Associative learning elicits the formation of multiple-synapse boutons
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The formation of new synapses has been suggested to underlie learning and memory. However, previous work from this laboratory has demonstrated that hippocampus-dependent associative learning does not induce a net gain in the total number of hippocampal synapses and, hence, a net synaptogenesis. The aim of the present work was to determine whether associative learning involves a specific synaptogenesis confined to the formation of multiple-synapse boutons (MSBs) that synapse with more than one dendritic spine. We used the behavioral paradigm of trace eyeblink conditioning, which is a hippocampus-dependent form of associative learning. Conditioned rabbits were given daily 80-trial sessions to a criterion of 80% conditioned responses in a session. During each trial, the conditioned stimulus (tone) and the unconditioned stimulus (corneal airpuff) were presented with an intervening trace interval of 500 msec. Brain tissue was taken for morphological analyses 24 hr after the last session. Unbiased stereological methods were used for obtaining estimates of the total number of MSBs in the stratum radiatum of hippocampal subfield CA1. The results showed that the total number of MSBs was significantly increased in conditioned rabbits as compared with pseudoconditioned or unstimulated controls. This conditioning-induced change, which occurs without a net synaptogenesis, reflects a specific synaptogenesis resulting in MSB formation. Models of the latter process are proposed. The models postulate that it requires spine motility and may involve the relocation of existing spines from nonactivated boutons or the outgrowth of newly formed spines for specific synaptogenesis with single-synapse boutons activated by the conditioning stimulation.
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More than a century ago, cellular mechanisms of learning and memory were postulated to include both the establishment of new synaptic connections and the restructuring of existing ones to make them more efficacious (Ramón y Cajal, 1893; Tanzi, 1893). Subsequent electron microscopic studies have indicated that both types of synaptic alteration may occur in pertinent regions of the vertebrate brain as a consequence of behavioral learning (for review, see Greenough and Bailey, 1988; Bailey and Kandel, 1993; Andersen and Soleng, 1998; Klintsova and Greenough, 1999; Geinisman, 2000). However, some studies have failed to demonstrate a learning-related increase in total synapse number on the basis of analyses of samples from the entire synaptic population of a given brain region (for review, see Geinisman, 2000). This suggests that a net synaptogenesis may not necessarily underlie the formation of memories after the learning of some behavioral tasks. It is conceivable that in such cases, learning-induced synaptogenesis may be confined to rearranging only a specific subset of synaptic connections to establish a memory trace.

A specific synaptogenesis of this kind may involve the formation of multiple-synapse boutons (MSBs). Such boutons form separate synapses with two or more postsynaptic elements instead of only one synapse with a single postsynaptic element, as is the case for single-synapse boutons. The results of earlier studies indicate that the incidence of MSBs is increased in rat cerebellar and motor cortices after acquisition of complex motor skills (Federmeier et al., 1994; Jones et al., 1999) and in the visual cortex of rats housed in a complex environment (Jones et al., 1997). It has also been demonstrated (Toni et al., 1999) that the proportion of MSBs is increased after the induction of hippocampal long-term potentiation (LTP), which is widely regarded as a synaptic model of memory (Bliss and Collingridge, 1993).

