

University of Groningen

Spatio-temporal integration properties of the human visual system

Grillini, Alessandro

DOI:
[10.33612/diss.136424282](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.136424282)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2020

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Grillini, A. (2020). *Spatio-temporal integration properties of the human visual system: Theoretical models and clinical applications*. University of Groningen. <https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.136424282>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Bibliography

1. Mulligan, J. B. Sensory processing delays measured with the eye-movement correlogram. en. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **956**, 476–478 (Apr. 2002).
2. Mulligan, J. B., Stevenson, S. B. & Cormack, L. K. *Reflexive and voluntary control of smooth eye movements* 2013.
3. Bouma, H. Interaction effects in parafoveal letter recognition. en. *Nature* **226**, 177–178 (Apr. 1970).
4. Kandel, E. *Principles of Neural Science, Fifth Edition* en (McGraw Hill Professional, 2013).
5. Yarbus, A. L. Eye movements during the examination of complicated objects. en. *Biofizika* **6(2)**, 52–56 (1961).
6. Miles, F & Fuller, J. *Visual tracking and the primate flocculus* 1975.
7. Van Gisbergen, J. A., Robinson, D. A. & Gielen, S. A quantitative analysis of generation of saccadic eye movements by burst neurons. en. *J. Neurophysiol.* **45**, 417–442 (Mar. 1981).
8. Waespe, W & Henn, V. Neuronal activity in the vestibular nuclei of the alert monkey during vestibular and optokinetic stimulation. en. *Exp. Brain Res.* **27**, 523–538 (Apr. 1977).
9. Leigh, R. J. & Zee, D. S. *The Neurology of Eye Movements* 2015.
10. Levi, D. M. Crowding—an essential bottleneck for object recognition: a mini-review. en. *Vision Res.* **48**, 635–654 (Feb. 2008).
11. Harrison, W. J. & Bex, P. J. A Unifying Model of Orientation Crowding in Peripheral Vision. en. *Curr. Biol.* **25**, 3213–3219 (Dec. 2015).
12. Van den Berg, R., Roerdink, J. B.T. M. & Cornelissen, F. W. A neurophysiologically plausible population code model for feature integration explains visual crowding. en. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **6**, e1000646 (Jan. 2010).

13. Pelli, D. G. & Tillman, K. A. The uncrowded window of object recognition. en. *Nat. Neurosci.* **11**, 1129–1135 (Oct. 2008).
14. He, S, Cavanagh, P & Intriligator, J. Attentional resolution and the locus of visual awareness. en. *Nature* **383**, 334–337 (Sept. 1996).
15. Strasburger, H. Unfocused spatial attention underlies the crowding effect in indirect form vision. en. *J. Vis.* **5**, 1024–1037 (Dec. 2005).
16. Chen, J. *et al.* Attention-dependent early cortical suppression contributes to crowding. en. *J. Neurosci.* **34**, 10465–10474 (Aug. 2014).
17. Robinson, D. A. A method of measuring eye movement using a scleral search coil in a magnetic field. en. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* **10**, 137–145 (Oct. 1963).
18. Delabarre, E. B. *A Method of Recording Eye-Movements* 1898.
19. Cornsweet, T. N. & Crane, H. D. Accurate two-dimensional eye tracker using first and fourth Purkinje images. en. *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **63**, 921–928 (Aug. 1973).
20. Usrey, W. M. & Reid, R. C. Synchronous activity in the visual system. en. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **61**, 435–456 (1999).
21. Zemel, R. S., Dayan, P. & Pouget, A. *Probabilistic Interpretation of Population Codes* 1998.
22. Pouget, A, Dayan, P & Zemel, R. Information processing with population codes. en. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **1**, 125–132 (Nov. 2000).
23. Zohary, E, Shadlen, M. N. & Newsome, W. T. Correlated neuronal discharge rate and its implications for psychophysical performance. en. *Nature* **370**, 140–143 (July 1994).
24. Cohen, M. R. & Kohn, A. Measuring and interpreting neuronal correlations. en. *Nat. Neurosci.* **14**, 811–819 (June 2011).
25. Akaike, H. *Fitting autoregressive models for prediction* 1969.
26. Bonnen, K., Burge, J., Yates, J., Pillow, J. & Cormack, L. K. Continuous psychophysics: Target-tracking to measure visual sensitivity. en. *J. Vis.* **15** (Mar. 2015).
27. Huk, A., Bonnen, K. & He, B. J. Beyond Trial-Based Paradigms: Continuous Behavior, Ongoing Neural Activity, and Natural Stimuli. en. *J. Neurosci.* **38**, 7551–7558 (Aug. 2018).
28. Bach, M. The Freiburg Visual Acuity Test-variability unchanged by post-hoc re-analysis. en. *Graefes Arch. Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol.* **245**, 965–971 (July 2007).
29. Pelli, D. G. The VideoToolbox software for visual psychophysics: transforming numbers into movies. en. *Spat. Vis.* **10**, 437–442 (1997).
30. Cornelissen, F. W., Peters, E. M. & Palmer, J. The Eyelink Toolbox: eye tracking with MATLAB and the Psychophysics Toolbox. en. *Behav. Res. Methods Instrum. Comput.* **34**, 613–617 (Nov. 2002).

