

University of Groningen

Essays in Comparative International Entrepreneurship Research

Kleinhempel, Johannes

DOI:
[10.33612/diss.111582628](https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.111582628)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2020

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Kleinhempel, J. (2020). *Essays in Comparative International Entrepreneurship Research*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen, SOM research school. <https://doi.org/10.33612/diss.111582628>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Essays in Comparative International Entrepreneurship Research

Johannes Kleinhempel

Publisher: University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

Printer: Ipskamp Printing, P.O. Box 333, 7500 AH Enschede, The Netherlands

ISBN: 978-94-034-2279-4 (Paperback) / 978-94-034-2280-0 (eBook)

© 2019 **Johannes Kleinhempel**

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system of any nature, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, now known or hereafter invented, included photocopying or recording, without prior written permission of the publisher.



university of
 groningen

Essays in Comparative International Entrepreneurship Research

PhD thesis

to obtain the degree of PhD at the
 University of Groningen
 on the authority of the
 Rector Magnificus Prof. C. Wijmenga
 and in accordance with
 the decision by the College of Deans.

This thesis will be defended in public on

Thursday 9 January 2020 at 16.15 hours

by

Johannes Kleinhempel

born on 20 July 1990
 in Chemnitz, Germany

Supervisor

Prof. S. Beugelsdijk

Co-supervisor

Dr. M.J. Klasing

Assessment Committee

Prof. S. Estrin

Prof. J.H. Garretsen

Prof. U. Stephan

Acknowledgements

I am immensely grateful to the many people who have contributed to this thesis and the experience of writing it. To them, I would like to devote these first pages. First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisors Sjoerd Beugelsdijk and Mariko Klasing. Sjoerd, thank you for your expert guidance, your constant support in all matters, academic and otherwise, and your contagious enthusiasm that has been a steady source of inspiration and motivation (and eased the process of “killing my darlings” in the manuscript). It has been a privilege to be your student. Mariko, thank you for sharing your deep knowledge and your keen eye for detail. Your persistent efforts have elevated this thesis and made me grow. It has been a pleasure to learn from both of you in these past three years; this thesis would not have come into existence without your insights and backing.

I am very grateful to Saul Estrin for intellectual guidance and many stimulating discussions. Your critical yet always constructive feedback, your continued support and encouragement, and the numerous learning opportunities you have awarded me have been –and continue to be– of invaluable importance.

I would like to express my gratitude to the committee members: Saul Estrin, Harry Garretsen, and Ute Stephan. I highly appreciate your time and willingness to evaluate this thesis as well as your suggestions and guidance for the future. Thank you for your career support in the last stages of the PhD, Harry, and thank you for the engaging and thought-provoking conversations, Ute.

The collaborative and stimulating environment at the University of Groningen has been a fantastic place to learn. In particular, I would like to thank Viola Angelini, Arjan Fredericks, Samuele Murtinu, Robbert Maseland, Milena Nikolova, Florian Noseleit, Andreas Rauch, Christopher Schlägel, and Michael Wyrwich for interesting conversations, helpful feedback, and practical input. You have not only strengthened this thesis substantially but also made the process of writing it more fun. I am also grateful for the administrative support of Arthur, Ellen, Kristian, and Rina and the practical backing of the GEMMIES Astrid, Herma, Jenny, and Sylvia.

Writing this PhD would not have been the same without the fantastic group of friends with whom I shared this experience. Juliette, we have been together in this journey for the last five years, since ‘day zero’ of the Research Master, and I am grateful for your friendship. Your kindness, empathy, and enduring support have been invaluable and I have learned a lot from you. While I will always miss having you in the same office, I am looking forward to many years ahead. I would like to express my gratitude to Maite, for being quintessentially a cool person, for caring so deeply about others, and for bringing ‘Team GEM’ closer together; to Tobi for many conversations, light-hearted and serious, and for generally being a rockstar; to Daan for your uncanny humour and Stata wisdom; to Nick

for being both a volleyball and econometrics hero; to Jos for brightening any conversation; to Stefan, Ferdinand, and Nikos for sharing rather particular music tastes and being awesome in general; and to team sports: I am both saddened and relieved –as I am sure you are– that I won't be seeing the volleyball field anytime soon. Thank you, Christian, Daniel, Duc, Fred, Gianmaria, Joeri, Mart, Romina, Sarah, Timon, Vincent, the 9th floor, and the GEM PhDs: It has been as much of a pleasure to be able to 'talk shop' with you as it has been to do exactly the opposite. I also want to thank Marianna for having been the best office mate one could have wished for.

