University of Groningen

Paving the way to modernity

Uebele, Martin; Gallardo Albarrán, Daniel

Published in:
Scandinavian Economic History Review

DOI:
[10.1080/03585522.2014.949840](https://doi.org/10.1080/03585522.2014.949840)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2015

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Uebele, M., & Gallardo Albarrán, D. (2015). Paving the way to modernity: Prussian roads and grain market integration in Westphalia, 1821-1855. *Scandinavian Economic History Review*, 63(1), 69-92.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03585522.2014.949840>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Paving the way to modernity: Prussian roads and grain market integration in Westphalia, 1821–1855

Martin Uebele^{a*} and Daniel Gallardo-Albarrán^b

^aFaculty of Arts, University of Groningen, Oude Kijk in 't Jatstraat 26, 9712 EK Groningen, The Netherlands; ^bFaculty of Economics and Business, University of Groningen, Nettelbosje 2, 9747 AE Groningen, The Netherlands

(Received 24 July 2013; accepted 21 July 2014)

This study investigates the relation of pre-railroad transport infrastructure on Westphalian grain market integration in the early nineteenth century. It is motivated by recently found indications of macroeconomic change in Prussia such as increased demand for labour, disappearance of positive Malthusian shocks and grain market integration. These coincide and correspond well with a number of institutional breaks such as border changes and state creation after the end of the Napoleonic Wars, liberal political reforms such as the abandoning of corporatist regulations in Prussia and substantial public investment in paved roads in a number of Prussian provinces. In this study, we show that (1) paved road connections mattered economically and statistically for bilateral rye and wheat price differences, (2) the usual dummy-variable approach to measure the effect of transport infrastructures proves to be unreliable and we propose a new indicator that takes into account the effects of the transport network, (3) the role of the early railroad connections is not robust. In this pilot study, we for the first time establish the correlation between economic development and pre-railroad transport infrastructure for a Prussian province, and thus call for more research on the causes of macroeconomic change in early nineteenth century Prussia.

Keywords: transport infrastructure; grain markets; Prussia; nineteenth century

1. Introduction

What are the origins and causes of modern economic growth? This question is at the centre of economic history research. Especially for latecomers such as Germany and frontier economies such as the USA, there is a certain unanimity in placing the second half of the nineteenth century as the period in which the breakthrough took place. As one of the main determinants, the railway had been singled out.¹

In the case of Germany however, recent research has shown that it experienced significant socio-economic development already before it industrialised properly. This process has been documented in terms of market integration, demography and labour demand and is summarised briefly in the next section.² This new branch of

*Corresponding author. Email: m.uebele@rug.nl

¹Fremdling, *Eisenbahnen* (1985); Gerschenkron, *Economic Backwardness* (1962); Fishlow, *American Railroads* (1965).

²Uebele, 'Market Integration' (2011); Pfister/Fertig, 'Population' (2010); Pfister et al., 'Real Wages' (2012).

nineteenth century research raises new questions related to the potential causes of these fundamental socio-economic changes on the European mainland. What role did new borders play after the Congress of Vienna in 1815? How much did Napoleonic reforms shape economic outcomes in formerly occupied states?³ Or alternatively, Prussian liberal reforms such as the *Gewerbefreiheit* that abolished corporatist structures of the *Ancien Régime*?⁴ Could demand for agricultural products from early industrialising England and the gradual repeal of the Corn Laws have changed the life on the continent for farmers or farm workers?⁵

In addition to these institutional changes, we observe substantial investments in transport infrastructure in many parts of Germany before 1850. The qualitative historical literature has for some time been highlighting the efforts Prussia put in building large networks of paved roads, especially in the regions of Westphalia and the Rhineland.⁶ In addition, they also point out their positive and partially ignored consequences for overland transport.⁷

An important part of the modern growth literature has reserved a significant role for transport infrastructures.⁸ Through lower transport costs (forward linkages) as well as through demand for building materials (backward linkages), transport infrastructure expands market boundaries, facilitates the exchange of ideas and increases labour mobility as well as regional specialisation of production.

In the vein of this reasoning, we will study the development of the paved road network in Westphalia during the first half of the nineteenth century. In this period, this network grew from 688 to 2075 km⁹ decreasing transport costs and increasing transport speed and capacity substantially.¹⁰ We aim at using information about the paved road network as the main explicative variable for market integration, one of the indicators of early nineteenth century economic development in Westphalia. Despite a similar approach for a Belgian region in the late eighteenth century,¹¹ no state-of-the-art quantitative analysis of this relationship has been undertaken for Germany so far.

We start with a model that relates half-annual rye and wheat prices from 31 cities in Westphalia during the period 1821–1855 to paved roads, railroads and canals. Moreover, we introduce a novel approach to measure their effects on trade. Instead of taking only the usual bimodal dummy approach, we also consider the total number of paved road (or railroad) connections a city pair had. This accounts for the network effects arising when cities gained additional paved road or railroad

³Acemoglu et al., ‘Consequences’ (2011).

⁴Wegner, ‘Capitalist Transformation’ (2013).

⁵Uebele, ‘Demand’ (2010); Sharp/Weisdorf, ‘French Revolution’ (2012); Federico, ‘Market Integration’ (2012).

⁶Voigt, *Verkehr* (1965), 433.

⁷Sälter, ‘Entwicklung’ (1917); Wischermann, ‘Chausseebau’ (1999).

⁸Hornung, ‘Railroads and Micro-Regional’ (2013); Banerjee et al., ‘Access’ (2012); Atack et al., ‘Railroads’ (2010); Keller/Shiue, ‘Institutions’ (2008); Donaldson/Hornbeck, ‘Railroads and American Economic Growth’ (2013), Kelly, ‘Dynamics’ (1997).

⁹Gador, ‘Entwicklung des Straßenbaues’ (1966), 62–3.

¹⁰Sälter, ‘Entwicklung’ (1917).

¹¹Buyst et al., ‘Road Expansion’ (2006) already documents large effects on trade costs and speeds of adjustment, even though the trade cost effects were taxed away completely.

connections as well as indirect effects for those cities that benefited from transport connections in neighbouring cities without having direct access to them. Our results are straightforward: firstly, we show that distance coefficients decreased between the 1820s and the 1840s by about 22% and 16% for rye and wheat, respectively. This indicates an important reduction of transport costs during our sample period. Secondly, we observe that the dummy-variable approach has serious shortcomings for measuring the effects of paved roads in our empirical analysis for two reasons. One is that the dummy variable is not significant or has the wrong sign for rye or it is just significant at the 10% level for rye during the 1831–1843 period. And the other is that in the case of wheat, the size of the coefficients is not stable from one period to another. On the other hand, our newly developed variable that accounts for the transport network effects is, after 1831, always significantly different from zero at the 1% level, has the right sign and is stable in size. And thirdly, we find no robust empirical evidence for the often-assumed positive role of railroads on Westphalian grain market integration for our sample period. This confirms the claim that initially, trains moved mainly high value-to-weight goods.¹²

We are confident that these results are witness to largely overlooked effects of transport infrastructures other than the railroad, and that they call for more research. We are aware that this does not answer the question of causality but merely indicates correlation. In the methodological section, we elaborate on this problem and indicate ways to deal with these issues in the future. However, we see this study as a pilot study whose main role is to document the gaps in the literature, and highlight the need for more and deeper research.

1.1. A fresh look at early nineteenth century economic development in Germany

In line with the latecomer hypothesis¹³ and the transport revolution,¹⁴ during the last decades the main focus of the literature concerning economic growth and transport infrastructures has remained on the second half of the nineteenth century, largely ignoring the first half and its consequences.