31. Gordon, A. D., Breiman, L., Friedman, J. H., Olshen, R. A. & Stone, C. J. *Classification and Regression Trees* 1984.
32. Indurkha, N & Weiss, S. *Estimating performance gains for voted decision trees* 1998.
33. Coeckelbergh, T. R. M., Cornelissen, F. W., Brouwer, W. H. & Kooijman, A. C. The effect of visual field defects on eye movements and practical fitness to drive. en. *Vision Res.* **42**, 669–677 (Mar. 2002).
34. Schuett, S., Kentridge, R. W., Zihl, J. & Heywood, C. A. Adaptation of eye-movements to simulated hemianopia in reading and visual exploration: Transfer or specificity? en. *Neuropsychologia* **47**, 1712–1720 (June 2009).
35. Walsh, D. V. & Liu, L. *Adaptation to a simulated central scotoma during visual search training* 2014.
36. Crabb, D. P., Smith, N. D. & Zhu, H. What's on TV? Detecting age-related neurodegenerative eye disease using eye movement scanpaths. en. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* **6**, 312 (Nov. 2014).
37. Tolentino, M. J., Miller, S, Gaudio, A. R. & Sandberg, M. A. Visual field deficits in early age-related macular degeneration. en. *Vision Res.* **34**, 409–413 (Feb. 1994).
38. Heijl, A & Bengtsson, B. *Early Visual Field Defects in Glaucoma: A Study of Eyes Developing Field Loss* 1996.
39. Williams, D. *Visual function in patients with homonymous hemianopia* 1997.
40. Greite, J.-H., H. Greite, J, P. Zumbansen, H & Adamczyk, R. *Visual Field in Diabetic Retinopathy (DR)* 1981.
41. Voipio, H. & Karjalainen, K. *Retinal and visual field changes in chloroquine retinopathy* 2009.
42. Alexander, K. R., Barnes, C. S., Fishman, G. A., Pokorny, J. & Smith, V. C. *Contrast-Processing Deficits in Melanoma-Associated Retinopathy* 2004.
43. Barton, J. J. S. & Benatar, M. *Automated Perimetry (Humphrey Field Analyzer)* 2003.
44. Szatmáry, G. *Can Swedish Interactive Thresholding Algorithm Fast Perimetry Be Used as an Alternative to Goldmann Perimetry in Neuro-ophthalmic Practice?* 2002.
45. Schultz, J. S. *The "Learning Effect" in Automated Perimetry* 1990.
46. Wild, J, Kim, L, Pacey, I & Cunliffe, I. *Evidence for a Learning Effect in Short-Wavelength Automated Perimetry* 2006.
47. Johnson, C. A., Adams, C. W. & Lewis, R. A. *Fatigue effects in automated perimetry* 1988.
48. Montolio, F. G. J., Junoy Montolio, F. G., Wesselink, C., Gordijn, M. & Jansonius, N. M. *Factors That Influence Standard Automated Perimetry Test Results in Glaucoma: Test Reliability, Technician Experience, Time of Day, and Season* 2012.

49. Walters, B. C., Rahi, J. S. & Cumberland, P. M. Perimetry in children: survey of current practices in the United Kingdom and Ireland. en. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol.* **19**, 358–363 (Dec. 2012).
50. Gangeddu, V., Ranchet, M., Akinwuntan, A. E., Bollinger, K. & Devos, H. Effect of Cognitive Demand on Functional Visual Field Performance in Senior Drivers with Glaucoma. en. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* **9**, 286 (Aug. 2017).
51. Jones, P. R., Smith, N. D., Bi, W. & Crabb, D. P. *Portable Perimetry Using Eye-Tracking on a Tablet Computer—A Feasibility Assessment* 2019.
52. Pel, J. J. M., van Beijsterveld, M. C. M., Thepass, G. & van der Steen, J. Validity and Repeatability of Saccadic Response Times Across the Visual Field in Eye Movement Perimetry. en. *Transl. Vis. Sci. Technol.* **2**, 3 (Nov. 2013).
53. Grillini, A., Ombelet, D., Soans, R. S. & Cornelissen, F. W. *Towards using the spatio-temporal properties of eye movements to classify visual field defects* 2018.
54. Smith, S & Nichols, T. *Threshold-free cluster enhancement: Addressing problems of smoothing, threshold dependence and localisation in cluster inference* 2009.
55. Rumelhart, D. E., Hinton, G. E. & Williams, R. J. *Learning representations by back-propagating errors* 1986.
56. Cho, K. et al. *Learning Phrase Representations using RNN Encoder–Decoder for Statistical Machine Translation* 2014.
57. Tieleman, T. & Hinton, G. Lecture 6.5-rmsprop: Divide the gradient by a running average of its recent magnitude. *Coursera: Neural networks for machine learning* **4**, 26–31 (2012).
58. Van der Stigchel, S. et al. Macular degeneration affects eye movement behavior during visual search. en. *Front. Psychol.* **4**, 579 (Sept. 2013).
59. Burton, R., Smith, N. D. & Crabb, D. P. Eye movements and reading in glaucoma: observations on patients with advanced visual field loss. en. *Graefes Arch. Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol.* **252**, 1621–1630 (Oct. 2014).
60. Cornelissen, F. W., Bruin, K. J. & Kooijman, A. C. The influence of artificial scotomas on eye movements during visual search. en. *Optom. Vis. Sci.* **82**, 27–35 (Jan. 2005).
61. McIlreavy, L., Fiser, J. & Bex, P. J. Impact of simulated central scotomas on visual search in natural scenes. en. *Optom. Vis. Sci.* **89**, 1385–1394 (Sept. 2012).
62. Gardiner, S. K., Swanson, W. H., Goren, D., Mansberger, S. L. & Demirel, S. Assessment of the reliability of standard automated perimetry in regions of glaucomatous damage. en. *Ophthalmology* **121**, 1359–1369 (July 2014).
63. Diniz-Filho, A., Delano-Wood, L., Daga, F. B., Cronemberger, S. & Medeiros, F. A. Association Between Neurocognitive Decline and Visual Field Variability in Glaucoma. en. *JAMA Ophthalmol.* **135**, 734–739 (July 2017).

