

University of Groningen

Quality management in the Pangasius export supply chain in Vietnam

Khoi, Le Nguyen Doan

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2011

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Khoi, L. N. D. (2011). *Quality management in the Pangasius export supply chain in Vietnam: the case of small-scale Pangasius farming in the Mekong River Delta*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen, SOM research school.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

List of Tables

Table 2.1	World fresh aquaculture 1996-2006	7
Table 2.2	Top ten aquaculture producers in terms of annual growth 2004 - 2006	8
Table 2.3	Vietnam aquaculture production in 2005 and development targets for 2010	9
Table 2.4	Volume, value, and average price of top 10 Pangasius importers for first quarter 2008	11
Table 3.1	Basic and derived quality assurance systems for food safety	26
Table 3.2	Governance types for GVC	33
Table 3.3	Challenges of inclusion of smallholders in global value chains	36
Table 3.4	Possible solutions to be inclusion of smallholders in food export chains	40
Table 4.1	The interview schedule and tools	62
Table 6.1	Comparison of fish import system in the EU and the US	85
Table 6.2	Consignment checks at EU borders	88
Table 6.3	Summary of physical checks at BIPs	88
Table 6.4	The main reasons of fishery products rejected from 1999-2006 at the EU BIPs	90
Table 6.5	Provisions of the National Legislation standards used for exports of fishery products to the EU	96
Table 6.6	Deficiency observed by the FVO and the response given by the NAFIQAVED	99
Table 7.1	Different markets of Pangasius fillet products in 2008	105
Table 7.2	Sources of Pangasius raw materials	106
Table 8.1	Personal characteristics of the interviewed pond Pangasius farmers	118
Table 8.2	Land price for Pangasius culture	120
Table 8.3	Pond areas, number of ponds and distance from pond to water source of the interviewed pond Pangasius farmers	121
Table 8.4	Differences between filed pond and island pond	122
Table 8.5	Ways to check pond water quality	125
Table 8.6	Correction action of poor water quality of Pangasius ponds	125
Table 8.7	Waste-water treatment pond and waste-water outlet	126
Table 8.8	Means of checking fingerlings quality	127
Table 8.9	Source of fingerlings purchasing	127
Table 8.10	Stocking densities	128
Table 8.11	Types of feed used in Pangasius culture	128
Table 8.12	Feed conversion rate	131
Table 8.13	Harvest characteristics	131
Table 8.14	Quality standards' requirements of processing/export firms	132
Table 8.15	Production cost in Pangasius pond culture	134
Table 8.16	Labor in Pangasius production	135
Table 8.17	Sources of loan	136
Table 8.18	Interest rate of loan	136

Table 8.19	Extension services to fish farmers	138
Table 8.20	Sources of extension services	138
Table 9.1	Common diseases in Pangasius farming in 2008	142
Table 9.2	Diseases and level of occurrence in Pangasius production	143
Table 9.3	Suggested guidelines for disease prevention and treatment by fish health management's experts	146
Table 9.4	Farmers' perceptions of fish disease prevention	149
Table 9.5	Farmers' perceptions regarding the importance of pond location for disease prevention	150
Table 9.6	Farmers' perceptions regarding the importance of water supply for disease prevention	151
Table 9.7	Farmers' perceptions regarding the importance of fingerlings for disease prevention	151
Table 9.8	Farmers' perceptions regarding the importance of feed for disease prevention	153
Table 9.9	Farmers' perceptions of diagnosing fish diseases	154
Table 9.10	Farmers' perceptions regarding the importance of proper disease treatment	155
Table 9.11	Source of veterinary drug purchasing	156
Table 10.1	Alternative techniques and small-scale farmer benefits	161
Table 10.2	The profitability of the advanced production system	164
Table 10.3	Source of fingerlings	165
Table 10.4	Farmers' awareness on fingerling quality	166
Table 10.5	Reasons for not using certified fingerlings	167
Table 10.6	Farmers' willingness to purchase fingerlings	167
Table 10.7	Stocking density	168
Table 10.8	Farmers' awareness of the importance of stocking density	169
Table 10.9	Farmers' willingness to use a lower stocking density	169
Table 10.10	Type of feed used	170
Table 10.11	Farmers' awareness and willingness on feed used	171
Table 10.12	Use of waste-water treatment pond	173
Table 10.13	Waste-water discharging	174
Table 10.14	Farmers' awareness on the effect on water pollution and willingness to invest in waste-water treatment pond	174
Table 10.15	Reasons for not accepting the waste-water treatment pond	175
Table 10.16	Farmers' awareness of using and willingness to use chemicals/veterinary drugs	176
Table 10.17	Assessment on advanced production system	178
Table 10.18	Ranking of unattainable farming practices	178