In light of these observations, we decided to explore the possibility that hippocampus-dependent associative learning, which does not alter the total number of synapses in the CA1 stratum radiatum (Geinisman et al., 2000) and, hence, does not involve a net synaptogenesis, nevertheless induces a specific synaptogenesis leading to the formation of MSBs. For this purpose, we reexamined electron micrographs obtained in our previous study (Geinisman et al., 2000). In that study, the behavioral paradigm of trace eyeblink conditioning was used. Lesions of the hippocampus have been shown to prevent acquisition of the trace eyeblink conditioned response in rabbits (Solomon et al., 1986; Moyer et al., 1990; Kim et al., 1995). Moreover, electrophysiological recordings made from rabbit hippocampal slices have revealed that trace eyeblink conditioning is accompanied by increases in the synaptic responsiveness (Power et al., 1997; Geinisman et al., 2000) and postsynaptic excitability (Disterhoft et al., 1986; Moyer et al., 1996) of CA1 pyramidal neurons. Therefore, in the present study we determined whether additional MSBs are formed in the CA1 stratum radiatum of those rabbits that acquired the trace
eyeblink conditioned response. We provide evidence for a conditioning-induced increase in the total number of MSBs in the synaptic layer examined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental animals and behavioral training. Female New Zealand albino rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus) of 8–10 weeks of age were purchased from Hazelton Rabbitry (Denver, PA). All procedures related to the care and treatment of animals were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Northwestern University and performed in accordance with the guidelines of the National Institutes of Health. Rabbits were trained in pairs in individual sound-attenuated chambers and received either trace eyeblink conditioning or, as a control, pseudoconditioning according to an established experimental protocol (Thompson et al., 1996; Moyer et al., 2000). Conditioned rabbits were given daily training sessions, each consisting of 80 trials with a randomly determined intertrial interval between 30 and 60 sec, which was adjusted to give a mean of 45 sec. During each trial, the conditioned stimulus (CS; 100 msec, 85 dB, 6 kHz tone) not only preceded the unconditioned stimulus (US; 150 msec, 3.0 psi corneal airpuff) but was also separated from it by a stimulus-free, or “trace,” interval of 500 msec. An eyeblink response was considered to be conditioned if it occurred after CS onset and before US onset. Conditioned animals were trained until they reached a learning criterion of 80% conditioned responses during a training session. A total of 5–10 sessions were required to reach criterion. Pseudoconditioned rabbits received explicitly unpaired presentations of the same CS and US that were used in conditioning. Each daily session of pseudoconditioning consisted of 80 CS-alone and 80 US-alone trials. Both the CS and the US were administered in pseudorandom order at a variable intertrial interval that averaged 22.5 sec. The timing of each stimulus was determined independently to ensure the same probability of the CS preceding the US and of the US preceding the CS. Pseudoconditioned rabbits were session-matched with their conditioned counterparts to control for nonspecific effects such as sensitization. The animals were coded and transcendicularly perfused for microscopy 24 hr after the last training session.

Tissue preparation. The protocols for perfusion fixation and tissue processing, as well as for unbiased stereological sampling and counting, have been described in detail earlier (Geinisman et al., 1996, 2000), and only a brief account of these procedures is given below. Animals were deeply anesthetized with a combination of xylazine (6 mg/kg, i.m.) and ketamine hydrochloride (45 mg/kg, i.m.), followed 15 min later by pentobarbital sodium (75 mg/kg, i.m.). They were then transcendicularly perfused with the following consecutively administered solutions: PBS containing 0.05% paraformaldehyde (1% paraformaldehyde, 1.25% glutaraldehyde, and 0.02 mM CaCl₂ in 0.12 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.3), and the same fixative at twice the aldehyde concentration. The left hippocampal formation was dissected free and physically straightened to diminish its natural curvature, which produced no apparent mechanical damage to the fixed tissue and did not cause alterations in the microstructure of tissue components that were tissue treated without this manipulation. The straightened hippocampal formation was cut, perpendicular to its septotemporal axis, into 11–14 consecutive slabs, each 1.5 mm thick. The position of the first cut within the first 1.5 mm interval from the septal pole was selected randomly, and the subsequent cuts were placed at a uniform interval from each other. The slabs were treated with OsO₄, dehydrated in ethanol solutions of increasing concentrations, and flat-embedded in Araldite.

Estimation of the total volume of the CA1 stratum radiatum. This procedure was performed at the light microscopic level according to the Cavalieri principle. The thickness of embedded slabs was measured in an inverted microscope at a final magnification of 18×. Then, 3-μm-thick sections were prepared from the septal face of tissue slabs, stained with Azur II, and used to estimate the area of sectional profiles of the CA1 stratum radiatum by point counting. The volume of CA1 stratum radiatum was calculated as the product of the sum of profile areas and the mean thickness of embedded slabs.

Stereological sampling and counting of MSBs. In each animal, MSBs were sampled in six fields that were positioned randomly along the septotemporal axis and in a systematic random manner along the other two (two dendritic and one cell body-apical dendrite) axes of the CA1 stratum radiatum. A total of 31–38 consecutive ultrathin sections were prepared from each sampling field and used to obtain electron micrographs (final magnification, 20,000×). Each micrograph series was assigned a code number to be decoded after completion of all analyses.

