University of Groningen

Spin injection and detection via the anomalous spin Hall effect of a ferromagnetic metal

Das, K. S.; Schoemaker, W. Y.; van Wees, B. J.; Vera-Marun, I. J.

Published in:
Physical Review B

DOI:
[10.1103/PhysRevB.96.220408](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.220408)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2017

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Das, K. S., Schoemaker, W. Y., van Wees, B. J., & Vera-Marun, I. J. (2017). Spin injection and detection via the anomalous spin Hall effect of a ferromagnetic metal. *Physical Review B*, 96(22), [220408].
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.220408>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Spin injection and detection via the anomalous spin Hall effect of a ferromagnetic metal

K. S. Das,^{1,*} W. Y. Schoemaker,¹ B. J. van Wees,^{1,†} and I. J. Vera-Marun^{2,‡}

¹University of Groningen, Zernike Institute for Advanced Materials, NL-9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands

²School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom

(Received 5 August 2017; revised manuscript received 7 October 2017; published 21 December 2017)

We report a spin injection and detection mechanism via the anomalous Hall effect in a ferromagnetic metal. The anomalous spin Hall effect (ASHE) refers to the transverse spin current generated within the ferromagnet. We utilize the ASHE and its reciprocal effect to electrically inject and detect magnons in a magnetic insulator (yttrium iron garnet) in a nonlocal geometry. Our experiments reveal that permalloy has a comparable spin injection and detection efficiency to that of platinum, owing to the ASHE. We also demonstrate the tunability of the ASHE via the orientation of the permalloy magnetization, thus creating possibilities for spintronic applications.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.96.220408](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.220408)

In nonmagnetic metals with high spin-orbit coupling, a charge current generates a transverse spin current via the spin Hall effect (SHE) [1,2]. This type of spin current generation perpendicular to a charge current has a significant technological relevance for spin transfer torque devices [3,4] and also for the electrical injection of magnons (quantized spin waves) in magnetic insulators [5–7].

The electrical injection and detection of magnons offer a distinct technological advantage for the integration of magnon spintronics into solid state devices, over other magnon generation mechanisms such as spin pumping by radiofrequency fields [8] or the spin Seebeck effect due to a temperature gradient [9]. In this regard platinum (Pt), a normal metal with a large spin-orbit coupling, is the most commonly used material for the electrical generation (and detection) of magnons via SHE. Recent studies showed that ferromagnets can also be utilized for electrical detection of magnons via the inverse spin Hall effect (ISHE) [10–13]. In particular, Tian *et al.* [13] reported that ISHE in a ferromagnetic cobalt was independent of its magnetization direction.

In a ferromagnetic metal the presence of the magnetization order parameter leads to the anomalous Hall effect (AHE) [14]. Here, we report a mechanism of spin current generation in a ferromagnet related to the AHE as predicted in theory [15]. The AHE generates a transverse electric potential, mutually orthogonal to the applied charge current (I) in a FM and its magnetization (M) direction. Due to a finite spin polarization in a FM, we expect that AHE can also result in a transverse spin accumulation. We call this effect the anomalous spin Hall effect (ASHE) in a ferromagnet. In addition to this new ASHE, the regular SHE due to the spin-orbit coupling in the ferromagnetic material will also be present and contribute to a spin accumulation perpendicular to I . The spin accumulation due to SHE in the FM will be independent of M , since the inverse process (ISHE) in a FM was shown to be independent of its magnetization by Tian *et al.* [13]. To demonstrate this mechanism we realize nonlocal magnon transport in a ferromagnetic insulator, yttrium iron garnet ($\text{Y}_3\text{Fe}_5\text{O}_{12}$, YIG), with all-electrical injection and detection using a ferromagnetic

metal, permalloy ($\text{Ni}_{80}\text{Fe}_{20}$, Py). The insulating spin transport channel (YIG) facilitates our observation of ASHE due to the lack of any parallel conducting path. Our experimental geometry is depicted in Fig. 1(a). A charge current (I) sourced through a Py strip will result in a transverse spin accumulation. Given the presence of both a large spin-orbit coupling and a magnetization order parameter, we consider two contributions to the spin accumulation at the Py/YIG interface: (i) SHE, which is independent of the Py magnetization (M_{Py}) [13] and (ii) ASHE, which is maximized when M_{Py} is perpendicular to the direction of I . This spin accumulation at the Py/YIG interface will generate magnons in the YIG by the transfer of angular momentum across the interface. Following the nonlocal magnon transport and its conversion into a pure spin current at the Py detector, there are reciprocal processes (ISHE and a magnetization-dependent inverse ASHE) that will generate an electrical voltage (V). Using a reference Pt detector, we directly compare the detection efficiencies of Py and Pt. Our experiments reveal that the detection efficiency of Py is comparable (10% higher) to that of Pt when the contribution due to ASHE in the Py is tuned to its maximum value.