I would like to extend my heartfelt gratitude to my family and friends:

To my friends, you know who you are and how important you are to me. I am grateful for your friendship and I am looking forward to sharing scrambled eggs, curry-potatoes, proper Amatriciana, and Reudnitz' finest with you.

To my family, for there is no place like home because of you. Ich bin euch unendlich dankbar für eure –wortwörtlich– grenzenlose Unterstützung. Meinen Eltern Jan und Anett, meiner Lieblingsschwester Isa, und meinen Großeltern Horst und Uschi und Siegfried und Erika ist diese Arbeit gewidmet.

Last but not least, to Laura, for sharing all the highs and lows, not just during this academic journey, but –more importantly– in life. Your positivity, calmness, and dangerously witty humour brighten any day and I am looking forward to the future with you.

Table of contents

Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1. Introduction	2
1.2. Entrepreneurship	7
1.3. Comparative international entrepreneurship research	10
1.4. Overview of this thesis and reading guide	12
Chapter 2 The changing role of social capital during the venture creation process: A multi-level study	21
2.1. Introduction	23
2.2. Theory and hypotheses	28
2.2.1. The venture creation process	28
2.2.2. Social capital at the regional level	31
2.2.3. Regional social capital and the venture creation process	34
2.3. Data and methodology	38
2.3.1. Empirical strategy	38
2.3.2. Sample	39
2.3.3. Dependent variables	40
2.3.4. Independent variables	42
2.3.5. Control variables	45
2.3.6. Methodology	47
2.4. Results	48
2.4.1. Intra-class correlation coefficients	48
2.4.2. Estimation results	52
2.4.3. Robustness checks	56
2.5. Discussion	61
2.5.1. Contributions	62
2.5.2. Limitations	64
2.5.3. Implications	65
2.6. Conclusion	66
Chapter 3 Cultural roots of entrepreneurship: Evidence from second-generation immigrants	69
3.1. Introduction	71
3.2. Theory and hypotheses	75
3.2.1. Entrepreneurship and entrepreneurial culture	75
3.2.2. Identifying the impact of culture on entrepreneurship	78
3.3. Data and methodology	83
3.3.1. Empirical strategy	83
3.3.2. Sample and dependent variables	84
3.3.3. Alternative database: Second-generation Europeans	87
3.3.4. Independent variables	88

II

3.3.5.	Control variables	89
3.3.6.	Methodology	92
3.4.	Results	96
3.4.1.	Differences in entrepreneurship propensities across ancestral groups	98
3.4.2.	The positive effect of revealed entrepreneurial culture	98
3.4.3.	The positive effect of revealed entrepreneurial culture observed in second-generation immigrants in a different context	102
3.5.	Extensions and robustness checks	104
3.5.1.	Different forms of entrepreneurship	104
3.5.2.	Accounting for alternative explanations	106
3.6.	Discussion	113
3.6.1.	Contributions	113
3.6.2.	Limitations and future research	114
3.7.	Conclusion	116
Chapter 4 How does culture influence entrepreneurship? Evidence from the aggregate traits perspective		119
4.1.	Introduction	121
4.2.	Theory and hypotheses	125
4.2.1.	Theories of culture and entrepreneurship	125
4.2.2.	Identifying the impact of culture on entrepreneurship from the aggregate traits perspective	131
4.2.3.	Aggregate entrepreneurial traits and entrepreneurship	133
4.3.	Data and methodology	136
4.3.1.	Empirical strategy	136
4.3.2.	Sample and dependent variable	136
4.3.3.	Predictor variables at the country-of-ancestry level: Aggregate entrepreneurial traits	138
4.3.4.	Control variables	141
4.3.5.	Methodology	143
4.4.	Results	144
4.4.1.	Main findings	149
4.4.2.	Accounting for alternative perspectives – Does our approach isolate the aggregate traits perspective?	152
4.4.3.	Robustness checks	155
4.4.4.	Extensions	156
4.5.	Discussion and conclusion	160
Supplementary results appendices		167
Supplementary results Chapter 2		168
Supplementary results Chapter 3		171
Alternative indicators of country-of-ancestry entrepreneurship		175
Alternative samples		178
Additional control variables		179
Additional control variables not available for the American sample		180

