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ABSTRACT

Background: Human movement, rehabilitation, and allied sciences have embraced their ambitions within the cycle of “RehabMove” congresses over the past 30 years. This combination of disciplines and collaborations in the Netherlands has tried to provide answers to questions in the fields of rehabilitation and adapted sports, while simultaneously generating new questions and challenges. These research questions help us to further deepen our understanding of (impaired) human movement and functioning, with and without supportive technologies, and stress the importance of continued multidisciplinary (inter)national collaboration.

Methods: This position stand provides answers that were conceived by the authors in a creative process underlining the preparation of the 6th RehabMove Congress.

Results: The take-home message of the RehabMove2018 Congress is a plea for continued multidisciplinary research in the fields of rehabilitation and adapted sports. This should be aimed at more individualized notions of human functioning, practice, and training, but also of performance, improved supportive technology, and appropriate “human and technology asset management” at both individual and organization levels and over the lifespan.

Conclusions: With this, we anticipate to support the development of rehabilitation sciences and technology and to stimulate the use of rehabilitation notions in general health care. We also hope to help ensure a stronger embodiment of preventive and lifestyle medicine in rehabilitation practice. Indeed, general health care and rehabilitation practice require a healthy and active lifestyle management and research agenda in the context of primary, secondary, and tertiary prevention.

► IMPLICATIONS FOR REHABILITATION

- Continued multidisciplinary (international) collaboration will stimulate the development of rehabilitation and human movement sciences.
- Notions from “human and technology asset management and ergonomics” are fundamental to rehabilitation practice and research.
- The rehabilitation concept will further merge into general health care and the quality there-off.

Background on “rehabilitation: mobility, exercise & sports”

The 6th RehabMove Congress finds its origin in the wheeled mobility research agenda of the 1980s and 1990s at the -then- Faculty of Human Movement Sciences, VU University, in Amsterdam and its (inter)national research networks. The 1st congress in our series between 17 and 19 October 1991, at the VU University Amsterdam, was therefore fully dedicated to wheelchair research and work and advertised as: “Ergonomics of manual wheelchair propulsion” [1]. This first manual wheeled mobility research event was stimulated and sponsored by the European Union’s COMAC-BME and the concerted action on “Mobility restoration for paralyzed persons,” chaired by Prof Antonio Pedotti. Its proceedings were published by IOSpress and formed an example of the scientific work around manual wheelchair propulsion in the scientific world of those days, a true state-of-the-art work [1]. The scope of the subsequent four congresses gradually widened beyond the field of wheeled mobility, and entered much more so into spinal cord injury rehabilitation, adapted sports, and
even later into the "exercise = medicine" philosophy in health and human movement sciences [2–9]. Now, travelling through science, practice, and industry between the 1st and the 6th RehabMove in 2018 for almost 30 years, the circle seems round with continued, promising, and important national and international collaborative research. That is, in networks of talented human movement, rehabilitation and sports scientists, physicians, engineers, vocational and physical therapists, psychologists, and patients, with many young innovative people creating new horizons in research and rehabilitation care. Research that: (1) boosts our understanding of optimization of cyclic locomotion [10–12] and functioning in wheeled mobility [13–17], human gait [18–21], and upper extremity functioning [22–27]; (2) stimulates the consequent understanding and development of assistive and rehabilitation technologies, and their critical human-machine interface dimensions [28,29]; (3) and stresses the important role of individualized training and practice, and the underlying motor skills within the ‘human stress-strain-work capacity’ model [30] in the context of rehabilitation, daily living and adapted sports.

An important framework that guides our notions, for both research and treatment in the context of rehabilitation, is the International Classification of Functioning, Disability, and Health model of the World Health Organization (Figure 1) [31]. Personal and environmental domains are critical to the potential of daily functioning, activities, and participation as a consequence of chronic health conditions. This is exemplified for wheelchair users with a spinal cord injury in Figure 1. This conceptual framework will be central in many respects below.

With the RehabMove organization being a collaboration among human movement scientists and rehabilitation researchers and professionals, the RehabMove2018 Congress covers three main themes: "mobility, exercise, and sports." Essentially our ambition is to provide cutting edge knowledge on and understanding optimal human motor functioning in the context of chronic disease or disability in daily life, and in its societal contexts, such as work, school, sports, and recreation. In the following the thematic coloring of our congress will be closely linked to the state-of-the-art on (wheeled) mobility, exercise, and sports, as exemplary issues. In addition, from a somewhat circular perspective, we emphasize the work in our groups, leading to a storyline that widens towards the broader perspective of health and active lifestyle as a preventive health care obligation in movement and rehabilitation sciences, and beyond. The following will give a few examples of the continued societal challenges and the need for continued research and translation of the findings into real-world applications.

**"Mobility, exercise & sports:" a case for supportive technology**

Recently, the evaluation of research proposals for the "Mobility Unlimited Challenge" from the Toyota Mobility Foundation (http://

![Figure 1. The WHO's model for the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) and adapted to persons with a spinal cord injury (SCI) who are wheelchair-dependent (~80% of the population). Originally published in International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health; Geneva: World Health Organization; 2001. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO).](image-url)
we believe in the power and joy of mobility for all) took place. A prestigious international opportunity for multidisciplinary research consortia to boost their innovative work on mobility challenges for people with lower limb disabilities with a substantial project grant. These inspiring project consortia work at the front end of engineering, rehabilitation, and medical sciences. The competition provides innovative cutting-edge mobility solutions for the twenty-first century in people with mobility limitations. With a great deal of high-tech engineering involved, “human factors” (a field that is equivalent to ergonomics, i.e. the study to optimize human functioning in the task, tool, environment and over the lifespan, while maintaining health, safety, efficiency, and comfort) [32] are a critical dimension. This is often fully secured with early involvement of end-users, apart from biomedical research inputs. Yet, the balance between innovative engineering solutions and optimal and healthy human functioning with that novel technology is often unstable. The use of supportive technology and its consequences on functioning and health on the short-term, mid-term or long-term are often not fully researched or understood and unexpected health consequences in its broadest sense may eventually emerge, as was recently mentioned for medical implants in a worldwide survey (In an even more serious context: recently, a group of worldwide research journalists, presented the “Implant files,” a review of the health-threatening consequences of insufficiently evaluated medical implants: “a year-long investigation uncovered the harm caused by poorly-tested medical devices”).

