University of Groningen

Cancer mortality by migrant background in Belgium during the 2000s

Van Hemelrijck, W. M. J.; de Valk, H. A. G.; Vandenheede, H.

Published in:
Cancer Treatment and Research Communications

DOI:
[10.1016/j.ctarc.2017.05.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctarc.2017.05.003)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2017

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Van Hemelrijck, W. M. J., de Valk, H. A. G., & Vandenheede, H. (2017). Cancer mortality by migrant background in Belgium during the 2000s: patterns and social determinants. *Cancer Treatment and Research Communications*, 12, 19-24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctarc.2017.05.003>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Cancer mortality by migrant background in Belgium during the 2000s: Patterns and social determinants

Wanda Monika Johanna Van Hemelrijck^{a,*}, Helga A G de Valk^{a,b}, Hadewijch Vandenheede^a

^a Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussels, Belgium

^b Netherlands Interdisciplinary Demographic Institute/ Population Research Centre, University of Groningen, PO Box 11650, NL-2502 AR The Hague, The Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Belgium
Immigrants
Cancer mortality
Socio-economic position

ABSTRACT

Aim: To map and compare site-specific cancer mortality for Belgians and five of the largest immigrant groups in Belgium, and to look into the role of socio-economic position (SEP) and urbanisation.

Methods: We use linked Belgian census and register data for the period 2001–2011. Mortality from common cancer sites is studied for Belgians and individuals with a migrant background from Italy, France, the Netherlands, Morocco and Turkey aged 40 to 69. We use indirect standardisation and Poisson regression modelling, taking into account the effect of age, urbanisation and SEP. First- (FG) and second-generation (SG) immigrants are included.

Results: There is marked diversity in cancer mortality levels by migrant background, with oft-lower levels for FG Moroccan and Turkish immigrants, and levels usually closer to those of Belgians for European immigrants. Small increases are commonly observed by generation, although less clearly so for stomach and liver cancer. SEP plays an important role in the patterning of cancer mortality by migrant background.

Conclusion: Migrant background is associated with differences in site-specific cancer mortality levels in Belgium. The observed role of SEP warrants special attention to the most vulnerable socio-economic groups.

1. Introduction

Studies on cancer mortality in immigrants have recently gained recognition in Europe [1]. Such examinations can identify cancer-related healthcare needs of immigrants, and inform on cancer aetiology [2,3]. With growing shares of migrants and their descendants across Europe these questions become more acute. In Belgium, 20% of the population had foreign origins in 2016 [4]. Common countries of origin include neighbouring countries like France and the Netherlands, but also countries from which labour migrants were recruited in the 1950s and 1960s like Italy, Turkey and Morocco [5].

Common theories on differential mortality between immigrants and natives are based on the observation that mortality appears lower for adult immigrants from less industrialised countries to more industrialised ones [6]. Some authors explain this through selective re-emigration of unhealthy migrants (the *salmon-bias*) [1,6]. In contrast, others assign good physical and mental health for immigrants to selection processes before immigration (the *'healthy migrant' effect*) [6,7]. However, advantages are thought to decrease over time due to *acculturation* to the host country lifestyle [8–11].

It has been shown that adult immigrants from less industrialised

countries settling in the EU have lower all-cancer mortality compared with natives, but with site-specific diversity [1]. Overall, these immigrants are more prone to infection-related cancers such as liver, cervical, and stomach cancer. In contrast, they are less likely to die from cancers related to a western lifestyle, e.g. colorectal, breast and lung cancer [1]. Belgian cause-specific mortality research in first-generation (FG) immigrants aged 25–54 during the 1990s pointed to lower breast and lung cancer mortality in immigrants from less industrialised countries compared with Belgians [2]. Mortality from cancer of the digestive tract was lower for Sub-Saharan Africans, Italians, Spaniards, and Moroccans. A recent study taking into account duration of residence and immigrant generation shows increased all-cancer and lung cancer mortality with longer residence in Belgium and in the second generation (SG) [12]. Additionally, socio-economic position (SEP) and urbanisation contribute to site-specific cancer mortality levels for all groups [12].

The evidence base on cancer mortality in immigrants is growing, but often suffers from a small scale of study [1]. Few analyses include migrants from more industrialised countries, SEP is rarely considered, research barely focuses on various cancer sites, and FG and SG migrants are unfrequently studied simultaneously. This paper aims to address

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: Wanda.Van.Hemelrijck@vub.ac.be (W.M.J.V. Hemelrijck), valk@nidi.nl (H.A.G.d. Valk), Hadewijch.Vandenheede@vub.ac.be (H. Vandenheede).

these gaps by, firstly, mapping out differences in cancer mortality for FG and SG migrants of different origins and the native Belgian population for a variety of cancer types for the period 2001–2011. Secondly, we aim to probe into the determinants of the observed patterns by accounting for urbanisation and SEP.

