

University of Groningen

Equitisation and stock-market development

Loc, T.D.

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2006

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Loc, T. D. (2006). *Equitisation and stock-market development: the case of vietnam*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. s.n.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Equitisation and Stock-Market Development

The Case of Vietnam

Truong Dong Loc

ISBN 90-367-2668-9



RIJKSUNIVERSITEIT GRONINGEN

Equitisation and Stock-Market Development

The Case of Vietnam

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van het doctoraat in de
Economische Wetenschappen
aan de Rijksuniversiteit Groningen
op gezag van de
Rector Magnificus, dr. F. Zwarts,
in het openbaar te verdedigen op
donderdag 6 juli 2006
om 14.45 uur

door

Truong Dong Loc
geboren op 13 december 1972
te Can Tho (Vietnam)

Promotor: Prof. Dr. B.W. Lensink

Copromotor: Dr. G.J. Lanjouw

Beoordelingscommissie: Prof. Dr. L.H. Hoogduin
Prof. Dr. V. Murinde
Prof. Dr. F.M. Tempelaar

Acknowledgements

This dissertation has been completed with the great help of some organisations and many individuals. I would like to take this opportunity to acknowledge all of them. First of all, I would like to express my deep gratitude to NUFFIC and the Faculty of Economics of the University of Groningen for their financial support. Without their support the research could never have been completed.

I would like to acknowledge my supervisors, Prof. Robert Lensink and Dr. Ger Lanjouw, for their invaluable feedback and support over the last four years. Moreover, Dr. Ger Lanjouw spent much time as an English editor for this book. My special thanks go to Prof. Frans Tempelaar, member of the reading committee, for giving me very useful comments, and Prof. Lex Hoogduin and Prof. Victor Murinde, the other members of the reading committee, for reading the manuscript. I would also like to thank two anonymous referees of *The Economics of Transition* for their valuable comments on a paper which is to be published in this journal and which is included in this book as Chapter 6.

I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to those people who created the necessary conditions for my Ph.D. study. I wish to thank Mr. Nguyen Tan Nhan, Dr. Mai Van Nam, Mrs. Nguyen Thanh Nguyet, Mr. Bui Van Trinh for providing me a chance to study at the University of Groningen. I would like to acknowledge Dr. Le Khuong Ninh and Dr. Luu Thanh Duc Hai for taking care of me during the first several weeks of my new life in Groningen. I greatly appreciate the assistance from many members of the Office for International Relations, especially Madeleine Gardeur-Veltman, Gonny Lakerveld, Anita Veltmaat, Wiebe Zijlstra and Erik Haarbrink. I would like to extend my profound gratitude to all staff members of the Centre for Development Studies for their hospitality, especially to Mr. Arthur de Boer for his arrangements during my entire study period in Groningen and the assistance in the layout of this book.

I would like to thank Mrs. Vi Thi Nam Huong, Mrs. Nuyen Thi Hong, Mr. Nguyen Dinh Thong, Mr. Le Ngoc Khoi and Mr. Vuong Quoc Duy for assisting me in collecting the data. I also wish to acknowledge Mr. Nguyen Van Ngan and Mr. Le Long Hau for putting the data from the questionnaires into the computer.

My thanks also are due to Dr. Vo Thanh Danh and Dr. Vo Thi Thanh Loc for sharing useful knowledge and experiences related to statistics and econometrics. I would like to acknowledge my colleagues and friends in Groningen who made my life in Groningen easy and enjoyable. Finally, I would like to thank Mr. Le Nguyen Doan Khoi and Mr. Arthur de Boer who are my two “paranimfen”.

Last but not least, I am greatly indebted to my parents and my mother-in-law for their constant love, support and encouragement. I would like to acknowledge my wife, Nguyen Thi Ngoc Diem, and my son, Truong Hoang Phuc, for their patience when I lived away from home. Their loving support has considerably contributed to the completion of the thesis.