Supportive, rehabilitation and assistive technologies (for mobility, exercise, or sports) are many in number and diversity, at times simple and often complex in technology and design. These supportive technologies are deemed crucial in resolving mobility and other functional issues during and beyond the rehabilitation of persons with disabilities, in daily life, public environment, or labour (Figure 1). Recently, Magasi et al., using structural equation modeling [33], showed that quality of mobility devices in persons with disabilities (i.e., defined by qualitative research strategies and a literature survey using criteria as reliability, ease of maintenance, reparability, replaceability, and portability) very much affects individual participation. Simmons et al. in 1995 already concluded that wheelchairs could be potential mobility and activity restraints in aging nursing home residents, when not mechanically and/or ergonomically optimized to the individual [34]. Rehabilitation and assistive technology innovations are often driven by industry and not so much by critical consumers nor supported by extensive research. As we all realize, technology is assumed to play an increasingly important role in future human functioning, albeit in healthy persons or in those persons with a chronic disease or disability. With an increasing gadget-oriented society, industry-driven technologies and their innovations may be taken easily for granted. Yet, this is not necessarily the case from a scientific perspective, even in rehabilitation medicine and practice. The Journal of “Revalidatie Nederland” (Dutch Association of Rehabilitation Centers; https://www.revalidatie.nl), “Revalidatie Magazine,” presented in 2017/2018 a considerable number of technology-oriented articles covering a wide array of technologies (i.e., smart housing, domotics, e-health, sensor technology, Grail, Caren, exoskeleton, electrical stimulation, etc.) that most certainly may benefit a technology-based future of rehabilitation practice, treatment, care and individual independent living (Figure 2). Their working principles and human-technology or human-environment interaction characteristics are however not always well understood in the context of user-behavior, as such frequently lacking scientific foundation with regards to effectiveness in rehabilitation and/or daily life and the more so with respect to user-driven functionality and health-based consequences.

This is understandable for many supportive technologies from a perspective of small industries that develop them: such industries lack financial resources for research and development (R&D), have to serve a very diverse user market, which requires a diverse range of technologies while lacking an adequate consumer market model. On another note, smaller industries can productively collaborate with knowledge and research centers to improve product quality and sustainability. Those networks effectively lead to innovation and new commercial products (e.g., Esseda wheelchair ergometer; https://www.lode.nl), that have a firm basis in scientific research. In that light, collaboration with experts in health economics can deliver economic predictive (Markov) models which can provide “headroom analyses,” in the early stages of development. These predictive models help to determine a product’s economic potential with respect to the potential healthy life years and based on our current understanding of human functioning in the context of supportive technologies [35,36].

The exploitation of ergonomics notions and human and technology “asset management” [37] is critical for supportive technology, especially in the context of health, functionality, and quality of life, but also cost-effectiveness. In our perspective, Asset Management can be defined as a set of procedures and tools of an organization/industry – but also a health care organization or rehabilitation center – to optimize the performances of physical assets in that organization over their life cycle. Assets can be products, devices, tools, but by all means also the human assets involved in the processes; in health care, both patients and professionals. As indicated with different textbooks, ergonomics of any assistive device or supportive technology is recognized and deemed critical in the context of optimal, that is, functional and healthy, human behavior in rehabilitation, and health care [28,38–40]. The use of the “Human-Activity-Assistive Technology model” (HAAT-model) is one of the contextual frameworks that help to model and oversee the complex interactions between

AT+RT: A multitude, divers & growing number (>300,000) of supportive technologies, assistive devices, training, rehabilitation & measurement technologies.

RM in 2017, 2018: Smart housing, Domotics, Robotics, Sensors, Grail, Caren, Exoskeleton, Electrical stimulation……

Positive and enthusiastic stories, because it helps, expecting miracles! Sometimes they do!

But too often industry & gadget-driven, low innovative power & non-consumer market

And: often too much beliefs & little to no evidence-base!!
human, task, and technology as well as human and social, cultural and physical environments; such a model stresses the importance of understanding human biology and psychology, and functioning at the individual level and beyond the mere technology and engineering [28]. Despite the few available textbooks and today’s research efforts in often multidisciplinary consortia, the fundamental understanding of optimal (i.e., healthy & independent) human functioning in the context of assistive and/or rehabilitation technologies is still limited and not necessarily integrated in rehabilitation practice, industry or the personal fitting of assistive technology.

With an increasing health care demand in an aging population, and a tendency towards more self-management, not only the health care costs but also the quality cycle of rehabilitation care is under pressure, as is the professional understanding of its complexity at the individual level. Institutional choices on innovation and rehabilitation technology infrastructure must be based on evidence or at least with the best available knowledge in networks of multidisciplinary collaboration and in a context of cost-effectiveness.

