

University of Groningen

Death after lung transplantation

Erasmus, Michiel E.; van der Bij, Wim

Published in:
Transplant International

DOI:
[10.1111/tri.13553](https://doi.org/10.1111/tri.13553)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2020

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Erasmus, M. E., & van der Bij, W. (2020). Death after lung transplantation: improving long term survival despite perilous early postoperative years. *Transplant International*, 33(2), 128-129.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/tri.13553>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

INVITED COMMENTARY

Death after lung transplantation: improving long term survival despite perilous early postoperative years

Michiel E. Erasmus¹  & Wim van der Bij²

¹ Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

² Department of Pulmonary Diseases and Lung Transplantation, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

Transplant International 2020; 33: 128–129

Received: 31 October 2019; Accepted: 6 November 2019

Correspondence

Michiel E. Erasmus, Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, University Medical Center Groningen, Hanzeplein 1, Groningen 9700RB, The Netherlands.
Tel.: +31503613238;
e-mails: m.e.erasmus@umcg.nl and m.e.erasmus@thorax.umcg.nl

Many centers showed that since they started a lung transplantation program, overall survival improved every 5- or 10-year cohort. This is also seen in combined international data [1]. Many changes in donor selection, organ preservation, and perioperative management improved short-term survival despite the usage of extended criteria donors. In parallel, also long-term survival improved, likely because of gained experience and better anticipation on developing diseases after transplantation. A current relative standstill in the arsenal of medication directed on the prevention of (chronic) transplant dysfunction hampers further survival improvement. Lung transplant patients still have a shorter life expectancy than normal, especially caused by side effects of immunosuppression and our inability to stop chronic deterioration of the graft. Malignancies are an emerging cause of death besides the still persistent chronic lung allograft dysfunction (CLAD).

This, till now inevitable, downside of the success of lung transplantation is well described in the paper of Raskin *et al.* [2] in this issue. This paper focused on how death cause and death burden changed over the years in a program with improving results. Intriguing is that the patients that do die still die after a median period of 3 years, across all primary diseases. This suggests that their death is not prevented by current anti-rejection and infection protocols that have hardly changed over the years in lung transplantation.

This paper suggests room for improvement and polishing of treatment protocols, preferentially in the first postoperative years. Moreover, by virtue of its descriptive nature, the paper inevitably raises a number of questions to causes and variables that lead to mortality in this patient group. The influence of recipient age and type of immunosuppression is mentioned in the discussion, but not extensively

described. However, in a number of single-center studies an evident relation between type of immunosuppressive drugs and long-term outcomes such as survival, renal function, and skin cancer, both in maintenance setting [3,4] or after conversion of drugs [4], has been described.

For this reason, the current paper justifies further study in European context, involving medium-to-large volume centers with state-of-the-art long-term results. Focus of interest may be:

- Incidence and type of cancer in relation to type and, particularly, target levels of immunosuppression
- Risk of lung cancer in relation to bilateral vs. unilateral lung transplantation
- Cardiovascular risk in relation to recipient age and pretransplant vascular condition
- The influence of primary disease on the mode of death. Especially, the high number of deaths by infec-

tion after transplantation for fibrosis needs brother analysis.

Raskin *et al.* [2] show that the balance in protection and harm by the current used protocols in their program is not optimal as it is in all programs. The deviation of the survival curves of the last two 5-year cohorts in their study might indicate that this improvement has started. For real improvement, focus of research on protocols and new drugs should be aimed at preventing CLAD with less side effects.

Funding

The authors have declared no funding.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Chambers DC, Cherikh WS, Goldfarb SB, *et al.* The international thoracic organ transplant registry of the international society for heart and lung transplantation: thirty-fifth adult lung and heart-lung transplant report-2018; focus theme: multiorgan transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2018; **37**: 1169.
2. Raskin J, Vanstapel A, Verbeken EK, *et al.* Mortality after lung transplantation: a single-center cohort analysis. *Transpl Int* 2020; **33**: 130.
3. Benazzo A, Schwarz S, Muckenhuber M, *et al.* Alemtuzumab induction combined with reduced maintenance immunosuppression is associated with improved outcomes after lung transplantation: a single centre experience. *PLoS One* 2019; **14**: e0210443.
4. Vos M, Plasmeijer EI, van Bommel BC, *et al.* Azathioprine to mycophenolate mofetil transition and risk of squamous cell carcinoma after lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2018; **37**: 853.