Initially, MSBs were identified on micrographs of serial sections as single axonal swellings that contained synaptic vesicles and formed synapses with two or more dendritic spines. An apposition between an MSB and a spine was classified as a synapse if the spine exhibited a postsynaptic density. Then MSBs were counted with dissectors. Each dissector consisted of electron micrographs of two adjacent serial sections. The mean area of the dissector counting frame was 80.0 μm². The mean dissector height, i.e., the thickness of a reference section, was 0.080 μm, as estimated with Small's technique of minimal folds (Royet, 1991). Thus, the mean dissector volume was equal to 6.40 μm³. From each section series, 15 consecutive sections were selected with a random start from section 3, 4, or 5 and used as reference sections of dissectors. The last 12–20 sections of each series were not used as reference sections to ensure that all counted MSBs were included in their entirety in the section series. Sections immediately preceding the reference ones were used as look-up sections of dissectors. An MSB was counted if its profile was seen on a reference section micrograph but was not observed on a look-up section micrograph. A sample of 32–53 MSBs (mean = 45) was obtained from each animal.

Estimation of the numerical density and the total number of MSBs in the CA1 stratum radiatum. The numerical density of MSBs per unit volume was calculated as their mean number counted per dissector divided by the mean dissector volume. The parameter of total MSB number was calculated as the product of CA1 stratum radiatum volume and the numerical density of MSBs.

RESULTS

Total MSB number is increased in the CA1 stratum radiatum of conditioned rabbits relative to pseudoconditioned controls

Examination of electron micrographs of the rabbit CA1 stratum radiatum revealed that some presynaptic boutons made synapses with two or more postsynaptic elements. A typical MSB in this layer is a single presynaptic bouton that forms separate synapses with two spine heads (Fig. 1). Such MSBs can be unequivocally identified only in serial sections because in single sections they usually exhibit synapses with one spine (Fig. 1A,C) and less frequently with two spines (Fig. 1B). MSBs synapsing with a dendritic shaft and a spine were encountered extremely rarely because axodendritic synapses constitute only ~2% of the entire synaptic population of the CA1 stratum radiatum (Geinisman et al., 2000). Therefore, only those MSBs that formed synapses exclusively with spines were quantified. The results showed that the total number of MSBs was increased by 18.1% in the group of conditioned rabbits as compared with the pseudoconditioned group (Table 1) and that this change was statistically significant (Table 1) and that this change was statistically significant (df = 5, p = 0.0195, two-tailed randomization test for matched pairs (Siegel, 1956)).

Total MSB number is increased in the CA1 stratum radiatum of conditioned rabbits relative to untrained controls

The difference in MSB number between the two groups of animals might be attributable to an increase in the conditioned rabbits or to a decrease in the pseudoconditioned ones. To clarify this issue, a separate group of five untrained control rabbits was examined. The estimates of the total MSB number obtained from individual untrained animals (1135, 1102, 1223, 923, and 1002 × 10⁶) were comparable with those obtained from the pseudoconditioned group (Table 1). Kruskal–Wallis one-way ANOVA by ranks (Siegel, 1956; Winer et al., 1991) on the differences among individual untrained controls (1135, 1102, 1223, 923, and 1002 × 10⁶) were comparable with those obtained from the pseudoconditioned group (Table 1) and that this change was statistically significant (p = 0.0112). After the overall Kruskal–Wallis test, comparisons between various groups that were taken two at a time were made with the Mann–Whitney U test (Winer et al., 1991). These comparisons revealed that the conditioned rabbits had significantly more MSBs as compared with either untrained
(U = 6.00, Z = −2.20, p = 0.0278) or pseudoconditioned (U = 10.00, Z = −2.69, p = 0.00708) controls. On the other hand, the two control groups did not differ significantly from each other with respect to total MSB number (U = 17.00, Z = −0.733, p = 0.463). Accordingly, the mean values (±SEM) of this parameter estimated for the groups of untrained and pseudoconditioned rabbits (1077 ± 52 and 1047 ± 28 × 10^6, respectively) differed by only 2.8%. These data indicate that the observed difference between conditioned and pseudoconditioned animals does reflect a conditioning-induced increase in the total number of MSBs.

**Synapse number per MSB is not affected by trace eyeblink conditioning**

Although MSBs in the rabbit CA1 stratum radiatum usually form synapses with two spines, some MSBs synapse with three spines, and on two occasions four postsynaptic spines were seen in contact with a single MSB. We addressed the question of whether trace eyeblink conditioning changes the number of axospinous synapses per MSB. Comparison of pseudoconditioned and conditioned rabbits showed that the mean numbers (±SEM) of axospinous synapses per MSB were the same for the two groups of animals (2.05 ± 0.01 and 2.05 ± 0.02, respectively).