A recent exemplary case stresses the importance of continued research, education, innovation, translation and implementation in the context of freedom of mobility for persons with a disability and the built living environment: a ramp of 15% for wheelchair users to get in and out of a train, is it a simple case of optimal supportive technology? Let’s take this case to debate this in the next section.

Environmental ramp design: a simple case for supportive technology?

A ramp at for instance the train platform is a simple supportive technology in the public environment: a ramp helps to bridge different horizontal levels in the built environment, in buildings, pavement, or when going on/off train platforms. Recently, we were involved in a discussion involving a new train design that would require a 16% sloped ramp for wheelchair users to enter and leave the train. The potential impact of such a ramp on the upper body of a male wheelchair user of 90 kg using a well-maintained handrim-wheelchair (15 kg), while negotiating the ramp at a 0.2 m/s speed is depicted in Figure 3. This would require a continued production of almost 34 W in external power. Depending on the training status, lesion level, and age this would be in the range of 60–120% of the peak aerobic power output (W) of an average group of individuals with a spinal cord injury [41–44].

Power output (W) is the measure for external work of movement and wheeled mobility. Power output is a mechanical term for the task performed and in terms of human work, it is the counterpart of energy consumption of the individual, where energy consumption is often measured during steady-state whole-body exercise on an ergometer with the use oxygen uptake technology. Power output is the result of that exercise, delivering mechanical work (A) at a given speed (V) and a given resistance force (F), and where their product (FxV) equates to power output (W) by definition. In daily life, power output in wheelchair propulsion is the sum of internal and rolling resistance, gravitational force (up an incline or slope), and air friction. Recently, Bertocci et al. presented a biomechanical delineation of different slopes for public buses and their effects on push biomechanics in seven novice non-wheelchair users [45].

Depending on the slope and length, we tend to accept it as an optimal solution for many wheelchair users in buildings or public space, creating accessibility, independence, participation, and quality of life, as supportive technologies should inherently do. Yet, when looking more closely, it may well be the reverse and often be a considerable challenge for many manual wheelchair users.

Fear of falling, tipping, or simply not being able to negotiate the ramp by a lack of skill and/or mere power, that may greatly impact participation when we take the position of the individual wheelchair user as a starting point. Literature has dealt with that sufficiently, yet the reality is different and society and health care alike are changing, but potentially not always for the better.

Despite the role of organizations for “user-centered design,” “universal design,” and “design for all,” built environment provides many challenges for wheelchair users.

Case: Novel train design (2018!)
But: Requiring a ramp for wheelchair users
Ramp: 16%, 0.045m (curb + space)

- Who can/not negotiate this barrier?
- How high can it be? Can it be at all?

Keypoints to be addressed:
- Body & wheelchair mass
- Peak upper body work capacity (POaer)
- Shoulder loading & health risks
- Skill & Self-efficacy, Fear of falling?
- Wheelchair quality, design & fit
- Ramp length & steepness

\[ PO_{\text{req}} = ((1050 \times \sin(8.7^\circ)) + 15) \times 0.2 = 34.7 W \quad \sim 100-60\% PO_{\text{aer}} \]

Remarkable! What about shoulder loading??

Figure 3. A biomechanics summary of the physical stress (PO(W)), strain and work capacity (POaer (W)) for a virtual handrim-wheelchair user force (F) with a spinal cord injury who is negotiating a 16% ramp: he/she may not be able to negotiate the ramp due to a lack of sufficient peak power (POaer). This is apart from the potential risk for shoulder injuries in the majority of manual wheelchair users.
Motor skill, practice, and exercise

Motor skill is crucial in rehabilitation, daily life, and adapted sports, especially in new motor modalities of transportation such as in those with a spinal cord injury, where 80% are wheelchair dependent. With growing pressure on health care costs, however, skill seems not to be of high priority in rehabilitation and the importance of motor skill in this context is hardly understood. In principle, the better trained are better off in societal participation, so are the more skilled. The basis is in propulsion skill and capacity [47-50]. The slope of 16% will be a “piece of cake” for most wheelchair athletes one would assume. This will be true for a complex skill such as negotiating a slope, climbing a curb, or making a wheelie. These are expected to be trained in rehabilitation, yet only available to those with a sports career and a good understanding of wheelchair mechanics and maintenance, athletes often are in the possession of lighter and “better maintained wheelchair material.” This is however not at all true for the majority of wheelchair users and nor for all wheelchair athletes, as was indicated by Flies-Douer [51].

The energy cost of locomotion is generally viewed as an indicator for physical strain and can be minimized through training, practice, and skill acquisition of the user, as well as ergonomic optimization of the task, tuning the technology or environment to the user or optimizing task characteristics. This is true in prosthetic walking or manual wheelchair use. Apart from the user- skills, talent, and ability, material quality and ergonomics are key! On another note, physical work capacity in a task will affect the physical strain of that task, and the higher the work capacity in a task, the lower the relative strain. Training of aerobic, anaerobic, strength, and flexibility elements are the key operators here. Underlying training and practice mechanisms are also the skill change that one may expect as a consequence of motor adaptation and learning. In cyclic motion patterns, motor skill improvement can be monitored elegantly with oxygen uptake or energy cost measures (walking, running, wheelchair propulsion) and expressed in the economy, cost index, or gross or net mechanical efficiency.

Energy minimization is an underlying driver for any cyclic motor behavior. This was advocated for by Sparrow and Newell in the 1990s [10,11] and later Almasbak, Whiting, and Helgerud [52]. Recent experimental motor learning work in gait and wheeled mobility stress this important notion [17,20,47,48,53,54]. The consequences of amputation and prosthetics or of a stroke on the energy cost and biomechanics of gait have been well described [21,54,55], yet the awareness for processes of adaptation and (re)learning of motor skill in rehabilitation practice still require considerable research and implementation efforts. Obviously, the question, “what is neuro-physiologically driving the inherent minimization of energy cost in the motor learning of cyclic motions in a biological system?” remains one of the holy grails of exercise physiology, biomechanics, motor control, and learning.

