

University of Groningen

Holism and reductionism in biology and ecology

Looijen, Richard

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

1998

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Looijen, R. (1998). *Holism and reductionism in biology and ecology: The mutual dependence of higher and lower level research programmes*. s.n.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

RIJKSUNIVERSITEIT GRONINGEN

Holism and Reductionism in Biology and Ecology
The mutual dependence of higher and lower level research
programmes

Proefschrift

ter verkrijging van het doctoraat in de
Wijsbegeerte
aan de Rijksuniversiteit Groningen
op gezag van de
Rector Magnificus, dr. F. van der Woude,
in het openbaar te verdedigen op
donderdag 9 april 1998
des namiddags te 4.15 uur

door

Richard Christiaan Looijen

geboren op 27 augustus 1956
te Ifar (West Irian)

Eerste promotor: Prof. dr. T.A.F. Kuipers
Tweede promotor: Prof. dr. J. van Andel

HOLISM AND REDUCTIONISM IN BIOLOGY AND ECOLOGY

The mutual dependence of higher and lower level research programmes

© 1998, Rick Looijen

Voorkant: het verhaal van de vier blinden en de olifant; ontwerp: Joan Looijen, Rick Looijen,
Ubel Smid

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	11
CHAPTER 1	
GENERAL INTRODUCTION	13
1.1 The holism-reductionism dispute	13
1.2 Division of the book	15
CHAPTER 2	
HOLISM AND REDUCTIONISM IN BIOLOGY	23
2.1 Introduction	23
2.2 The reduction problem in biology	24
2.2.1 The hierarchical structure of nature	24
2.2.2 Complex hierarchy	26
2.3 Epistemological aspects of the problem	27
2.3.1 Reduction and the unity of science	29
2.3.2 Autonomism versus provincialism	29
2.4 Ontological aspects	30
2.4.1 Materialism and causal determinism	30
2.4.2 Vitalism versus constitutive reductionism	31
2.4.3 'Ontological' reductionism: atomism	32
2.4.4 Ontological holism: emergentism and organicism	33
2.5 Methodological aspects	35
2.6 Ethical aspects	36
2.7 Concluding remarks: radical, moderate and anti-reductionist research strategies	37
CHAPTER 3	
REDUCTION OF LAWS AND THEORIES	41
3.1 Introduction	41
3.2 Nagel's general reduction model	42
3.2.1 Homogeneous reductions	42
3.2.2 Heterogeneous reductions	44
3.3 Kuipers's reduction model	45
3.3.1 The reduction of the ideal gas law	46
3.3.2 Explanation and reduction steps	47
3.3.3 Types of reduction	49
3.4 Concluding remarks	55
3.4.1 Epistemological versus ontological reduction	55
3.4.2 Eliminative versus non-eliminative reduction	55

CHAPTER 4

REDUCTION OF CONCEPTS	57	
4.1	Introduction	57
4.2	Ontological identity relations	57
4.2.1	Identities versus correlations	57
4.2.2	Criteria for ontological identity relations	58
4.2.3	Thing-identities and attribute-identities	59
4.2.4	Types and tokens	59
4.2.5	Type-identities and token-identities	60
4.3	Ontological identities versus micro-reductions	61
4.3.1	Introduction	61
4.3.2	An example: 'water = H ₂ O'	61
4.3.3	Constitutive reductionism and relations between wholes and parts	63
4.3.4	Causal explanation and substitution	64
4.3.5	Other examples	65
4.4	Ontological identities versus ontological reduction	66
4.4.1	Introduction	66
4.4.2	Epistemological verificationism	67
4.4.3	Things, concepts, and terms	67
4.4.4	Epistemological reduction	68
4.5	Conclusions	69

CHAPTER 5

EMERGENCE, REDUCTION AND CO-OPERATING RESEARCH PROGRAMMES	71	
5.1	Introduction	71
5.2	The doctrine of emergence	71
5.2.1	The whole is more than the sum of its parts	71
5.2.2	Emergent evolution	72
5.2.3	Empirical and epistemological claims	73
5.3	Emergence and reduction: a contradiction?	74
5.3.1	Emergent properties	74
5.3.2	Emergence: a trivial thesis?	76
5.3.3	Reduction of emergent 'properties'	77
5.4	Ontological and epistemological aspects of emergence and reduction	78
5.4.1	Emergence versus ontological identity	78
5.4.2	Reduction without levelling	80
5.5	Methodological aspects of reduction	81
5.5.1	Analysis versus synthesis?	81
5.5.2	Co-operating research programmes	82
5.5.3	Partial reduction	83
5.6	Summary and conclusion	84

