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The epithelial polarity regulator LGALS9/galectin-9 induces fatal frustrated autophagy in KRAS mutant colon carcinoma that depends on elevated basal autophagic flux
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Oncogenic mutation of KRAS (Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog) in colorectal cancer (CRC) confers resistance to both chemotherapy and EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor)-targeted therapy. We uncovered that KRAS mutant (KRAS mut) CRC is uniquely sensitive to treatment with recombinant LGALS9/Galectin-9 (rLGALS9), a recently established regulator of epithelial polarity. Upon treatment of CRC cells, rLGALS9 rapidly internalizes via early- and late-endosomes and accumulates in the lysosomal compartment. Treatment with rLGALS9 is accompanied by induction of frustrated autophagy in KRAS mut CRC, but not in CRC with BRAF (B-Raf proto-oncogene, serine/threonine kinase) mutations (BRAF mut). In KRAS mut CRC, rLGALS9 acts as a lysosomal inhibitor that inhibits autophagosome-lysosome fusion, leading to autophagosomes accumulation, excessive lysosomal swelling and cell death. This antitumor activity of rLGALS9 directly correlates with elevated basal autophagic flux in KRAS mut cancer cells. Thus, rLGALS9 has potent antitumor activity toward refractory KRAS mut CRC cells that may be exploitable for therapeutic use.
Introduction

Oncogenic mutation of KRAS (Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog) is found in 30–45% of all colorectal cancer (CRC) patients and associates with poor prognosis. Specifically, KRAS mutated CRC often poorly responds to chemotherapy due to an increased drug resistance and is insensitive to EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor)-targeted therapeutics. Unfortunately, the RAS pathway has proven an elusive target for cancer therapy, with the development of effective drugs targeting RAS being difficult. Further, targeting of downstream effectors, such as RAF1, has yielded agents with only modest clinical activity in metastatic CRC patients that is associated with substantial off-target toxicity. Therefore, the development of new therapeutic options for this large subset of refractory CRC patients is urgently needed.

Oncogenic KRAS mutation (KRASmut) disrupts apical-basolateral polarity and prevents the formation of tight junctions in colon epithelial cells. Loss of cellular polarity is a key factor in the acquisition of metastatic potential and may represent an Achilles’ heel of epithelial cancers (reviewed in 6,7). Of note, selected members of the galectin family of carbohydrate-binding proteins have recently been recognized as important regulators of epithelial polarity and endocytosis. For instance, LGALS9/Galectin-3 maintains apical polarity by regulating clathrin-independent endocytosis of cargo proteins, whereas LGALS8/galectin-8 steers endocytic and autophagic removal of bacteria.

Recently, LGALS9/Galectin-9 (lectin, galactose-binding, soluble, 9) has also emerged as an important regulator of endocytosis and polarity in epithelial cells. In epithelial monolayers, LGALS9 undergoes a cyclical process of endosomal internalization and rerouting to the apical surface via the trans-Golgi network and recycling endosomes. Upon targeted deletion of LGALS9 expression in these monolayers, polarity is lost and apical and basolateral proteins mislocalize. Loss of expression of LGALS9 is also associated with metastatic progression in various epithelial cancers, including breast and colorectal cancer. Taken together, this suggests that loss of LGALS9 promotes a metastatic tumor phenotype. Conversely, treatment of LGALS9 deficient monolayers with exogenous recombinant LGALS9 (rLGALS9) restores epithelial polarity.

Further, rLGALS9 treatment of murine syngeneic colorectal carcinoma inhibits the formation of lung metastases. rLGALS9 promotes a metastatic tumor phenotype. Conversely, rLGALS9 is rapidly internalized via clathrin-dependent endocytosis leading to lysosomal accumulation of rLGALS9. This triggers cell death in refractory KRAS mutant cancer cells, characterized by lysosomal swelling and a halt in the execution of autophagy at the stage of autophagosome-lysosome fusion. Thus, rLGALS9 is a lysosomal inhibitor with potent cytotoxic activity toward refractory KRAS mutant colon carcinoma cells that may be exploitable for therapeutic use.

Results

rLGALS9 internalizes into the lysosomal compartment in nonpolarized cells

LGALS9 maintains apical polarity in established epithelial monolayers through a cyclical process of LGALS9 internalization into early endosomes, routing to the trans-Golgi network, and a resurfacing to the apical cell surface via recycling endosomes. In order to follow the routing of LGALS9 in settings of disturbed polarity, nonpolarized MDCK cells, and DLD-1 colorectal cancer cells were treated with rLGALS9/βgal9(0), a previously reported recombinant form of LGALS9 containing a truncated linker for improved stability. Surface binding of fluorescently labeled recombinant rLGALS9 was detected within 1 min, followed by rapid internalization (Fig. 1A). Initially, internalized rLGALS9 was localized in close proximity to the cell membrane, but at later time points accumulated in enlarged vesicles more centrally located in the cytoplasm (Fig. 1A). This internalization of rLGALS9 was dependent on its carbohydrate recognition domains (CRDs), since the CRD-blocking sugar α-lactose, but not the irrelevant sugar sucrose, abrogated rLGALS9 internalization (Fig. 1A).

The subcellular localization of rLGALS9 was determined using a panel of cell compartmental markers, which demonstrated that on DLD-1 cells rLGALS9 initially colocalized with the cell surface marker EPCAM (epithelial cell adhesion molecule) (Fig. 1B; t = 5 min). In time, this was followed by colocalization of rLGALS9 with the GFP-tagged early endosome marker RAB5A (Fig. 1C; t = 30 min), with the GFP-tagged late endosome marker RAB7A (Fig. 1D; t = 1 h) and with the lysosomal marker LAMP2 (lysosomal-associated membrane protein 2) (Fig. 1E; t = 24 h). Similar intracellular localization of rLGALS9 was observed for MDCK (Fig. S1A-C). Colocalization analysis (using Pearson’s correlation coefficient-Rr) confirmed that rLGALS9 very rapidly disappeared from the membrane (Fig. 1F), with a time-dependent increase in the percentage of rLGALS9+ LAMP2+ lysosomes (Fig. 1G).