Wheelchair skills: self-efficacy and perceived importance

Among the questions in rehabilitation that are not asked enough, is the question ‘what specific daily skills are thought most essential for the functioning of the individual’. This was explored by Flies-Douer for 24 daily life wheelchair skills (e.g., one-handed-wheeled result, transfer into/out of the car, crossing a steep slope, wheeling 5 min on a treadmill) in a questionnaire-based study providing an understanding of wheelchair skill and their perceived importance (“essentiality”) for daily functioning [51].

Being able to negotiate different forms and levels of ramps, is perceived as important for daily functioning. The learning of those skills appears to happen much more through peers, while clinical and ambulant rehabilitation as a learning environment lags very much behind in different western countries, also in the Netherlands. Fear and “avoidance of acting” will be the consequence, and self-efficacy in wheelchair skills – being aware of your ability to perform them – will fall behind [56]. The perceived importance or essentiality of specific wheelchair skills among other international Paralympic wheelchair athletes, as well as the notion from whom they learned the important skills were nicely worked out by Flies-Douer [51].

Slopes and transfers were among the five most prioritized of the 24 manual wheelchair skills, while the perceived level of wheelchair mobility gained during rehabilitation ranged between 10-36%. Many skills were learned from peers and only after rehabilitation [51]. Being able to do a wheelie is essential in taking a curb, negotiating a ramp downwards and for instance negotiating a small space between ramp and train floor or platform. Those skills are deemed to be increasingly less trained in today’s rehabilitation practice due to time and financial constraints (In 2018 a fundraising initiative started by Handicap.nl to train wheelchair skills to 200 chronic wheelchair users on an annual basis! (See https://handicap.nl/projecten/rolstoeltraining-met-wheelchair-skills-en-handicapnl/ (Dutch)). More detailed training of essential daily life wheelchair skills and its monitoring should be an integral part of rehabilitation practice, clinical or ambulatory, thus building independence and participation in a truly effective manner. Systematic monitoring thereof with outcomes such as propulsion technique (e.g., with 3D measurement wheels), mechanical efficiency during submaximal steady-state wheeling on the treadmill, a wheelchair skills test battery and task-specific wheelchair work capacity will help identify those who can become independent in a true sense [57-62]. Being part of motor skill learning and control, this is a matter of practice, and skill-building will help overcome the fear of falling or tripping and becoming self-evident in an array of skills. This will further boost independence and participation. Although the above makes a case for manual wheeled mobility, its plea is deemed equally important for the functionality and use of other assistive devices or in other groups of persons with a chronic disability, such as those with stroke, amputation, or cerebral palsy. Yet again, putting it into practice and accepting its importance, is not self-evident in today’s rehabilitation practice. The congress is one way to create awareness of those changing panels in rehabilitation practice and health care and is potentially the multidisciplinary and collaborative venue to change and start turning these developments. Researchers should keep in mind that they can contribute here and should make a difference.
Upper body overuse, power assist, smart monitoring, and prevention

Frequently encountering ramps in the public space may lead to local musculoskeletal overloading [24,63], increased dependence, and societal isolation, which is the opposite of a barrier-free society. The challenges -in short- of any ramp are driven by the mechanics of the slope of the ramp, a curb or space somewhere in between, but also by, and the quality of the wheelchair, the work capacity of the wheelchair user, the body weight and the skill level and self-efficacy to use a wheelchair. In any case, training and practice of skills and capacities are fundamental.

Another way to negotiate a ramp of 16% in those with limited work capacity, and thus preventing shoulder overload and limited radius of action, is the use of power assist technology in handrim wheelchairs. Power assist technology is deemed to reduce physical strain, including shoulder loading [64,65]. Today’s technology is however rather crude in its power control, it is non-individualized and lacks smart technology and learning ability. This may impact actual ramp negotiation in safety and ease. As is shown by De Klerk et al., current power-assist technology requires a learning phase (PAPAW) [13], as does regular handrim-wheelchair propulsion (RHW) (Figure 4). This is expressed here for able-bodied novices during steady-state wheelchair propulsion practice on a motor-driven treadmill in increased gross mechanical efficiency, reduced heart rate, and perceived exertion, as well as a drop in stroke frequency and the magnitude of two-dimensional left-right movement on the treadmill. Transfer effects between the two modes were non-significant (Figure 4).

Smart technology may support the learning curve; beyond that smart data-driven learning algorithms may individualize support characteristics among individuals and over time. Using the available force-sensing technology, understanding of individual work capacity, as well as detailed anthropometry and...
biomechanical modelling may help detect “red zones” in shoulder loading on the one hand [66,67], and risks of inactivity and sedentariness on the other hand. The smart platform would simultaneously allow individual coaching. The individual fine-tuning would be helped with a computer-controlled wheelchair ergometer that allows the use of the individual wheelchair, and systematically testing of (sub)maximal aerobic, anaerobic and isometric wheeling capacities [58] using, for instance, the recently designed “Esseda” ergometer (Figure 5), and the individualized setting of the smart platform in the power assist wheelchair. The smart platform would be equally important in the development of regular and “light” versions of power-assisted wheelchairs or in non-power supported handrim-wheelchairs; in the latter simply using sensor technology and the platform to monitor and coach the user on balanced active healthy behaviour.