**Trace eyeblink conditioning does not change the number of perforated axospinous synapses per MSB**

Axospinous synaptic contacts established by MSBs include perforated synapses, which exhibit a discontinuous profile of the postsynaptic density in at least one serial section, and nonperforated synapses that show a continuous postsynaptic density profile in all consecutive sections. The perforated subtype has been implicated in synaptic plasticity that is associated with behavioral learning and hippocampal LTP (for review, see Jones and Harris, 1995; Geinisman, 2000). We estimated the number of perforated synapses per MSB and found that the groups of pseudoconditioned and conditioned animals did not differ significantly on this measure [group means ± SEM were 0.375 ± 0.036 and 0.339 ± 0.035, respectively; p (α = 0.05) = 0.426, two-tailed randomization test for matched pairs].

**DISCUSSION**

**Associative learning involves a specific synaptogenesis that results in the formation of MSBs**

The major finding of this study is that trace eyeblink conditioning is accompanied by an increase in the total number of MSBs in the CA1 stratum radiatum. For MSBs to be formed, some axonal boutons and dendritic spines must make new synaptic contacts with each other. Therefore, the data presented here are consistent with the idea that hippocampus-dependent associative learning induces a specific synaptogenesis resulting in the formation of MSBs. It appears that this specific synaptogenesis, rather than a net synaptogenesis, supports successful learning of the trace eyeblink conditioned response because the conditioning does not increase the total number of synapses in the CA1 stratum radiatum (Geinisman et al., 2000).
MSB formation emerges as a general form of structural synaptic plasticity

The results of the present work are in accord with those of earlier studies showing that the addition of MSBs is associated with motor skill learning (Federmeier et al., 1994; Jones et al., 1999). These findings, taken together, indicate that various forms of learning may promote MSB formation. Interestingly, this morphological alteration is not unique to behavioral learning and related phenomena such as hippocampal LTP (Toni et al., 1999) and an exposure to enriched environments (Jones et al., 1997). The incidence of MSBs has been reported to increase in various regions of the CNS under conditions that induce plasticity: in the rat dentate gyrus for boutons of the crossed temporodentate pathway after lesions of the ipsilateral entorhinal cortex (Steward et al., 1988); in the rat hypothalamus as a consequence of lactation, dehydration, or partial deafferentation (for review, see Hatton, 1990); in the cat visual cortex after monocular visual deprivation in the case of boutons on geniculocortical axons that were driven from the nondeprived eye (Friedlander et al., 1991); in the CA1 stratum radiatum of adult female rats after estradiol treatment (Woolley et al., 1996); in the rat phrenic nucleus as a result of an ipsilateral C2 spinal cord hemisection (Tai et al., 1997) or a cold block of ipsilateral bulbospinal respiratory afferents (CastroMoore and Goshgarian, 1997); in the rat motor cortex after lesions of the contralateral sensorimotor cortex (Jones, 1999); in the rat CA1 stratum radiatum after maintenance of living hippocampal slices in vitro (Kirov et al., 1999); and in the rat striatum after an ablation of the ipsilateral frontal cortex (Meshul et al., 2000). These observations indicate that the formation of MSBs may represent a general form of structural synaptic plasticity. The data of the present study are consistent with this notion because they demonstrate that the phenomenon of MSB formation is also characteristic of associative learning.

Functional implications of the formation of MSBs induced by associative learning

The structural reorganization of synaptic connectivity that is described here may have different functional implications, depending on the origin of the postsynaptic spines contacting the newly formed MSBs (Harris, 1995; Woolley et al., 1996; Jones et al., 1997). It has been documented with the aid of three-dimensional reconstruction from serial ultrathin sections that individual MSBs in the CA1 stratum radiatum can synapse with spines arising from the same or different dendrites (Sorra and Harris, 1993). However, an LTP-induced increase in the proportion of activated boutons synapsing with two or more spines is essentially caused by the formation of those MSBs that synapse with spines originating from the same dendrite (Toni et al., 1999). In this study, we were unable to reliably trace many multiple spines to their dendritic origins and to obtain representative samples for quantitative analyses. It is not known, therefore, whether the MSBs that are newly formed after trace eyeblink conditioning make synapses with spines arising from the same dendrite. If this is the case, the strength of the conditioned synaptic input to target CA1 neurons may be amplified. If, however, the multiple postsynaptic spines synapsing with additional MSBs emanate from dendrites of different neighboring neurons, this may contribute to a synchronous activation of the latter and thus to the assembly of functional multineuronal units tuned to the synaptic input activated by conditioning stimulation. In either case, the effect of conditioning stimulation would be facilitated, as it would be by the learning-induced enlargement of nonperforated postsynaptic densities that presumably reflects an addition of AMPA receptors (Geinisman et al., 2000). It appears, therefore, that the conditioning may shape synaptic drive via both MSB formation and postsynaptic density remodeling.