rLGALS9 triggers vacuolization via PRKC-RAF1-MAP2K1-dependent clathrin-mediated internalization

The treatment of MDCK and DLD-1 cells with rLGALS9 was characterized by the progressive formation of large vacuoles (Fig. 2A), which affected ~95% of cells after 24 h (Fig. 2B). Vacuole formation was blocked by cotreatment with α-lactose or blocking anti-LGALS9 antibody, but not by sucrose (Fig. 2A, B). Treatment of MDCK or DLD-1 cells on ice, at low pH, or with the DNM/dynamin GTPase inhibitor dynasore abrogated rLGALS9-mediated vacuole formation (Fig. 2C, D, Fig. S2A). Thus, rLGALS9 internalized via active clathrin-dependent endocytosis. To characterize the internalization pathway of rLGALS9, MDCK and DLD-1 cells were co-incubated with inhibitors of kinases involved in endocytosis. Among these, the PRKC-inhibitor UCN-01 dose-dependently reduced rLGALS9 uptake and vacuolization (Fig. 2E, Fig. S2A). UCN-01 also reduced colocalization of rLGALS9 with early endosomes (Fig. S2B). In line with this, rLGALS9 treatment
phosphorylated various PRKC isoforms, particularly atypical PRKC isoforms PRKCZ/PRKCZ and PRKCI/PRKC\(\lambda\) (Fig. 2F). Further, inhibition of RAF1/CRAF (GW5074), but not BRAF (Dabrafenib) strongly reduced rLGALS9-induced vacuolization in MDCK cells (Fig. 2G, Fig. S2A). Correspondingly, inhibition of downstream kinases MAP2K1 and MAP2K2 (U0126) also strongly inhibited rLGALS9 internalization and vacuolization (Fig. 2G, Fig. S2A). To confirm the requirement for MAP2K-signaling, the predominant MEK isoform in DLD-1, MAP2K1, was knocked down by small interfering (si)RNA (Fig. S2C). Treatment of MAP2K1-deficient DLD-1 cells with rLGALS9 did not induce vacuole formation (Fig. 2H, Fig. S2C). Thus, rLGALS9 is internalized via clathrin-dependent, PRKC-RAF1-MAP2K1-mediated endocytosis in nonpolarized MDCK cells and DLD-1 colorectal cancer cells, whereupon it triggers formation of large vacuoles.

Figure 1. rLGALS9 is internalized via endosomes and accumulates in the lysosomes. (A) MDCK cells were treated with rLGALS9–594 in the presence or absence of \(\alpha\)-lactose (40 mM) or sucrose (40 mM) and confocal images were captured at 1 min, 1 h, and 2 h. (B) Maximum association of rLGALS9–594 with the cell surface of DLD-1 cells at 5 min of incubation (arrows highlight colocalization). Here, DLD-1 cells were incubated with rLGALS9–594, stained with anti-EPCAM-488, fixed with 4% PFA, and subsequently stained with DAPI. (C) For confocal colocalization analysis with early endosomes, DLD-1 cells were transduced with Bacmam CellLight\textsuperscript{\textregistered} Fluorescent Protein Construct RAB5A-GFP and incubated with rLGALS9–594 and Hoechst. Maximum colocalization was observed after 15 min (arrows highlight colocalization). (D) For confocal colocalization analysis with late endosomes, DLD-1 cells were transduced with Bacmam CellLight\textsuperscript{\textregistered} Fluorescent Protein Construct RAB7A-GFP and incubated with rLGALS9–594 and Hoechst. Maximum colocalization was observed after 45 min (arrows highlight colocalization). (E) For confocal colocalization analysis with the lysosomes, DLD-1 cells were treated with rLGALS9–594, fixed with 4% PFA, and co-staining was performed using anti-LAMP2–488. Maximum colocalization was observed after 24 h. (F) Colocalization analysis of EPCAM and rLGALS9 in DLD-1 cells, using Pearson’s correlation as determined using ImageJ. R\(R_{d1}\), perfect colocalization; R\(R_{d0}\), random localization; R\(R_{d-1}\), total exclusion. (G) Time-dependent increase in lysosomal association of rLGALS9 in DLD-1 cells, determined as the percentage of rLGALS9-positive lysosomes of total amount of lysosomes.
Figure 2. rLGALS9 is internalized via clathrin-dependent endocytosis mediated by PRKC and MAP2K1. (A) MDCK cells were treated with rLGALS9 (300 nM) in the presence or absence of α-lactose (40 mM) or sucrose (40 mM) or blocking anti-LGALS9 monoclonal antibody (mAb; 10 μg/ml). Representative light microscopy pictures were taken after 1 h of treatment. (B) Quantification of the percentage of cells having visible vacuoles in MDCK and DLD-1 cells upon 1 h treatment as described in (A). (C) MDCK and DLD-1 cells were treated with rLGALS9 (300 nM) for respectively 1 h and 6 h at 37°C vs. 0°C at pH 7 or pH 5, after which vacuolization was quantified. (D) MDCK and DLD-1 cells were pre-incubated for 1 h with the indicated concentrations of Dynasore (or DMSO solvent control), after which vacuolization induced by rLGALS9 (300 nM) was determined. (E) MDCK and DLD-1 cells were pre-incubated for 1 h with the indicated concentrations of UCN-01 after which vacuolization induced by rLGALS9 (300 nM) was determined. Representative fluorescent pictures (using rLGALS9–594) illustrate the cellular distribution of rLGALS9. (F) Western blot detection of different PRKC isoforms in rLGALS9 (300 nM) treated MDCK cells. (G) MDCK cells were pretreated with RAF inhibitor GW5074 (50 μM, 48 h pre-incubation), BRAF inhibitor Dabrafenib (200 nM, 48 h pre-incubation), and MAP2K inhibitor U0126 (100 μM, 2 h pre-incubation) and subsequently treated with rLGALS9 (300 nM), after which the percentage of cells with visible vacuoles was quantified. Representative fluorescence pictures (using rLGALS9–594) illustrate the cellular distribution of rLGALS9 with or without U0126 pretreatment. (H) MAP2K1-deficient DLD-1 cells were treated with rLGALS9 for 6 h after which vacuole formation was quantified.
rLGALS9 has direct dose-dependent cytotoxic activity toward colon carcinoma in vitro and in vivo