2. Materials and methods

We use an individual linkage between the Belgian 2001 census and follow-up data from the population and mortality registry for the period 2001–2011. A variety of socio-demographic and -economic variables is available at baseline. We selected all official inhabitants aged 40–69 of Belgian descent (4 464 475) and of five common immigrant groups: Italians (170 121), the French (87 792), Dutch (75 742), Moroccans (79 004) and Turks (43 224). We refer to Morocco and Turkey as less industrialised, whereas Italy, France and the Netherlands are more industrialised countries.

Migrant background is operationalised through country of origin and migrant generation. The first is based on nationality at birth of the individual or his/her parents, the second on country of birth. Individuals born abroad with a foreign country of origin are classified as FG immigrants. Belgian born individuals with foreign origin are SG immigrants.

Mortality from a selection of common causes of cancer death in Belgium is studied [17], using the underlying cause of death coded through the tenth revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10) in order to identify mortality from all cancers combined (C00–C95); cancer of the head and neck (C00–C14, C30–C32); stomach (C16); colorectal (C18–C20); liver (C22); lung, bronchus and trachea (C33–C34); breast (C50); and prostate cancer (C61).

To account for the role of SEP in cancer mortality [12,15,16], we include educational level, housing status and employment status. Because immigrants do not settle in Belgium randomly [5], urbanisation is included as a categorical variable describing the area of settlement [12,18]. The number of children and age at first childbearing are included in the breast cancer analyses due to their known role as risk

factors [19,20]. Because missing data is known to vary by migrant background [12], we include categories for missing values on the abovementioned variables.

We assess cancer-specific mortality of different migrant background groups by calculating indirectly standardised mortality rates (ISMRS), adjusted to age-specific rates of the native population [16]. Poisson regression models are fitted separately for men and women to calculate cancer mortality rate ratios (MRRs). The number of cancer deaths is the dependent variable, person-years at risk the offset, and migrant background the independent variable. A first series of models adjusts for age. In a second series, we take urbanisation and SEP into account. The original data set was expanded by 5-year age groups in order to account for attained age [21].

3. Results

3.1. Study population

Table 1 describes the study population. FG Moroccan and Turkish migrants generally live in urban areas, have lower educational and employment levels, and are more likely to rent low comfort housing. FG Italian migrants have lower educational levels and employment as well. The proportion of missing information is highest for FG and SG migrants from less industrialised countries.

3.2. Cancer mortality by migrant background: patterns

Tables 2 and 3 show ISMRs per 100,000 person years by migrant background and cancer site. FG Moroccans and Turks of both sexes have the lowest ISMRs for all-cancers and colorectal cancer. For men, cancer of the head and neck mortality is also lowest, for women lung and breast cancer mortality complete this list. In contrast, stomach cancer mortality for these groups is highest as well as for FG Italians. FG Italians also have the highest liver cancer ISMR (men: 40.1 [34.5–46.4]; women: 18.1 [14.1–22.9]) but a low ISMR for cancer of the head and neck (men: 17.1 [13.3–21.5]; women: 4.7 [2.7–7.5]). High all-cancer,

Table 1

Background characteristics: Percentages for urbanisation, educational level, housing comfort, and employment status by migrant background and total numbers. Source: authors' calculations.

	Belgian	FG Italian	SG Italian	FG French	SG French	FG Dutch	SG Dutch	FG Moroccan	SG Moroccan	FG Turkish	SG Turkish
Urbanisation											
Urban	24.4	36.3	30.1	36.3	31.7	17.6	26.5	80.8	78.2	62.4	55.6
Urban agglomeration	11.8	28.1	27.8	10.1	12.0	10.2	12.7	6.7	8.8	8.0	9.2
Banlieue	15.2	9.7	12.8	10.3	13.0	19.4	19.4	3.1	4.0	3.2	4.2
Rural	21.9	9.0	11.5	14.5	16.0	20.0	18.5	4.4	4.9	8.8	10.2
Other	26.8	16.9	17.8	28.8	27.6	32.8	22.8	5.1	4.2	17.5	20.7
Educational level											
(Pre)primary	17.0	39.1	11.1	22.2	14.6	13.1	13.8	43.9	5.6	49.5	8.6
Lower secondary	25.3	25.5	31.6	23.9	24.0	25.0	22.9	15.6	26.4	17.5	32.4
Higher secondary	26.8	14.2	31.8	20.2	27.1	27.3	30.3	14.8	36.7	13.3	37.0
Tertiary	24.7	6.4	18.1	19.3	25.7	26.8	26.7	9.5	17.8	4.5	12.5
Missing	6.3	14.8	7.4	14.5	8.7	7.9	6.3	16.3	13.5	15.2	9.5
Housing comfort											
Tenant/low comfort	8.9	10.5	11.8	15.6	15.6	7.9	9.7	24.3	25.3	14.8	18.5
Tenant/mid comfort	5.5	5.0	6.1	7.9	7.9	7.5	7.2	10.6	13.1	6.2	8.3
Tenant/high comfort	4.8	3.8	4.6	9.3	6.5	10.1	6.3	6.8	7.3	5.0	5.9
Owner/low comfort	21.3	22.4	19.3	18.5	20.5	11.8	16.8	16.1	12.3	23.3	18.5
Owner/mid comfort	17.7	19.0	18.6	11.5	13.7	15.2	16.6	9.1	8.5	12.6	12.3
Owner/high comfort	33.4	27.7	29.5	21.5	24.6	37.7	34.5	15.7	13.1	22.2	20.0
Missing	8.5	11.6	10.2	15.7	11.3	9.9	9.0	17.5	20.4	15.8	16.6
Employment status											
Job	58.6	37.0	66.4	48.7	62.9	54.3	68.2	34.1	54.8	30.6	54.7
No Job	38.1	57.2	28.7	42.6	31.7	40.1	28.3	56.0	33.8	58.6	36.8
Missing	3.3	5.8	4.8	8.7	5.4	5.7	3.6	9.9	11.4	10.8	8.5
Total number	N = 4 464 475	N = 85 974	N = 84 147	N = 61 523	N = 26 269	N = 52 690	N = 23 052	N = 70 878	N = 8 126	N = 40 070	N = 3 154