Recent investigations of early nineteenth century Germany have shown that not only did important institutional breaks take place, such as the creation of the German confederation or the Zollverein, but also macroeconomic changes happened that show economic development before the onset of the industrialisation. Three studies illustrate this new research focus.

Firstly, Pfister and Fertig use a new data-set of German national birth and death rates to investigate the evolution of Malthusian preventive and positive checks during the time period 1730–1870. Their results point out a weakening of Malthusian positive checks after the 1820s and the subsequent transition to a non-Malthusian demographic regime.¹⁵

Secondly, Pfister et al. estimate a structural time series model to analyse the relationship between newly developed real wage indices and population size.¹⁶ They

¹²Fremdling, *Eisenbahnen* (1985).

¹³Gerschenkron, *Economic Backwardness* (1962).

¹⁴O'Rourke/Williamson, *Globalization* (1999).

¹⁵See Pfister/Fertig, 'Population' (2010).

¹⁶Pfister et al., 'Real Wages' (2012).

find a sustained growth of labour productivity with a significant increase in labour demand already in the 1810s.¹⁷

And thirdly, synchronous price movements at a national and international level increased substantially during the second quarter of the nineteenth century.¹⁸ This increasing market integration might have contributed to alleviate the transfer of food surpluses to grain deficit areas, reducing the effect of local supply and demand shocks and allowing a greater regional specialisation of production (i.e. Smithian growth).

2. Method

2.1. Measuring market integration

Fremdling and Hohorst define market integration as ‘a process that takes up time, which creates an economic interdependence between spatially separated [markets]’.¹⁹ The interpretation of economic interdependence in the previous definition plays a crucial role in its theoretical analysis that can be static or dynamic.²⁰ In this study, we take a static approach by analysing spatial price level convergence over time (i.e. law of one price [LOP]).²¹

The LOP states that prices of identical goods in integrated markets take the same level. In order to apply this theory to spatial trade, transport costs need to be considered as follows:

$$P_i = P_j + T_{ij} \quad (1)$$

where P_i is the commodity price in market i , P_j the commodity price in market j and T_{ij} the transport costs between markets i and j (commodity points).

Assuming unidirectional flow of goods from j to i , the previous formula states that prices in market i equals price in market j plus transport costs. This equilibrium situation is maintained through the forces of arbitrage. Firstly, if the price of a certain commodity in i is higher than the price of the same commodity in j plus transport costs, this translates into profits for well-informed traders, who will ship goods from j to i . This decreases prices in i as supply increases, and increases prices in j as supply decreases. And secondly, if the left side of the equation is lower than the right side, this implies a demand decreases for products of market j . This causes a price increase in i because of a supply shortage and a price decrease in j because of a demand decrease.

¹⁷Although labour productivity grew further from the 1850s onwards, its growth rate underwent just a modest acceleration in comparison with the previous period.

¹⁸Uebele, ‘Market Integration’ (2011).

¹⁹Fremdling/Hohorst, ‘Marktintegration’ (1979), 58 use the term *individuals* instead of *markets*.

²⁰Static approaches draw mainly on price levels, see Federico, ‘European Markets’ (2011) and dynamic approaches based on relative price changes, see Uebele, ‘Market Integration’ (2011).

²¹This approach is in line with a number of previous studies such as Engel/Rogers, ‘How Wide?’ (1996); Ejrnaes/Persson, ‘Gains’ (2010); Shiue, ‘Political Fragmentation’ (2005); Schulze/Wolf, ‘Economic Nationalism’ (2012).

If we assume that trade takes place in both directions, the LOP states that:

$$|P_i - P_j| \leq T_{ij} \quad (2)$$

In case price differentials surpass transport costs, arbitrage takes place until they are equal or lower than transport costs. On the other hand, if price differentials are lower than transport costs, nothing happens, since there is no need for trade because both regions are self-sufficient.

If a third market k is introduced, and we assume that goods flow from i to j and from k to j , then:

$$|P_i - P_j| \leq T_{ij} \text{ and } |P_k - P_j| \leq T_{kj} \quad (3)$$

In this case, the following condition has to be fulfilled:²²

$$|P_i - P_k| \leq |T_{ij} + T_{kj}| \quad (4)$$

2.2. Development of grain market integration over time

One way to implement the LOP and track its development over time is the coefficient of variation (CoV).²³ It is defined as the standard deviation of all prices in a given year divided by the sample mean. This measure is dimensionless (i.e. different grain varieties can be compared) and comparable over time and across space. It is formally defined as follows:

$$\text{CoV}_t = \text{SD}_t / \mu_t = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_{it} - \mu_t)^2} / \mu_t \quad (5)$$

where x_{it} is the grain price of city i in year t , μ_t the average price of all cities in year t and N the number of observations.

The CoV measures price dispersion of city prices (x_i) with respect to their mean (μ_t) for the time period (t). Small values mean low price dispersion and indicate a low degree of market integration, whereas high values show a low degree of market integration.

2.3. Estimating the impact of paved roads on market integration

The transport infrastructure literature has been criticised for it often does not focus on the direction of causality, the problem of endogeneity. In order to solve this problem, the literature mainly draws on the instrumental variable approach.²⁴ This approach replaces the problematic variables with a new variable that addresses the two main sources of endogeneity in these studies, simultaneity and omitted variable bias. Simultaneity is the most common problem and it appears when the direction of

²²This condition can be tested for many markets.

²³Metzer, 'Railroad Development' (1974); Fremdling/Hohorst, 'Marktintegration' (1979).

²⁴Hornung, 'Railroads and Micro-Regional' (2012); Banerjee et al., 'Access' (2012); Atack et al., 'Railroads' (2010).

causality might be bidirectional. This means that investment decisions may have been made after considering the most promising projects. Thus, if a region develops favourably after receiving new roads, it is not clear if that was caused by the project or would have happened without it as well. On the other hand, omitted variable bias is caused by unobserved elements in the error term that are related to the explicative variables.

The main purpose of this study is to establish the correlation between paved roads and market integration because of the novelty of our research field. To investigate this relationship, we propose the following models:

$$PD_{ijt} = \beta_1 \text{Distance}_{ij} + \beta_2 \text{Road_Dummy}_{ijt} + \sum_{k=1}^K \theta_k \Upsilon_{kit} + \varepsilon_{ijt} \quad (6)$$

$$PD_{ijt} = \gamma_1 \text{Distance}_{ij} + \gamma_2 \text{Road_Network}_{ijt} + \sum_{k=1}^K \Phi_k \Upsilon_{kit} + e_{ijt} \quad (7)$$

where PD_{ijt} is the percentage grain price difference between cities i and j , Distance_{ij} is the log distance between two cities, Road_Dummy_{ijt} is a bilateral measure that shows if a city pair has access to the paved road network, $\text{Road_Network}_{ijt}$ is the sum of paved road connections to neighbouring cities of a city pair and ε_{ijt} together with e_{ijt} are independently and identically distributed error terms. Υ_{kij} is a matrix of control variables that include city fixed effects and time fixed effects. θ_k and Φ_k are vectors of coefficients (a detailed description of each variable is provided in Table 1).²⁵ We estimate the model with ordinary least squares (OLS).²⁶

We propose investigating the impact of paved roads using two different specifications for two reasons. Firstly, this allows for a direct comparison of the dummy and the network approaches. For example, if β_2 turns out to be insignificant while γ_2 is not, this can be interpreted as the inability of the dummy approach to capture other than direct effects of transport infrastructures and the suitability of our network approach. In the opposite case, this would empirically support the use of the classical approach to measure the effects of paved roads. Secondly, including both variables in the same regression might yield inconsistent estimates due to collinearity.