64. Patel, D. E. *et al.* Study of Optimal Perimetric Testing in Children (OPTIC): Feasibility, Reliability and Repeatability of Perimetry in Children. en. *PLoS One* **10**, e0130895 (June 2015).
65. Tschopp, C *et al.* Automated visual field examination in children aged 5-8 years. Part II: Normative values. en. *Vision Res.* **38**, 2211–2218 (July 1998).
66. Kim, D. E., Eizenman, M, Trope, G. E. & Kranemann, C. *Eye movement perimetry in Proceedings of 17th International Conference of the Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society 2* (IEEE, Montreal, Que., Canada, 1995), 1629–1630.
67. Ishiyama, Y., Murata, H. & Asaoka, R. The Usefulness of Gaze Tracking as an Index of Visual Field Reliability in Glaucoma Patients. en. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* **56**, 6233–6236 (Oct. 2015).
68. Asaoka, R., Fujino, Y., Aoki, S., Matsuura, M. & Murata, H. *Estimating the Reliability of Glaucomatous Visual Field for the Accurate Assessment of Progression Using the Gaze-Tracking and Reliability Indices* 2019.
69. Elze, T. *et al.* Patterns of functional vision loss in glaucoma determined with archetypal analysis. en. *J. R. Soc. Interface* **12** (Feb. 2015).
70. Abu-Mostafa, Y. S., Magdon-Ismail, M. & Lin, H.-T. *Learning from Data: A Short Course* en (Jan. 2012).
71. Brochu, E., Cora, V. M. & de Freitas, N. A Tutorial on Bayesian Optimization of Expensive Cost Functions, with Application to Active User Modeling and Hierarchical Reinforcement Learning. *CoRR* **abs/1012.2599**. arXiv: 1012.2599. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.2599> (2010).
72. Grillini, A., Renken, R. J., Vrijling, A. C. L., Heutink, J. H. C. & Cornelissen, F. W. *Eye movement evaluation in Multiple Sclerosis and Parkinson's Disease using a Standardized Oculomotor and Neuro-ophthalmic Disorder Assessment (SONDA)* 2020.
73. Rottach, K. G., Riley, D. E., DiScenna, A. O., Zivotofsky, A. Z. & Leigh, R. J. Dynamic properties of horizontal and vertical eye movements in parkinsonian syndromes. en. *Ann. Neurol.* **39**, 368–377 (Mar. 1996).
74. Jacobs, N. A. & Patterson, I. H. Variability of the hill of vision and its significance in automated perimetry. en. *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* **69**, 824–826 (Nov. 1985).
75. Shabana, N., Pérès, V. C., Carkeet, A. & Chew, P. T. K. Motion Perception in Glaucoma Patients. *Surv. Ophthalmol.* **48**, 92–106 (2003).
76. Mosimann, U. P. *et al.* Visual perception in Parkinson disease dementia and dementia with Lewy bodies. en. *Neurology* **63**, 2091–2096 (Dec. 2004).
77. Burr, D. & Thompson, P. Motion psychophysics: 1985–2010. en. *Vision Res.* **51**, 1431–1456 (July 2011).

78. Yu, T.-Y. *et al.* Global motion perception in 2-year-old children: a method for psychophysical assessment and relationships with clinical measures of visual function. en. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* **54**, 8408–8419 (Dec. 2013).
79. Grillini, A., Ombelet, D., Soans, R. S. & Cornelissen, F. W. *Towards using the spatio-temporal properties of eye movements to classify visual field defects* in *Proceedings of the 2018 ACM Symposium on Eye Tracking Research & Applications - ETRA '18* (2018).
80. Brainard, D. H. *The Psychophysics Toolbox* 1997.
81. Huk, A. C. & Heeger, D. J. *Task-Related Modulation of Visual Cortex* 2000.
82. Goldberg, J. M. & Fernandez, C. Physiology of peripheral neurons innervating semicircular canals of the squirrel monkey. 3. Variations among units in their discharge properties. en. *J. Neurophysiol.* **34**, 676–684 (July 1971).
83. Newsome, W. T., Wurtz, R. H. & Komatsu, H. Relation of cortical areas MT and MST to pursuit eye movements. II. Differentiation of retinal from extraretinal inputs. en. *J. Neurophysiol.* **60**, 604–620 (Aug. 1988).
84. Arnold, D. B. & Robinson, D. A. The oculomotor integrator: testing of a neural network model. en. *Exp. Brain Res.* **113**, 57–74 (Jan. 1997).
85. Derrington, A. M., Allen, H. A. & Delicato, L. S. Visual mechanisms of motion analysis and motion perception. en. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* **55**, 181–205 (2004).
86. Sheliga, B. M., Chen, K. J., Fitzgibbon, E. J. & Miles, F. A. Initial ocular following in humans: a response to first-order motion energy. en. *Vision Res.* **45**, 3307–3321 (Nov. 2005).
87. Rashbass, C. The relationship between saccadic and smooth tracking eye movements. en. *J. Physiol.* **159**, 326–338 (Dec. 1961).
88. Robinson, D. A. The mechanics of human smooth pursuit eye movement. en. *J. Physiol.* **180**, 569–591 (Oct. 1965).
89. Engel, K. C., Anderson, J. H. & Soechting, J. F. *Oculomotor Tracking in Two Dimensions* 1999.
90. Gegenfurtner, K. R., Xing, D., Scott, B. H. & Hawken, M. J. A comparison of pursuit eye movement and perceptual performance in speed discrimination. en. *J. Vis.* **3**, 865–876 (Dec. 2003).
91. Tavassoli, A. & Ringach, D. L. When your eyes see more than you do. en. *Curr. Biol.* **20**, R93–4 (Feb. 2010).
92. Newsome, W. T., Britten, K. H. & Movshon, J. A. Neuronal correlates of a perceptual decision. en. *Nature* **341**, 52–54 (Sept. 1989).
93. Pasternak, T. & Merigan, W. H. Motion perception following lesions of the superior temporal sulcus in the monkey. en. *Cereb. Cortex* **4**, 247–259 (May 1994).