FG: First generation; SG: Second generation.

Table 2
Men aged 40–69: All-cancer, lung, colorectal, stomach, head and neck, liver, and prostate cancer indirectly standardized mortality rate (ISMR) per 100,000 person years, 95% confidence interval (CI) and observed number of deaths (N) by migrant background.
Source: authors' calculations.

	All cancers		Lung cancer		Colorectal cancer		Stomach cancer		Cancer of the head and neck		Liver cancer		Prostate cancer	
	ISMR [95% CI]	N	ISMR [95% CI]	N	ISMR [95% CI]	N	ISMR [95% CI]	N	ISMR [95% CI]	N	ISMR [95% CI]	N	ISMR [95% CI]	N
<i>Belgian</i>	301.4 [298.8–304.1]	50,752	273.9 [271.4–276.4]	46,109	66.8 [65.5–68.0]	11,241	21.8 [21.1–22.6]	3676	47.3 [46.2–48.3]	7956	22.7 [22.0–23.4]	3823	35.0 [34.1–35.9]	5897
<i>Italian, FG</i>	253.2 [238.8–268.3]	1147	251.1 [236.7–266.3]	1124	64.1 [56.9–71.9]	289	25.5 [21.0–30.6]	112	17.1 [13.3–21.5]	71	40.1 [34.5–46.4]	181	19 [15.2–23.3]	89
<i>Italian, SG</i>	271.4 [246.0–298.7]	419	201.6 [180.6–224.4]	335	51.3 [40.6–64.0]	79	22.0 [15.6–30.0]	39	18.8 [13.7–25.2]	45	18.0 [12.0–25.8]	29	34.0 [23.8–47.2]	36
<i>French, FG</i>	357.5 [332.4–384.0]	751	319.6 [295.9–344.7]	672	56.1 [46.4–67.3]	117	15.3 [10.5–21.6]	32	74.0 [62.9–86.5]	158	47.8 [38.9–58.1]	101	31.4 [24.2–40.1]	64
<i>French, SG</i>	413.6 [360.5–472.4]	218	306.5 [261.8–356.7]	167	62.4 [42.9–87.8]	33	26.4 [14.7–43.6]	15	69.7 [51.0–93.0]	46	27.9 [15.6–46.1]	15	29.0 [15.4–49.7]	13
<i>Dutch, FG</i>	230.9 [210.8–252.5]	481	184.7 [166.6–204.2]	382	56.2 [46.5–67.4]	117	17.5 [12.3–24.3]	36	29.2 [22.2–37.8]	58	17.4 [12.1–24.0]	36	30.9 [23.9–39.4]	66
<i>Dutch, SG</i>	276.3 [238.8–318.1]	194	224.3 [190.9–261.9]	160	58.2 [41.7–79.0]	41	16.4 [8.5–28.8]	12	33.1 [21.6–48.6]	26	1.4 [0.0–8.1]	1	44.1 [29.5–63.4]	29
<i>Moroccan, FG</i>	208.7 [190.2–228.1]	487	207.6 [189.6–226.8]	490	24.7 [18.7–31.9]	58	36.1 [28.9–44.5]	87	11.1 [7.4–16.1]	28	20.9 [15.5–27.7]	49	24.8 [18.7–32.2]	56
<i>Moroccan, SG</i>	475.0 [215.4–905.6]	9	320.2 [126.9–663.5]	7	No deaths	0	No deaths	0	No deaths	0	No deaths	0	No deaths	0
<i>Turkish, FG</i>	214.0 [187.5–243.3]	234	210.5 [184.5–239.2]	236	20.9 [13.3–31.5]	23	24.2 [16.1–35.1]	28	9.3 [4.8–16.3]	12	10.8 [5.6–19.0]	12	23.2 [14.7–34.9]	23
<i>Turkish, SG</i>	458.2 [119.0–1184.8]	4	No deaths	0.0	No deaths	0.0	No deaths	0.0	No deaths	0.0	No deaths	0.0	No deaths	0.0

FG: First generation; SG: Second generation.

Table 3
Women aged 40–69: All-cancer, lung, colorectal, stomach, head and neck, liver, and breast cancer indirectly standardized mortality rate per 100,000 person years (ISMR), 95% confidence interval (CI) and observed number of deaths (N) by migrant background.
Source: authors' calculations.