Contents

Acknowledgement
Contents
List of tables

Page

PART I: INTRODUCTION

Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1. Introduction	3
1.2. Aims of the study	5
1.3. Outline of the dissertation	5

PART II: THE PROCESS OF EQUITISATION IN VIETNAM

Chapter 2: An Overview of the Literature on Privatisation

2.1. Introduction	11
2.2. Definitions of privatisation	11
2.3. Methods of privatisation: pros and cons	12
2.3.1. Restitution	13
2.3.2. Sales to outsiders	13
2.3.3. Management-employee buyouts (insider privatisation)	14
2.3.4. Leasing and management contracts	15
2.3.5. Voucher privatisation (mass privatisation)	16
2.4. The efficiency of state versus private ownership: theoretical review	16
2.4.1. The social view	17
2.4.2. The agency view	17
2.5. The impact of privatisation on firm performance: a survey of the empirical literature	19
2.5.1. Empirical studies comparing pre versus post-privatisation performance	20
2.5.2. Empirical studies comparing performance of privatised firms with state-owned firms	20
2.5.3. Empirical studies examining the effect of ownership structure and corporate governance on firm performance	21
2.6. The macroeconomic effects of privatisation	22
2.7. Conclusion	33

Chapter 3: Reforms of State-Owned Enterprises and the Equitisation Process in Vietnam

3.1. Introduction	35
3.2. State-owned enterprises reform in the context of economic reforms	35
3.3. Overview of the equitisation process in Vietnam	41
3.3.1. Stages of equitisation	42
3.3.2. Role of the National Steering Committee for Enterprise Reform and Development (NSCERD) in implementing the equitisation process	44
3.3.3. The equitisation procedure of SOEs in Vietnam	47
3.3.4. Main features of the equitisation programme	48
3.4. Conclusions	52

Chapter 4: The Impact of Equitisation and Stock Market Listing on Firm Performance in Vietnam: Case studies

4.1. Introduction	53
4.2. Effect of equitisation on firm performance	54
4.2.1. Cantho Foodstuff, Fruit & Vegetable Company	54
4.2.2. Refrigeration Electrical Engineering Corporation (REE Corp.)	61
4.2.3. A comparison of performance changes following equitisation between the two cases	71
4.3. The effect of SML on firm performance: the case of REE Corp.	72
4.4. Conclusion	73
<i>Appendix 4.1: Corporate governance in Vietnamese equitised companies</i>	75

Chapter 5: An Overall Description of the Sample

5.1. Introduction	79
5.2. Description of data collection	80
5.3. A statistical description of the sample	81
5.3.1. Structures of the samples	81
5.3.2. Size of the samples	83
5.4. Some aspects of the equitisation process: results from the survey	85
5.4.1. Duration of the equitisation process	85
5.4.2. Reasons for equitisation	85
5.4.3. Main problems and constraints in equitised implementation	86
5.4.4. Labour issue of the equitised firms following equitisation	88
5.5. Ownership structure and corporate governance of the equitised firms	89
5.5.1. Ownership structure	89
5.5.2. Corporate governance	91
5.6. Conclusion	94

Chapter 6: The Impact of Equitisation on Firm Performance in Vietnam: An Empirical Study

6.1. Introduction	95
6.2. Hypotheses and methodology	96
6.3. Effect of equitisation on firm performance: Results from the pre-post comparison method	100
6.3.1. Results for the full sample	100
6.3.2. Sub-sample results	104
6.4. The sources of performance changes: Cross-sectional regression results	115
6.5. Effect of equitisation on firm performance: Results from the DID method	119
6.6. Summary and conclusions	121

PART III: THE VIETNAMESE STOCK MARKET

Chapter 7: Overview of the Vietnamese Stock Market

7.1. Introduction	127
7.2. Organisation and operation of the stock market	127
7.3. The Vietnamese stock market index (VNINDEX)	137
7.4. The performance of the Vietnamese Stock Market	138
7.5. Comparison of performance between non-listed equitised firms and listed equitised firms	141
7.6. Conclusion	142
<i>Appendix 7.1: Securities companies in Vietnam</i>	144
<i>Appendix 7.2: An illustrated example of VN-Index calculation and maintenance</i>	145
<i>Appendix 7.3: Listed companies on the STC</i>	147