The 210 nm thick YIG film used in this study was grown on GGG ($\text{Gd}_3\text{Ga}_5\text{O}_{12}$) substrate by liquid-phase epitaxy. Electron beam lithography was used to pattern the devices, which consist of two Py strips and one reference Pt strip, as shown in the optical image in Fig. 1(b). The Py and Pt strips were deposited by dc sputtering in Ar^+ plasma. The Ti/Au leads and bonding pads were deposited by e-beam evaporation. The thicknesses of the Py and the Pt strips are 13 nm and 7 nm, respectively, with widths of 200 nm. The electrical conductivities of the Py and Pt strips were measured to be $1.64 \times 10^6 \text{ S/m}$ and $4.71 \times 10^6 \text{ S/m}$, respectively. The middle Py strip is used as the injector and the left Py strip and right Pt strip act as detectors. Both the Py and Pt detectors have the same geometry and are located 500 nm (center-to-center) away from the middle Py injector. The electrical connections for the nonlocal magnon transport experiment are shown schematically in Fig. 1(b). An alternating current, with an amplitude of 350 μA and frequency of 11 Hz, is applied to the middle Py strip (injector). The nonlocal voltage across the left Py detector (V_{Py}) and across the reference Pt detector (V_{Pt}) are simultaneously recorded by a phase-sensitive lock-in detection technique. The linear signal corresponding to the electrical

*k.s.das@rug.nl

†b.j.van.wees@rug.nl

‡ivan.veramarun@manchester.ac.uk

FIG. 1. (a) Schematic diagram of the experimental geometry. A charge current (I) through the Py injector generates a transverse spin accumulation at the Py/YIG interface via the ASHE and SHE, which excites magnons in YIG by the transfer of angular momentum. The reciprocal processes generate a nonlocal electrical voltage (V) at the detector. (b) Optical image of the device along with the illustration of the electrical connections. An alternating current (I) is sourced across the middle Py (injector) strip and the nonlocal voltages (V_{Py} and V_{Pt}), generated across the left Py (detector) strip and the reference Pt (detector) strip on the right, are simultaneously measured. (c) An external in-plane magnetic field (B) is applied at an angle (θ) with respect to the direction of I . The coercive field of our YIG film being very small (≈ 1 mT), the YIG magnetization (M_{YIG}) is parallel to B , while the Py magnetization (M_{Py}) makes an angle (ϕ) with respect to I .

injection and detection is measured as the first harmonic ($1f$) response of the nonlocal voltage [6], while the thermally generated magnons due to Joule heating at the injector are detected as a spin Seebeck signal, measured as the second harmonic ($2f$) response. For all our experiments, we normalize the detected nonlocal voltage ($V^{1(2)f}$) by the injection current (I) for the first harmonic response ($R_{NL}^{1f} = V^{1f}/I$) and by I^2 for the second harmonic response ($R_{NL}^{2f} = V^{2f}/I^2$). All measurements have been conducted under a low vacuum atmosphere at room temperature.

An external in-plane magnetic field (B) is applied at an angle θ with respect to the direction of the strips (and I), as shown in Fig. 1(c). The coercive field of our YIG film is approximately 1 mT [16] and any B greater than this value will cause the YIG magnetization (M_{YIG}) to align parallel to B . On the other hand, the Py strips have a shape anisotropy, which leads to a higher saturation field and to the Py magnetization (M_{Py}) fully aligning along B only above 50 mT. In general, for $B < 50$ mT, M_{Py} makes an angle $\phi (\neq \theta)$ with respect to I . The experimental data is presented in Figs. 2(a)–2(d). The nonlocal resistance, corresponding to the electrical generation and detection of the magnons, is measured as a function of the angle θ by the Py detector [$R_{NL}^{1f}(Py)$] and the Pt detector [$R_{NL}^{1f}(Pt)$], as shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), respectively. $R_{NL}^{1f}(Py)$ and $R_{NL}^{1f}(Pt)$ exhibit line shapes resembling that of $\sin^2\theta$ [6]. The angular dependence measurements are performed for different magnitudes of B . The amplitudes of both $R_{NL}^{1f}(Py)$ and $R_{NL}^{1f}(Pt)$ increase with B and saturate above $B \approx 50$ mT. This behavior is confirmed in the B -sweep measurements at $\theta = 90^\circ$, shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d) for the Py and the Pt detectors, respectively.