Due to individual risks of musculoskeletal upper body overuse, falls, and “trips”, the lack of individual capacity (strength, power), individual wheelchair skill, and self-efficacy or confidence in many wheelchair users, we basically would advise against any ramp in the physical environment. It does impair activities and participation in society in many ways for many wheelchair users, thus decreasing individual independence. But on another note, we must accept that acceptable ranges of slopes and ramps are a necessity in the wider physical environment as long as we deny the inclusive design in building and societal infrastructure. Having ramps in today’s society does provide accessibility to many, yet denies accessibility to others with very limited physical abilities or resources. Then it goes at the cost of individual independence and participation for instance for those with a walking disability, in many wheelchair users, for example, those with spinal cord injuries, especially the high lesions, the low trained persons, the elderly. The consequence for them can be no other than asking for “Help!” choose alternatives or simply to stay at home, which is opposite to the “participatory society” we are asked to live in today. The Dutch public transportation system solved in a considerable number of places the accessibility issue for wheelchair users, individuals with walking impairment, and those with strollers or other rolling objects by making level transfer options to the street. So, it can be part of the design in public transportation and the physical environment. Other than the USA, we do lack an Americans with Disabilities Act (1990, 2009) in the Netherlands and other European countries, however, and measures around the accessibility of public space are left up to the “market” and local governments in the first place.

Societal and health care context in “mobility, exercise & sports”

With an estimated 1% of the World’s population being dependent on wheeled mobility (while 1 in 7 people has a disability for that matter) [68], rehabilitation, as well as industry and society, are to be receptive for the potential barriers and challenges that lay ahead in lives of people who are dependent on wheelchairs (http://www.who.int/topics/disabilities/en/), especially concerning a healthy active lifestyle (Figure 6). Manual wheelchair propulsion is biomechanically straining and inefficient in terms of coordination, often leading to upper extremity discomfort and injury [27]. On another dimension, inactivity due to the manual wheeled mobility lifestyle and the underlying disability, potentially impact cardiovascular health as a consequence of consistent physical inactivity or disuse.

A seemingly avoidable imbalance of stress, strain, and capacity will impact the daily functioning of the user. Vehicle mechanics and technology, ergonomics of user-wheelchair interfacing and design, and user abilities are key to individual freedom of mobility and range of action, that is, priorities for functioning, participation, and quality of life. Premium technology and individualized ergonomic fitting, beyond quality wheelchair materials and propulsion skills, are required to healthy and functionally productive living. Such a highly individualized set of priorities needs not only to be considered in the context of wheeled mobility but evidently also in, for example, those individuals with limitations in gait, those who use prosthetics or exoskeletons, or in individuals with upper body impairments, prosthetics or robotics. These persons require knowledgeable professionals and coaches in early rehabilitation, especially where rehabilitation and health care systems are continuously changing and where health insurance costs dominate the quality debate, and health and well-being itself seem to be at risk. As such, there is a need for consistent long term evidence-based monitoring and advice on functioning and health. Supportive and monitoring technologies will have a place here, especially in a society where patients and clients rapidly outnumber caregivers and professionals.

“Human and technology asset management” in the context of rehabilitation and health care is fundamental to quality care, treatment, and outcomes. Yet, in a participation-modeled society, it becomes more and more a challenge, and often the personal responsibility based on self-management and self-organization. If not supported by individualized smart technology, this complex personal challenge however will allow only the happy few to be successful. Preservation of health and preventing secondary health risks of wheeled mobility – or any other assistive device for that matter – can indeed be supported by eHealth [69], using sensor technology and smart knowledge-based algorithms. For instance, with the current scientific understanding, propulsion technique, mechanical loading, and cardio-respiratory strain may be monitored and advised on during the day with the help of wheel-hub based torque sensors and onboard smart machine learning algorithms that use individualized bandwidths of healthy (active) behavior. In short, such future “onboard” platforms of smart technology are expected to provide opportunities on individualized monitoring, coaching, and feedback, that helps to create a healthy living environment and lifestyle.

From laboratory to field testing in “mobility, exercise & sports?”

This requires rehabilitation, movement, and sports sciences to move research from the laboratory to the fields of clinical practice, daily activities, and sports. Such ecologically more valid studies and measurements would benefit experimental validity and generalization of the outcomes. It would accommodate assistive technology research for wheeled mobility, exoskeletons, prosthetics, or other supportive technologies alike. Yet, with merging all research out-of-the lab, we would miss a degree of standardization and accuracy on the other hand, which must be compensated for by the data-driven nature of the large information streams that often come available. The ethical notions of human research and the privacy of information are challenges that require careful consideration in the currently boosting information-driven big-data society.

With the growing industry-driven availability of smart sensor technologies and their improved measurement quality and the miniaturization thereof, there is indeed a growing tendency for field testing, ambulant measurement in rehabilitation, daily life [70,71] and in adapted sports [72]. For instance, physical activity,
productivity, skin pressure, humidity, temperature, stress are all concepts that are within the grasp of sensor-based information streams, often in daily life through our smartphone connectivity. The use of 1D, 2D, or 3D accelerometers for the monitoring of physical exercise are commercially available through our phones or smartwatches. Their accuracy and reliability are questionable in many cases. The combination of accelerometers with inertial sensors and gyroscopes (IMU’s; https://www.sensorsmag.com/components/overview-mems-inertial-sensing-technology) are employed, for example, in human gait studies or in the in-field behavior of wheelchair athletes during games. These are just two of these developments that allow analyses of kinematic and kinetic performance and behavior in addition to the more traditional field testing with mere observation or with local GPS systems or video recording [72,73]. IMU instrumentation on wheelchairs can help optimize the role of wheelchair fitting based on in-field behavior of the wheelchair-athlete combination [74], and the same may hold for the role of classification [75]. However, so far, no information on the mere power output in wheeled mobility during the field testing is available other than through 3D measurement wheels. A “PowerTap” (https://www.powertap.com/) is an instrumented hub that befits chain-driven wheels of bicycles as well as handcyles and allows the continued measurement of external speed, heart rate, and power output. Power output (W) is critical to interpreting any bodily signal that is measured in the athletes or users during propulsion. Such technology is not yet available for field measurements in handrim wheelchair, but is used worldwide in hand cycling and (disabled) cycling. It helps to
monitor individual field performance and training, but also allows the athlete to be coached on power-based training schedules. In the context of clinical rehabilitation as well as adapted wheeled sports such devices would support our understanding of daily activity and “work done” in a wheelchair and would allow dose-response relations at the group and individual level when combined with lab-based peak aerobic exercise testing and/or sprint testing.