Models of structural plasticity underlying the formation of MSBs after trace eyeblink conditioning

The addition of MSBs after hippocampus-dependent associative learning may reflect the establishment of synaptic contacts between either newly formed or existing presynaptic and postsynaptic elements. This suggests three models of structural plasticity that may underlie MSB formation after trace eyeblink conditioning (Fig. 2). For the purpose of simplicity, the following assumptions were incorporated into each model: (1) existing single-synapse boutons are transformed into MSBs by the conditioning, and (2) the spines that are postsynaptic to newly formed MSBs originate from the same dendrite. The essence of the models, however, is not affected by either assumption.
According to the first model (Fig. 2A), conditioning stimulation may induce the emergence of new spines and their outgrowth for a specific synaptogenesis with activated single-synapse boutons, probably in response to a signal emitted by the boutons. This model is supported by the data that were obtained by labeling live CA1 pyramidal neurons in cultured hippocampal slices with vital fluorescent markers and time-lapse two-photon imaging of their spines (Engert and Bonhoeffer, 1999; Maletic-Savatic et al., 1999). These experiments demonstrated that spines and their structural precursors, termed “dendritic filopodia,” are constantly formed as well as resorbed under normal conditions and that the process of new spine formation is markedly augmented by local high-frequency stimulation of dendrites that elicits LTP.

The second model (Fig. 2B) takes into account the observation made in our previous study (Geinisman et al., 2000) that the total number of axospinous synapses in the CA1 stratum radiatum is not altered by trace eyeblink conditioning (group means ± SEM for pseudoconditioned and conditioned rabbits were 22,851 ± 843 and 22,809 ± 911 synapses × 10^6, respectively). On the basis of the data of the present work, it can be estimated that the observed conditioning-induced increase in total MSB number results on the average in the addition of 388 ± 10^6 axospinous synapses that were formed by MSBs in each conditioned animal. This would increase the mean total number of axospinous synapses in the conditioned group by 1.7% as compared with the pseudoconditioned group. Although such a trend may be too small to be detected, it is also possible that the total number of axospinous synaptic contacts actually remains constant in the conditioned animals. In accordance with the latter possibility, the second model postulates that the establishment of synaptic contacts between newly formed spines and single-synapse boutons activated by conditioning stimulation is accompanied by the resorption of some postsynaptic spines contacting nonactivated boutons (Fig. 2B). In this case, some single-synapse boutons would not form synaptic contacts. Such boutons without synapses are indeed encountered in the CA1 stratum radiatum (Shepherd and Harris, 1998; our unpublished observations).

Another model (Fig. 2C), which would not involve a change in total synapse number, stems from the discovery of protrusive spine motility (for review, see Halpain, 2000; Matus, 2000; Segal and Andersen, 2000; Wong and Wong, 2000). A remarkable ability of spines to rapidly elongate or retract in cultured hippocampal slices is especially prominent during early postnatal development, but it is retained to a certain degree after the maturation of CA1 pyramidal neurons in slices obtained from developing animals and maintained in culture (Dailey and Smith, 1996; Dunaeysky et al., 1999). Accordingly, the third model posits that, after trace eyeblink conditioning, some postsynaptic spines contacting nonactivated boutons leave their presynaptic partners, relocate to boutons activated by conditioning stimulation, and synapse with them (Fig. 2C).

Further studies are necessary to ascertain which of the three models corresponds to reality. In any event, the data reported here provide evidence that trace eyeblink conditioning promotes the formation of MSBs. This process does not require a net synaptogenesis to take place; rather, it reflects a specific synaptogenesis leading to an increase in MSB number. The latter change translates into only a small (1.7%) increase in the total number of all synapses in the CA1 stratum radiatum, namely into an addition of 388 × 10^6 axospinous synapses to total synapse number, which was estimated to be 23,267 × 10^6 in pseudoconditioned rabbits (Geinisman et al., 2000). However, activation of no more than 3–5% of all synapses in the CA1 stratum radiatum can evoke discharge from virtually all CA1 pyramidal neurons (Andersen et al., 1980). The structural alteration reported here is, therefore, of a magnitude that appears to be sufficient for exerting a measurable facilitating effect on the synaptic responsiveness of CA1 pyramidal cells to conditioning stimulation. This would explain why a specific synaptogenesis resulting in the formation of MSBs could underlie the acquisition of the trace eyelblink conditioned response.
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