

## University of Groningen

### Individual accountability

Turusbekova, N.

**IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.**

*Document Version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*

2007

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Turusbekova, N. (2007). *Individual accountability: The interplay between task, social context and personality attributes*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. s.n.

#### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

#### Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

# Contents

<b>Preface</b> .....	<b>i</b>
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	<b>vii</b>
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	<b>ix</b>
<b>1. Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background of the thesis .....	1
1.2 Conceptual foundation .....	1
1.3 Motivation .....	5
1.3.1 Accountability model .....	6
1.3.2 Interactivity .....	7
1.3.3 Moderators .....	8
1.3.4 Accountability outcomes.....	8
1.4 Structure and contents of the thesis .....	9
<b>2. Decomposing and compiling the phenomenon of individual accountability: the four factors in relation to task commitment</b> .....	<b>20</b>
2.1 Introduction .....	20
2.2 Accountability factors .....	22
2.3 Model and hypotheses .....	25
2.4 Method .....	28
2.4.1 Participants.....	28
2.4.2 Procedures.....	29
2.4.3 Measures .....	29
2.5 Results .....	29
2.6 Discussion .....	33
<b>3. The role of individual accountability in promoting quality management systems</b> .....	<b>37</b>
3.1 Introduction .....	37
3.2 Individual accountability, belief in and use of the quality management system.....	39
3.3 Method .....	43
3.3.1 Organizational setting .....	43
3.3.2 Participants.....	43

3.3.3	Procedures.....	43
3.3.4	Measures.....	44
3.4	Results.....	44
3.5	Discussion and conclusions.....	49
<b>4.</b>	<b>Accountability and goal orientation in relation to task commitment and task performance.....</b>	<b>53</b>
4.1	Introduction.....	53
4.2	Definitions of individual accountability.....	54
4.3	The components and multi-dimensionality of individual accountability.....	54
4.4	Accountability outcomes and mixed findings.....	56
4.5	Goal orientation.....	59
4.6	Model and hypotheses.....	62
4.6.1	Task performance related to task clarity, personalized responsibility and goal orientation.....	63
4.6.2	Task performance related to feedback, audience power and goal orientation.....	65
4.6.3	Task commitment related to task clarity, personalized responsibility and goal orientation.....	67
4.6.4	Task commitment related to feedback, audience power and goal orientation.....	68
4.7	Study 1.....	70
4.8	Method.....	70
4.8.1	Participants.....	70
4.8.2	Procedures.....	70
4.8.3	Measures.....	71
4.8.4	Preliminary analysis study 1.....	72
4.9	Results study 1.....	72
4.10	Discussion study 1.....	81
4.11	Study 2.....	88
4.12	Method study 2.....	89
4.12.1	Measures study 2.....	89
4.12.2	Participants study 2.....	89
4.12.3	Procedures study 2.....	89
4.13	Results study 2.....	89
4.14	Discussion study 2.....	97
4.15	General conclusions.....	101
4.15.1	Mastery orientation, accountability and task commitment.....	102
4.15.2	Performance orientation, accountability and task performance.....	103

<b>5.</b>	<b>Accountability and self-promotion: the unexpected impact of performance orientation .....</b>	<b>105</b>
5.1	Introduction .....	105
5.2	Individual Accountability .....	105
5.3	Impression management: self-promotion .....	106
5.4	Goal orientation .....	107
5.5	Model and hypotheses .....	109
5.6	Study 1 .....	112
5.7	Method study 1 .....	113
	5.7.1 Participants study 1 .....	113
	5.7.2 Procedures study 1 .....	113
	5.7.3 Measures study 1 .....	114
	5.7.4 Preliminary analysis study 1 .....	115
5.8	Results study 1 .....	115
5.9	Discussion study 1 .....	124
5.10	Study 2 .....	128
5.11	Method study 2 .....	128
	5.11.1 Measures study 2 .....	128
	5.11.2 Participants study 2 .....	128
	5.11.3 Procedures study 2 .....	129
5.12	Results study 2 .....	129
5.13	Discussion study 2 .....	135
5.14	Conclusions studies 1 & 2 .....	136
<b>6.</b>	<b>Conclusions and discussion.....</b>	<b>139</b>
6.1	Nature of the accountability phenomenon.....	139
6.2	Accountability outcomes .....	140
6.3	Scientific contribution .....	145
	6.3.1 Contribution to the goal-setting theory .....	145
	6.3.2 Contribution to impression management literature ...	146
6.4	Practical contribution .....	147
6.5	Strengths and weaknesses of the studies .....	148
6.6	Future Research .....	149
6.7	Concluding Remarks .....	150
	<b>Bibliography.....</b>	<b>152</b>
	<b>Appendix A: List of variables with corresponding questionnaire items (in English) used in chapter 2.....</b>	<b>167</b>
	<b>Appendix B: List of variables with corresponding questionnaire items (in</b>	