Chapter 8: Testing the Weak-Form Efficiency for the Vietnamese Stock Market

8.1. Introduction	149
8.2. The Theory of Efficient Market Hypothesis	150
8.3. The Forms of EMH	152
8.4. Review of empirical literature on the Weak Form efficiency in emerging stock markets	153
8.5. Data description	160
8.6. Methodology	160
8.7. Empirical findings	166
8.7.1. Autocorrelation tests	166

8.7.2. Run tests	167
8.7.3. Variance ratio tests	173
8.8. Conclusions	174

Chapter 9: Testing Anomalies in Stock Returns for the Vietnamese Stock Market

9.1. Introduction	181
9.2. Day-of-the-week effect on stock returns and stock volatility	181
9.2.1. Empirical literature review	182
9.2.2. Data and methodology	191
9.2.3. Empirical results	193
9.3. Testing for the short-term overreaction hypothesis	195
9.3.1. Literature review	197
9.3.2. Data and methodology	199
9.3.3. Empirical results	201
9.4. Conclusions	203

PART IV: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Chapter 10: Conclusions and Recommendations for Further Research

10.1. Introduction	207
10.2. Conclusions	207
10.2.1. The process of equitisation in Vietnam	207
10.2.2. The development of stock market in Vietnam	209
10.3. Limitations of the dissertation and suggestions for further research	210
References	213
Questionnaires	223
Samenvatting	237

List of Tables

	Page
Table 2.1: Summary of empirical studies comparing pre versus post-privatisation performance of privatised firms	23
Table 2.2: Summarised results from five empirical studies of the financial and operating performance of newly privatised firms	27
Table 2.3: Summary of empirical studies comparing performance of privatised firms to state-owned enterprises	30
Table 2.4: Summary of empirical studies examining the effect of ownership structure and corporate governance on the privatised firm performance	31
Table 3.1: Some economic performance indicators of Vietnam, 1985-2003	40
Table 3.2: Shares of the state-owned and non-state sectors in GDP, 1989-2003	41
Table 3.3: Capital and ownership structure of the first five equitised firms in the pilot period	43
Table 3.4: Ownership structure of privatised firms in Vietnam (2004) and other transition countries	44
Table 3.5: Number of SOEs to be annually equitised for the 1993-2004 period	45
Table 4.1: Performance of Cantho Foodstuff, Fruit & Vegetable Company	55
Table 4.2: Ownership structure of Cantho Foodstuff, Fruit & Vegetable Company	56
Table 4.3: The pre and post equitisation performance of Cantho Foodstuff, Fruit & Vegetable Company	60
Table 4.4: REE performance for the period of 1991-2003	63
Table 4.5: Ownership structure of REE	64
Table 4.6: List of members of the Board of directors	65
Table 4.7: Members of the Board of supervisors	66
Table 4.8: Pre and post-equitisation performance of REE	69
Table 4.9: Comparison of performance changes following equitisation between two cases	74
Table 4.10: The pre and post-IPO performance of REE	75
Table 5.1: Firms classification by categories, sectors, and locations	82
Table 5.2: Sample structure of the surveyed SOEs by sectors and	83