Due to the progressive vacuolar swelling upon treatment with rLGALS9, the nuclear size of MDCK and DLD-1 cells was reduced by ~40% within 5 h (Fig. 3A, B). Treatment of MDCK and DLD-1 cells with rLGALS9 also dose-dependently triggered the apoptotic feature of phosphatidylserine (PS) surface exposure (Fig. 3C), loss in cell viability (Fig. 3D), reduced sphere formation (Fig. 3E), and reduced colony formation (Fig. 3F). This cytotoxic effect of rLGALS9

Figure 3. For figure legend, see page 1378.
was inhibited by α-lactose, but not sucrose as illustrated for loss of cell viability and PS-exposure (Fig. 3G, Fig. S2D). The naturally occurring short and medium LGALS9 isoforms (rLGALS9[S] and rLGALS9[M]) also had cytotoxic effects toward DLD-1 cells, but at higher molar concentrations (Fig. 3H, Fig. S2E).

In primary patient-derived colon carcinoma cells, treatment with rLGALS9 also induced numerous vacuoles (Fig. 3I, K), resulting in cellular detachment, PS-exposure (Fig. 3J, Fig. S2F) and reduced cell viability (Fig. 3K), which was inhibited by α-lactose, but not sucrose (Fig. 3I-K). Treatment of mice bearing DLD-1 xenografts with rLGALS9 strongly inhibited tumor growth (Fig. 3I) and increased overall survival (Fig. 3M; 50% for rLGALS9 vs. 0% for control animals), with no detectable signs of toxicity, such as weight loss (Fig. 3N). Corresponding to the lack of toxicity in vivo, short-term ex vivo cultures of untransformed primary normal human colon epithelial cells were resistant to rLGALS9, with no vacuolization (Fig. 3O), PS-exposure (Fig. 3P, Fig. S2F), and no loss in cell viability (Fig. 3P).

rLGALS9 selectively induces cell death in KRAS mutant colon carcinoma cells

From the data above it is evident that rLGALS9 triggers prominent cytotoxic effects in DLD-1 colon carcinoma cells characterized by formation of large vacuoles that required RAF1 and MAP2K1 signaling. The cytotoxicity of rLGALS9 similarly depended on MAP2K1 and RAF1, as siRNA-mediated knockdown of either kinase strongly inhibited the cytotoxic effect of rLGALS9 on DLD-1 cells (Fig. 4A, Fig. S3A). In contrast, siRNA-mediated knockdown of BRAF did not affect rLGALS9 cytotoxicity (Fig. 4A, Fig. S3A). Thus, rLGALS9-mediated RAF1, but not BRAF, signaling is required for cytotoxicity. Interestingly, previous studies demonstrated that RAF1 is responsible for transmitting signals from mutated KRAS to downstream kinases MAP2K1 and MAP2K2, whereas BRAF is not required. Further knockdown of RAF1 inhibits tumorigenesis of KRAS mutant cells. To investigate whether the cytotoxic activity of rLGALS9 could similarly depend on KRAS mutation, a panel of colon carcinoma cell lines with either oncogenic KRAS or oncogenic BRAF mutation was analyzed. Overnight treatment with rLGALS9 induced PS-exposure and reduced cell viability in all KRAS\textsuperscript{mut} BRAF\textsuperscript{WT} cells (Fig. 4B, C), but did not or only minimally affect cell viability of KRAS\textsuperscript{WT} BRAF\textsuperscript{mut} cells. Similarly, rLGALS9 reduced colony formation in all KRAS\textsuperscript{mut} BRAF\textsuperscript{WT} cell lines, but not in KRAS\textsuperscript{WT} BRAF\textsuperscript{mut} cells (Fig. 4D).

Of note, Caco-2 cells that are both KRAS and BRAF wild-type (KRAS\textsuperscript{WT} BRAF\textsuperscript{WT}) proved resistant to rLGALS9, whereas another KRAS\textsuperscript{WT} BRAF\textsuperscript{WT} cell line, HCT-8, was sensitive to rLGALS9-mediated cell death (Fig. 4E). To identify a causal relationship between expression of a mutant KRAS allele and rLGALS9-mediated cytotoxicity, the rLGALS9 resistant KRAS\textsuperscript{WT} BRAF\textsuperscript{WT} cell line Caco-2 was transduced with the KRAS\textsuperscript{G12V} oncogene (Caco-2.KRAS\textsuperscript{G12V}). In these Caco-2. KRAS\textsuperscript{G12V} cells, treatment with rLGALS9 proved cytotoxic, with vacuole formation, reduced cell viability, and inhibited colony formation identical to that induced in other KRAS\textsuperscript{mut} BRAF\textsuperscript{WT} cell lines (Fig. 4F–H). Similarly, transduction of the rLGALS9 sensitive KRAS\textsuperscript{WT} BRAF\textsuperscript{WT} cell line HCT-8 with the KRAS\textsuperscript{G12V} oncogene (HCT-8.KRAS\textsuperscript{G12V}) further sensitized these cells to rLGALS9 treatment. In contrast, the oncogenic BRAF mutant allele BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E} (HCT-8.BRAF\textsuperscript{V600E}) did not sensitize cells to rLGALS9 treatment (Fig. 4I, J).

rLGALS9 was previously reported to induce apoptosis in leu-kemic T-cells, multiple myeloma, Burkitt- and Hodgkin-lymphoma, and melanoma cells. However, rLGALS9 treatment of DLD-1 cells was not associated with CASP3/caspase-3 processing (Fig. 4K) nor did the pan-caspase inhibitor Z-VAD-fmk inhibit rLGALS9-induced vacuolization (Fig. 4L, Fig. S3B). Furthermore, cell death was not necrotic as cells displayed PS on the surface without membrane permeabilization after 6 h as assessed by propidium iodide (data not shown), nor was cell death inhibited by the necroptosis inhibitor necrostatin alone or in combination with Z-VAD-fmk (Fig. 4L, Fig. S3B). To corroborate the apoptosis-independent effect of rLGALS9, isogenic and apoptosis-resistant KRAS\textsuperscript{G12V} HCT116 cell lines with an oncogenic class III phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PdIns3K) mutation or with a deficiency in the mitochondrial apoptosis...
Figure 4. For figure legend, see page 1380.
regulator BAX (BCL2-associated X protein) were treated with rLGALS9. Both apoptosis-resistant lines remained sensitive to rLGALS9 cytotoxicity (Fig. 4M), but were resistant to apoptosis inducer TNFα7F10/TRAIL (tumor necrosis factor [ligand] superfamily, member 10) (Fig. 4M). These data indicate that rLGALS9-induced cell death in colon cancer cells with a mutated KRAS allele is likely independent of apoptosis or necrosis.