In a second step, we analyse the interplay of paved roads with other transport infrastructures (i.e. waterways and railroads) and test the robustness of the results for the estimations of Equations (6) and (7). In order to do this, we propose the

²⁵Whereas city fixed effects let us consider the features of each city in our regression individually, time fixed effects control for time trends.

²⁶The dependent variable contains zeros. This results in rejecting the null of normality using standard tests in all periods. The reason why we think OLS is still appropriate is that our dependent variable is not truncated but truly contains zeros. That is, the process generating zeros is the same process generating the non-zeros. This results from the non-continuous nature of grain prices denominated in *Silbergroschen*. We anyway tested Tobit models with a threshold value of 0.05 for all subperiods, reproducing the main results of Tables 1A and 2A in the appendix. The results can be obtained from the authors upon request.

Table 1. Variables in the model.

Variable (I)	Mean (II)	Standard deviation (III)	Min (IV)	Max (V)	Variable description (VI)
PD_Rye _{ijt}	0.12	0.13	0	2.1	Absolute value of the log difference of rye prices between cities <i>i</i> and <i>j</i> in period <i>t</i>
PD_Wheat _{ijt}	0.1	0.1	0	1.5	Absolute value of the log difference of wheat prices between cities <i>i</i> and <i>j</i> in period <i>t</i>
Distance _{ij}	4.23	0.59	1.81	5.33	Log linear distance between cities <i>i</i> and <i>j</i> in km
Road_Dummy _{ijt}	0.31	0.46	0	1	If both cities have access to the road network in year <i>t</i> it gets 1, 0 otherwise
Road_Networks _{ijt}	3.20	2.47	0	12	Joint sum of road connections to neighbouring cities of cities <i>i</i> and <i>j</i> in period <i>t</i>
Water_Dummy _{ij}	0.09	0.29	0	1	If a city has access to a waterway it gets 1, 0 otherwise
Rail_Dummy _{ijt}	0.04	0.19	0	1	If a city has access to the railway network in year <i>t</i> it gets 1, 0 otherwise
Rail_Network _{ijt}	0.41	1.03	0	7	Joint sum of railway connections to neighbouring cities of cities <i>i</i> and <i>j</i> in period <i>t</i>

Note: All variables refer to city pairs.

following specifications:

$$PD_{ijt} = \delta_1 \text{Distance}_{ij} + \delta_2 \text{Road_Dummy}_{ijt} + \delta_3 \text{Water_Dummy}_{ij} + \delta_4 \text{Rail_Dummy}_{ijt} + \delta_5 \text{Rail_Network}_{ijt} + \sum_{k=1}^K X_k \Upsilon_{kit} + v_{ijt} \quad (8)$$

$$PD_{ijt} = \kappa_1 \text{Distance}_{ij} + \kappa_2 \text{Road_Dummy}_{ijt} + \kappa_3 \text{Water_Dummy}_{ij} + \kappa_4 \text{Rail_Dummy}_{ijt} + \kappa_5 \text{Rail_Network}_{ijt} + \sum_{k=1}^K \Omega_k \Upsilon_{kit} + w_{ijt} \quad (9)$$

where *Water_Dummy_{ij}* shows whether a city pair lays along the same waterway,²⁷ *Rail_Dummy_{ijt}* is a bilateral measure that shows whether a city pair is connected to the railway network and *Rail_Network_{ijt}* is the sum of railroad connections to neighbouring cities of a city pair.²⁸

²⁷Note that we do not have a variable for measuring network effects for waterways because Westphalia lacked of a waterway network during our sample period.

²⁸Similar to the case of paved roads, it should be noted that the variables *Rail_Dummy_{ijt}* and *Rail_Network_{ijt}* are never included in the same regression due to collinearity. They appear in Equations (8) and (9) for the sake of readability.

Figure 1. Average annual price level of wheat and rye.

3. Data

This study draws on the analysis of 31 cities and towns as a representative sample of the Westphalian grain market during the period 1821–1855. The price observations were originally collected by the Prussian administration and published in official journals.²⁹

We made two decisions regarding data-set construction: firstly, we chose places for which prices were available for the whole period 1821–1855. Unfortunately, for some of the largest cities in Westphalia such as Dortmund and Bochum the journals do not contain prices for the years 1831–1845. Thus, we formed two data-sets, and called them ‘core’ and ‘robustness’ (see Figures 1 and A1, respectively). The core data-set contains only markets with (nearly) continuous price series including smaller places in the district of Arnsberg, so-called ‘Kornmärkte’. They were cargo-handling points – for example, where grain was forwarded from road to canal – but not important trading centres per se. The robustness data-set in contrast contains only observations from towns and cities representative of the administrative level below districts, the so-called ‘Kreise’, resulting in an unbalanced panel with less observations in the 1830s. Thus, most places appear in both data-sets, but some appear only in the core data-set (*Kornmärkte*), and some only in the robustness data-set (*Kreise* in Arnsberg). In the main text, we present the results for the core data-set and document the robustness findings in the appendix.

Secondly, we exclude price comparisons with markets outside Westphalia. As part of a densely populated region, Westphalia also traded with its neighbours, but as we will discuss later its interior grain market was substantial. Thus, we restrict our

²⁹Bezirksregierung Münster (ed.), *Amtsblatt* (various years); Bezirksregierung Arnsberg (ed.), *Amtsblatt* (various years); Bezirksregierung Minden (ed.), *Amtsblatt* (various years). Prices were digitised between 1999 and 2001 at the University of Münster for the project PF 351/3 funded by the German Research Foundation DFG. The containing journals are nowadays accessible online. The respective links can be found at http://de.wikisource.org/wiki/Amtsbl%C3%A4tter_der_preu%C3%9Fischen_Bezirksregierungen

Table 2. Descriptive statistics (districts).

District (I)	Number of cities (II)	Price observations (III)	Average distance between cities in km (IV)
Arnsberg	11	1140	44.68
Minden	9	1124	52.41
Münster	10	1400	46.26

Note: price observations refer to both rye and wheat.

attention only to markets in Westphalia and control for the effect of outside trade connections at the methodological level: If some cities' price differences were systematically higher or lower than others this would be accounted for by the fixed effects in our model.

Table 2 provides descriptive statistics of our data-set at district level. Westphalia consisted of three districts at that time: Arnsberg, Minden and Münster. Note that the difference between the two data-sets affects only the district Arnsberg. As columns II and IV along with Figure 2 show, the cities are not only equally distributed across the three districts, but also geographically across Westphalia.

The grain types analysed are rye and wheat. Rye was the most important grain in most of Germany for baking bread: Around 1800, its cultivation comprised about one quarter of the arable surface in Westphalia. Furthermore, it was the most frequently traded grain type with a trade volume between 60% and 80%.³⁰ Wheat was the second most important grain type with a higher price-to-weight ratio. Its cultivated area increased by almost 80% between the second and the last quarter of the nineteenth century.³¹

Price data were originally recorded in *Reichstaler*, *Silbergroschen* and *Pfennig*. We converted them into *Pfennig* and thus to decimal units using the following conversion rate: 1 Taler = 30 Silbergroschen = 360 Pfennig. The resulting prices are *Pfennig* per Prussian Scheffel.³² Moreover, the comparability of this data is ensured since all market prices come from the same source and the same region.