Such technologies may be highly useful for athletic classification in wheeled sports. Athletic classification is critical in both Paralympic individual and team sports. A valid and evidence-based classification is yet not available in any of the Paralympic sports. Different initiatives have been taken where the underlying questions are complex and require substantial research efforts [76]. Recently, the UCI (Union Cycliste Internationale) sponsored two research projects in cycling and hand-cycling, respectively, in a collaboration between Swedish and Dutch research groups [77]. Altman and colleagues showed the important role of the trunk in wheelchair rugby classification [78]. Again IMUs can play a role in the individual player characteristics in the context of classification in wheelchair team sports [75] that goes beyond that of more traditional field test outcomes at the group level [72]. IMUs may also help in understanding the performance-side of classification in the field and running events in athletics or a skill-driven sport like handigolf [76], where talent, skill, training, and disability interact with demographics into performance and where IMU-based motion and acceleration patterns may help dissect classification from that.

Performance capacity and monitoring of training in adapted sports or rehabilitation practice benefit from standardized exercise testing under homogeneous conditions and testing constraints. Lab-based protocols are basically the gold standard, both in the aerobic and anaerobic energy domains. Task-specific aerobic exercise testing has become an increasingly common modality in rehabilitation practice [79], while anaerobic testing, for example, Wingate testing, slowly migrates from purely experiment-based work towards clinical and athletic applications [80]. Anaerobic capacity is deemed to be highly essential in the functionality of the daily life of rehabilitation populations, yet it is only rarely specifically trained [81]. Similarly, the study of motor skill and technique in wheeled mobility would benefit from standardized lab-measurements as presented by Leving et al. [82,83], but also by data-driven notions advocated by Van der Slikke et al. [74,84,85]. Merging both approaches would benefit our deepened understanding of (athletic) motor skill, motor learning, and performance capacity in the context of disability, classification, and training regimes.

Other issues of a research agenda for “mobility, exercise & sports”

Typical sport and physical activity behaviors throughout the world are changing, with people becoming less physically active and more sedentary [86]. A pandemic of inactivity and sedentariness potentially results in rising incidences of cardio-metabolic disease and other chronic diseases. Rehabilitation has an important role here in populations after disease or trauma. New guidelines have emerged lately for specific patient groups (e.g., cerebral palsy [87], spinal cord injury [27,88], but also more recently in a general perspective of disability and active lifestyle developed and published by the British government (Figure 7) [89]. Evidence-based guideline development is one step ahead, using such guidelines as a second. This requires many to change towards a more active healthy lifestyle. This requires professional guidance, coaching, and, where possible, monitoring of dose and responses. Krops et al. recently developed a physical activity stimulation program for “hard-to-reach individuals with a disability” [90]. Van der Ploeg et al. [91–94] developed a motivational interviewing-based program for physical activity and sports in a nationwide network of rehabilitation centers and departments in the Netherlands. Martin Ginis et al. approached it from a different angle in spinal cord injury (SCI)-Canada considering geographic and cultural differences [95]. The research project Rehabilitation, Sports & Active Lifestyle (RespAct 2.0; www.respact.nl) [92,94,96] employed a rehabilitation center-based approach of motivational interviewing strategy among a diverse cohort of persons with a physical disability and/or chronic disease. This observational longitudinal study will continue to follow these persons with impairment limitations on their physical activity behavior and sports involvement up to 6 years after the conclusion of their rehabilitation and evaluate whether they meet today’s guidelines for health-related physical activity. This will help to further our understanding of the important constructs of physical activity, fatigue, and pacing among individuals with a disability.

Ambulant monitoring of the physiology and biomechanics of activities, exercise, and training has become more and more common practice in adapted sports, as stated above. Today simple activity monitoring at the individual level has become highly feasible with the current generations of smartwatches and smartphones. There is a continued trend to application among people with a disability or chronic disease. Even in wheelchair mobility, these commercial devices generate potentially logical information on daily activity. Recently, activity monitoring in daily wheelchair propulsion was employed with the Active8 [15], a novel activity monitor evolved from the much more complex research set-up that was designed initially by Bussmann and colleagues [97].

On another note, physical activity measurement in groups of persons with a disability is often still questionnaire-based [92,98], while objective measurements are indeed feasible, available, and often seen as the better way to go. However, this is not necessarily true, as stressed by Kopcakocva et al. [99]. Accelerometry-based devices are becoming more and more available for activity monitoring as do smartwatch or smartphone-based technologies for health surveillance. The underlying algorithms and calculus are, however, not yet tuned to special populations and those with lower speeds, asymmetry, or other movement irregularities in gait. There is a great need for a better understanding of physical activity as an energy burner both in the use of valid and reliable subjective and objective monitoring measures. The Dutch WHEELS/D-ACT-WHEEL projects have sparked research on activity monitoring and coaching of lifestyle challenges among populations that are wheelchair users. A challenge that uses different sensor and smart technologies for monitoring and feedback.