94. Rudolph, K & Pasternak, T. Transient and permanent deficits in motion perception after lesions of cortical areas MT and MST in the macaque monkey. en. *Cereb. Cortex* **9**, 90–100 (Jan. 1999).
95. Dursteler, M. R. & Wurtz, R. H. *Pursuit and optokinetic deficits following chemical lesions of cortical areas MT and MST* 1988.
96. Ilg, U. J. & Thier, P. Visual tracking neurons in primate area MST are activated by smooth-pursuit eye movements of an “imaginary” target. en. *J. Neurophysiol.* **90**, 1489–1502 (Sept. 2003).
97. Komatsu, H & Wurtz, R. H. Modulation of pursuit eye movements by stimulation of cortical areas MT and MST. en. *J. Neurophysiol.* **62**, 31–47 (July 1989).
98. Marcar, V. L., Zihl, J & Cowey, A. Comparing the visual deficits of a motion blind patient with the visual deficits of monkeys with area MT removed. en. *Neuropsychologia* **35**, 1459–1465 (Nov. 1997).
99. Spering, M. & Montagnini, A. Do we track what we see? Common versus independent processing for motion perception and smooth pursuit eye movements: a review. en. *Vision Res.* **51**, 836–852 (Apr. 2011).
100. Hess, R. F., Hutchinson, C. V., Ledgeway, T & Mansouri, B. Binocular influences on global motion processing in the human visual system. en. *Vision Res.* **47**, 1682–1692 (June 2007).
101. Hess, R. F. & Aaen-Stockdale, C. Global motion processing: The effect of spatial scale and eccentricity. en. *J. Vis.* **8**, 11.1–11 (Apr. 2008).
102. Burr, D. C., Morrone, M. C. & Vaina, L. M. Large receptive fields for optic flow detection in humans. en. *Vision Res.* **38**, 1731–1743 (June 1998).
103. Burr, D. C. & Santoro, L. *Temporal integration of optic flow, measured by contrast and coherence thresholds* 2001.
104. Nishida, S & Ashida, H. A hierarchical structure of motion system revealed by interocular transfer of flicker motion aftereffects. en. *Vision Res.* **40**, 265–278 (2000).
105. Nishida, S & Sato, T. Motion aftereffect with flickering test patterns reveals higher stages of motion processing. en. *Vision Res.* **35**, 477–490 (Feb. 1995).
106. Wilson, H. R. & Kim, J. *A model for motion coherence and transparency* 1994.
107. Matthews, N, Liu, Z, Geesaman, B. J. & Qian, N. Perceptual learning on orientation and direction discrimination. en. *Vision Res.* **39**, 3692–3701 (Nov. 1999).
108. Matthews, N, Luber, B, Qian, N & Lisanby, S. H. Transcranial magnetic stimulation differentially affects speed and direction judgments. en. *Exp. Brain Res.* **140**, 397–406 (Oct. 2001).
109. Saffell, T. N. & Matthews, N. *Perceptual learning reveals separate neural events for speed and direction* 2010.

110. Brouwer, A.-M., Middelburg, T., Smeets, J. B. J. & Brenner, E. Hitting moving targets: a dissociation between the use of the target's speed and direction of motion. en. *Exp. Brain Res.* **152**, 368–375 (Oct. 2003).
111. Alvarez, G. A. & Cavanagh, P. Independent resources for attentional tracking in the left and right visual hemifields. en. *Psychol. Sci.* **16**, 637–643 (Aug. 2005).
112. Cornelissen, F. W. & van den Dobbelsteen, J. J. *Heading detection with simulated visual field defects* 1999.
113. Snowden, R. J. & Kavanagh, E. Motion perception in the ageing visual system: minimum motion, motion coherence, and speed discrimination thresholds. en. *Perception* **35**, 9–24 (2006).
114. Frohman, E. M., Frohman, T. C., Zee, D. S., McColl, R. & Galetta, S. The neuro-ophthalmology of multiple sclerosis. en. *Lancet Neurol.* **4**, 111–121 (Feb. 2005).
115. Graves, J. & Balcer, L. J. Eye disorders in patients with multiple sclerosis: natural history and management. en. *Clin. Ophthalmol.* **4**, 1409–1422 (Dec. 2010).
116. Derwenskus, J. *et al.* Abnormal eye movements predict disability in MS: two-year follow-up. en. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1039**, 521–523 (Apr. 2005).
117. Pretegianni, E. & Optican, L. M. *Eye Movements in Parkinson's Disease and Inherited Parkinsonian Syndromes* 2017.
118. Abadi, R. V. & Gowen, E. Characteristics of saccadic intrusions. en. *Vision Res.* **44**, 2675–2690 (Oct. 2004).
119. Rascol, O *et al.* Square wave jerks in parkinsonian syndromes. en. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* **54**, 599–602 (July 1991).
120. Marandi, R. Z. & Gazerani, P. *Aging and eye tracking: in the quest for objective biomarkers* 2019.
121. Collewijn, H, Erkelens, C. J. & Steinman, R. M. Binocular co-ordination of human horizontal saccadic eye movements. en. *J. Physiol.* **404**, 157–182 (Oct. 1988).
122. Bahill, A. T., Terry Bahill, A, Clark, M. R. & Stark, L. *The main sequence, a tool for studying human eye movements* 1975.
123. Nij Bijvank, J. A. *et al.* A standardized protocol for quantification of saccadic eye movements: DEMoNS. en. *PLoS One* **13**, e0200695 (July 2018).
124. Finke, C. *et al.* Dynamics of saccade parameters in multiple sclerosis patients with fatigue. en. *J. Neurol.* **259**, 2656–2663 (Dec. 2012).
125. Serra, A., Chisari, C. G. & Matta, M. Eye Movement Abnormalities in Multiple Sclerosis: Pathogenesis, Modeling, and Treatment. en. *Front. Neurol.* **9**, 31 (Feb. 2018).
126. Serra, A., Derwenskus, J., Downey, D. L. & Leigh, R. J. Role of eye movement examination and subjective visual vertical in clinical evaluation of multiple sclerosis. en. *J. Neurol.* **250**, 569–575 (May 2003).