<b>Dutch) used in chapter 2 .....</b>	<b>169</b>
<b>Appendix C: List of variables with corresponding questionnaire items (in English) used in chapter 4.....</b>	<b>171</b>
<b>Appendix D: List of variables with corresponding questionnaire items (in Dutch) used in chapter 4 .....</b>	<b>173</b>
<b>Appendix E: List of variables with corresponding questionnaire items (in English) used in chapter 5.....</b>	<b>176</b>
<b>Appendix F: List of variables with corresponding questionnaire items (in Dutch) used in chapter 5 .....</b>	<b>178</b>
<b>Summary in English .....</b>	<b>180</b>
<b>Samenvatting (Summary in Dutch) .....</b>	<b>187</b>

# List of Tables

Table1.1: Overview of the accountability literature.....	13
Table2.1 Descriptive Statistics, Intercorrelation Matrix.....	30
Table2.2: Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analyses for Variables Predicting Task Commitment .....	30
Table3.1: Descriptive Statistics, Correlation Matrix .....	45
Table3.2: Moderated Regression Results for Belief in the System and Use of the System .....	45
Table 4.1. Study 1. Means, standard deviations, Cronbach's alpha's and intercorrelations.....	73
Table 4.2. Study 1. Task performance regressed on the accountability factors and performance orientation.....	74
Table 4.3. Study 1. Task commitment regressed on the accountability factors and mastery orientation .....	79
Table 4.4. Study 2. Means, standard deviations, Cronbach's alpha's and intercorrelations.....	90
Table 4.5. Study 2. Task performance regressed on the accountability factors and performance orientation.....	92
Table 4.6. Study 2. Task commitment regressed on the accountability factors and mastery orientation .....	95
Table 5.1. Study 1. Means, Standard deviations, Cronbach's alpha's and Intercorrelations.....	115
Table 5.2 Study 1. Self-Promotion regressed on task clarity and performance orientation.....	116
Table 5.3. Study 1 Self-promotion regressed on personalized responsibility and performance orientation.....	117
Table 5.4. Study 1. Self-promotion regressed on task clarity, personalized responsibility and performance orientation .....	118
Table 5.5. Study 1. Self-promotion regressed on feedback and performance orientation.....	120
Table 5.6. Study 1. Self-promotion regressed on audience power and performance orientation.....	121
Table 5.7. Study 1 Self-promotion regressed on feedback, audience power and	

performance orientation.....	122
Table 5.8. Study 2. Means, Standard deviations, Cronbach's alpha's and Intercorrelations.....	129
Table 5.9. Study 2. Self-promotion regressed on task clarity and performance orientation.....	130
Table 5.10. Study 2. Self-promotion regressed on personalized responsibility and performance orientation.....	132
Table 5.11 Study 2. Self-promotion regressed on task clarity, personalized responsibility and performance orientation .....	132
Table 5.12. Study 2. Self-promotion regressed on feedback and performance orientation.....	132
Table 5.13 Study 2. Self-promotion regressed on audience power and performance orientation.....	133
Table 5.14 Study 2. Self-promotion regressed on feedback, audience power and performance orientation.....	133
Table 6.1. The relationship between task clarity and the two outcomes for low and high personalized responsibility and low and high performance orientation. ....	141
Table 6.2. The relationship between feedback and the two outcomes for low and high audience power and low and high performance orientation.....	142
Table 6.3. The relationship between task clarity and the two outcomes for low and high personalized responsibility and low and high mastery orientation..	143
Table 6.4. The relationship between feedback and the two outcomes for low and high audience power and low and high mastery orientation. ....	144

# List of Figures

Figure 1.1. General research model.....	7
Figure 2.1: Research model (1) .....	25
Figure 2.2: Task commitment regressed on low and high task clarity for high and low audience power.....	32
Figure 3.1: Research model (2) .....	39
Figure 3.2: Belief in system regressed on low and high system clarity for high and low social support.....	46
Figure 3.3: Use of system regressed on low and high system clarity for high and low social support.....	47
Figure 3.4: Belief in system regressed on low and high system clarity for high and low personalized responsibility.....	48
Figure 3.5: Use of system regressed on low and high system clarity for high and low personalized responsibility.....	49
Figure 4.1: Research model (3).....	63
Figure 4.2: Study 1. Task performance regressed on task clarity for low and high personalized responsibility and low and high performance orientation. ...	75
Figure 4.3: Study 1 Task performance regressed on feedback for low and high audience power.....	78
Figure 4.4: Study 1. Task commitment regressed on task clarity for low and high personalized responsibility and low and high mastery orientation.....	80
Figure 4.5. Study 2. Task performance regressed on feedback for low and high audience power and low and high performance orientation.....	93
Figure 4.6 Study 2. Task commitment regressed on task clarity for low and high personalized responsibility and low and high mastery orientation.....	96
Figure 5.1: Research model (4) .....	109
Figure 5.2: Study 1. Self-promotion regressed on task clarity for low and high performance orientation.....	117
Figure 5.3: Study 1. Self-promotion regressed on task clarity for low and high personalized responsibility and low and high performance orientation. .	119
Figure 5.4: Study 1. Self-promotion regressed on audience power for low and high performance orientation.....	121
Figure 5.5: Study 1. Self-promotion regressed on feedback for low and high	



audience power and low and high performance orientation..... 123

Figure 5.6: Study 2. Self-promotion regressed on task clarity for low and high performance orientation..... 131

Figure 5.7: Study 2. Self-promotion regressed on feedback for low and high audience power and low and high performance orientation..... 134