarantee reasoning for linear systems</b>	<b>139</b>
A.1. Proof of Lemma 3.11 . . . . .	139
A.2. Proof of Lemma 3.12 . . . . .	140
A.3. Proof of Lemma 3.13 . . . . .	141
A.4. Proof of Theorem 3.14 . . . . .	141
<b>B. Completeness of circular assume-guarantee reasoning for linear systems</b>	<b>147</b>
B.1. Proof of Theorem 3.20 . . . . .	147
<b>Samenvatting</b>	<b>161</b>
<b>Zusammenfassung</b>	<b>163</b>