rLGALS9 induced cell death depends on basal autophagic flux and is associated with lysosomal swelling and autophagosome formation

The progressive accumulation of rLGALS9 within the lysosomal compartment was associated with marked swelling of LAMP2-positive lysosomes in KRASmut cells (Fig. 5A, left panels), but not BRAFmut cells (Fig. S4A). This increase in lysosomal size was confirmed using LysoTracker-DND-99 (Fig. 5A, right panels), with signal intensity strongly increasing upon rLGALS9 treatment. This swelling of lysosomes was detected in all rLGALS9-sensitive, but not -resistant, cell lines (Fig. 5B). Of note, in the wild-type cell lines HCT-8 and Caco-2 the expression of KRASG12V increased the swelling of lysosomes upon rLGALS9 treatment (Fig. 5B). Conversely, the expression of BRAFV600E reduced lysosomal swelling in HCT-8 cells (Fig. 5B). This rLGALS9-induced swelling of lysosomes correlated with loss in cell viability (Fig. 5C). Of note, although the LysoTracker signal as well as the level of red fluorescence of acridine orange-stained cells increased over the first 6 h of treatment with rLGALS9, the signal intensity of both dyes was decreased after 24 h of treatment (Fig. S4B). Analysis of vacuolar diameter revealed that all vacuoles with a diameter >2.5 micrometer in size lost their red acridine orange signal (Fig. S4B), indicating that these dilated lysosomes lost functionality.

Based on the progressive vacuolization upon rLGALS9 treatment, we reasoned that autophagy might be involved. A hallmark feature of autophagy is the lipidation of MAP1LC3 B/LC3B (microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3) into LC3B-II. This LC3B-II is recruited to phagophore membranes yielding a punctate staining pattern. In rLGALS9-treated cells such LC3B puncta were clearly visible (Fig. 5D), indicative of autophagosome formation. Correspondingly, the staining intensity of monodansylcadaverine and Cyto-ID, both dyes that can be used to label autophagosomes, were increased in DLD-1 cells upon treatment with rLGALS9 (Fig. 5D). This increase in autophagosomes correlated with a loss in cell viability upon rLGALS9 treatment, as illustrated for cyto-ID (Fig. 5E). Upon treatment with rLGALS9, the levels of LC3B-II strongly increased in rLGALS9-sensitive KRASmut cell lines, MDCK and primary malignant colorectal cancer cells (Fig 5F, G, Fig. S4C). In contrast, LC3B-II levels did not increase in rLGALS9-resistant BRAFmut cell lines or KRASWT BRAFWT Caco-2 cells (Fig. 5F, G), whereas LC3B-II levels did increase in Caco-2.KRASG12V cells (Fig. 5F, G, Fig. S4C). Of note, the formation of autophagosomes during rLGALS9 treatment did not require the autophagy regulator MTOR (mechanistic target of rapamycin [serine/threonine kinase]), as rLGALS9 treatment induced both phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of MTOR in KRASmut and BRAFmut cell lines, with minimal effect on phosphorylation of the downstream MTOR target RPS6KB1 (ribosomal protein S6 kinase, 70kDa, polypeptide 1) (Fig. 5H).

To further characterize the role of autophagy in the cytotoxic effects of rLGALS9 in KRASmut colon cancer cells, DLD-1 cells were depleted for ATG5 (autophagy related 5) or the upstream autophagy regulator BECN1/Beclin 1 using siRNA (Fig. S3A), which should block formation of autophagosomes. Indeed, in ATG5-depleted cells LC3B-I accumulated in the absence of conversion into LC3B-II upon rLGALS9 treatment, whereas in control siRNA conditions LC3B-II accumulation was detected (Fig. S4D). Importantly, ATG5 depletion strongly inhibited the cytotoxic activity of rLGALS9 toward DLD-1 cells (Fig. 5I). In line with this, siRNA-mediated depletion of BECN1 almost completely abrogated the cytotoxic effect of rLGALS9 treatment in DLD-1 cells (Fig. 5I). Thus, inhibition of autophagosome formation inhibits rLGALS9-induced cell death of KRAS mutant colon cancer cells.

Previously, oncogenic mutation of KRAS was shown to associate with increased levels of basal autophagy.24,25 Correspondingly, basal autophagic flux, as determined by the accumulation of LC3B-II upon chloroquine treatment, was high in KRASmut and low in BRAFmut cell lines (Fig. 5K, Fig. S4E). In addition, the KRASWT BRAFWT and rLGALS9-sensitive...
cell line HCT-8 was characterized by high autophagic flux, whereas the KRASWT BRAFWT and rLGALS9-resistant cell line Caco-2 was characterized by low autophagic flux. This basal autophagic flux strongly correlated with increases in LysoTracker signal as well as loss in cell viability upon rLGALS9 treatment (Fig. 5L, M). Thus, the basal autophagic flux in colon cancer cells determines the sensitivity toward rLGALS9 treatment.
Figure 6. Lysosomal accumulation of rLGALS9 results in halted autophagy. (A) LC3B-II levels after 24 h treatment of the indicated cell lines with rLGALS9 (300 nM), autophagy inhibitor chloroquine (100 μM) or autophagy inducer rapamycin (100 nM). (B) Analysis of SQSTM1 levels using ELISA after treatment of DLD-1 as in (A) (factor = rLGALS9/medium). (C) Time-course analysis of SQSTM1 levels in DLD-1 cells treated with rLGALS9 (24 h, 300 nM). (D) SQSTM1 formation induced by rLGALS9 treatment (24 h, 300 nM) in a panel of KRASmut cell lines (n = 5) and BRAFmut (n = 5). (E) Confocal microscopy pictures of DLD-1 cells treated for 24 h with DyLight-594-labeled rLGALS9 and costained with anti-LC3B-488. (F) LC3B/rLGALS9 colocalization was analyzed by Pearson’s correlation. (G) Confocal microscopy pictures of DLD-1 cells treated with rLGALS9 (24 h, 300 nM) or chloroquine (24 h, 100 μM) and subsequently stained with anti-LAMP2 and anti-LC3B. LAMP2/LC3B colocalization was analyzed by Pearson’s correlation. (H) Confocal microscopy pictures of DLD-1 cells transfected with a mCherry-GFP-LC3 construct treated with rLGALS9 (24 h, 300 nM) or chloroquine (24 h, 100 μM). mCherry/GFP ratio was analyzed using ImageJ software.
rLGALS9 induces dysfunctional autophagy halted at the stage of autophagosome-lysosomal fusion