There are two price observations for each year, each referring to an average value of retail and wholesale prices in a market within either May or October. In general, time series are complete for both wheat and rye (see column III in Table 2). In the case of the district of Minden, price series range from 1824 to 1854 (except of Höxter and Lübbecke where data are available from 1832 onwards). For the cities located in the district of Arnsberg there is price information available from 1821 to 1850 with the exception of Siegen (1821–1828) and Meschede (1821–1831). For the district of Münster time series are complete.

Figure 1 shows the equally weighted average prices for both grain types. Wheat prices lie always above rye prices, since wheat is considered a superior good with respect to rye and therefore has a higher value-to-weight ratio. Their price

³⁰Achilles, *Deutsche Agrargeschichte* (1993), 198.

³¹Kopsidis, 'Marktintegration' (1996), 171.

³²A *Silbergroschen* contained 0.557 g of silver, while a Prussian *Scheffel* had a volume of 54.9614 l. See Witthöft, *Deutsche Maße* (1993), 84, vol. 2.

Figure 2. Paved road network during the first half of the nineteenth century.

developments show a similar rising trend over time with strong fluctuations in 1831 and 1847 due to bad harvests.³³

The distance between city pairs is a straight line that incorporates the curvature of the earth and it was calculated using a formula from spherical trigonometry.³⁴ The smallest distance between two cities is 6 km (Menden and Langschede) and the largest one is 173.96 km (Borken and Höxter).

To obtain data on transport infrastructures, we looked for reliable sources that could be matched with our data-set. For this purpose, historical maps turned out to be the most suitable source. Historical atlases show political frontiers, sometimes geographical elements and transport infrastructures, especially paved roads and railways. We combined this source with secondary literature, which provides exact information about railroad lines and paved roads.

In order to use the data on transport infrastructure in our model we used two methods. Firstly, we looked if a city pair had access to a transport network and coded this information in a dummy variable. With this method, we aimed at the direct effects of transport infrastructures on bilateral trade that appear when cities have access at all. Secondly, we used an alternative method to account for the indirect or network effects of a certain means of transport, which work through the

³³Information about harvests and grain price formation for the period 1795–1846 in Germany is provided by Fremdling/Hohorst, ‘Marktintegration’ (1979).

³⁴See Head, *Gravity* (2003), 5.

connections of third cities. With this distinction, we argue that the mere connection to a paved road is not enough to explain the positive effects of transport infrastructures on trade but that the position in the trade network matters. Thus, a connection to a hub should reduce trade costs more than a connection to an end node that itself leads nowhere. As Figure 2 bears out, the city pairs Münster–Hamm and Recklinghausen–Hattingen are a good example. We observe that a dummy variable treats them equally and does not capture the stronger trade cost effects of having nine paved road connections to neighbouring cities (Münster–Hamm) instead of five (Recklinghausen–Hattingen). Therefore, we take the sum of directly neighbouring cities reachable through a certain transport network as the explanatory variable.

Lack of data made it impossible to gather paved road information on a yearly basis. For this reason, we divided our sample period in three subperiods: 1821–1830, 1831–1843 and 1844–1855. They were chosen following criteria on data availability and equal-sized time periods. We assume that paved roads built in a certain year would remain and be used at least until the end of our sample period in 1855. This represents a realistic assumption since paved roads were built with a long-term perspective. They embodied large investments and enabled the funding of new investments through the establishment of tollbooths.

Putting together the data-set, we found several inconsistency problems between the sources (i.e. some cities show road connections just in some years). These inconsistencies might have arisen because some authors did not distinguish between *Landstraßen*³⁵ (rural roads) and *Chausseen* (paved roads). To overcome this problem, we classified them following a two-step analysis. We first checked if a certain road also appeared in subsequent maps. If so, we assumed it had a certain importance and therefore it was likely to be a paved road. In a second step, we double checked this information by comparing it with lists of paved roads in the secondary literature.³⁶

The establishment of paved roads was not equally and rather randomly distributed among districts. As Figure 2 and Table 3 (columns II–IV) show, the network density varies significantly from district to district. Cities within the district of Arnsberg had most of paved road connections during the whole sample period because of the importance of this region as a coal-mining and proto-industrial centre. Regarding Münster, cities in this district did not have a well-established network until the 1840s. In Minden, the situation was much more developed than in Münster with 70% of sample cities connected to the road network by the second period.

Including data on waterways proved to be more simple, since their number and length did only slightly change during the first half of the nineteenth century. They consisted of the navigable rivers Ruhr, Lippe, Vechte, Ems and Weser and the Max-Clemens canal. The Ruhr was undoubtedly the most important waterway in this region (located in the middle of the province and being a tributary of the Rhine). It was the most used waterway in Germany for trade in the nineteenth century because

³⁵*Landstraßen* were not paved. The bad conditions of these roads have often been described by contemporaries. Several of them were collected by Sälter, ‘Entwicklung’ (1917) and Beckmann/Stenkamp, *Express* (1997).

³⁶Sälter, ‘Entwicklung’ (1917); Gador, ‘Entwicklung des Straßenbaues’ (1966).

Table 3. Access to transport infrastructures at district level.

District (I)	Percentage of cities with access to roads			Percentage of cities with access to railways			Percentage of cities with access to waterways (VIII)
	1821–1830 (II)	1831–1843 (III)	1844–1855 (IV)	1821–1830 (V)	1831–1843 (VI)	1844–1855 (VII)	
Arnsberg	54	81	100	0	0	55	70
Minden	44	66	88	0	0	55	22
Münster	10	20	70	0	0	20	10

of the coalmines close to it.³⁷ Another important Westphalian waterway is the Lippe; a tributary of the Rhine as well located several kilometres to the north. The remaining waterways can be classified as secondary, since either they barely flow through Westphalian lands or they could not be travelled by ships with more than 50 tons load. The rivers Weser, Ems and Vechte belong to the former group and the Max-Clemens canal to the latter.

The district that could benefit most from this means of transport was Arnsberg. 70% of the sample cities within this district had access to water transport. However, this was not the case for Münster and Minden with 10% and 20%, respectively (see Table 3, column VIII).

Information regarding railroad connections was gathered from historical maps and then compared with a list of railway connections.³⁸ The first Westphalian railroad was opened in 1847, namely, the so-called *Köln-Mindener* line. It provided an important connection of the prosperous western parts of the Prussian Kingdom with the eastern parts. In the following years, new railroads were built around this first line such as the Münster–Hamm railroad in 1848 or the Paderborn–Hamm line in 1850.

As column VII of Table 3 shows, most railroads were built in the districts of Arnsberg and Minden. In total, 70% of our sample cities in Minden had a railway connection and 55% in Arnsberg. The district of Münster had just one railroad line during the sample period.³⁹

4. Results

4.1. Market integration during the period 1821–1855

Figure 3 shows the development of grain price spreads over the sample period. In general, there is a progressive decline of both rye and wheat price dispersion. The period 1821–1830 is characterised by relatively high price dispersion. It also contains the most prominent peaks of the whole sample period in the years 1824 (for wheat) and 1825 (for rye). During the last two subperiods, this process takes shape and grain price dispersion becomes very low (especially in the 1850s), indicating a high degree of market integration.

³⁷Mühlen, ‘Wasserstraßen’ (1980), 9.

³⁸Kaiserliches Statistisches Amt, ‘Zur Eisenbahn’ (1878). We are indebted to Richard Tilly who made this source available to us.

³⁹This situation remained until 1856, when the Emden–Münster railroad was built.

Figure 3. Coefficient of variation of rye and wheat from 1821 to 1855.

In the next section, we show that infrastructure development was strongly correlated with Westphalian grain market integration, especially from the 1830s onwards.