The B dependence of $R_{NL}^{1f}(Py)$ and $R_{NL}^{1f}(Pt)$ follows from the rotation of M_{Py} . At low B , M_{Py} is aligned along the easy axis of the Py strips [y axis; see definition of axes in Fig. 1(c)], such that $\phi = 0^\circ$ independent of θ . In this regime, when $M_{Py} \parallel I$, there is no contribution from the ASHE. However, we still measure a finite amplitude of $R_{NL}^{1f}(Py)$ and $R_{NL}^{1f}(Pt)$, which we attribute to the magnons generated due to the SHE in Py, which is independent of M_{Py} [13]. This contribution due to SHE, denoted as R_{SHE} in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), remains approximately

constant for low B . As B is further increased above 10 mT, M_{Py} begins to tilt from the easy axis ($\phi \neq 0^\circ$), leading to a finite contribution towards magnon generation due to the ASHE. This contribution will be maximum when $M_{Py} \perp I$, i.e., $\phi = \pm 90^\circ$, which corresponds to M_{Py} aligned along the hard axis of the Py strips (x axis). The hard axis orientation of M_{Py} is achieved for $B \approx 50$ mT, above which $R_{NL}^{1f}(Py)$ and $R_{NL}^{1f}(Pt)$ are saturated. Thus, in this regime, both ASHE and SHE contribute, quantified as $R_{ASHE+SHE}$ in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b).

We also measure the second harmonic response R_{NL}^{2f} for both the Py and Pt detectors, as well as the anisotropic resistance (AMR) of the Py strips, as shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), respectively. The thermally generated magnons due

FIG. 2. Nonlocal resistance (R_{NL}^{1f}) as a function of angle θ for different magnetic fields (B), measured by the Py detector (a) and by the reference Pt detector. (b). Dependence of R_{NL}^{1f} on B at a fixed angle, $\theta = 90^\circ$, measured by the Py detector (c) and the Pt detector (d). The black and the red curves represent trace and retrace of B in the magnetic field sweep measurements, respectively.

FIG. 3. (a) Second harmonic response of the nonlocal resistance (R_{NL}^{2f}) as a function of B , for $\theta = 90^\circ$. R_{NL}^{2f} measured by both the Pt and the Py detectors show a sharp switch around $B = 0$ mT, corresponding to the switching of M_{YIG} . The additional feature, only for the case of the Py detector, is due to the hard axis alignment of M_{Py} . (b) AMR measurement of the Py injector, exhibiting the saturation of M_{Py} along the hard axis at $B \approx 50$ mT. (c) Schematic representation of M_{Py} with respect to I for two different magnetic fields (5 mT and 200 mT). (d) The relative detection efficiency of Py over Pt [$\eta(Py/Pt)$], as a function of B , for $\theta = 90^\circ$.

to Joule heating at the Py injector produce the R_{NL}^{2f} signal at the detector, via the spin Seebeck effect [6]. Thus R_{NL}^{2f} is independent of the magnetization of the injector. In Fig. 3(a), R_{NL}^{2f} measured by the Pt detector exhibits a sharp switch around 0 mT, corresponding to the switching of M_{YIG} . A similar sharp switch is observed in the R_{NL}^{2f} measured by the Py detector, only now it is followed by a gradual hard axis saturation of M_{Py} , up to $B \approx 50$ mT. Thus, from $R_{NL}^{2f}(Py)$, we can clearly identify the separate behavior of M_{YIG} and M_{Py} , suggesting the lack of any strong coupling between the two. Additional experiments also ruled out the effect of interfacial exchange interaction between YIG and Py (see Supplemental Material [17]). The hard axis saturation of M_{Py} is unambiguously confirmed from the AMR measurement presented in Fig. 3(b), in which the local resistance (two probe) of the Py injector is measured as a function of B for $\theta = 90^\circ$. It clearly shows that $B \approx 50$ mT is required to align $M_{Py} \perp I$, which corresponds accurately with the nonlocal data in Figs. 2 and 3(a). The orientations of M_{Py} and M_{YIG} with respect to I in the Py injector, for two different magnetic field strengths, are illustrated in Fig. 3(c). These observations strongly support our hypothesis of two different contributions: ASHE and SHE.