CHAPTER 6

CO-OPERATING RESEARCH PROGRAMMES: REDUCTION OF THE BOHR EFFECTS

6.1	Introduction	85
6.2	Oxygen uptake by the blood	85
6.2.1	The Bohr effect	85
6.2.2	The role of hemoglobin	86
6.2.3	Allosteric effects	87
6.3	Reconstruction	88
6.3.1	Co-operating research programmes	88
6.3.2	Reduction steps	90
6.3.3	Application or correlation?	92
6.3.4	Emergence	93
6.4	Concluding remarks	95
6.4.1	Reduction: construction or reconstruction?	95
6.4.2	'Holism' and 'reductionism'	96
6.4.3	Partial reduction	97
6.4.4	The function of hemoglobin	98

CHAPTER 7

FUNCTIONAL EXPLANATIONS IN BIOLOGY 99

7.1	Introduction	99
7.2	Analyses of functional explanations	100
7.2.1	Functions, goals and intentions	100
7.2.2	Examples of functional explanation	101
7.2.3	Functions, causes and effects	102
7.2.4	The standard analyses of Nagel and Hempel	103
7.2.5	Kuipers's non-standard analysis	105
7.2.6	Are functional explanations deductive arguments?	106
7.3	The problem of functional equivalents	108
7.3.1	The specificity of biological laws	108
7.3.2	Functional equivalents are irrelevant	109
7.3.3	Functional properties as INUS-conditions	110
7.4	The unity of causal and functional explanations	111
7.4.1	The emergence of functional properties	111
7.4.2	Functional properties as adaptations	112
7.4.3	Natural selection and the principle of functionality	113
7.4.4	Exceptions to the functionality principle?	114
7.4.5	Co-operating research programmes	115
7.5	Conclusions	116

CHAPTER 8

THE REDUCTION PROBLEM IN ECOLOGY	121	
8.1	Introduction	121
8.2	Holism and reductionism	122
8.2.1	Radical holism	123
8.2.2	Radical reductionism	126
8.2.3	The moderate position	127
8.2.4	Summary of approaches	129
8.3	The intellectual immaturity of ecology	130
8.3.1	Reduction and co-operation	130
8.3.2	The anomalous status of ecology	131
8.3.3	The inhibitory effect of the holism-reductionism dispute	132
8.4	Remainder of the book	133

CHAPTER 9

ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES: CONCEPTUAL PROBLEMS AND DEFINITION	135	
9.1	Introduction	135
9.2	The problem of ambiguity	136
9.2.1	Different concepts of community	136
9.2.2	Holistic and reductionistic views	137
9.2.3	Communities and biocoenoses	138
9.2.4	Interactions between species	139
9.3	The boundary problem and the problem of heterogeneity	141
9.3.1	The boundary problem	141
9.3.2	The problem: communities as groups of populations	143
9.3.3	Boundary communities	144
9.3.4	The problem of heterogeneity	145
9.3.5	The solution: communities as sets of individuals	147
9.4	Possible objections to the solution	148
9.4.1	Structure and classification of communities	149
9.4.2	Community dynamics	150
9.5	An empirical example	152
9.5.1	Salt marsh plant communities	153
9.5.2	Conceptual schemes	154
9.6	Animal communities	157
9.7	Conclusion	157

CHAPTER 10

THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN HABITAT AND NICHE	159	
10.1	Introduction	159
10.2	Habitat	160
10.2.1	Different concepts of environment	160
10.2.2	Different concepts of habitat	161
10.2.3	Problems of ambiguity	163
10.2.4	Habitat and biotope	164
10.3	Niche	166
10.3.1	Different niche concepts	166
10.3.2	Hutchinson's multidimensional niche	167

10.3.3	Elton's functional niche	169
10.3.4	The resource niche and its difference from habitat	169
10.3.5	Partial niches	170
10.3.6	Empty niches	171
10.4	Habitat differentiation and niche differentiation	173
10.4.1	Spatial and 'functional' differentiation	173
10.4.2	Competition, coexistence, and displacement	174
10.5	Conclusions	176