4.2. Analysis of the role of paved roads on market integration

Tables 4 and 5 show the results of estimating Equations (6) and (7) for rye and wheat separately. In both cases, *Distance* is significant and has the expected sign. The coefficients decrease over the whole sample period, indicating that distance between markets became economically less important. This suggests a decline of transport costs that in the case of wheat is considerably smaller than in the case of rye (16% and 22%, respectively). For the period 1831–1843, the *Distance* coefficient is larger than for the period before in the case of rye. However, it should be noted that this increase is significantly smaller when accounting for differences within this period. By analysing the periods 1831–1834 and 1835–1843 separately, we find that *Distance* coefficients are 0.0407 and 0.0307, respectively. This shows that the large coefficient for the period 1831–1843 is partly due to the first four years of that period. Moreover, it is worth mentioning that the lower effect of distance on wheat price differences is consistent with Figure 3, showing that the wheat market was more integrated than the rye market. These differences may have been caused by a more intensive wheat trade between than within districts.

To test the relationship between paved roads and market integration, we study the variables *Road_Dummy* and *Road_Network* separately. In the case of rye, the first one carries no explanatory power and proves to be insufficient to capture the effects of the paved road network. As Table 4 shows, it becomes significant for the second subperiod but has the wrong sign. On the other hand, the *Road_Network* coefficient is significant and negative for rye during the second and third periods (see Table 4, columns III and IV). However, during the first period the sign and the

Table 4. Regressions results for rye.

	1821–1830		1831–1843		1844–1855	
	(I)	(II)	(III)	(IV)	(V)	(VI)
Distance	0.0475*** (0.0028)	0.0476*** (0.0028)	0.0461*** (0.0015)	0.0461*** (0.0015)	0.0369*** (0.0032)	0.0369*** (0.0031)
Road_Dummy	–0.0042 (0.0065)		0.0104*** (0.0034)		0.0021 (0.0089)	
Road_Network		0.0054*** (0.0016)		–0.0045*** (0.0009)		–0.0093* (0.0017)
City FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Time FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	6283	6283	9723	9723	7002	7002
R^2	0.319	0.319	0.347	0.346	0.123	0.123
Adjusted R^2	0.315	0.315	0.344	0.343	0.118	0.118

Note: The dependent variable is bilateral rye price differences in percentage terms. For a detailed description of the independent variables, see Table 3. Robust standard errors in parentheses. *** Indicates significantly different from zero at the 1%, **5% and *10% level.

level of significance are not robust to the choice of the estimator and fixed effects used. In particular, the coefficient becomes insignificant if we replace the OLS estimator by a Tobit estimator and/or clustered standard errors while the rest of the results remain stable.⁴⁰ It is thus reassuring that estimating Equations (6) and (7) with the expanded robustness data-set yields highly significant negative coefficients both at *Road_Dummy* and *Road_Network* (see appendix Table A1, columns I and II).

For wheat, *Road_Network* coefficients show a clearly negative relationship between paved roads and price differences during the last two periods (see Table 5, columns IV and VI). Similar to rye, we do not find a robust correlation between paved roads and market integration for the 1820s, which however changes in favour of our predictions with the larger robustness data-set (see appendix Table A2, columns I and II). Regarding *Road_Dummy*, it is significant and has the expected sign for the last two periods. For the period 1831–1843 though, the statistical relationship is less clear as the coefficient is significantly different from zero at the 10% level.

Comparing the dummy and the network approach in Tables 4 and 5, we observe that the conventional dummy-variable approach presents serious shortcomings in our study for three reasons. Firstly, in the case of rye it is unable to show a consistent relationship between paved roads and market integration since the *Road_Dummy* coefficients are either insignificant or have the wrong sign. Secondly, in the case of wheat the coefficient for the second period is only marginally significant and quite unstable since it almost triples from the second to the third period. On the other hand, *Road_Network* is highly significant and stable in size. Thirdly, using the robustness data-set for the first and third periods, only in one of four cases, rye in

⁴⁰Results may be obtained upon request.

Table 5. Regression results for wheat.

	1821–1830		1831–1843		1844–1855	
	(I)	(II)	(III)	(IV)	(V)	(VI)
Distance	0.0282*** (0.0028)	0.0281*** (0.0028)	0.0338*** (0.0014)	0.0339*** (0.0014)	0.0238*** (0.0011)	0.0237*** (0.0011)
Road_Dummy	0.0055 (0.0061)		–0.0059* (0.0031)		–0.0169*** (0.0055)	
Road_Network		0.0003 (0.0014)		–0.0055*** (0.0007)		–0.006*** (0.0006)
City FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Time FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	6109	6109	9723	9723	7002	7002
R ²	0.236	0.236	0.352	0.351	0.243	0.242
Adjusted R ²	0.231	0.231	0.349	0.349	0.239	0.238

Note: The dependent variable is bilateral wheat price differences in percentage terms. Also see [Table 4](#).

period one, is *Road_Dummy* negative and significant, while *Road_Network* always is (see [appendix Tables A1](#) and [A2](#), columns I and III).

Paved roads were economically relevant.⁴¹ In the case of rye, an extra paved road connection reduces the price gap by 0.0045 in the period 1831–1843 and by 0.0093 in the period 1844–1855. If we look at the average price gap in both periods (0.1663 and 0.1183, respectively) our results suggest that getting an additional paved road connection accounts for 2.7% of the reduction of that gap for the period 1831–1843 and almost 8% for the last period. In the case of wheat, one extra paved road connection reduces price gap by 0.0055 and 0.006 in the last two periods. These extra connections account for 3.8% of the reduction of the average price gap for the period 1831–1843 and 5.6% for the last period (the average price gaps for both periods are 0.1447 and 0.1066). Finally, if we look at the size of the coefficients at *Road_Network* with respect to the *Distance* coefficients, we see that for both grain types they are 10–15% of the average distance coefficient for the period 1831–1843, and 25% for the period 1844–1855. This means that an additional connection to the paved road network reduced distance costs considerably.

4.3. Analysis of the interplay of paved roads with other means of transport

In the following, we will focus on the interplay of paved roads with waterways and railroads by estimating Equations (8) and (9). [Tables 6](#) and [7](#) present the results for rye and wheat, respectively. It should be noted that the period 1844–1855 is analysed using four specifications. Specifications V and VI include railroads using the dummy-variable approach, and specifications VII and VIII include railroads using the network approach.

Regarding rye (see [Table 6](#)), three points are worth mentioning. Firstly, the coefficient of *Water_Dummy* is significant and has the right sign for the whole

⁴¹For the economic interpretation of our results we follow Shiue, ‘Political Fragmentation’ (2005). Moreover, we will just consider the variable *Road_Network* for this analysis due to the aforementioned problems related to the dummy variable.

Table 6. Regression results for rye including other means of transport.