127. Mallery, R. M. *et al.* Visual Fixation Instability in Multiple Sclerosis Measured Using SLO-OCT. en. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* **59**, 196–201 (Jan. 2018).
128. Lizak, N. *et al.* Impairment of Smooth Pursuit as a Marker of Early Multiple Sclerosis. en. *Front. Neurol.* **7**, 206 (Nov. 2016).
129. Huygen, P. L. M., E J J & Hommes, O. R. *The Vestibulo-Ocular Reflex in Multiple Sclerosis* 1986.
130. Jozefowicz-Korczynska, M, Łukomski, M & Pajor, A. *Identification of internuclear ophthalmoplegia signs in multiple sclerosis patients* 2008.
131. Bolanos, I, Lozano, D & Cantu, C. *Internuclear ophthalmoplegia: causes and long-term follow-up in 65 patients* 2004.
132. Frohman, T. C. *et al.* Accuracy of clinical detection of INO in MS: corroboration with quantitative infrared oculography. en. *Neurology* **61**, 848–850 (Sept. 2003).
133. Winograd-Gurvich, C, Georgiou-Karistianis, N, Fitzgerald, P. B., Millist, L & White, O. B. Self-paced saccades and saccades to oddball targets in Parkinson's disease. en. *Brain Res.* **1106**, 134–141 (Aug. 2006).
134. Behrens, F, Mackeben, M & Schröder-Preikschat, W. An improved algorithm for automatic detection of saccades in eye movement data and for calculating saccade parameters. en. *Behav. Res. Methods* **42**, 701–708 (Aug. 2010).
135. Thorndike, R. L. *Who belongs in the family?* 1953.
136. Frohman, E. M. *et al.* Quantitative oculographic characterisation of internuclear ophthalmoparesis in multiple sclerosis: the versional dysconjugacy index Z score. en. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* **73**, 51–55 (July 2002).
137. Nij Bijvank, J. A. *et al.* Diagnosing and quantifying a common deficit in multiple sclerosis: Internuclear ophthalmoplegia. en. *Neurology* **92**, e2299–e2308 (May 2019).
138. Frohman, E. M. *et al.* Ocular contrapulsion in multiple sclerosis: clinical features and pathophysiological mechanisms. en. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* **70**, 688–692 (May 2001).
139. Vidailhet, M. *et al.* *Eye movements in parkinsonian syndromes* 1994.
140. White, O. B., Saint-Cyr, J. A. & Sharpe, J. A. *Ocular motor deficits in Parkinson's Disease* 1983.
141. Waterston, J. A., Barnes, G. R., Grealy, M. A. & Collins, S. Abnormalities of smooth eye and head movement control in Parkinson's disease. en. *Ann. Neurol.* **39**, 749–760 (June 1996).
142. Gilaie-Dotan, S. *et al.* *The role of human ventral visual cortex in motion perception* 2013.
143. Cameron, I. G. M. *et al.* Impaired executive function signals in motor brain regions in Parkinson's disease. en. *Neuroimage* **60**, 1156–1170 (Apr. 2012).

144. Terao, Y., Fukuda, H., Ugawa, Y. & Hikosaka, O. New perspectives on the pathophysiology of Parkinson's disease as assessed by saccade performance: a clinical review. en. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* **124**, 1491–1506 (Aug. 2013).
145. Rivaud-Pechoux, S, Vidailhet, M, Brandel, J. P. & Gaymard, B. *Mixing pro- and antisaccades in patients with parkinsonian syndromes* 2006.
146. Tseng, P.-H. *et al.* High-throughput classification of clinical populations from natural viewing eye movements. en. *J. Neurol.* **260**, 275–284 (Jan. 2013).
147. Bedell, H. E. & Stevenson, S. B. *Eye movement testing in clinical examination* 2013.
148. Smith, J. L. *Neuro-ophthalmology now!* en (May 1986).
149. Hertle, R. W. *et al.* Horizontal rectus tenotomy in patients with congenital nystagmus: results in 10 adults. en. *Ophthalmology* **110**, 2097–2105 (Nov. 2003).
150. McLean, R., Proudlock, F., Thomas, S., Degg, C. & Gottlob, I. Congenital nystagmus: randomized, controlled, double-masked trial of memantine/gabapentin. en. *Ann. Neurol.* **61**, 130–138 (Feb. 2007).
151. Kaski, D. & Bronstein, A. M. *Ocular Tremor in Parkinson's Disease: Discussion, Debate, and Controversy* 2017.
152. Thiagarajan, P., Ciuffreda, K. J. & Ludlam, D. P. Vergence dysfunction in mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI): a review. en. *Ophthalmic Physiol. Opt.* **31**, 456–468 (Sept. 2011).
153. Holzman, P. S., Proctor, L. R. & Hughes, D. W. Eye-tracking patterns in schizophrenia. en. *Science* **181**, 179–181 (July 1973).
154. Mosimann, U. P. *et al.* Saccadic eye movement changes in Parkinson's disease dementia and dementia with Lewy bodies. en. *Brain* **128**, 1267–1276 (June 2005).
155. Hartline, H. K. The effects of spatial summation in the retina on the excitation of the fibers of the optic nerve. *Am. J. Physiol.* **130**, 700–711 (1940).
156. Barlow, H. B. Summation and inhibition in the frog's retina. en. *J. Physiol.* **119**, 69–88 (Jan. 1953).
157. Freed, M. A. & Sterling, P. The ON-alpha ganglion cell of the cat retina and its presynaptic cell types. en. *J. Neurosci.* **8**, 2303–2320 (July 1988).
158. Smith, A. T., Singh, K. D., Williams, A. L. & Greenlee, M. W. Estimating receptive field size from fMRI data in human striate and extrastriate visual cortex. en. *Cereb. Cortex* **11**, 1182–1190 (Dec. 2001).
159. Treisman, A. M. & Gelade, G. A feature-integration theory of attention. *Cogn. Psychol.* **12**, 97–136 (1980).
160. Field, D. J., Hayes, A & Hess, R. F. Contour integration by the human visual system: evidence for a local "association field". en. *Vision Res.* **33**, 173–193 (Jan. 1993).

