

University of Groningen

Corporate governance and corporate social responsibility

Chen, Shili

DOI:
[10.33612/diss.135926510](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.135926510)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2020

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Chen, S. (2020). *Corporate governance and corporate social responsibility*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen, SOM research school.
<https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.135926510>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Propositions of Shili Chen's Dissertation

1. The purpose of a company is to engage all its stakeholders in shared and sustained value creation. In creating such value, a company serves not only its shareholders, but all its stakeholders—employees, customers, suppliers, local communities and society at large (The Davos Manifesto 2020, World Economic Forum).
2. Corporate social responsibility entails both “doing good” and “avoiding bad”. Nevertheless, “doing good” and “avoiding bad” are distinct concepts, they cannot be considered as two sides of the same coin (Chapter 2).
3. Firms with poor corporate social performance are more likely to have NGO directors on their boards, whereas the presence of NGO directors is not associated with subsequent increase in corporate social performance (Chapter 2).
4. Firms do not exist in a vacuum. A firm's policies and outcomes are shaped by the institutional environment within which it operates. The institutional environment manifests its influence on firms over time and across countries (Chapter 3 & 4).
5. Passive investors may not necessarily be passive monitors. Quasi-indexers have increasingly found corporate social responsibility important, and they can generate social impact through influencing firm strategies (Chapter 3).
6. There is no one-size-fits-all approach to creating a more gender-balanced board. The effectiveness of board gender diversity policies in increasing women representation on boards depends on country-level legislative, cultural, and economic institutions (Chapter 4).
7. A healthy society should embrace diversity and respect different voices, so does a healthy company.
8. Learning is a life-long activity.