We now directly compare the magnon detection efficiencies of Py and Pt in the same device. Since the spin resistance of the medium (YIG) is much larger than the spin resistances of the injector and detectors [18], the measured nonlocal resistance can be expressed as a product of the injection efficiency (η_i) of the injector and detection efficiency (η_D) of the detector. η_i is the ratio of the spin accumulation created at the injector/YIG interface to the charge current sourced through

the injector, whereas η_D is the ratio of the measured nonlocal voltage in the detector to the spin current flowing across the YIG/detector interface. Thus $R_{NL}^{1f}(Py) \propto \eta_i(Py)\eta_D(Py)$ and $R_{NL}^{1f}(Pt) \propto \eta_i(Py)\eta_D(Pt)$, since we use the same Py injector in both cases. The relative detection efficiency of Py to Pt can be then expressed as $\eta(Py/Pt) = R_{NL}^{1f}(Py)/R_{NL}^{1f}(Pt) = \eta_D(Py)/\eta_D(Pt)$. In the lack of any theoretical study on ASHE, we phenomenologically express the dependence of the nonlocal resistance by updating Eq. (3) of Ref. [10]:

$$\eta_D(Py) \propto (\theta_{SH}^{Py} + \theta_{ASH}^{Py}) \frac{\lambda_{Py}}{t_{Py}\sigma_{Py}} \tanh\left(\frac{t_{Py}}{2\lambda_{Py}}\right), \quad (1)$$

where θ_{SH}^{Py} is the spin Hall angle in Py, θ_{ASH}^{Py} is the anomalous spin Hall angle, accounting for the spin-charge conversion in Py via the ASHE, with λ_{Py} , σ_{Py} , and t_{Py} being the spin relaxation length, electrical conductivity, and the thickness of the Py strip, respectively. Considering $\lambda_{Py} = 2.5$ nm [10] and $t_{Py} = 13$ nm, $\tanh(\frac{t_{Py}}{2\lambda_{Py}}) \approx 1$. $\eta_D(Pt)$ can be expressed similar to relation (1), with the absence of the anomalous spin Hall angle in Pt. Considering $\lambda_{Pt} = 1.5$ nm [18] and $t_{Pt} = 7$ nm, $\tanh(\frac{t_{Pt}}{2\lambda_{Pt}}) \approx 1$. For accurately comparing the detection efficiencies of Py and Pt (considering that $\theta_{(A)SH}$, λ , and σ are material specific properties), we account for the difference in their thicknesses and redefine $\eta(Py/Pt) = [R_{NL}^{1f}(Py)t_{Py}]/[R_{NL}^{1f}(Pt)t_{Pt}]$. The ratio $\eta(Py/Pt)$ is thus directly derived from the experimental data and normalized only by the thicknesses of the Py and Pt strips. In Fig. 3(d), $\eta(Py/Pt)$ is plotted against B . The detection efficiency of Py exceeds that of Pt [$\eta(Py/Pt) \gtrsim 1$] in the SHE+ASHE regime, where the ASHE in Py is maximized. In the SHE only regime, the detection efficiency of Py is about 55% that of Pt. These observations show that the SHE and ASHE contributions in Py have the same polarity as the SHE in Pt. Note that since the electrical injection and detection are linear processes, the injection efficiency is equivalent to the detection efficiency. We therefore demonstrate an efficient and tunable magnon injection and detection process in Py by manipulating M_{Py} , switching on and off the contribution from the ASHE.

The SHE will generate a spin accumulation in Py perpendicular to I , along the x axis. The component of this spin accumulation parallel to M_{YIG} will result in the generation of magnons in YIG. Thus the magnon generation due to the SHE will follow a $\sin\theta$ dependence [6] and will be independent of M_{Py} [13]. On the other hand, the contribution due to the ASHE is twofold and proportional to $\sin\phi \cos(\theta - \phi)$. The first term $\sin\phi$ corresponds to the magnitude of the spin accumulation due to ASHE, controlled by the orthogonality between I and M_{Py} , whereas the second term $\cos(\theta - \phi)$ corresponds to the projection of the spin accumulation due to ASHE (along M_{Py}) on M_{YIG} . The corresponding reciprocal processes will occur in the Py detector to generate $R_{NL}^{1f}(Py)$. In the Pt detector, the spin to charge conversion will occur only via the ISHE and follow a $\sin\theta$ dependence. $R_{NL}^{1f}(Py)$ and $R_{NL}^{1f}(Pt)$ can therefore be expressed as

$$R_{NL}^{1f}(Py) = [a \sin\theta + b \sin\phi \cos(\theta - \phi)]^2, \quad (2)$$

$$R_{NL}^{1f}(Pt) = c \sin\theta [a \sin\theta + b \sin\phi \cos(\theta - \phi)], \quad (3)$$