	1821–1830		1831–1843		1844–1855		1844–1855	
	(I)	(II)	(III)	(IV)	(V)	(VI)	(VII)	(VIII)
Distance	0.0392*** (0.003)	0.0395*** (0.003)	0.0381*** (0.0017)	0.0380*** (0.0017)	0.0288*** (0.0037)	0.0289*** (0.0037)	0.0287*** (0.0038)	0.0288*** (0.0037)
Road_Dummy	–0.0076 (0.0065)		0.0097*** (0.0033)		0.0063 (0.009)		0.0064 (0.009)	
Road_Network		0.0103*** (0.0018)		0.0003 (0.001)		–0.057*** (0.0019)		–0.0055*** (0.002)
Water_Dummy	–0.0662*** (0.009)	–0.0651*** (0.0089)	–0.0640*** (0.0051)	–0.0643*** (0.0051)	–0.0820*** (0.0123)	–0.0819*** (0.0123)	–0.0821*** (0.0123)	–0.0819*** (0.0123)
Rail_Dummy					–0.0059 (0.0043)	–0.0058 (0.0043)		
Rail_Network							–0.0018 (0.0011)	–0.0017 (0.0011)
City FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Time FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	6283	6283	9723	9723	7002	7002	7002	7002
R^2	0.324	0.323	0.355	0.354	0.129	0.129	0.129	0.129
Adjusted R^2	0.319	0.319	0.352	0.351	0.124	0.124	0.124	0.124

Note: The dependent variable is bilateral rye price differences in percentage terms. Also see [Table 4](#).

sample period. However, its size is exceptionally high since it is almost double the size of the distance coefficient in the first period. In the second and last periods, it is up to four times the *Distance* coefficient. Thus we think that this variable is not only capturing the effect of waterways on price differences, but also other effects. For example, 55% of the cities with access to waterways are cities located in the Ruhr valley. Cities in this area belong practically to the same market because they had the function of connecting the northern part of the province with a grain production surplus to the more proto-industrialised southern part with a grain deficit.⁴² Therefore, including the waterway dummy probably captures the low price differences between pairs with similar functions in the regional market, and not a transport cost effect.

There are two more problems related to this variable. One is the lack of variance in waterway number and length during our sample period. The second is that it is almost perfectly explained by a district (border) dummy, since 70% of cities with access to waterways belonged to the district of Arnsberg (see Table 3, column VII). For these reasons, interpreting this variable in the same way as the variable *Road_Dummy* is likely to be misleading.

All these conjectures seem to be confirmed when estimating the same models with the robustness data-set for the first and third subperiods. This data-set adds cities without water access to the district of Arnsberg and thus potentially relieves some of the collinearity between water access and the district dummy. Consequently, *Water_Dummy* becomes insignificant across models and periods (see appendix Table A1, columns I and II).

The second thing worth mentioning about the core data-set results is that the performance of the variable *Road_Dummy* does not improve relative to the regressions without alternative means of transport, as it is insignificant for the first and last periods and significant but with the wrong sign for the second period. On the other hand, *Road_Network* becomes insignificant in the second period after including the variable for waterways, but remains significant and stable in sign and size with regard to the inclusion of railroad variables (columns VI and VIII).

Double checking using the robustness data-set for periods one and three dispels most of the doubts raised by these findings against our network approach: the coefficient at *Road_Network* remains negative and significant across all periods and specifications while *Road_Dummy* is mostly insignificant.

The third observation to mention is that we find no statistical relationship between railroads and rye market integration for the period 1844–1855 as *Rail_Dummy* (specifications V and VII) and *Rail_Network* (specifications VII and VIII) are never significant, which does not change when *Water_Dummy* is excluded. These results remain when checking with the robustness data-set (see appendix Table A1, columns I and II).

With respect to wheat (see Table 7), the following three points can be mentioned. Firstly, even though *Water_Dummy* coefficients are not as large as for rye, they are exceptionally high. As before, we cautiously refrain from interpreting this variable due to the aforementioned problems associated to it. This is also borne out by the robustness data-set. When estimated with wheat the coefficient emerges

⁴²Lampp, 'Getreidehandelspolitik' (1911).

Table 7. Regression results for wheat including other means of transport.

	1821–1830		1831–1843		1844–1855		1844–1855	
	(I)	(II)	(III)	(IV)	(V)	(VI)	(VII)	(VIII)
Distance	0.0226*** (0.0032)	0.0225*** (0.0031)	0.0293*** (0.0016)	0.0294*** (0.0016)	0.0190*** (0.0012)	0.0189*** (0.0012)	0.0190*** (0.0012)	0.0189*** (0.0012)
Road_Dummy	0.0033 (0.0061)		−0.0062*** (0.0031)		−0.0143*** (0.0055)		−0.0144*** (0.0055)	
Road_Network		0.0037* (0.0017)		−0.0027*** (0.0009)		−0.0038*** (0.0007)		−0.0038*** (0.0007)
Water_Dummy	−0.0442*** (0.0085)	−0.0446*** (0.0084)	−0.0360*** (0.0044)	−0.0358*** (0.0044)	−0.0475*** (0.0045)	−0.0478*** (0.0045)	−0.0475*** (0.0045)	−0.0478*** (0.0046)
Rail_Dummy					−0.0049* (0.0026)	−0.0051* (0.0026)		
Rail_Network							−0.0011 (0.0006)	−0.0011* (0.0006)
City FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Time FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	6109	6109	9723	9723	7002	7002	7002	7002
R^2	0.238	0.238	0.355	0.354	0.254	0.254	0.254	0.254
Adjusted R^2	0.233	0.233	0.352	0.352	0.250	0.249	0.250	0.249

Note: The dependent variable is bilateral wheat price differences in percentage terms. Also see [Table 4](#).

Table 8. Regression results for wheat during the 1844–1855 excluding *Water_Dummy*.

	1844–1855			
	(I)	(II)	(III)	(IV)
Distance	0.0237*** (0.0011)	0.0236*** (0.0011)	0.0237*** (0.0011)	0.0236*** (0.0011)
Road_Dummy	–0.0165*** (0.0055)		–0.0166*** (0.0055)	
Road_Network		–0.0058*** (0.0006)		–0.0058*** (0.0007)
Rail_Dummy	–0.0039 (0.0026)	–0.0042 (0.0026)		
Rail_Network			–0.0008 (0.0006)	–0.0009 (0.0006)
City FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Time FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	7002	7002	7002	7002
R^2	0.243	0.242	0.243	0.242
Adjusted R^2	0.239	0.238	0.239	0.238

Note: The dependent variable is bilateral wheat price differences in percentage terms. See also [Table 4](#).

insignificant in the first period, and becomes weakly significant with the wrong sign in the last period (see [appendix Table A2](#)).

Secondly, *Road_Dummy* is now significantly different from zero at the 1% level for the second period and the size of the coefficients for the last two subperiods does not change significantly. The coefficients at *Road_Network* decline roughly by half but remain highly significant and with the right sign for the two last periods. This supports the robustness of our previous results. Estimating the same model with the robustness data-set strengthens the argument for the network approach and against the conventional approach: *Road_Dummy* turns insignificant and *Road_Network* stays significant across all specifications (see [appendix Table A2](#)).

Thirdly and finally, the coefficients for *Rail_Dummy* and *Rail_Network* are significant and have the right signs in specifications VI–VIII at the 10% level. However, they become insignificant when the variable *Water_Dummy* is excluded from the regressions (see [Table 8](#)). Therefore, the empirical evidence for the role of railroads on Westphalian grain market integration is not robust in our sample period. This finding supports the view that railways did not become economically relevant for the trade of staple goods before the 1860s.⁴³ Evidence from the larger robustness data-set however suggests that railroads may have had an effect at least for the higher value product of wheat: both *Rail_Dummy* and *Rail_Network* turn highly significant however at about 1/3 of the size of the relevant paved road coefficient *Road_Network* (see [appendix Table A2](#), columns III–VI).

Summing up, this study of regional grain price development in Westphalia shows that the increasing market integration during the first half of the nineteenth century cannot be attributed to institutional factors such as the creation of a common

⁴³Fremdling/Hohorst, ‘Marktintegration’ (1979).

Westphalian market and the railway only. The demonstrated correlation between paved roads and market integration shows that cities with more paved road connections were better integrated at least from 1830 onwards. When including additional data for the 1820s, a statistically significant effect of paved roads can already be found in those years.