	All cancers		Lung cancer		Colorectal cancer		Stomach cancer		Cancer of the head and neck		Liver cancer		Breast cancer	
	ISMR [95% CI]	N	ISMR [95% CI]	N	ISMR [95% CI]	N	ISMR [95% CI]	N	ISMR [95% CI]	N	ISMR [95% CI]	N	ISMR [95% CI]	N
<i>Belgian</i>	201.0 [198.8–203.1]	34,529	90.0 [88.7–91.6]	15,490	43.3 [42.3–44.3]	7442	8.9 [8.5–9.4]	1528	9.5 [9.0–10.0]	1632	10.0 [9.6–10.5]	1722	118.5 [116.9–120.2]	20,363
<i>Italian, FG</i>	157.5 [145.1–170.1]	594	52.8 [45.6–60.8]	191	38.8 [32.8–45.6]	149	20.4 [16.1–25.5]	77	4.7 [2.7–7.5]	17	18.1 [14.1–22.9]	70	73.6 [65.0–83.0]	265
<i>Italian, SG</i>	203.0 [182.4–225.2]	355	74.0 [62.7–86.7]	152	34.4 [25.9–44.8]	55	13.9 [8.9–20.7]	24	10.1 [6.2–15.4]	21	8.5 [4.5–14.6]	13	102.5 [89.2–117.3]	212
<i>French, FG</i>	206.9 [189.2–225.7]	505	87.4 [76.1–99.9]	215	35.6 [28.4–43.9]	86	6.6 [3.8–10.7]	16	15.8 [11.2–21.6]	39	13.7 [9.4–19.3]	33	118.3 [105.1–132.7]	290
<i>French, SG</i>	264.3 [226.3–306.9]	172	154.0 [125.9–186.7]	104	64.6 [46.3–87.6]	41	7.7 [2.4–18.1]	5	16.3 [8.1–29.2]	11	6.3 [1.6–16.3]	4	130.7 [104.9–160.9]	89
<i>Dutch, FG</i>	187.3 [168.9–207.0]	382	123.5 [108.6–139.9]	248	32.2 [24.9–41.0]	66	11.3 [7.1–17.0]	23	6.0 [3.1–10.5]	12	5.8 [3.0–10.2]	12	93.2 [80.3–107.5]	187
<i>Dutch, SG</i>	177.1 [143.4–216.3]	96	94.6 [70.9–123.8]	53	37.5 [22.8–57.9]	20	7.3 [1.9–19.0]	4	5.4 [1.0–15.9]	3	3.7 [0.4–13.8]	2	121.9 [94.8–154.3]	69
<i>Moroccan, FG</i>	136.4 [120.3–154.1]	260	24.3 [17.9–32.1]	49	28.6 [21.4–37.5]	53	17.9 [12.4–25.0]	34	5.4 [2.7–9.7]	11	17.5 [12.0–24.7]	32	62.1 [51.7–74.0]	126
<i>Moroccan, SG</i>	240.3 [95.2–497.8]	7	133.7 [42.2–314.4]	5	40.1 [0.0–230.0]	1	No deaths	0	No deaths	0	36.3 [0.0–208.0]	1	68.1 [12.8–201.5]	3
<i>Turkish, FG</i>	123.8 [103.8–146.6]	135	31.0 [21.7–43.0]	36	8.5 [3.9–16.2]	9	27.6 [18.6–39.4]	30	1.7 [0.2–6.3]	2	10.5 [5.2–18.9]	11	36.8 [26.6–49.6]	43
<i>Turkish, SG</i>	No deaths	0	No deaths	0	No deaths	0	No deaths	0	No deaths	0	No deaths	0	No deaths	0

FG: First generation; SG: Second generation.

Table 4

Men aged 40–69: All-cancer, lung, colorectal, stomach, head and neck, and prostate cancer mortality rate ratio (MRR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) by migrant background.
Source: authors' calculations.