locations	
Table 5.3: Charter capital of the surveyed firms	84
Table 5.4: Distribution of the sample of the surveyed firms by charter capital	84
Table 5.5: Duration of the equitisation process of the sample	85
Table 5.6: The ranking point of the reasons of equitisation	86
Table 5.7: The main constraints and problems in the equitisation process	87
Table 5.8: Pre and post-equitisation number of employees	88
Table 5.9: Ownership structure of equitised at the first shares issue	89
Table 5.10: The sample structure by the State's share	90
Table 5.11: New ownership structure of the firms	90
Table: 5.12: The composition of the board of directors	92
Table 5.13: Distribution of chairperson of the directors board by different groups of shareholders	92
Table 5.14: The composition of the board of supervisors	93
Table 5.15: Chairperson of the board of supervisors by different groups of shareholders	93
Table 5.16: Distribution of manager of surveyed firms by different groups of shareholders	94
Table 6.1: Performance measures: definitions and expected changes	98
Table 6.2: Summary of results from tests of predictions for the full sample of all equitised firms	103
Table 6.3: Comparison of post-equitisation performance changes for larger and smaller firms	106
Table 6.4: Comparison of performance changes following equitisation for trade and services firms and manufacturing firms	107
Table 6.5: Comparison of performance changes following equitisation for firms with residual state ownership less than 30 percent and the other firms	108
Table 6.6: Comparison of performance changes following equitisation for FCBDRS and FCBDPR	111
Table 6.7: Comparison of performance changes following equitisation for listed firms and non-listed firms	112
Table 6.8: Comparison of performance changes following equitisation for firms located in Ho Chi Minh City (HCMC) and others firms	113
Table 6.9: Comparison of performance changes following equitisation for firms equitised before 1999 and other firms	114
Table 6.10: Definitions of explanatory variables used and expected sign in regression analyses	116
Table 6.11: Cross-sectional regression results	120
Table 6.12: Summary of results from the DID test for the group of SOEs	123

equitised in the year of 2000	
Table 6.13: Summary of results from the DID test for the group of SOEs equitised in the year of 2001	124
Table 7.1: Illustrated information of buying and selling orders of stock A	135
Table 7.2: Selling orders at the price of VND 20,300	135
Table 7.3: Key indicators for the STC over the period of 2000-2005	139
Table 7.4: Comparison of performance between non-listed equitised firms and listed equitised firms for the period of 2002-2003	142
Table: 7.5: Trading information of the first some sessions on the STC	145
Table 8.1: Summary of empirical studies on the Weak Form efficiency in emerging stock markets	156
Table 8.2: Descriptive statistics for the VNINDEX and the individual stocks returns	161
Table 8.3: Results of autocorrelation tests for the daily observed returns data	168
Table 8.4: Results of autocorrelation tests for the daily corrected returns data	169
Table 8.5: Results of autocorrelation tests for the weekly observed returns data	170
Table 8.6: Results of autocorrelation tests for the weekly corrected returns data	171
Table 8.7: Results of the runs test for VNINDEX and selected individual stocks	172
Table 8.8: Variance ratio test results for the daily observed return data	176
Table 8.9: Variance ratio test results for the weekly observed return data	177
Table 8.10: Variance ratio test results for the daily corrected return data	178
Table 8.11: Variance ratio test results for the weekly corrected return data	179
Table 9.1: Summary of empirical studies on the day-of-the-week effect in developed stock markets	185
Table 9.2: Summary of empirical studies on the day-of-the-week effect in emerging stock markets	189
Table 9.3: Summary statistics on stock returns by day of the week	192
Table 9.4: Specifications of six employed models	194
Table 9.5: Day-of-the-week effect on the VNINDEX returns and volatility	196
Table 9.6: Number of stocks listed on the market over the time	200
Table 9.7: Differences in the mean abnormal returns between winner and loser portfolios following the formation of the portfolios	202
Table 9.8: The difference in mean cumulative abnormal returns between the winner and loser portfolios	203

List of Figures

	Page
Figure 3.1: Organisation of the NSCERD	46
Figure 4.1: REE's ownership structure in 1993	64
Figure 4.2: REE's ownership structure in 1999	64
Figure 4.3: REE's ownership structure in 2002	65
Figure 4.4: Organizational structure of REE	67
Figure 7.1: Market capitalisation of the STC for the period from July 28 th , 2000 to December 31 st , 2005	140
Figure 7.2: VNINDEX changes over the period from July 28 th , 2000 to December 31 st , 2005	141