5. Conclusion

This study attempts to contribute a potential explanatory factor for Germany's macroeconomic transition in the early nineteenth century. This transition has recently been discovered and consists of the transition to a non-Malthusian demographic regime, a rise of labour demand and increasing national and international grain market integration.⁴⁴ So far, only tentative explanations can be formulated such as a change of the political environment after the end of the Holy Roman Empire and the Napoleonic Wars, liberal economic reforms by Prussia and gradual technological change including the implementation of transport and communication infrastructure such as paved road networks. The latter is what we analyse in this study as a potential explanatory factor, because it improved the conditions for mutual exchange over longer distances through lower costs, higher transport capacity and higher speed of transport. These improvements may have reduced food risk, and fostered Smithian growth in terms of division of labour.

Our case study is Westphalia, which already under French occupation experienced rapid paved road network growth and accelerated during the 1820s (by 1830, Westphalia was the Prussian province with the second longest paved road network after the Rhine province). Paved road data could be retrieved from secondary sources and historical maps for three cross-sections between 1821 and 1855. We confront this development with rye and wheat prices for roughly three dozens Westphalian cities, and formulate a model relating bilateral percentage price differences to distance and transport infrastructures. For the analysis of transport infrastructures we use the usual dummy-variable approach and an indicator of the connectedness of transport infrastructures that represents network effects.

The results of our study can be summarised in three points. Firstly, we show that distance coefficients decreased between the 1820s and the 1840s by about 22% and 16% for rye and wheat, respectively. This indicates an important reduction of transport costs during our sample period. Secondly, we observe that the dummy-variable approach has serious shortcomings for measuring the effects of paved roads in our empirical analysis for two reasons. One is that this variable is mostly not or marginally significant or has the wrong sign in the case of rye, and the other is that in the case of wheat the size of the coefficient is not stable from one period to another. On the other hand, our newly developed variable that accounts for transport network effects is significantly different from zero at the 1% level and stable in size in the periods after 1831 when transport infrastructure mattered in Westphalia. And thirdly, we find no robust empirical evidence for the role of railroads on Westphalian rye market integration in our sample period. The evidence we do find for wheat

⁴⁴Pfister/Fertig, 'Population' (2010); Pfister et al., 'Real Wages' (2012); Uebele, 'Market Integration' (2011).

points at a much smaller effect compared to paved roads. This confirms the claim that initially trains moved high value-to-weight goods.

We are aware of the fact that this empirical set-up is not immune against endogeneity or simultaneity bias, since transport infrastructures may have been built between cities that were already better integrated than others, or that already existing trade networks may have determined both the development of percentage price differences and the design of the road network alike. However, we consider this study not as the final word on paved roads' role in early nineteenth century Germany's economic development, but merely as a determined call for deeper research in this particular period and research area.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Richard Tilly, Ulrich Pfister, Georg Fertig, Michael Kopsidis for valuable comments on earlier versions of this article, and gratefully acknowledge helpful discussions with Thilo Albers, Tim Grünebaum, Friederike Scholten, Joost Veenstra as well as conference and seminar participants in Groningen and London. We are also deeply indebted to three anonymous referees and the editors who contributed significantly to the quality of the article. All errors remain ours of course.

References

- Acemoglu, Daron, et al. (2011), 'The Consequences of Radical Reform: The French Revolution' in: *American Economic Review* 101, 3286–307.
- Achilles, Walter (1993), *Deutsche Agrargeschichte im Zeitalter der Reformen und der Industrialisierung*, Stuttgart: Ulmer.
- Atack, Jeremy, et al. (2010), 'Did Railroads Induce or Follow Economic Growth? Urbanization and Population Growth in the American Midwest, 1850–60' in: *Social Science History* 34, no. 2, 171–97.
- Banerjee, Abhijit, et al. (2012), 'On the Road: Access to Transportation Infrastructure and Economic Growth in China', NBER Working Paper no. 17897, <http://www.nber.org/papers/w17897>.
- Beckmann, Uwe/Stenkamp, Hermann J. (1997), *Express. Menschen. Güter. Straßen*, Hagen: Westfälisches Freilicht Museum.
- Bezirksregierung Arnsberg, ed. (1821–1855), *Amtsblatt der Königlichen Regierung zu Arnsberg*, Arnsberg: Bezirksregierung Arnsberg.
- Bezirksregierung Minden, ed. (1827–1855), *Amtsblatt der Königlich Preussischen Regierung zu Minden*, Minden: Bezirksregierung Minden.
- Bezirksregierung Münster, ed. (1821), *Amtsblatt für die Provinz Westfalen*, Münster: Bezirksregierung Münster.
- Bezirksregierung Münster, ed. (1822–1855), *Amtsblatt der Königlich Preussischen Regierung zu Münster*, Münster: Bezirksregierung Münster.
- Buyst, Erik, et al. (2006), 'Road Expansion and Market Integration in the Austrian Low Countries in the Second Half of the 18th Century' in: *Histoire & Mesure* 11, 185–219.
- Donaldson, Dave/Hornbeck, Richard (2013), 'Railroads and American Economic Growth: A "Market Access" Approach', NBER Working Paper No. 19213, <http://www.nber.org/papers/w19213>.
- Ejrnaes, Mette/Persson, Karl G. (2010), 'The Gains from Improved Market Efficiency: Trade Before and After the Transatlantic Telegraph' in: *European Review of Economic History* 14, no. 3, 361–81.
- Engel, Charles/Rogers, John H. (1996), 'How Wide is the Border?' in: *American Economic Review* 86, no. 5, 1112–25.
- Federico, Giovanni (2011), 'When Did European Markets Integrate?' in: *European Review of Economic History* 15, no. 1, 93–126.