CHAPTER 11

THE REDUCTION OF THE LOTKA/VOLTERRA COMPETITION MODEL TO MODERN NICHE THEORY 177

11.1	Introduction	177
11.2	Intra- and interspecific competition	177
11.2.1	The logistic model of population growth: intraspecific competition	177
11.2.2	Limitations of the logistic model	179
11.2.3	The Lotka/Volterra model: interspecific competition	180
11.2.4	Predictions of the Lotka/Volterra model	181
11.3	Modern niche theory: predation and competition	184
11.3.1	Reduction of the Lotka/Volterra model	184
11.3.2	Coexistence through niche differentiation	185
11.3.3	Niche differentiation or habitat differentiation?	188
11.3.4	Coexistence without niche differentiation	188
11.3.5	Exploitation strategies	189
11.3.6	Niche breadth, niche overlap and the intensity of competition	190
11.4	Other niche models	191
11.4.1	Multiple level models	191
11.4.2	Tilman's resource-ratio model	193
11.4.3	Niche differentiation or balanced competitive abilities?	196
11.5	Conclusions	198

CHAPTER 12

IDEALIZATION AND CONCRETIZATION IN ISLAND BIOGEOGRAPHY 199

12.1	Introduction	199
12.2	The theory of island biogeography	199
12.2.1	Area effect and distance effect	199
12.2.2	MacArthur and Wilson's equilibrium model	200
12.2.3	Testing and evaluation of the model	203
12.3	Reduction of the equilibrium model	205
12.3.1	Idealization and concretization	205
12.3.2	Co-operating research programmes	207
12.4	Conclusions	208

CHAPTER 13		
THE INHIBITORY EFFECT OF THE HOLISM-REDUCTIONISM DISPUTE: A CONTROVERSY IN ISLAND BIOGEOGRAPHY AND ITS SOLUTION	211
13.1	Introduction	211
13.2	The competition programme	212
13.2.1	The structure of communities	212
13.2.2	Incidence functions	212
13.2.3	Assembly rules	214
13.2.4	Diffuse competition	216
13.3	The 'null' programme	217
13.3.1	The ghost of competition past and naive falsificationism	217
13.3.2	The null hypothesis: random matrices	217
13.3.3	Tautologies	219
13.4	Reply	220
13.4.1	Obsolete philosophy	220
13.4.2	Restriction to guilds	220
13.4.3	Hidden structure	221
13.4.4	An alternative null model	221
13.5	Rethorics	222
13.5.1	The guild that doesn't take stones into the gizzard	222
13.5.2	Degenerate matrices and overweight people	223
13.6	The great misunderstanding	225
13.6.1	Results of the controversy	225
13.6.2	The domain of competition theory	225
13.6.3	Holism and reductionism	226
13.7	Other factors in the controversy	228
13.7.1	Ecology and nature conservation	228
13.7.2	Professional interests	229
13.7.3	Competition as ideology	230
13.8	Conclusions	231
EPILOGUE	233
SUMMARY	237
SAMENVATTING	243
REFERENCES	251

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work that has led up to this book was performed in almost complete isolation. It would not have been possible, however, without the aid of my parents, who decided to support me at a time when I didn't even have a chance to object, and who continued to support me ever afterwards.

Nor would it have been possible without the continuous support, advice and encouragement of my two supervisors, Theo Kuipers and Jelte van Andel. I have learned a lot from them and I am very grateful to both of them.

I am also very grateful to Lex Guichard, for both his friendship and the many stimulating conversations and discussions we had. Lex is a true philosopher and he has taught me a lot about philosophical issues, especially in the field of epistemology.

I thank all the members of the Laboratory of Plant Ecology, who in one way or the other helped me in completing the work. I am particularly grateful to Ab Grootjans for his enthusiasm about my work on communities.

I also thank the members of the PCCP (Promotion Club Cognitive Patterns) at the time when I was involved, for the interesting and pleasant meetings we had.

I owe many thanks to Ernst Oosterveld and Henny van der Windt, who played a considerable role in the writing of chapter 13. Along with Ernst and Henny, my other friends and members of the working group 'Ecology and Nature Conservation', Wim Wiersinga and Teo Wams, have also been very helpful in shaping my thoughts.

A warm word of thanks goes to my sister, Joan Looijen, and to my dear old friend, Jørn Zeiler, who were gladly willing to act as my paranimphs. Joan also helped me in various ways with computer stuff and I owe her a lot.

I would also like to express my gratitude to the members of the reading committee, Wim van der Steen, Jos Wessels and Erik Krabbe, who responded in such a stimulating way to my manuscript. Erik Krabbe gave a great many suggestions for improvement of the manuscript.

Finally, I am very grateful to the Dutch Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) for providing me with the financial support to carry out my investigations.