During autophagy the accumulation of LC3B-II is typically transient and normalizes when autophagosomes fuse with lysosomes, due to degradation of the autolysosomal content. However, the progressive vacuolization upon rLGALS9 treatment suggested that autophagy may be incompletely executed. To assess this possibility, the levels of LC3B-II and the ubiquitin-binding scaffold protein SQSTM1/p62 (sequestosome 1) were determined over time. Upon full execution of autophagy, e.g. upon rapamycin treatment of DLD-1 cells (Fig. 6A, B) and MDCK cells (Fig. S5A), both proteins were degraded after 24 h. However, the levels of LC3B-II and SQSTM1 remained elevated in cells treated with rLGALS9 after 24 h (Fig. 6A, B). These effects of rLGALS9 resembled those of the lysosomal inhibitor chloroquine (Fig. 6A, B) and were inhibited by α-lactose but not sucrose (Fig. S5B). Further time course analysis of SQSTM1 levels revealed an initial small decrease in the first hours of rLGALS9 treatment followed by SQSTM1 accumulation at later time points (Fig. 6C). This accumulation was observed in all KRASmut BRAWT cell lines, with an average fold increase in SQSTM1 levels of ~2.5 (Fig. 6D). In contrast, SQSTM1 levels did not change in KRASWT BRAWmut cells (Fig. 6D).

The accumulation of LC3B-II and SQSTM1 suggest that rLGALS9-induced cell death is likely due to inhibition of autophagosome-lysosome fusion. As shown in Figure 1, rLGALS9 accumulated in lysosomes. In contrast, rLGALS9 overlapped with autophagosomes as evaluated by treatment with rLGALS9–594 and counterstaining with LC3B was minimal in DLD-1 cells (Fig. 6E), with a corresponding low level of colocalization (Fig. 6F). Furthermore, the proteins LAMP2 and LC3B also did not colocalize in rLGALS9-treated cells, indicative of an arrest in the autophagic process at the stage of autophagosome-lysosome fusion (Fig. 6G). Of note, treatment with chloroquine triggered an analogous nonoverlapping LAMP2-LC3B staining pattern and Rr colocalization score (Fig. 6G). Arrested autophagy upon rLGALS9 treatment was confirmed using mCherry-GFP-LC3-transfected DLD-1 cells in which autophagosome-lysosome fusion will result in loss of GFP signal. Treatment of DLD-1.mCherry-GFP-LC3 with rLGALS9 did not reduce GFP-signal intensity whereby the ratio of mCherry/GFP fluorescent signal did not change, similar to fluorescent LC3-signal after treatment with chloroquine (Fig. 6H). Conversely, execution of autophagy in control settings in which DLD-1.mCherry-GFP-LC3 cells were serum starved strongly reduced the GFP-signal intensity and thereby increased the mCherry/GFP ratio (Fig. 6H, Fig. 5C).

Taken together, rLGALS9 treatment induces lysosomal swelling and autophagosome formation in KRASmut colon carcinoma cells, which results in halted autophagy by the inhibition of autophagosome-lysosome fusion. Of note, this effect is similar as that induced by the lysosomal inhibitor chloroquine. Thus, rLGALS9 seems to act as an oncogenic KRAS-selective lysosomal inhibitor that induces dysfunctional autophagy and cell death.

Discussion

In this study we show that oncogenic mutation of KRAS sensitizes CRC cells to autophagy-dependent cell death by a recombinant form of the epithelial polarity regulator LGALS9 in vitro and in vivo. We propose a mode of action where rLGALS9 undergoes rapid endosomal internalization in nonpolarized CRC cells and accumulates in the lysosomal compartment. In KRASmut CRC cells, exposure to rLGALS9 is characterized by lysosomal swelling, autophagosome formation and halted autophagosome-lysosome fusion, ultimately leading to cell death (Fig. 7). This sensitivity of KRASmut CRC for rLGALS9 is of potential clinical relevance, since KRASmut CRC cells are
notoriously refractory to available therapies, and often acquire therapy resistance by elevating basal levels of autophagy.

Treatment with rLGALS9 resulted in the accumulation of rLGALS9 in the lysosomal compartment and lysosomal swelling in KRASmut but not BRAFmut CRC cells. Furthermore, cell death induced by rLGALS9 correlated with an increase in levels of autophagosomes. In line with this, rLGALS9-induced cytotoxicity depended on the autophagic pathway, with ATG5 and BECN1 knockdown protecting against rLGALS9 cytotoxicity. However, the execution of autophagy upon treatment of KRASmut CRC with rLGALS9 was halted at the stage of autophagosome-lysosome fusion, leading to hallmark accumulation of LC3-II and SQSTM1 over time. Such inhibition of autophagosome-lysosome fusion and LC3-II-SQSTM1 accumulation has previously been reported for the lysosomal inhibitor chloroquine, leading to vacuolization and lysosomal swelling as identified here for rLGALS9.26,24 Colocalization analysis clearly confirmed lysosomal accumulation of rLGALS9 and lack of association with autophagosomes. Thus, rLGALS9 seems to act as a lysosomal inhibitor, similar to chloroquine, in KRASmut CRC. Of note, oncogenic KRAS mutation impairs normal lysosomal composition and function in CRC cells, which sensitizes these cells to lysosome-targeting drugs.27