Handbikebattle

An active lifestyle in users of handrim-wheelchairs would always be in a fragile balance to upper extremity loading. Here the handcycle is the preferred alternative mode of locomotion [100–102], being more energy-efficient and less mechanically loading for the shoulders due to the 360° fully active cycle with both flexors and extensors being involved. As a consequence higher speeds and/or distances can be travelled [103,104]. With the work of Valent et al., and handcycling became a recognized alternative fitness modality in rehabilitation practice and beyond [105–107]. In the aftermath of the Dutch multicenter Umbrella cohort study and
the ALLRISC project on restoration of mobility and health among persons with spinal cord injury, the Handbikebattle (www.handbikebattle.nl) was born in 2012. This is an annual 6-month handbike training (in 12 Dutch rehabilitation centers), which precedes the 20 km uphill handbike mountain challenge in June in the Austrian Kaunertal. The event is organized by the HandbikeBattle Foundation and the centers involved and meant for (inactive) people with a lower-limb disability, after inpatient rehabilitation [108,109]. The event is accompanied by a longitudinal cohort study that involves all participants each year and follows them in a pre-post rhythm of measurements prior to training, just before the mountain race and up to a year after the event. The measurements are focused on physical and mental capacity, and well-being outcomes [110–113]. Handcycling is assumed to benefit both the shoulder health and the cardio-metabolic condition and beyond that is fun as a sport or recreation outdoor. Attach-unit bikes provide an alternative model for commuting, but neither of them could enter shops, housing, or other public buildings [100]. The HandbikeBattle may be seen as a first stepping stone towards a model for life-long rehabilitation care for persons with a spinal cord injury, as suggested by Stuart et al. for stroke patients [114].

Health care 2030: prevention and lifestyle medicine?
All in all, assistive technologies and their daily use in the public environment may indeed reduce health risks - in the musculoskeletal system as well as in the cardiometabolic system - in those with a disability or functional limitation [115]. This is evident for individuals with mobility impairments such as lower limb impaired individuals (e.g., stroke, amputation, cerebral palsy, spinal cord injury) or those with capacity limitations (e.g., those with cardiac or lung disease, cancer). This will impact daily functioning,

Figure 7. Infographic on Physical Activity for disabled adults. Published in: UK Chief Medical Officers’ physical activity guidelines, 7 September 2019, Department of Health and Social Care; © Crown copyright 2019, this publication is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0, except where otherwise stated (nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3).
participation, and independence and quality of life [116]. Physical inactivity and sedentariness are a growing problem in a changing world of automation and mechanization. The recent "Special Eurobarometer 472" reports on sport and physical activity in all member states of the EU and signals a downward trend in most countries on "regular exercise or play sports" category and the inverse on the category "never" [86]. The Dutch guideline for a healthy active lifestyle (2017) prescribes 150 min/week (30 min/day) of moderate to vigorous physical activity (e.g., walking, cycling) in adults and older people [117]. "The more the better," and "something is better than nothing," which notions were already stressed by Haskell in 1994 and expressed in his diagram on physical activity, intensity and health benefits as indicated in Figure 8. [118]. Demographic developments drive a changing health care system with different changing medical disciplines, including rehabilitation medicine and the evolution of preventive and lifestyle medicine. Inactivity and sedentariness drive health care industry and the societal public health agenda, creating among others waves of accessible smart and sensor technologies (Supporting Health by Technology, 8th International Conference, Center for eHealth & Wellbeing Research University of Twente, UMCG, University of Groningen; Enschede, The Netherlands [119]).

Society is changing, so is health care, and with that the field of rehabilitation practice. This societal change follows trends in technological innovation for diagnostics and treatment, the demographic changes with growing numbers of elderly and fewer younger individuals being born in western societies, and the individual wish for a long and healthy life leading to consequently complex personal and political choices.

This drives the costs of healthcare and the need for cost-effective choices. With a participative society, individual responsibilities towards health increase, physical fitness and activity and other healthy lifestyle dimensions are key to health. Recently, the Dutch Health Care Board made a plea for preventive health care. The new University Medical Center of Groningen (UMCG) research mission and vision embraced – next to mechanisms of disease and innovative strategies and techniques – prevention as one of the three central themes of research. In 2018 the University of Groningen and UMCG founded the Aletta Jacobs School for Public Health (https://www.rug.nl/research/healthy-ageing/aletta-jacobs-school-of-public-health/). The "Beweegziekenhuis" ("a hospital that expresses healthy aging, active lifestyle and "exercise = medicine" in its essentials" [120]) has started to become more visible in the academic hospital organization [27] not only in research but also in patient care. Just recently at "the International Society for Physical Activity and Health Congress (ISPAH)" (15 to 17 October 2018), the new digital Moving Medicine tool was launched, intended to help healthcare professionals advise patients on how physical activity can help to manage their conditions, prevent disease and aid recovery (https://www.gov.uk/government/news/new-physical-activity-resource-for-health-professionals). "Prevention" as one of three central research themes emphasizes this evolution in a very visible way. With different experiments on pre and post-rehabilitation [8,121–125], the "Lifestyle Navigator" [126] and "Physicians Implement Exercise = medicine" (PIE = M) [127], a collaborative project between VU Medical Center in Amsterdam and UMCG to provide an understanding of the potential and role of the doctor in the implementation of exercise, active lifestyle and sports (and later lifestyle) as medicine in direct patient treatment, exercise as a recipe; this should lead to a new e-based tool that supports the doctor in deriving an individualized advice or recipe. A similar approach is seen in the United Kingdom, where the Department of Health and Social care pronounced its ambition in "Prevention is better than cure; our vision to help you live well for longer" (https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/prevention-is-better-than-cure-our-vision-to-help-you-live-well-for-longer).