161. Livne, T. & Sagi, D. Configuration influence on crowding. en. *J. Vis.* **7**, 4.1–12 (Feb. 2007).
162. Livne, T & Sagi, D. Spatial interactions in crowding: Effects of flankers' relations. *J. Vis.* **9**, 983–983 (2010).
163. Chakravarthi, R. & Pelli, D. G. The same binding in contour integration and crowding. en. *J. Vis.* **11** (July 2011).
164. May, K. A. & Hess, R. F. Ladder contours are undetectable in the periphery: A crowding effect? *J. Vis.* **7**, 9 (2007).
165. Van den Berg, R., Johnson, A., Martinez Anton, A., Schepers, A. L. & Cornelissen, F. W. Comparing crowding in human and ideal observers. en. *J. Vis.* **12**, 13 (June 2012).
166. Dayan, P. & Solomon, J. A. Selective Bayes: attentional load and crowding. en. *Vision Res.* **50**, 2248–2260 (Oct. 2010).
167. Sun, G. J., Chung, S. T. L. & Tjan, B. S. Ideal observer analysis of crowding and the reduction of crowding through learning. en. *J. Vis.* **10**, 16 (May 2010).
168. Nandy, A. S. & Tjan, B. S. Saccade-confounded image statistics explain visual crowding. en. *Nat. Neurosci.* **15**, 463–9, S1–2 (Jan. 2012).
169. Parkes, L, Lund, J, Angelucci, A, Solomon, J. A. & Morgan, M. Compulsory averaging of crowded orientation signals in human vision. en. *Nat. Neurosci.* **4**, 739–744 (July 2001).
170. Greenwood, J. A., Bex, P. J. & Dakin, S. C. Crowding changes appearance. en. *Curr. Biol.* **20**, 496–501 (Mar. 2010).
171. Zhu, Z., Fan, Z. & Fang, F. Two-stage perceptual learning to break visual crowding. en. *J. Vis.* **16**, 16 (2016).
172. Pöder, E. Crowding, feature integration, and two kinds of “attention”. *J. Vis.* **6**, 7 (2006).
173. Pöder, E. Effect of colour pop-out on the recognition of letters in crowding conditions. en. *Psychol. Res.* **71**, 641–645 (Nov. 2007).
174. Mareschal, I., Morgan, M. J. & Solomon, J. A. Attentional modulation of crowding. en. *Vision Res.* **50**, 805–809 (Apr. 2010).
175. Bacigalupo, F. & Luck, S. J. The allocation of attention and working memory in visual crowding. en. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* **27**, 1180–1193 (June 2015).
176. Kanashiro, T., Ocker, G. K., Cohen, M. R. & Doiron, B. Attentional modulation of neuronal variability in circuit models of cortex. en. *Elife* **6** (June 2017).
177. Rabinowitz, N. C., Goris, R. L., Cohen, M. & Simoncelli, E. P. Attention stabilizes the shared gain of V4 populations. en. *Elife* **4**, e08998 (Nov. 2015).

178. Pestilli, F., Ling, S. & Carrasco, M. A population-coding model of attention's influence on contrast response: Estimating neural effects from psychophysical data. *Vision Res.* **49**, 1144–1153 (2009).
179. Ling, S., Liu, T. & Carrasco, M. How spatial and feature-based attention affect the gain and tuning of population responses. *Vision Res.* **49**, 1194–1204 (2009).
180. Herrmann, K., Heeger, D. J. & Carrasco, M. Feature-based attention enhances performance by increasing response gain. *Vision Res.* **74**, 10–20 (2012).
181. Cohen, M. R. & Maunsell, J. H. R. Using neuronal populations to study the mechanisms underlying spatial and feature attention. en. *Neuron* **70**, 1192–1204 (June 2011).
182. Kahneman, D & Beatty, J. Pupil diameter and load on memory. en. *Science* **154**, 1583–1585 (Dec. 1966).
183. Brainard, D. H. The Psychophysics Toolbox. en. *Spat. Vis.* **10**, 433–436 (1997).
184. Petrov, Y., Verghese, P. & McKee, S. P. Collinear facilitation is largely uncertainty reduction. en. *J. Vis.* **6**, 170–178 (Feb. 2006).
185. Michel, M. & Geisler, W. S. Intrinsic position uncertainty explains detection and localization performance in peripheral vision. en. *J. Vis.* **11**, 18 (Jan. 2011).
186. Abbott, L. F. & Dayan, P. The effect of correlated variability on the accuracy of a population code. en. *Neural Comput.* **11**, 91–101 (Jan. 1999).
187. Averbach, B. B., Latham, P. E. & Pouget, A. Neural correlations, population coding and computation. en. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **7**, 358–366 (May 2006).
188. Nirenberg, S. & Latham, P. E. Decoding neuronal spike trains: how important are correlations? en. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **100**, 7348–7353 (June 2003).
189. Cohen, M. R. & Maunsell, J. H. R. Attention improves performance primarily by reducing interneuronal correlations. en. *Nat. Neurosci.* **12**, 1594–1600 (Dec. 2009).
190. Mitchell, J. F., Sundberg, K. A. & Reynolds, J. H. Spatial attention decorrelates intrinsic activity fluctuations in macaque area V4. en. *Neuron* **63**, 879–888 (Sept. 2009).
191. Boynton, G. M. Attention and visual perception. en. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* **15**, 465–469 (Aug. 2005).
192. Serences, J. T. & Boynton, G. M. Feature-based attentional modulations in the absence of direct visual stimulation. en. *Neuron* **55**, 301–312 (July 2007).
193. Ross, J & Speed, H. D. Contrast adaptation and contrast masking in human vision. en. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **246**, 61–69 (Oct. 1991).
194. Wilkinson, F, Wilson, H. R. & Ellemberg, D. Lateral interactions in peripherally viewed texture arrays. en. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A Opt. Image Sci. Vis.* **14**, 2057–2068 (Sept. 1997).