The differential sensitivity of KRASmut and BRAFmut cells to rLGALS9 was directly correlated with their levels of basal autophagic flux, with KRASmut cell lines having high and BRAFmut cells having low basal autophagic flux. In line with this, activated KRAS was previously shown to trigger so-called “autophagy addiction,” with autophagy being required for maintenance of metabolism and tumorigenesis.25,24,28 Conversely, the low autophagic flux levels of BRAFmut cells seem to be specific for CRC, since BRAFmut cells have high basal autophagy levels in other types of cancer such as lung cancer29,30 and melanoma.31 The correlation between high basal autophagic flux levels and the sensitivity toward rLGALS9 treatment may be a logical consequence of the inhibited autophagosome-lysosome fusion that is induced by rLGALS9. By preventing normal execution of autophagy, rLGALS9 induces shortness of energy, which will eventually lead to cell death in such “autophagy-addicted” cancers. In line with this, targeting autophagy has been postulated as a promising target to treat (RAS mutated) cancers.46,47 Indeed, although mutated KRAS and BRAF both activate RAF1 and MAP2K1 signaling.22,21,44,45 This finding is in line with the reported requirement of oncogenic RAS for RAF1-, but not BRAF-, mediated activation of MAP2K.22,21,44,45

Indeed, although mutated KRAS and BRAF both activate MAP2K/mitogen-activated protein kinase kinases-MAPK/mitogen-activated protein kinase-signaling and disrupt polarity in colon epithelial cells, oncogenic KRAS and BRAF transformations typically occur in a mutually exclusive manner.46 Therefore, differences in sensitivity toward rLGALS9 treatment between KRAS and BRAF mutant CRC may rely on their respective signaling via RAF1 and BRAF. Of note, oncogenic KRAS signaling was reported to require heterodimerization between RAF1 and BRAF,47 whereas oncogenic BRAFV600E signaling is activated through monomeric BRAF.48,49 It will be of interest to determine whether a differential requirement for homo- or heterodimerization of RAF1 proteins upon rLGALS9 treatment underlies the differential sensitivity of KRASmut vs. BRAFmut CRC cells.

rLGALS9 is also widely known for its immunomodulatory properties, most notably its inhibition of autoimmune T-cell
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The differential sensitivity of KRASmut and BRAFmut cells to rLGALS9 was directly correlated with their levels of basal autophagic flux, with KRASmut cell lines having high and BRAFmut cells having low basal autophagic flux. In line with this, activated KRAS was previously shown to trigger so-called “autophagy addiction,” with autophagy being required for maintenance of metabolism and tumorigenesis.25,24,28 Conversely, the low autophagic flux levels of BRAFmut cells seem to be specific for CRC, since BRAFmut cells have high basal autophagy levels in other types of cancer such as lung cancer29,30 and melanoma.31 The correlation between high basal autophagic flux levels and the sensitivity toward rLGALS9 treatment may be a logical consequence of the inhibited autophagosome-lysosome fusion that is induced by rLGALS9. By preventing normal execution of autophagy, rLGALS9 induces shortness of energy, which will eventually lead to cell death in such “autophagy-addicted” cancers. In line with this, targeting autophagy has been postulated as a promising target to treat (RAS mutated) cancers.46,47 Indeed, although mutated KRAS and BRAF both activate RAF1 and MAP2K1 signaling.22,21,44,45

Indeed, although mutated KRAS and BRAF both activate MAP2K/mitogen-activated protein kinase kinases-MAPK/mitogen-activated protein kinase-signaling and disrupt polarity in colon epithelial cells, oncogenic KRAS and BRAF transformations typically occur in a mutually exclusive manner.46 Therefore, differences in sensitivity toward rLGALS9 treatment between KRAS and BRAF mutant CRC may rely on their respective signaling via RAF1 and BRAF. Of note, oncogenic KRAS signaling was reported to require heterodimerization between RAF1 and BRAF,47 whereas oncogenic BRAFV600E signaling is activated through monomeric BRAF.48,49 It will be of interest to determine whether a differential requirement for homo- or heterodimerization of RAF1 proteins upon rLGALS9 treatment underlies the differential sensitivity of KRASmut vs. BRAFmut CRC cells.

rLGALS9 is also widely known for its immunomodulatory properties, most notably its inhibition of autoimmune T-cell
responses (reviewed in 14). However, rLGALS9 treatment also enhances antitumor immunity in murine sarcoma and melanoma models, leading to prolonged survival.\textsuperscript{50,51} Further, rLGALS9 can induce activation and expansion of human T-cells ex vivo.\textsuperscript{52} Conversely, rLGALS9 promotes escape from immune surveillance in Epstein-Barr virus-infected nasopharyngeal carcinoma cells.\textsuperscript{53} Thus the possible stimulatory or inhibitory effects of rLGALS9 on antitumor immunity in KRASmut CRC will need to be evaluated to fully characterize the therapeutic potential of rLGALS9.

In conclusion, the epithelial polarity regulator rLGALS9 has potent cytotoxic activity toward KRASmut colon cancer by the induction of frustrated autophagy. Therefore, rLGALS9 may be exploitable for therapeutic use in the treatment of refractory KRASmut cancers.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell lines and primary colorectal (cancer) cells**

MDCK, DLD-1, HCT116, HT29, LOVO, SW620, SW480, Caco-2, Colo205, HCT-8, and WiDr were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (CCL\textsuperscript{221, CCL\textsuperscript{222, CCL\textsuperscript{224, CCL\textsuperscript{218}. Cell line authenticity was confirmed using STR profiling (Baseclear, The Netherlands and Idexx Radil, USA). All cell lines were cultured in RPMI or DMEM (Lonza, Biowhittaker BE12–604F and BE12–155F) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Hyclone Thermo Scientific, SV30160.03) at 37°C, in a humidified 5% CO\textsubscript{2} atmosphere. Primary colorectal cancer cell cultures were obtained by mincing tumor tissue from surgical resection. Primary colonic epithelium was obtained from Tebu-Bio (The Netherlands, 2–96115).