FIG. 4. Modeled R_{NL}^{lf} (Py) and R_{NL}^{lf} (Pt) from Eqs. (2) and (3) are plotted against θ in (a) and (b), respectively. The magnetic field dependence of R_{NL}^{lf} (Py) and R_{NL}^{lf} (Pt) is modeled in (c) and (d), respectively. The simulated results exhibit an excellent agreement with the experimental data in Fig. 2.

where the coefficients a , b , and c can be expressed as $a \propto \frac{G_{Py}\theta_{SH}^{Py}\lambda_{Py}}{t_{Py}\sigma_{Py}}$, $b \propto \frac{G_{Py}\theta_{ASH}^{Py}\lambda_{Py}}{t_{Py}\sigma_{Py}}$, and $c \propto \frac{G_{Pt}\theta_{SH}^{Pt}\lambda_{Pt}}{t_{Pt}\sigma_{Pt}}$, where $G_{Py(Pt)}$ represents the effective spin mixing conductance for the Py(Pt)/YIG interface. Considering the case of $\phi = 0^\circ$ and $\theta = 90^\circ$ (low B) and equating Eq. (2) to R_{NL}^{lf} (Py) obtained from Fig. 2(a), we calculate $a = 0.61 \text{ m}\Omega^{1/2}$. For $\phi = 90^\circ$ and $\theta = 90^\circ$ (high B), and substituting the value of a in Eq. (2), we calculate $b = 0.78 \text{ m}\Omega^{1/2}$. Using these values of a and b and Eq. (3), we find $c = 2.58 \text{ m}\Omega^{1/2}$. Next, for simulating the angular dependence measurements, we first consider the two extreme cases: (i) the high B regime ($B \approx \infty$), where M_{Py} is always aligned parallel to M_{YIG} , such that $\phi = \theta$ and (ii) the low B regime ($B \approx 0$), where M_{Py} is always aligned parallel to I , such that $\phi = 0^\circ$. Substituting the values of the coefficients calculated above in Eqs. (2) and (3), we model the angular dependence of R_{NL}^{lf} (Py) and R_{NL}^{lf} (Pt), as shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), respectively. For the intermediate regime of B ($0 < B < \infty$), we use the

Stoner-Wohlfart model [19] to calculate the dependence of ϕ on θ for different values of B , assuming a simple uniaxial shape anisotropy for M_{Py} , in order to simulate the angular dependence for different magnitudes of B . For modeling the B -sweep measurements, we extract the dependence of ϕ on B from the AMR measurement in Fig. 3(b), following the expression [20,21] $R_{Py}(B) = R_{Py}(\phi = 90^\circ) + [R_{Py}(\phi = 0^\circ) - R_{Py}(\phi = 90^\circ)] \cos^2 \phi(B)$. The modeled results for the B -sweep measurements, using the same coefficients, are shown in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d) for the Py and the Pt detectors, respectively. All the modeled results exhibit an excellent agreement with the experimental data both in terms of line shapes and magnitudes of the nonlocal resistances. Finally, we can approximately calculate the ratio $[G_{Py}\theta_{SH}^{Py}]/[G_{Pt}\theta_{SH}^{Pt}] \approx (a \frac{t_{Py}\sigma_{Py}}{\lambda_{Py}})/(c \frac{t_{Pt}\sigma_{Pt}}{\lambda_{Pt}}) = 0.09$. Additionally, we can estimate the ratio of the magnetization-dependent anomalous spin Hall angle to the magnetization-independent spin Hall angle in Py, $\theta_{ASH}^{Py}/\theta_{SH}^{Py} \approx b/a = 1.28$.

In this study, we have demonstrated a spin injection and detection mechanism via the ASHE in Py, which can be tuned by an external magnetic field via manipulation of M_{Py} . We also found a finite contribution to the spin accumulation generated at the Py/YIG interface due to the SHE, independent of M_{Py} . This spin accumulation along the x axis is nontrivial, since one would expect the spins to dephase under the influence of the exchange field of M_{Py} which is oriented along the y axis at low magnitudes of B . Following a previous report of ISHE in Co being unaffected by its magnetization [13], we conjecture that in Py (with lower magnetization) such dephasing is similarly negligible. Future efforts could look at the possible role of the spin mixing conductance and its nature when the concept is applied to the interface between two magnetic materials [22,23].