195. Nazir, T. A. Effects of lateral masking and spatial precueing on gap-resolution in central and peripheral vision. en. *Vision Res.* **32**, 771–777 (Apr. 1992).
196. Scolarì, M., Kohnen, A., Barton, B. & Awh, E. Spatial attention, preview, and popout: which factors influence critical spacing in crowded displays? en. *J. Vis.* **7**, 7.1–23 (Feb. 2007).
197. Intriligator, J & Cavanagh, P. The spatial resolution of visual attention. en. *Cogn. Psychol.* **43**, 171–216 (Nov. 2001).
198. Binda, P., Pereverzeva, M. & Murray, S. O. Pupil size reflects the focus of feature-based attention. en. *J. Neurophysiol.* **112**, 3046–3052 (Dec. 2014).
199. Binda, P., Pereverzeva, M. & Murray, S. O. Pupil constrictions to photographs of the sun. en. *J. Vis.* **13** (May 2013).
200. Jacobs, R. H.A. H., Renken, R., Aleman, A. & Cornelissen, F. W. The amygdala, top-down effects, and selective attention to features. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **36**, 2069–2084 (2012).
201. Reynolds, J. H. & Chelazzi, L. Attentional Modulation of Visual Processing. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **27**, 611–647 (2004).
202. Martínez-Trujillo, J. C. & Treue, S. Feature-based attention increases the selectivity of population responses in primate visual cortex. en. *Curr. Biol.* **14**, 744–751 (May 2004).
203. Connor, C. E., Preddie, D. C., Gallant, J. L. & Van Essen, D. C. Spatial attention effects in macaque area V4. en. *J. Neurosci.* **17**, 3201–3214 (May 1997).
204. Desimone, R & Duncan, J. Neural mechanisms of selective visual attention. en. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **18**, 193–222 (1995).
205. Womelsdorf, T., Anton-Erxleben, K., Pieper, F. & Treue, S. Dynamic shifts of visual receptive fields in cortical area MT by spatial attention. en. *Nat. Neurosci.* **9**, 1156–1160 (Sept. 2006).
206. Fitzpatrick, D. Seeing beyond the receptive field in primary visual cortex. en. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* **10**, 438–443 (Aug. 2000).
207. Angelucci, A. & Bullier, J. Reaching beyond the classical receptive field of V1 neurons: horizontal or feedback axons? en. *J. Physiol. Paris* **97**, 141–154 (Mar. 2003).
208. Gilbert, C. D., Li, W. & Piech, V. Perceptual learning and adult cortical plasticity. en. *J. Physiol.* **587**, 2743–2751 (June 2009).
209. Bedell, H. E., Siderov, J., Formankiewicz, M. A., Waugh, S. J. & Aydin, S. Evidence for an eye-movement contribution to normal foveal crowding. en. *Optom. Vis. Sci.* **92**, 237–245 (Feb. 2015).

210. Klein, B. P., Harvey, B. M. & Dumoulin, S. O. Attraction of position preference by spatial attention throughout human visual cortex. en. *Neuron* **84**, 227–237 (Oct. 2014).
211. Sprague, T. C. & Serences, J. T. Attention modulates spatial priority maps in the human occipital, parietal and frontal cortices. en. *Nat. Neurosci.* **16**, 1879–1887 (Dec. 2013).
212. Chopin, A. & Mamassian, P. Predictive properties of visual adaptation. en. *Curr. Biol.* **22**, 622–626 (Apr. 2012).
213. Liberman, A., Fischer, J. & Whitney, D. Serial dependence in the perception of faces. en. *Curr. Biol.* **24**, 2569–2574 (Nov. 2014).
214. Fischer, J. & Whitney, D. *Serial dependence in visual perception* 2014.
215. Krishnan, G. P., González, O. C. & Bazhenov, M. Origin of slow spontaneous resting-state neuronal fluctuations in brain networks. en. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115**, 6858–6863 (June 2018).
216. Maus, G. W., Chaney, W., Liberman, A. & Whitney, D. The challenge of measuring long-term positive aftereffects. en. *Curr. Biol.* **23**, R438–9 (May 2013).
217. Fritsche, M., Mostert, P. & de Lange, F. P. Opposite Effects of Recent History on Perception and Decision. en. *Curr. Biol.* **27**, 590–595 (Feb. 2017).
218. Cicchini, G. M., Mikellidou, K. & Burr, D. Serial dependencies act directly on perception. en. *J. Vis.* **17**, 6 (Dec. 2017).
219. Cicchini, G. M., Anobile, G & Burr, D. C. *Compressive mapping of number to space reflects dynamic encoding mechanisms, not static logarithmic transform* 2014.
220. Manassi, M., Liberman, A., Kosovicheva, A., Zhang, K. & Whitney, D. Serial dependence in position occurs at the time of perception. en. *Psychon. Bull. Rev.* **25**, 2245–2253 (Dec. 2018).
221. Suárez-Pinilla, M., Seth, A. K. & Roseboom, W. Serial dependence in the perception of visual variance. en. *J. Vis.* **18**, 4 (July 2018).
222. Rahnev, D., Koizumi, A., McCurdy, L. Y., D’Esposito, M. & Lau, H. Confidence Leak in Perceptual Decision Making. en. *Psychol. Sci.* **26**, 1664–1680 (Nov. 2015).
223. Cicchini, G. M., Mikellidou, K. & Burr, D. C. The functional role of serial dependence. en. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **285** (Oct. 2018).
224. Henderson, J. M. Human gaze control during real-world scene perception. en. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* **7**, 498–504 (Nov. 2003).
225. Hayhoe, M. & Ballard, D. *Eye movements in natural behavior* 2005.
226. Najemnik, J. & Geisler, W. S. Optimal eye movement strategies in visual search. en. *Nature* **434**, 387–391 (Mar. 2005).
227. Baddeley, R. J., Ingram, H. A. & Miall, R. C. *System Identification Applied to a Visuomotor Task: Near-Optimal Human Performance in a Noisy Changing Task* 2003.