	Belgian	Italian, FG	Italian, SG	French, FG	French, SG	Dutch, FG	Dutch, SG	Moroccan, FG	Moroccan, SG	Turkish, FG	Turkish, SG
All cancers											
Model 1	1.00 (Ref.)	0.83 [0.78–0.88]	0.94 [0.86–1.04]	1.21 [1.12–1.30]	1.41 [1.23–1.61]	0.77 [0.70–0.84]	0.92 [0.80–1.06]	0.69 [0.63–0.75]	2.20 [1.14–4.23]	0.73 [0.64–0.82]	2.04 [0.76–5.44]
Model 2	1.00 (Ref.)	0.70 [0.66–0.74]	0.85 [0.77–0.94]	1.03 [0.96–1.10]	1.19 [1.04–1.36]	0.82 [0.75–0.90]	0.90 [0.78–1.04]	0.47 [0.43–0.52]	1.47 [0.77–2.83]	0.49 [0.43–0.56]	1.53 [0.57–4.08]
Lung cancer											
Model 1	1.00 (Ref.)	0.91 [0.85–0.96]	0.77 [0.69–0.85]	1.19 [1.10–1.28]	1.15 [0.98–1.33]	0.68 [0.61–0.75]	0.83 [0.71–0.96]	0.76 [0.69–0.83]	1.64 [0.78–3.43]	0.78 [0.69–0.89]	No deaths
Model 2	1.00 (Ref.)	0.67 [0.63–0.71]	0.65 [0.58–0.72]	0.96 [0.89–1.04]	0.90 [0.78–1.05]	0.77 [0.70–1.05]	0.80 [0.68–0.93]	0.43 [0.39–0.47]	0.97 [0.46–2.04]	0.42 [0.37–0.48]	No deaths
Colorectal cancer											
Model 1	1.00 (Ref.)	0.95 [0.84–1.07]	0.80 [0.64–1.00]	0.86 [0.71–1.03]	0.96 [0.68–1.35]	0.85 [0.71–1.02]	0.88 [0.65–1.19]	0.37 [0.28–0.48]	No deaths	0.32 [0.21–0.48]	No deaths
Model 2	1.00 (Ref.)	0.78 [0.70–0.88]	0.73 [0.58–0.91]	0.78 [0.65–0.93]	0.85 [0.60–1.19]	0.91 [0.76–1.09]	0.87 [0.64–1.18]	0.26 [0.20–0.33]	No deaths	0.21 [0.14–0.32]	No deaths
Stomach cancer											
Model 1	1.00 (Ref.)	1.15 [0.95–1.39]	1.04 [0.76–1.43]	0.72 [0.51–1.01]	1.24 [0.75–2.07]	0.81 [0.58–1.12]	0.76 [0.43–1.34]	1.65 [1.33–2.04]	No deaths	1.13 [0.78–1.64]	No deaths
Model 2	1.00 (Ref.)	0.91 [0.75–1.10]	0.94 [0.69–1.30]	0.61 [0.43–0.86]	1.04 [0.63–1.73]	0.91 [0.66–1.27]	0.75 [0.42–1.32]	1.01 [0.81–1.25]	No deaths	0.65 [0.45–0.95]	No deaths
Cancer head & neck											
Model 1	1.00 (Ref.)	1.75 [1.51–2.03]	0.82 [0.57–1.18]	2.14 [1.76–2.61]	1.25 [0.75–2.08]	0.77 [0.55–1.07]	0.06 [0.01–0.44]	0.92 [0.69–1.22]	No deaths	0.95 [0.28–0.86]	No deaths
Model 2	1.00 (Ref.)	1.43 [1.23–1.67]	0.72 [0.50–1.04]	1.88 [1.54–2.29]	1.06 [0.64–1.76]	0.82 [0.59–1.13]	0.06 [0.01–0.43]	0.60 [0.45–0.80]	No deaths	0.31 [0.17–0.54]	No deaths
Liver cancer											
Model 1	1.00 (Ref.)	0.36 [0.28–0.45]	0.41 [0.31–0.55]	1.59 [1.36–1.87]	1.52 [1.14–2.04]	0.62 [0.48–0.81]	0.71 [0.48–1.05]	0.24 [0.16–0.34]	No deaths	0.20 [0.11–0.36]	No deaths
Model 2	1.00 (Ref.)	0.26 [0.20–0.33]	0.32 [0.24–0.43]	1.17 [1.00–1.37]	1.05 [0.78–1.40]	0.70 [0.54–0.91]	0.68 [0.46–1.00]	0.11 [0.08–0.16]	No deaths	0.10 [0.05–0.17]	No deaths
Prostate cancer											
Model 1	1.00 (Ref.)	0.54 [0.43–0.66]	1.03 [0.74–1.43]	0.92 [0.72–1.17]	0.84 [0.49–1.45]	0.89 [0.70–1.13]	1.26 [0.88–1.82]	0.69 [0.53–0.90]	No deaths	0.67 [0.45–1.01]	No deaths
Model 2	1.00 (Ref.)	0.44 [0.35–0.54]	0.93 [0.67–1.30]	0.83 [0.65–1.06]	0.75 [0.43–1.29]	0.98 [0.77–1.25]	1.26 [0.87–1.81]	0.48 [0.37–0.63]	No deaths	0.44 [0.29–0.67]	No deaths

Model 1: controlled for age; Model 2: controlled for age, urbanisation and SEP.

FG: First generation; SG: Second generation.

Table 5

Women aged 40–69: All-cancer, lung, colorectal, stomach, head and neck, liver, and breast cancer mortality rate ratio (MRR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) by migrant background.
Source: authors' calculations.