- Federico, Giovanni (2012), 'How Much Do We Know about Market Integration in Europe?' in: *Economic History Review* 65, no. 2, 470–97.
- Fishlow, Albert (1965), *American Railroads and the Transformation of the Antebellum Economy*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Fremdling, Rainer (1985), *Eisenbahnen und deutsches Wirtschaftswachstum: 1840–1879: ein Beitrag zur Entwicklungstheorie und zur Theorie der Infrastruktur*, Dortmund: Gesellschaft für Westfälische Wirtschaftsgeschichte.
- Fremdling, Rainer/Hohorst, Gerd (1979), 'Marktintegration der preussischen Wirtschaft im 19. Jahrhundert – Skizze eines Forschungsansatzes zur Fluktuation der Roggenpreise zwischen 1821 und 1865', in: *Industrialisierung und Raum: Studien zur regionalen Differenzierung im Deutschland des 19. Jahrhunderts*, ed. by R. Fremdling/R. Tilly, Stuttgart: Klett-Cotta, 56–101.
- Gador, Rudi (1966), 'Die Entwicklung des Straßenbaues in Preußen 1815–1875 unter besonderer Berücksichtigung des Aktienstraßenbaues' (Dr. phil., diss., University of Berlin), Berlin: Ernst-Reuter-Gesellschaft.
- Gerschenkron, Alexander (1962), *Economic Backwardness in Historical Perspective. A Book of Essays*, Cambridge: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Head, Keith (2003), *Gravity for Beginners*, Vancouver: University of British Columbia.
- Hornung, Erik (2012), 'Railroads and Micro-Regional Growth in Prussia', Ifo Working Paper No. 127, <http://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/73792/1/IfoWorkingPaper-127.pdf>.
- Kaiserliches Statistisches Amt (1878), 'Zur Eisenbahn- und Bevölkerungs-Statistik der deutschen Städte insbesondere der Deutschen Kleinstädte und Landstädte in der Periode 1867 bis 1875', in *Monatshefte zur Statistik des Deutschen Reiches für das Jahr 1879. Juli- bis Dezember-Heft*, ed. by Kaiserliches Statistisches Amt, Berlin: Puttkammer & Mühlbrecht.
- Keller, Wolfgang/Shiue, Carol H. (2008), 'Institutions, Technology, and Trade', NBER Working Paper no. 13913, <http://www.nber.org/papers/w13913>.
- Kelly, Morgan (1997), 'The Dynamics of Smithian Growth' in: *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 112, 939–64.
- Kopsidis, Michael (1996), 'Marktintegration und Entwicklung der westfälischen Landwirtschaft: 1780–1880: marktorientierte ökonomische Entwicklung eines bäuerlich strukturierten Agrarsektors' (Dr. phil., diss., University of Münster), Münster: Lit.
- Lampp, Friedrich (1911), 'Die Getreidehandelspolitik in der ehemaligen Grafschaft Mark während des 18. Jahrhunderts: Ein Beitrag Zur Landeskultur der brandenburgisch-preußischen Herrscher' (Dr. phil., diss., University of Münster), Münster: Copenrath.
- Metzer, Jacob (1974), 'Railroad Development and Market Integration: The Case of Tsarist Russia' in *The Journal of Economic History* 34, no. 3, 529–50.
- Mühlen, Franz (1980), 'Wasserstraßen in Westfalen', in *Technische Kulturdenkmale in Westfalen* (vol. 2), Münster: Westfälischer Heimatbund.
- O'Rourke, Kevin H./Williamson, Jeffrey G. (1999), *Globalization and History: The Evolution of a Nineteenth Century Atlantic Economy*, Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Pfister, Ulrich/Fertig, Georg (2010), 'The Population History of Germany: Research Strategy and Preliminary Results', MPIDR Working Paper 2010-035, <http://www.demogr.mpg.de/papers/working/wp-2010-035.pdf>.
- Pfister, Ulrich, et al. (2012), 'Real Wages and the Origins of Modern Economic Growth in Germany, 16th to 19th Centuries', EHES Working Papers in Economic History No. 17 http://ehes.org/EHES_No17.pdf.
- Sälter, Fritz (1917), 'Entwicklung und Bedeutung des Chaussee- und Wegebaus in der Provinz Westfalen unter ihrem ersten Oberpräsidenten Ludwig Freiherrn von Vincke, 1815–1844', in *Jahrbuch des Vereins für Orts- und Heimatkunde in der Grafschaft Mark*, ed. by Verein für Orts- und Heimatkunde in der Grafschaft Mark, Witten, Dortmund: Scholz.
- Schulze, Max-Stephan/Wolf, Nikolaus (2012), 'Economic Nationalism and Economic Integration: The Austro-Hungarian Empire in the Late Nineteenth Century' in: *The Economic History Review* 65, no. 2, 652–73.
- Sharp, Paul R./Weisdorf, Jacob L. (2012), 'French Revolution or Industrial Revolution? A Note on the Contrasting Experiences of England and France up to 1800' in: *Cliometrica* 6, 79–88.

- Shiue, Carol H. (2005), 'From Political Fragmentation towards a Customs Union: Border Effects of the German Zollverein, 1815 to 1855' in: *European Review of Economic History* 9, no. 2, 129–62.
- Uebele, Martin (2010), 'Demand Matters: German Wheat Market Integration 1806–1855 in a European Context', CQE-Working Paper, http://www1.wiwi.uni-muenster.de/cqe/forschung/publikationen/cqe-working-papers/CQE_WP_11_2010.pdf.
- Uebele, Martin (2011), 'National and International Market Integration in the 19th Century: Evidence from Comovement' in: *Explorations in Economic History* 48, no. 2, 226–42.
- Voigt, Fritz (1965), *Verkehr* (vol. 2), Berlin: Duncker & Humblot.
- Wegner, Gerhard (2013), 'Capitalist Transformation without Political Participation: German Capitalism in the First Half of the 19th Century', *Freiburger Diskussionspapiere zur Ordnungsökonomik*, No. 13/14, <http://econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/89796/1/776413295.pdf>.
- Wischermann, Clemens (1999), 'Chausseebau und Landverkehr in Westfalen während der Frühindustrialisierung', in *Verkehr und Region im 19. und 20. Jahrhundert: Westfälische Beispiele* ed. by W. Reininghaus/K. Teppe, Paderborn: Ferdinand Schöningh, 71–94.
- Witthöft, Harald (1993), *Deutsche Maße und Gewichte des 19. Jahrhundert nach Gesetzen, Verordnungen und autorisierten Publikationen ddeutsche Staaten, Territorien und Städte*, St. Katharinen: Scripta Mercaturae.

Appendix

Table A1. Regression results for rye (robustness data-set).

	1821–1830		1844–1855			
	(I)	(II)	(III)	(IV)	(V)	(VI)
Distance	0.0578*** (0.0036)	0.0605*** (0.0036)	0.0447*** (0.0024)	0.0448*** (0.0024)	0.0447*** (0.0024)	0.0448*** (0.0024)
Road_Dummy	–0.0388*** (0.0077)		0.0100 (0.0076)		0.0100 (0.0076)	
Road_Network		–0.0083*** (0.0025)		–0.0148*** (0.0012)		–0.0148*** (0.0012)
Water_Dummy	0.0198 (0.0275)	0.0114 (0.0275)	0.0140 (0.0144)	0.0142 (0.0144)	0.0139 (0.0144)	0.0141 (0.0144)
Rail_Dummy			0.0013 (0.0035)	0.0015 (0.0035)		
Rail_Network					0.0003 (0.0007)	0.0004 (0.0007)
City FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Time FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	8136	8136	11,244	11,244	11,244	11,244
R^2	0.276	0.273	0.153	0.153	0.153	0.153
Adjusted R^2	0.272	0.269	0.149	0.149	0.149	0.149

Note: The price observations are those contained in the robustness data set (see data description in text). Also see Table 4.

Table A2. Regression results for wheat (robustness data-set).

	1821–1830		1844–1855			
	(I)	(II)	(III)	(IV)	(V)	(VI)
Distance	0.0345*** (0.0027)	0.0350*** (0.0027)	0.0257*** (0.0011)	0.0257*** (0.0011)	0.0258*** (0.0011)	0.0258*** (0.0011)
Road_Dummy	–0.0064 (0.0053)		0.0022 (0.0065)		0.0019 (0.0065)	
Road_Network		–0.0038** (0.0018)		–0.0075*** (0.0006)		–0.0078*** (0.0006)
Water_Dummy	–0.0255 (0.0229)	–0.0269 (0.0229)	0.0086* (0.0049)	0.0086* (0.0049)	0.0094* (0.0049)	0.0094* (0.0049)
Rail_Dummy			–0.0126*** (0.0020)	–0.0125*** (0.0020)		
Rail_Network					–0.0022*** (0.0004)	–0.0022*** (0.0004)
City FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Time FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	7518	7518	11,244	11,244	11,244	11,244
R^2	0.254	0.254	0.324	0.324	0.324	0.324
Adjusted R^2	0.250	0.250	0.321	0.321	0.321	0.321

Note: the price observations are those contained in the robustness data set (see data description in text). Also see [Table 4](#).

Figure A1. Overview of the markets contained in the robustness data-set.

Note: This digitised map was based on the historical map by Sälter, ‘Entwicklung’ (1917) as background map.