Supplementary results Chapter 4	183
Does our cultural argument hold for different types of self-employment?	184
Are the results driven by non-cultural effects?	186
Further empirical robustness checks	191
Data appendices	197
Appendices Chapter 3	198
Further information on the American sample	198
Further information on the European sample	200
Further information on additional variables	202
Appendices Chapter 4	205
Derivation of the independent variables based on WVS data	205
Deriving the measure of socially supportive culture	208
Further information on the GSS sample	209
References	213
English summary	241
Nederlandse samenvatting	249

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Summary statistics	49
Table 2.2: Individual-level correlation table	50
Table 2.3: Regional-level correlation table	50
Table 2.4 Intra-class correlation coefficients	51
Table 2.5: Multi-level logistic regression on the likelihood of transitioning through the venture creation process	54
Table 2.6: Multi-level logistic regression on the likelihood of transitioning through the venture creation process for different kinds of social capital	55
Table 2.7: Robustness analyses	59
Table 3.1: Descriptive statistics and definitions of variables	95
Table 3.2: Individual-level correlation table	97
Table 3.3: Aggregate-level correlation table	97
Table 3.4: Multi-level logistic regressions on second-generation immigrants' individual-level entrepreneurship status	101
Table 3.5: Multi-level logistic regressions – Alternative measure of entrepreneurial culture	103
Table 3.6: Multi-level logistic regressions – Alternative types of entrepreneurship	105
Table 3.7: Multi-level logistic regressions – Assessing robustness to alternative explanations	108
Table 3.8: Multi-level logistic regressions – Falsification check	112
Table 4.1: Theoretical channels through which culture influences entrepreneurship	127
Table 4.2: Descriptive statistics and definitions of variables	145
Table 4.3: Sample break down and country-of-ancestry scores for aggregate entrepreneurial traits	147
Table 4.4: Individual-level correlation table	148
Table 4.5: Aggregate-level correlation table	148
Table 4.6: Multi-level logistic regressions on second-generation immigrants' individual-level entrepreneurship status – Aggregate entrepreneurial traits	150
Table 4.7: Multi-level logistic regressions – Assessing the robustness to alternative perspectives on culture and entrepreneurship	154
Table 4.8: Multi-level logistic regressions – Extensions	157

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Self-employment rates across countries	3
Figure 1.2: Persistent differences in self-employment rates across countries	3
Figure 1.3: Within- and across-country variation in current self-employment rates in Europe	4
Figure 1.4: Persistent differences in self-employment rates across European sub-national regions	5
Figure 1.5: Differences in current self-employment rates across immigrant groups	6
Figure 1.6: Persistent differences in self-employment rates across immigrant groups	6
Figure 2.1: The venture creation process	38
Figure 2.2: Modeling the transitions of the venture creation process	42
Figure 2.3: Regional social capital in the European regions	43
Figure 3.1: Conceptual challenges in studying the macro-level determinants of entrepreneurship	79
Figure 3.2: Empirical approach of alternative identification strategy	94
Figure 3.3: Estimated country-of-ancestry effects (marginal effects)	99
Figure 4.1: Aggregate entrepreneurial traits across countries	146

List of Appendices

Supplementary Results Table R1: Sequential logit model – Likelihood of transitioning through the venture creation process	168
Supplementary Results Table R2: Sequential logit model – Connected and isolated regional social capital	169
Supplementary Results Table R3: Sequential logit model – Robustness checks	170
Supplementary Results Table R4: Variance partition coefficients / intra-class correlation coefficients	171
Supplementary Results Table R5: Country fixed effects	172
Supplementary Results Table R6: Alternative random term structure to account for nesting	174
Supplementary Results Table R7: Robustness checks on baseline	176
Supplementary Results Table R8: Variance partition coefficients / intra-class correlation coefficients	183
Supplementary Results Table R9: Different types of entrepreneurship: Incorporated and unincorporated self-employment	185
Supplementary Results Table R10: Assessing the robustness to non-cultural effects and ancestral-group level (dis)advantages	189
Supplementary Results Table R11: Empirical robustness checks	193
Data Appendix Table D1: Country of ancestry breakdown	198
Data Appendix Table D2: Country of ancestry descriptive statistics	198
Data Appendix Table D3: European Social Survey summary statistic and variable overview	201
Data Appendix Table D4: Additional variables	202
Data Appendix Table D5: Second-order factor solution of cultural descriptive norms	209