228. Burge, J., Ernst, M. O. & Banks, M. S. The statistical determinants of adaptation rate in human reaching. en. *J. Vis.* **8**, 20.1–19 (Apr. 2008).
229. Bonnen, K., Huk, A. C. & Cormack, L. K. Dynamic mechanisms of visually guided 3D motion tracking. en. *J. Neurophysiol.* **118**, 1515–1531 (Sept. 2017).
230. Lisberger, S. G., Morris, E. J. & Tychsen, L. Visual motion processing and sensory-motor integration for smooth pursuit eye movements. en. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **10**, 97–129 (1987).
231. Barnes, G. R. & Ruddock, C. J. Factors affecting the predictability of pseudo-random motion stimuli in the pursuit reflex of man. en. *J. Physiol.* **408**, 137–165 (Jan. 1989).
232. Boudet, C., Bocca, M.-L., Dollfus, S. & Denise, P. The saccadic component of ocular pursuit is influenced by the predictability of the target motion in humans. en. *Exp. Brain Res.* **168**, 294–297 (Jan. 2006).
233. Lisberger, S. G. Visual Guidance of Smooth Pursuit Eye Movements. en. *Annu Rev Vis Sci* **1**, 447–468 (Nov. 2015).
234. Liu, B., Macellaio, M. V. & Osborne, L. C. Efficient sensory cortical coding optimizes pursuit eye movements. en. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 12759 (Sept. 2016).
235. Mukherjee, T., Liu, B., Simoncini, C. & Osborne, L. C. Spatiotemporal Filter for Visual Motion Integration from Pursuit Eye Movements in Humans and Monkeys. en. *J. Neurosci.* **37**, 1394–1412 (Feb. 2017).
236. Chauhan, B. C. *et al.* Practical recommendations for measuring rates of visual field change in glaucoma. en. *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* **92**, 569–573 (Apr. 2008).
237. Jansonius, N. M. *On the accuracy of measuring rates of visual field change in glaucoma* 2010.
238. Fung, S. S. M., Lemer, C., Russell, R. A., Malik, R. & Crabb, D. P. Are practical recommendations practiced? A national multi-centre cross-sectional study on frequency of visual field testing in glaucoma. en. *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* **97**, 843–847 (July 2013).
239. Glen, F. C., Baker, H. & Crabb, D. P. A qualitative investigation into patients' views on visual field testing for glaucoma monitoring. en. *BMJ Open* **4**, e003996 (Jan. 2014).
240. Pender, M. P. *et al.* Epstein-Barr virus-specific T cell therapy for progressive multiple sclerosis. en. *JCI Insight* **3** (Nov. 2018).
241. Fielding, J., Kilpatrick, T., Millist, L., Clough, M. & White, O. Longitudinal assessment of antisaccades in patients with multiple sclerosis. en. *PLoS One* **7**, e30475 (Feb. 2012).
242. Fielding, J., Kilpatrick, T., Millist, L. & White, O. Multiple sclerosis: Cognition and saccadic eye movements. en. *J. Neurol. Sci.* **277**, 32–36 (Feb. 2009).

243. Yarbus, A. L. *Eye Movements and Vision* 1967.
244. Borji, A. & Itti, L. Defending Yarbus: eye movements reveal observers' task. en. *J. Vis.* **14**, 29 (Mar. 2014).
245. Anderson, T. *et al.* Oculomotor function in multiple system atrophy: clinical and laboratory features in 30 patients. en. *Mov. Disord.* **23**, 977–984 (May 2008).
246. Garbutt, S. *et al.* Oculomotor function in frontotemporal lobar degeneration, related disorders and Alzheimer's disease. en. *Brain* **131**, 1268–1281 (May 2008).
247. Tabrizi, S. J. *et al.* Biological and clinical manifestations of Huntington's disease in the longitudinal TRACK-HD study: cross-sectional analysis of baseline data. en. *Lancet Neurol.* **8**, 791–801 (Sept. 2009).
248. Chen, A. L. *et al.* The disturbance of gaze in progressive supranuclear palsy: implications for pathogenesis. en. *Front. Neurol.* **1**, 147 (Dec. 2010).
249. Anderson, T. J. & MacAskill, M. R. *Eye movements in patients with neurodegenerative disorders* 2013.
250. Hellmuth, J. *et al.* Multicenter validation of a bedside antisaccade task as a measure of executive function. en. *Neurology* **78**, 1824–1831 (June 2012).