

University of Groningen

Data is Power

van de Waerdt, Peter

DOI:

[10.33612/diss.1135241342](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.1135241342)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2024

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

van de Waerdt, P. (2024). *Data is Power: on the fundamental connections between data protection law and competition law as applied to the digital market*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen. <https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.1135241342>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Data is Power

**On the fundamental connections between
data protection law and competition law
as applied to the digital market**

Peter J. van de Waerdt

Colofon

Cover concept and design: Peter van de Waerd en Thom Rietberg

Cover illustration: © Ferenc Erdök

Printing & Layout: Ridderprint BV, <www.ridderprint.nl>



university of
 groningen

Data is Power

On the fundamental connections between data protection law and
 competition law as applied to the digital market

PhD thesis

to obtain the degree of PhD of the
 University of Groningen
 on the authority of the
 Rector Magnificus Prof. J.M.A. Scherpen
 and in accordance with
 the decision by the College of Deans.

This thesis will be defended in public on

Thursday 21 November 2024 at 16:15 hours

by

Peter Jan van de Waerd

born on 12 April 1991
 in Nijkerk

Supervisor(s)

Prof. G.P. Mifsud Bonnici

Prof. H.H.B. Vedder

Assessment Committee

Prof. J.A. Cannataci

Prof. G. Monti

Prof. N.N. Purtova

*Opgedragen aan Eddy van de Waerdt;
Voor altijd in ons hart.*

List of abbreviations

Bka	Bundeskartellamt
CMA	Competition and Markets Authority
DDC	Data-driven company
DMA	Digital Markets Act
ECJ	European Court of Justice
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
EDPB	European Data Protection Board
EDPS	European Data Protection Supervisor
EU	European Union
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulation
GWB	Gesetz gegen Wettbewerbsbeschränkungen
TFEU	Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union

Table of contents

List of abbreviations	6
Table of contents	7
Chapter 1: Introduction	13
1.1 Research Question	19
1.2 Methodology	21
1.2.1 Effectiveness	22
1.2.2 Methodology by chapter	24
1.3 Legal and theoretical framework	26
1.3.1 Key terms	26
“The digital market”	26
“Multi-sided Platform Market”, “end users”, and “business users”	26
“Data-driven” and “Data Ecosystem”	27
“Network effects” or “Network externalities”	28
“Gatekeeper”	28
1.3.2 Key legislation	29
Data protection law:	29
General Data Protection Regulation	29
Competition law:	30
Art. 102 Treaty on the Function of the European Union	30
Merger Regulation	31
Digital Markets Act	32
Council Regulation 1/2003	34
Gesetz gegen Wettbewerbsbeschränkungen (German national law)	34
Case law and Commission Decisions	34
1.4 Delimitation	35
1.5 Structure	36

Chapter 2: Information asymmetries: recognizing the limits of the GDPR on the data-driven market	43
2.1 Introduction	45
2.2 How and why information asymmetries arise	47
2.2.1 Personal data collection	48
2.2.2 Personal data analysis	53
2.3 GDPR approach to information asymmetries	57
2.3.1 Information rights and obligations	57
2.3.2 Profiling and automated decision-making	62
2.3.3 Consent and purpose	66
2.4 The limits of transparency in combating information asymmetries on the data-driven market	69
2.4.1 Transparency on the data-driven market: a different beast	70
2.4.2 The explainability of behavioural targeting algorithms	74
2.4.3 Transparency and bias	77
2.5 Conclusion	81
Chapter 3: “Everything the Data Touches is our Kingdom”: Reassessing the Market Power of ‘Data Ecosystems’	85
3.1 Introduction	87
3.2 “Data ecosystems” – a new phenomenon	89
3.2.1 The hub and spokes; interconnected branches with a centralized purpose	90
3.2.2 The online reach of the ecosystem	94
3.3 Market power of data ecosystems	96
3.3.1 Personal data and ‘network effects+’	96
3.3.1 Ecosystem reach and lock-in	100
3.3.1.1 Lock-in	100
3.3.1.2 Information asymmetry	103
3.3.2 Barriers to entry	105
3.3.3 Ecosystem Expansion	107
3.3.4 Interim conclusion: a ‘cross-market’ kingdom of market power	110

3.4	The data ecosystems perspective in EU competition law	111
3.4.1	“Non-horizontal mergers”	112
3.4.2	Foreclosure	114
3.4.3	Multi-homing	117
3.5	Conclusion	119
Chapter 4: From Monocle to Spectacles: Competition for data and “Data Ecosystem Building”		123
4.1	Introduction	125
4.2	Competition for data – A problem out-of-focus	127
4.3	The monocle: Foreclosing competition on the market	132
4.3.1	Foreclosure	133
4.3.2	Market definition and dominance	136
4.3.3	Competitive analysis	139
4.3.4	The role of data on the digital market	142
4.3.5	Interim conclusion	145
4.4	The spectacles: Competition for data and Data Ecosystem Building	145
4.4.1	Data protection as a factor of competitive analysis: exploitative abuse	147
4.4.2	Data ecosystem building as a theory of harm	152
4.5	Conclusion: From Monocle to Spectacles	157
Chapter 5: Meta v. Bundeskartellamt: Something old, something new		161
5.1	Introduction	163
5.2	From German Competition Decision to EU Judgement	164
5.2.1	Bundeskartellamt Decision B6-22/16 of 6 February 2019	164
5.2.1.1	Market Definition and Dominance	164
5.2.1.2	GDPR Violation as Abusive Conduct	165
5.2.2	Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 4 July 2023	171
5.2.2.1	Sincere cooperation between data protection and competition	172
5.2.2.2	Art. 9 GDPR	174
5.2.2.3	Art. 6 GDPR	175

5.3	Comment	177
5.3.1	Something New: Relations between competition law and data protection	177
5.3.2	Something Borrowed: Meta v. Bundeskartellamt and the European Commission	183
5.3.3	Something Old: Art. 6 and 9 GDPR	188
5.4	Concluding remarks	192
Chapter 6: Reinforcing data protection and competition through art. 6(2) of the Digital Markets Act		195
6.1	Introduction	198
6.2	Legal-theoretical bases of the DMA	200
6.2.1	The roots of the Digital Market Act	200
6.2.2	The roots of the Digital Markets Act and data protection	206
6.2.3	Coherence of EU law	209
6.2.4	Digital market Theories of Harm	211
6.3	Shortcomings of art. 5(2) Digital Markets Act	214
6.3.1	Art. 5(2) DMA and consent	215
6.3.2	Art. 5(2)'s added value in light of Meta v. Bundeskartellamt	218
6.4	Commission implementing acts: Aligning art. 6(2) to art. 5(2)	224
6.4.1	“Use, in competition with business users”	226
6.4.2	“Data inferred from, or collected through, commercial activities of business users or their customers”	231
6.4.3	“On the relevant core platform services or on services provided together with, or in support of, the relevant core platform services of the gatekeeper”	234
6.4.4	Adapting art. 6(2) DMA to the goals of competition and data protection	236
6.5	Conclusion	239

Chapter 7: Conclusion	243
Further research	258
In short	260
Bibliography	262
Nederlandse Samenvatting	294
Acknowledgements / Dankwoord	304