**LGALS9 and inhibitors**

Recombinant LGALS9 (rLGALS9) containing a truncated 2 amino acid interdomain linker (also known as Gal-9(0)) and the physiologically occurring short isoform of LGALS9, LGALS9 (S)/Gal-9(S), were produced as described previously.\textsuperscript{20} For confocal analysis, rLGALS9 was conjugated to DyLight\textsuperscript{594 following the manufacturer’s protocol (DyLight 594 NHS Ester; Piercenet, Thermo scientific, 46412). The following inhibitors were used: α-lactose (Sigma Aldrich, L3625), sucrose (Merck Millipore, 107651), Dynasore (Sigma Aldrich, D7693), UCN-01 (Sigma Aldrich, U6508), U0126 (Sigma Aldrich, U120), GW5074 (Sigma Aldrich, G6416), necrostatin-1 (Sigma Aldrich, N9037), and Z-VAD-fmk (Calbiochem, 627610). Chloroquine was from the LC3B Antibody Kit for Autophagy (Life Technologies, L10382). LGALS9 blocking antibody was from GalPharma.

**Cell transfection and RNA interference**

Caco-2.KRAS\textsuperscript{G12V}, HCT-8.KRAS\textsuperscript{G12V}, and HCT-8. BRAF-V600E were generated by transfecting parental Caco-2 and HCT-8 cells with pBabe-Puro-KRAS G12V or pBabe-Puro-KRASmut cancers.

For RNA interference experiments, cells were precultured in a 12-well plates at a density of 1 × 10\textsuperscript{5} cells/well for 24 h, after which siRNA transfections were performed with Dharmafect-2 (Dharmacon, T-2002–01) following the manufacturer’s recommendations using a final concentration of 5 nM siRNA against BRAF (Origene, SR300470), RAF1/CRAF (Origene, SR303972), MAP2K1 (Origene, SR303761), BECN1 (Origene, SR305711) or ATG5 (Origene, SR306286). siRNA-transected cells were maintained under 80% confluence, and used 96 h after transfection for experiments. Cell viability was determined after long-term treatment with rLGALS9 (7 d). Efficiency of knockdown of the target protein was evaluated (96 h after transfection) by western blotting (see below) using anti-BRAF (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-5284), anti-RAF1/CRAF (Sigma Aldrich, R5773), anti-MEK1/2 (Cell Signaling Technology, 9122), anti-BECN1 (Origene, TA301471) and anti-ATG5 (Cell Signaling Technology, 9980), and appropriate secondary HRP-conjugated antibodies (Dako, P0447 and P0448).

**Vacuolization experiments**

Cells were precultured in a 48-well plate at a density of 2–3 × 10\textsuperscript{4} cells/well. Subsequently cells were treated with rLGALS9 in the presence or absence of pre-incubated inhibitors. Imaging of cell vacuolization (minimal n = 3) of different experimental conditions was determined by digital microscopy (Evos digital inverted microscope), and the degree of vacuolized cells per image was quantified. Percentages of vacuolated cells were calculated based on total cell counts.

**Cell viability assays**

For ANXA5/annexin-V staining, cells were precultured in a 48-well plate at a density of 2–3 × 10\textsuperscript{4} cells/well. Subsequently cells were treated with rLGALS9 for the indicated concentrations and time span, with or without inhibitors, and subsequently harvested using trypsin. ANXA5 staining was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Immunotools, 31490013). In brief, cells were washed once with cold calcium binding buffer and resuspended in calcium buffer containing ANXA5-FITC. After incubation for 10 min at 4°C, PS exposure was analyzed by flow cytometry using a BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and accessory CFlow Plus analysis software (BD Biosciences).

For long-term viability assays, cells were precultured in a 48-well plate at a density of 1.5 × 10\textsuperscript{4} cells/well. Subsequently, cells were treated with 300 nM rLGALS9 in the presence or absence of 40 mM α-lactose or sucrose, and incubated for 96 h. Then MTS (CellTiter 96\textsuperscript{®} AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation; Promega, G3580) was added to the culture medium (10% [v/v]) and time span, with or without inhibitors, and subsequently harvested using trypsin. ANXA5 staining was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Immunotools, 31490013). In brief, cells were washed once with cold calcium binding buffer and resuspended in calcium buffer containing ANXA5-FITC. After incubation for 10 min at 4°C, PS exposure was analyzed by flow cytometry using a BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and accessory CFlow Plus analysis software (BD Biosciences).
and incubated at 37°C. A maximum death control was induced by treating cells with 20% v/v ethanol. MTS readout was performed by measuring absorbance at 490 nanometer (Versamax microplate reader, Molecular Devices). Absorbance of the maximum death control was subtracted from all values, and cell viability was calculated as percentage of medium control.

**Colony-forming and sphere assays**

For colony-forming assays, cells were harvested as a single cell suspension using trypsin. BD Matrigel™ (Basement Membrane Matrix; BD bioscience, 354234) was thawed on ice, and RPMI medium supplemented with 10% v/v fetal calf serum was cooled down to 0°C. Per condition, cells were mixed to reach a final solution of 5 × 10^3 cells in medium containing 3% v/v Matrigel and 300 nM rLGALS9 per well. All conditions were performed in triplicate. Then, cells were placed at 37°C resulting in solidification of the Matrigel, and incubated for 96 h. To quantify colony formation, pictures were taken (minimum of 3 fields of view (FOV) per well, Evos digital inverted microscope), and the number of colonies was counted.

For sphere-forming assays, tumor cells (2 × 10^3) were cultured in the absence or presence of the indicated concentrations of rLGALS9 in low adherent culture plates (96-wells; Costar, CLS3474). To quantify colony formation, pictures were taken after 1 wk of incubation (minimum 3 FOV per well, Evos digital inverted microscope), and the number of spheres was counted.