	Belgian	Italian, FG	Italian, SG	French, FG	French, SG	Dutch, FG	Dutch, SG	Moroccan, FG	Moroccan, SG	Turkish, FG	Turkish, SG
All cancers											
Model 1	1.00 (Ref.)	0.77 [0.71–0.84]	1.05 [0.95–1.17]	1.04 [0.96–1.14]	1.34 [1.16–1.56]	0.94 [0.85–1.04]	0.89 [0.73–1.09]	0.68 [0.61–0.77]	1.75 [0.84–3.68]	0.63 [0.53–0.74]	No deaths
Model 2	1.00 (Ref.)	0.69 [0.63–0.75]	0.96 [0.87–1.07]	0.93 [0.85–1.02]	1.22 [1.05–1.42]	0.93 [0.84–1.03]	0.86 [0.70–1.05]	0.49 [0.43–0.55]	1.35 [0.64–2.84]	0.47 [0.40–0.56]	No deaths
Lung cancer											
Model 1	1.00 (Ref.)	0.58 [0.50–0.67]	0.85 [0.72–0.99]	0.98 [0.86–1.13]	1.75 [1.44–2.12]	1.38 [1.22–1.57]	1.06 [0.81–1.39]	0.27 [0.21–0.36]	2.19 [0.91–5.27]	0.35 [0.25–0.49]	No deaths
Model 2	1.00 (Ref.)	0.42 [0.36–0.58]	0.68 [0.58–0.80]	0.77 [0.67–0.88]	1.43 [1.18–1.72]	1.40 [1.23–1.59]	0.98 [0.75–1.28]	0.13 [0.09–0.17]	1.29 [0.53–3.09]	0.18 [0.13–0.25]	No deaths
Colorectal cancer											
Model 1	1.00 (Ref.)	0.88 [0.75–1.04]	0.82 [0.63–1.08]	0.83 [0.67–1.03]	1.52 [1.12–2.07]	0.75 [0.59–0.95]	0.87 [0.56–1.35]	0.66 [0.51–0.87]	1.33 [0.19–9.45]	0.20 [0.10–0.38]	No deaths
Model 2	1.00 (Ref.)	0.76 [0.64–0.89]	0.75 [0.58–0.99]	0.76 [0.61–0.94]	1.41 [1.04–1.92]	0.76 [0.60–0.97]	0.85 [0.55–1.32]	0.45 [0.34–0.59]	1.06 [0.15–7.54]	0.14 [0.07–0.27]	No deaths
Stomach cancer											
Model 1	1.00 (Ref.)	2.28 [1.81–2.86]	1.59 [1.06–2.38]	0.75 [0.46–1.23]	0.88 [0.37–2.12]	1.28 [0.85–1.94]	0.83 [0.31–2.22]	2.01 [1.43–2.82]	No deaths	3.13 [2.18–4.49]	No deaths
Model 2	1.00 (Ref.)	1.80 [1.42–2.27]	1.44 [0.96–2.17]	0.64 [0.39–1.05]	0.79 [0.33–1.90]	1.31 [0.87–1.98]	0.81 [0.30–2.16]	1.17 [0.82–1.66]	No deaths	1.83 [1.27–2.65]	No deaths
Cancer head & neck											
Model 1	1.00 (Ref.)	1.78 [1.40–2.25]	0.90 [0.52–1.55]	1.39 [0.98–1.96]	0.65 [0.24–1.72]	0.58 [0.33–1.03]	0.38 [0.09–1.51]	1.76 [1.24–2.50]	5.39 [0.75–38.53]	1.07 [0.59–1.94]	No deaths
Model 2	1.00 (Ref.)	1.40 [1.10–1.79]	0.77 [0.45–1.34]	1.19 [0.84–1.68]	0.57 [0.21–1.53]	0.59 [0.34–1.04]	0.36 [0.09–1.45]	1.01 [0.71–1.45]	3.74 [0.52–26.80]	0.65 [0.36–1.18]	No deaths
Liver cancer											
Model 1	1.00 (Ref.)	0.49 [0.30–0.79]	1.08 [0.70–1.67]	1.68 [1.22–2.31]	1.75 [0.97–3.16]	0.64 [0.36–1.12]	0.57 [0.18–1.78]	0.58 [0.32–1.04]	No deaths	0.18 [0.05–0.74]	No deaths
Model 2	1.00 (Ref.)	0.36 [0.22–0.59]	0.84 [0.55–1.30]	1.23 [0.90–1.70]	1.34 [0.74–2.42]	0.62 [0.35–1.09]	0.52 [0.17–1.62]	0.26 [0.14–0.46]	No deaths	0.09 [0.02–0.37]	No deaths
Breast cancer											
Model 1	1.00 (Ref.)	0.61 [0.54–0.69]	0.89 [0.77–1.02]	1.01 [0.90–1.14]	1.13 [0.92–1.39]	0.79 [0.69–0.92]	1.04 [0.82–1.32]	0.53 [0.44–0.63]	0.84 [0.27–2.61]	0.32 [0.23–0.43]	No deaths
Model 2	1.00 (Ref.)	0.53 [0.47–0.60]	0.82 [0.71–0.94]	0.92 [0.82–1.03]	1.06 [0.86–1.30]	0.79 [0.68–0.91]	1.02 [0.80–1.29]	0.36 [0.31–0.44]	0.67 [0.22–2.09]	0.22 [0.16–0.30]	No deaths
Model 3	1.00 (Ref.)	0.55 [0.49–0.63]	0.81 [0.71–0.93]	0.92 [0.82–1.03]	1.04 [0.85–1.29]	0.76 [0.66–0.88]	1.00 [0.79–1.26]	0.37 [0.31–0.44]	0.66 [0.21–2.05]	0.23 [0.17–0.32]	No deaths

Model 1: controlled for age; Model 2: controlled for age, urbanisation and SEP; Model 3: controlled for age, urbanisation, SEP, number of children and age at first childbearing.