Our work opens up the usage of ferromagnets as efficient and tunable sources of perpendicular spin current injection by electrical means.

We acknowledge J. G. Holstein, H. M. de Roos, H. Adema, and T. Schouten for their technical assistance and thank G. E. W. Bauer, L. J. Cornelissen, and J. Liu for discussions. We acknowledge the financial support of the Zernike Institute for Advanced Materials and the Future and Emerging Technologies (FET) programme within the Seventh Framework Programme for Research of the European Commission, under FET-Open Grant No. 618083 (CNTQC).

- [1] T. Kimura, Y. Otani, T. Sato, S. Takahashi, and S. Maekawa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 156601 (2007).
- [2] J. Sinova, S. O. Valenzuela, J. Wunderlich, C. Back, and T. Jungwirth, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **87**, 1213 (2015).
- [3] L. Liu, T. Moriyama, D. C. Ralph, and R. A. Buhrman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 036601 (2011).
- [4] L. Liu, C.-F. Pai, Y. Li, H. W. Tseng, D. C. Ralph, and R. A. Buhrman, *Science* **336**, 555 (2012).
- [5] Y. Kajiwara, K. Harii, S. Takahashi, J. Ohe, K. Uchida, M. Mizuguchi, H. Umezawa, H. Kawai, K. Ando, K. Takanashi, S. Maekawa, and E. Saitoh, *Nature (London)* **464**, 262 (2010).
- [6] L. J. Cornelissen, J. Liu, R. A. Duine, J. B. Youssef, and B. J. van Wees, *Nat. Phys.* **11**, 1022 (2015).
- [7] S. T. B. Goennenwein, R. Schlitz, M. Pernpeintner, K. Ganzhorn, M. Althammer, R. Gross, and H. Huebl, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **107**, 172405 (2015).
- [8] A. V. Chumak, A. A. Serga, M. B. Jungfleisch, R. Neb, D. A. Bozhko, V. S. Tiberkevich, and B. Hillebrands, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **100**, 082405 (2012).
- [9] K. Uchida, J. Xiao, H. Adachi, J. Ohe, S. Takahashi, J. Ieda, T. Ota, Y. Kajiwara, H. Umezawa, H. Kawai, G. E. W. Bauer, S. Maekawa, and E. Saitoh, *Nat. Mater.* **9**, 894 (2010).

- [10] B. F. Miao, S. Y. Huang, D. Qu, and C. L. Chien, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 066602 (2013).
- [11] A. Tsukahara, Y. Ando, Y. Kitamura, H. Emoto, E. Shikoh, M. P. Delmo, T. Shinjo, and M. Shiraishi, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 235317 (2014).
- [12] T. Seki, K.-i. Uchida, T. Kikkawa, Z. Qiu, E. Saitoh, and K. Takanashi, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **107**, 092401 (2015).
- [13] D. Tian, Y. Li, D. Qu, S. Y. Huang, X. Jin, and C. L. Chien, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 020403 (2016).
- [14] N. Nagaosa, J. Sinova, S. Onoda, A. H. MacDonald, and N. P. Ong, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 1539 (2010).
- [15] T. Taniguchi, J. Grollier, and M. D. Stiles, *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **3**, 044001 (2015).
- [16] F. K. Dejene, N. Vlietstra, D. Luc, X. Waintal, J. Ben Youssef, and B. J. van Wees, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 100404 (2015).
- [17] See Supplemental Material at <http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.220408> for additional experiments ruling out the effect of interfacial exchange interaction between YIG and Py.
- [18] L. J. Cornelissen, K. J. H. Peters, G. E. W. Bauer, R. A. Duine, and B. J. van Wees, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 014412 (2016).
- [19] C. Tannous and J. Gieraltowski, *Physica B: Condensed Matter* **403**, 3563 (2008).
- [20] T. G. S. M. Rijks, R. Coehoorn, M. J. M. de Jong, and W. J. M. de Jonge, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 283 (1995).
- [21] K. S. Das, F. K. Dejene, B. J. van Wees, and I. J. Vera-Marun, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 180403 (2016).
- [22] D. Kikuchi, M. Ishida, K. Uchida, Z. Qiu, T. Murakami, and E. Saitoh, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **106**, 082401 (2015).
- [23] H. Yuasa, K. Tamae, and N. Onizuka, *AIP Adv.* **7**, 055928 (2017).