**Fluorescence microscopy**

To determine rLGALS9 uptake and transport, cells were precultured in 8-well borosilicate chamber slides (Lab-Tek II Chambered Coverglass w/Cover 1.5 8 Well; Thermo Scientific, NNU#155409-PK) at a density of 2 × 10^4 cells/well. Subsequently, cells were transduced with Bacmam CellLight® Fluorescent Protein Constructs RAB5A-GFP or RAB7A-GFP (Life Technologies, C10586 and C10588), and treated with DyLight-coupled rLGALS9 (rLGALS9–594). For other cell compartmental markers, cells were treated with rLGALS9–594 and counterstained with anti-LAMP2 (Abcam, Ab37024) and appropriate secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa Fluor-488 (Life Technologies, A11034). For cell membrane staining, anti-EPCAM (Stem Cell Technologies, 854504), anti-LC3 (Abcam, Ab18888), and appropriate secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 were used. Similar protocols were used in combination with various inhibitors. In the case of intracellular antibody staining, cells were fixed with 4% w/v paraformaldehyde (PFA) and nuclei were stained with DAPI (Sigma Aldrich, D9542). Live-cell imaging was performed on the Solamere Nipkow Confocal Live Cell Imaging system, whereas Z-stacks were obtained using a Leica SP8 Confocal microscope. All fluorescence images and stacks were analyzed using ImageJ software (for colocalization analysis, the Pearson’s Correlation plug-in was used) or Leica application suite.

To determine the size of the nucleus, cells were precultured in a 48-well plate at a density of 2–3 × 10^4 cells/well and treated with rLGALS9. Subsequently, cells were fixed with 4% PFA, and nuclei were stained using DAPI. After 3 washes with PBS, nuclei were evaluated by fluorescence microscopy (Leica) and nuclear size was determined using ImageJ particle analysis plug-ins.

For acridine orange, monodansylcadaverine, LysoTracker staining and cyto-ID, were preincubated in a 48-well plate at a density of 2–3 × 10^4 cells/well. After treatment with rLGALS9, acridine orange (1 μg/ml, Sigma Aldrich, A9231), monodansylcadaverine (30 μM, Sigma Aldrich, 30432), LysoTracker® Red DND-99 (1 μM, Life Technologies, L-7528) or cyto-ID Hoechst/Hoechst (Cyto-ID autophagy detection kit; Enzo life sciences, ENZ-51031–0050) was added to the culture medium and subsequently incubated for 30 min at 37°C. Excess dye was removed by 3 repetitive washings using PBS. Each staining was visualized by fluorescence microscopy (Leica) and pictures of each condition were made (minimal n = 3). The corrected total cell fluorescence (CTCF) of LysoTracker signal was determined using ImageJ software. To determine integrity of lysosomes/autophagosomes, cells were stained with acridine orange before rLGALS9 treatment. Of note, pseudocolors were used for all fluorescence microscopic images included in the paper.

**Western blot analysis and ELISAs**

Cells were precultured in 6-well plates at a density of 3 × 10^4. Subsequently, cells were treated with rLGALS9 (300 nM) or chloroquine (100 μM) for the indicated time span. Cell lysates were prepared using lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris, pH 7.2, 20 mM EDTA, 20 mM EGTA, 1% NP-40 [Roche, 12879500], 0.1% SDS [Sigma, L6026]; containing Na2VO4 [Sigma, 450243] and protease inhibitor cocktail [Sigmafast; Sigma Aldrich, S8820]), and protein concentration was determined using the Bradford protein assay (Bio-Rad, 500–0006). For each sample, 15–30 μg total protein was loaded onto SDS-PAGE gels (10, 12 or 15%) for electrophoresis. Subsequently proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham Hybond ECL; GE Healthcare, RPN303D). After blocking in 5% (w/v) milk powder/PBS, proteins were detected using primary antibodies against PKCs (Phospho-PKC Antibody Sampler Kit; Cell Signaling Technology, 9921), LC3B (LC3B Antibody Kit for Autophagy, Life Technologies, L10382), CASP3/Caspase-3 (Cell Signaling Technology, 9665), phospho-MTOR (serine 2448; Abcam, Ab109268), or phospho-RPS6KB1/p70S6K1 (threonine 389, Abcam, Ab126818) and appropriate secondary HRP-conjugated antibodies (Dako, P0448). ACTB/β-actin (Directly HRP-conjugated; Abcam, Ab49900) or TUBA/α-tubulin (Acetyl-TUBA, Directly HRP-conjugated, Cell Signaling Technology, (Lys40) (D20G3), 5335) staining were used to assess protein loading. Blots were developed using chemiluminescent substrate (SuperSignal West Dura, Thermo scientific, Life Technologies, 34075), and X-ray films (Super RX, Fujifilm, 47410 19230) or analysis on the ChemiDoc MP system (Bio-Rad). Quantification of detected protein levels was performed using the ImageJ tool for gel analysis.

The lysates used for SQSTM1 ELISAs were prepared as described for western blot analysis. The SQSTM1 ELISAs were
performed following the manufacturer’s protocol (Enzo Lifesciences, ADI-900–212–0001).

In vivo animal experiment
Experiments involving animals were approved by the Committee for Research and Animal Ethics of the UMC. Male athymic mice (Hsd:Athymic-Nude-Foxn1nu, Harlan, The Netherlands) were housed in individual ventilated cages, with ad libitum sterilized water and food, in a 12 h/12 h day and night rhythm. After an acclimatization period of 1 wk, subcutaneous tumors were inoculated by injecting 5 × 10^5 DLD-1 cells in 100 μl BD MatrigelTM. Tumor growth was monitored by measuring tumor volume using a caliper (l-h-w, 0.5234). When the tumor reached a mean volume of 0.1 cm^3, animals were stratified over different groups (n = 5 per group), and rLGAL9 treatment was started. Treatments with rLGAL9 or PBS control were performed 3 times a wk by intraperitoneal injections. When the tumor reached a size of 1.5 cm^3, animals were terminated.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer post-test or by 2-sided Student t test using Prism software. P < 0.05 was defined as a statistically significant difference. Where indicated * = P < 0.05; ** = P < 0.01; *** = P < 0.001. All graphs show average +/- standard deviation, unless stated otherwise.
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