FG: First generation; SG: Second generation.

lung, liver cancer and cancer of the head and neck ISMRs are observed for FG French migrants.

The SG Moroccan men's all-cancer ISMR is strongly increased (475.0 [215.4–905.6]), and also high for SG French migrants of both sexes (men: 413.6 [360.5–472.4]; women: 270.3 [232.1–313.1]). SG French female mortality from lung and colorectal cancer is higher than for the FG. We observed but few cancer deaths for SG Moroccans and Turks for site-specific cancer.

The abovementioned patterns are visible in relative terms in Model 1 of Tables 4 and 5, showing age-adjusted MRRs and 95% CIs for all origin groups by cancer type compared to Belgians for men and women. MRRs for groups from more industrialised countries lie closer to Belgian natives' cancer mortality, and often increase with generation.

3.3. Cancer mortality by migrant background: determinants

Model 2 in Tables 4 and 5 shows MRRs after inclusion of SEP and urbanisation. MRRs decrease for all origins, except FG Dutch migrants. Male MRRs show sharper decreases as do FG Italian, Moroccan and Turkish MRRs compared with MRRs for females and individuals from more industrialised countries. We also observe a strong decline for lung cancer in both sexes and cancer of the head and neck for men. However, the abovementioned patterns remain visible. For breast cancer, adding reproductive factors to the model does not substantially change the results.

4. Discussion

This paper is among the first European studies on cancer mortality by migrant background and takes into account SEP, urbanisation, country of origin and migrant generation. Our study results show disparities in cancer mortality by country of origin, migrant generation, and the cancer site studied. Lung, colorectal, breast cancer and cancer of the head and neck mortality is lower among FG Moroccans and Turks. Individuals with migrant background from more industrialised countries show rates closer to those of Belgians. The distribution of SEP across migrant backgrounds plays a non-negligible role for cancer mortality.

Our results are in line with a review by Arnold, Razum and Coebergh [1], claiming lower cancer mortality from lifestyle-related cancer for FG immigrants from less industrialised countries to the EU. We find lower cancer mortality for FG Moroccans and Turks for lung, colorectal, head and neck, prostate and breast cancer. Findings broadly confirm patterns from Belgian studies including cancer of the digestive tract, lung and breast cancer [2,12]. For liver and stomach cancer the identified patterns for FG Moroccans and Turks are less clear. Although Arnold, Razum & Coebergh generally find immigrants from less industrialised countries more prone to infection-related cancers such as stomach, liver and cervical cancer [1], particular studies including Moroccan and Turkish immigrants do not always find a higher mortality level for stomach and liver cancer for both of these groups [13,22–24]. The lack of a clear pattern may be due to the fact that not all subsites of stomach cancer are infection-related [25], and liver cancer has infection- and lifestyle-related risk factors [26].

Cancer mortality for FG immigrants from more industrialised countries differs less from native Belgians', but nonetheless varies by country of origin. Mortality from cancer of the head and neck is highest in FG French immigrants. This corresponds to high mortality for this cancer in northern French regions [27], characterized by high alcohol and tobacco consumption. Because French migrants generally settle along the border and high rates are observed in this area [28], some border crossing of these lifestyle traits is possible. Findings on lung cancer for FG Italians confirm Belgian research [2,12]. However, the comparably high liver and stomach cancer mortality in females is puzzling. Various factors may cause this, such as diet [29,30], alcohol consumption [26], and/or prevalence of viral infections [25,26].

Intermediate liver cancer incidence has been observed for South-Europeans [26], but there is a lack of other evidence to verify our findings. Information on the region of origin could shed more light on this [16].

SG migrants from more industrialised countries tend to have increased cancer mortality for all-cancer and lifestyle-related cancers compared to their FG counterparts. However, due to a low number of cases in SG Moroccans and Turks, we cannot replicate international results on these groups, which generally highlight increases in cancer mortality as well [1]. Increased cancer mortality by generation may be due to adoption of the Belgian life style, such as uptake of smoking, changes in diet and in reproductive behaviour [13]. This process occurs quicker for particular lifestyle factors (e.g. diet) [31], and differs by gender and origin group [32,33].

Although country of birth is known, we use information on nationality in order to determine country of origin, because identification for SG migrants is otherwise incomplete [34]. Also, due to small numbers of cases a subdivision by duration of residence is impossible, despite its known role in migrant health research [12–14,35,36]. Finally, to date, we dispose only of mortality information. Processes related to incidence (e.g. risk factors, screening) and survival (e.g. treatment) that make up cancer mortality remain a black box for most of the cancer sites included [37,38]. For migrants this information is essential as we may underestimate their cancer mortality due to selective return migration related to ill health or old age. A future record-linkage with the Belgian Cancer Registry (BCR) will allow more precise investigations of where observed disadvantages in cancer mortality originate from.

