

University of Groningen

## How Context and the Perception of Peers' Behaviors Shape Relationships in Adolescence

Palacios, Diego

DOI:  
[10.33612/diss.130206281](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.130206281)

**IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.**

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*  
2020

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Palacios, D. (2020). *How Context and the Perception of Peers' Behaviors Shape Relationships in Adolescence: A Multiplex Social Network Perspective*. University of Groningen.  
<https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.130206281>

### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

### Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

*Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.*

# **How Context and the Perception of Peers' Behaviors Shape Relationships in Adolescence**

A Multiplex Social Network Perspective

**Diego Palacios**

**ISBN (print)** 978-94-034-2837-6

**ISBN (digital)** 978-94-034-2838-3

© **Diego Palacios**

**Printed by** Ridderprint | [www.ridderprint.nl](http://www.ridderprint.nl)

**Funding** This work is financed by the Comisión Nacional de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica (CONICYT PFCHA/DOCTORADO BECAS CHILE/2016 – 72170104).



university of  
 groningen

# How Context and the Perception of Peers' Behaviors Shape Relationships in Adolescence

A Multiplex Social Network Perspective

**PhD thesis**

to obtain the degree of PhD at the  
 University of Groningen  
 on the authority of the  
 Rector Magnificus Prof. C. Wijmenga  
 and in accordance with  
 the decision by the College of Deans.

This thesis will be defended in public on

Monday 24 August 2020 at 12.45 hours

by

**Diego Francisco Palacios Farías**

born on 16 September 1986  
 in Nunoa, Chili

**Supervisor**

Prof. R. Veenstra

**Co-supervisors**

Dr. J.K. Dijkstra

Dr. C. Berger

Dr. J.M.E. Huisman

**Assessment Committee**

Prof. T.A.B. Snijders

Prof. A.H.N. Cillessen

Prof. H.G. Van De Werfhorst

# Table of Contents

<b>1. Introduction</b>	9
1.1 Adolescents' peer relations in schools	10
1.2 A social network perspective for examining peer relationships	11
1.3 Chilean educational system	11
1.4 Overview of the Dissertation	12
1.4.1 Classroom Ability Composition and Network Dynamics	12
1.4.2 Adolescents' Preferred Studying Partners	12
1.4.3 Dyadic versus Reputational Perceptions	13
1.4.4 The Role of Context in the Perception of Peers' Behavior and Peer Relationships	13
1.5 Datasets	13
1.5.1 Ability Grouping Project data	13
1.5.2 ProCiviCo data	14
1.5.3 Chilean peer relationships data	14
<b>2. Classroom Ability Composition and the Role of Academic Performance and School Misconduct in the Formation of Academic and Friendship Networks</b>	19
2.1 Introduction	20
2.1.1 Ability grouping generating distinctive educational environments	20
2.1.2 Effects of ability grouping on school settings	21
2.1.3 Academic and friendship networks in school settings	22
2.1.4 Present study	22
2.2 Method	24
2.2.1 Sample selection	24
2.2.2 Missing data and classrooms' composition change	26
2.2.3 Measures	26
2.2.4 Procedure	27
2.2.5 Analytical Strategy	27
2.2.6 Model specification	28
2.3 Results	29
2.3.1 Descriptive analysis	29
2.3.2 Longitudinal social networks analysis	30
2.4 Discussion	34
2.4.1 Limitations and future directions	35
2.A Appendix: Schools information	36
2.B Appendix: Sample Information	37
2.C Appendix: Non-selected classroom networks	38
2.D Appendix: Distribution difference among the three types of classrooms	39
2.E Appendix: Goodness of fit	40
<b>3. Examining the Role of Academic Performance, Prosocial Behavior, and Friendships on Adolescents' Preferred Studying Partners</b>	43
3.1 Introduction	44

3.1.1	Characteristics of preferred study partners	44
3.1.2	High achieving peers' choices in preferred study partners	45
3.1.3	Present study	46
3.2	Method	46
3.2.1	Sample	46
3.2.2	Procedure	47
3.2.3	Participants	47
3.2.4	Measures	47
3.2.5	Analytical strategy	48
3.2.6	Model specification and effect interpretation	48
3.3	Results	49
3.3.1	Descriptive analysis	49
3.3.2	Longitudinal social network analyses	50
3.4	Discussion	52
3.4.1	Limitations and future directions	53
3.5	Conclusion	53
3.A	Appendix: RSiena results comparing control and intervention classes	55
3.B	Appendix: Goodness of fit	56
<b>4.</b>	<b>Disentangling Dyadic and Reputational Perceptions of Prosociality, Aggression, and Popularity in Explaining Friendship Networks in Early Adolescents</b>	<b>59</b>
4.1	Introduction	60
4.1.1	Goal-framing perspective on friendship selection	60
4.1.2	Prosociality, aggression, friendships, and the dyadic perception	62
4.1.3	Popularity, friendships, and the reputational perception	62
4.2	Method	62
4.2.1	Sample	62
4.2.2	Procedure	63
4.2.3	Measures	63
4.2.4	Analytical strategy	65
4.2.5	Model specification	65
4.3	Results	66
4.3.1	Descriptive analysis	66
4.3.2	Longitudinal social network analysis	66
4.4	Discussion	68
4.4.1	Limitations and future directions	69
4.5	Conclusion	69
<b>5.</b>	<b>The Interplay of Adolescents' Aggression and Victimization with Friendship and Antipathy Networks within an Educational Prosocial Intervention</b>	<b>71</b>
5.1	Introduction	72
5.1.1	Aggression, friendships and antipathies	72
5.1.2	Victimization, friendships and antipathies	73
5.1.3	Peer relationships within educational contexts	73
5.1.4	The effect of prosocial behavior and sex	74

5.1.5 Present study	74
5.2 Method	75
5.2.1 Sample	75
5.2.2 Procedure	76
5.2.3 Measures	76
5.2.4 Analytical strategy	77
5.2.5 Model selection procedure	78
5.2.6 Model specification	78
5.3 Results	79
5.3.1 Descriptive analysis	79
5.3.2 Longitudinal social network analysis	80
5.4 Discussion	84
5.4.1 Limitations and future directions	85
5.5 Conclusion	85
5.A1 Appendix: Classroom network information	87
5.A2 Appendix: Covariates information	88
5.B1 Appendix: Missing data and composition change	89
5.B2 Appendix: Meta-analytic procedure	90
5.B3 Appendix: Model specification	91
5.B4 Appendix: Time heterogeneity tests	92
5.B5 Appendix: Goodness of fit	93
5.B6 Appendix: Alternate models	94
<b>6. Conclusion and Discussion</b>	97
6.1 Summary and discussion of the findings	98
6.2 Main Findings	98
6.3 Discussion	99
6.3.1 The interdependence of peer relationships	99
6.3.2 The peer context	100
6.3.3 The perception of peers' behavior	101
6.4 Limitations and directions for future research	102
6.4.1 Outside school relationships	102
6.4.2 Quality of relationships	102
6.4.3 The role of students' goals	102
6.4.4. Missing Network Data	103
6.4.5 The role of the social and cultural context: Chilean schools	103
6.5 Concluding remarks	104
<b>Samenvatting</b>	107
<b>References</b>	113
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	135
<b>About the author</b>	139
<b>ICS Dissertation Series</b>	143