Prevention is indeed the way to go in an ever-aging society and where health care costs keep growing beyond our reach. Following the worldwide movement of "exercise = medicine," the paradigm of "lifestyle = medicine" is the next step to take in health care, professional training, and medical research. This will
be even more so for rehabilitation medicine and care [128]. With those ambitions well aligned, the future could turn out to create a healthy aging population as part of a man-made “Blue Zone” in which health care, healthy living, durable living environment, and societal organization synchronize with one another (1st Healthwise Lustrum Conference Man Made Blue Zones: Healthy Ageing Together, Center for Expertise Healthwise, University of Groningen, 3 April 2018).

Rehabilitation 2030
In February 2017, the World Health Organization organized a call for action to meet the ever-increasing worldwide need for rehabilitation with a policy agenda [129–133]. This evolved into a report “Scaling-up rehabilitation as the world-wide Health Strategy of the twenty-first century” by Gutenbrunner et al. in a special issue of the Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine [134]. With an aging population and the increasing prevalence of non-communicable diseases, limitations in functioning and disability are increasing [135]. The specific team-oriented approach aimed at human functioning and the reduction of consequences of (permanent) disease or trauma can arguably benefit the general health care system and the emerging needs for people with functional limitations [135,136]. Following Gutenbrunner et al., the implementation of the strategies and character of rehabilitation medicine and care into a broader health care system would potentially generate an array of different types of health-related rehabilitation services, from acute to post-acute and long-term care services, including community-based rehabilitation service [137]. The latter development would evidently link to the development of more in-depth specializations such as for instance geriatric rehabilitation.

In short, rehabilitation medicine and practice will evidently change, potentially with more specialization and concentration, leading to fewer centers and more patients per center, with different diagnoses, having to be treated at the same or even lower costs and in less time. Just as an example serves the recent study on targeted neurotechnology in SCI that restores walking in some humans with incomplete SCI [138]. If the cure for paralysis will indeed be successful, such new technologies lead to (slightly) different patient groups with different rehabilitation treatment and training requirements. Self-management for the patient will be actively pursued, following the “Position stand Dutch Rehabilitation Medicine” in 2015 (https://revalidatiegeneeskunde.nl/sites/default/files/attachments/Beleid/position_paper_revalidatiegeneeskunde_2015.pdf) [139], but also with the currently decreasing rehabilitation time. With that, there will remain “lessons to be learned” beyond the actual process of rehabilitation, where fewer clinical rehabilitation doses or sessions (time) will boost the societal need for recently evolved “private-party” modules as the “Wheelchair skill training,” “Handbikebattle,” or “Rehabilitation is learning” [140].

Other than the integration of lifestyle or preventative medicine into the rehabilitation practice and discipline, secondary and tertiary prevention would require a form of “human and assistive technology asset management” [37], where patients (and personnel), as well as technological infrastructure, are viewed as essential assets to be taken care of in view of optimal healthy behavior and life, now and on the short and long-term and in the context of sustainability and durability. Technological innovations will play crucial roles in the evolution of rehabilitation care, diagnostics, and critical treatment with, for example, eHealth [119], smart and sensor technology [70,71], emerging gaming for health. Such technologies require careful research to understand and optimize user interactions and outcomes. In multidisciplinary collaboration with industries, research must be conducted in an early stage of development and innovation to secure rehabilitation technology with evidence-based effectiveness, to prevent too early investments in such technologies, simply because it is an appealing gadget. The future also asks for a self-aware patient, not being the consumer only, self-effective, and able to manage the complex environment of health care and the process of recovery and health maintenance. This potential must be stimulated and improved in a context driven by patients and professionals alike and employing the knowledge and theory of behavioral and (bio-)medical sciences.

To secure current and future patient-oriented rehabilitation care quality, there is a continued need for process-anchored longitudinal observational research in multicenter and multidisciplinary networks and teams. Using the best quality – evidence-based – knowledge in practice, requires academic knowledge brokers and embedded scientists that can manage the cyclic measurement process, analyze and interpret such data in a systematic coherent and accessible manner, leading to the fruitful implementation of our best understanding, as well as broadly supported policy decisions on innovations in strategy and or technology. Again, multidisciplinary collaboration is key, with the self-aware patient in a responsible role in the patient-oriented research process.

Conclusions
Multidisciplinary clinical-rehabilitation research is providing answers, while it simultaneously helps to generate new questions. It deepens our understanding of (impaired) human movement and functioning, and it stresses the importance of continued multidisciplinary (inter)national collaboration. The take-home messages of the current introduction are a plea for continued multidisciplinary research in rehabilitation, human movement, technology, and allied disciplines. This should be aimed at improved functioning and optimal individualized supportive technology, encompassing more precise notions for human and technology “asset management” at individual and organization levels. This will lead to further evolution of rehabilitation care as a model in health care in general, and ensuring a stronger embodiment of preventive and lifestyle medicine for a self-aware and responsible patient. In 2020 and beyond, it is expected that health care developments will continue to emphasize the importance of (secondary) prevention with (active) lifestyle interventions becoming a crucial part of rehabilitation practice, while rehabilitation medicine and care more and more evolve into the center of general health care [134]. In short, that is the positive message that brings together this 6th edition of RehabMove.
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