The role of SEP in our results encourages appropriately designed measures aimed at decreasing cancer risk for low SEP-groups of foreign and potentially native origin. Where early detection and treatment of cancer are concerned, access may be restricted for certain immigrants even though health insurance coverage in Belgium is almost universal, a fact of which policy makers and health care providers must be wary.

Conflict of interest statement

None declared.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the University Research Council of Vrije Universiteit Brussel (Grant No - OZR2818). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection, analyses, decision to publish or the preparation of the manuscript.

We would like to thank the Flemish Agency for Care and Health for the initial data preparation; Statistics Belgium for supplying the mortality data; Patrick Lusyné (Statistics Belgium) for performing the individual data linkage procedure; and Didier Willaert (Vrije Universiteit Brussel) for his significant data support.

References

- [1] M. Arnold, O. Razum, J.W. Coebergh, Cancer risk diversity in non-western migrants to Europe: an overview of the literature, *Eur. J. Cancer* 46 (2010) 2647–2659, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2010.07.050>.
- [2] P. Deboosere, S. Gadeyne, Adult migrant mortality advantage in Belgium: evidence using census and register data, *Population* 60 (2005) 655, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3917/pope.505.0655>.
- [3] S.H. Wild, C. Fischbacher, A. Brock, C. Griffiths, R. Bhopal, Mortality from all causes and circulatory disease by country of birth in England and Wales 2001–2003, *J. Publ. Health* 29 (2007) 191–198, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdm010>.
- [4] F. De Smet, *Migratie in cijfers en in rechten* 2016, Brussels, 2016.
- [5] H.A.G. De Valk, C.C. Huisman, K.R. Noam, Migration patterns and immigrant characteristics in North-Western Europe, in: J. Martinez Pizarro, L.D. Reboiras Finardi (Eds.), *Development, Institutional and Policy Aspects of International Migration Between Africa, Europe and Latin America and the Caribbean*, ECLAC-UN, Chile, 2011.
- [6] O. Razum, S. Rohrmann, The healthy migrant effect: role of selection and late entry bias, *Gesundheitswesen* 64 (2002) 82–88, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-2002-20271>.

- [7] A.F. Abraído-Lanza, B.P. Dohrenwend, D.S. Ng-Mak, J.B. Turner, The Latino mortality paradox: a test of the “salmon bias” and healthy migrant hypotheses, *Am. J. Publ. Health* 89 (1999) 1543–1548, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.89.10.1543>.
- [8] J. Spallek, H. Zeeb, O. Razum, What do we have to know from migrants’ past exposures to understand their health status? A life course approach, *Emerg. Themes Epidemiol.* 8 (2011) 6, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1742-7622-8-6>.
- [9] O. Razum, D. Twardella, Time travel with Oliver Twist—towards an explanation for a paradoxically low mortality among recent immigrants, *Trop. Med. Int. Health* 7 (2002) 4–10, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3156.2002.00833.x>.
- [10] J. Martin-Fernandez, F. Grillo, C. Tichit, I. Parizot, P. Chauvin, Overweight according to geographical origin and time spent in France: a cross sectional study in the Paris metropolitan area, *BMC Publ. Health* 12 (2012) 937, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-937>.
- [11] S. Tarnutzer, M. Bopp, Group SNCS. Healthy migrants but unhealthy offspring? A retrospective cohort study among Italians in Switzerland, *BMC Publ. Health* 12 (2012) 1104, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-1104>.
- [12] H. Vandenheede, D. Willaert, H. De Grande, S. Simoons, C. Vanroelen, Mortality in adult immigrants in the 2000s in Belgium: a test of the “Healthy migrant” and the “Migration-as-rapid-health-transition” hypotheses, *Trop. Med. Int. Health* (2015), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/tmi.12610>.
- [13] I. Stirbu, A.E. Kunst, F. a Vleems, O. Visser, V. Bos, W. Deville, et al., Cancer mortality rates among first and second generation migrants in the Netherlands: convergence toward the rates of the native Dutch population, *Int. J. Cancer* 119 (2006) 2665–2672, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ijc.22200>.
- [14] S. Harding, Mortality of migrants from the Caribbean to England and Wales: effect of duration of residence, *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 33 (2004) 382–386, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyh059>.
- [15] E. Ward, A. Jemal, V. Cokkinides, G.K. Singh, C. Cardinez, A. Ghafoor, et al., Cancer disparities by race/ethnicity and socioeconomic status, *CA Cancer J. Clin.* 54 (2004) 78–93, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3322/canjclin.54.2.78>.
- [16] D.M. Parkin, M. Khlat, *Studies of cancer in migrants: rationale and methodology*, *Eur. J. Cancer* 32A (1996) 761–771.
- [17] Belgian Cancer Registry. *Cancer Burden in Belgium*, 2015.
- [18] S. Luyten, E. Van Hecke, *De Belgische stadsgewesten 2001, 2007*.
- [19] S. Gadeyne, P. Deboosere, H. Vandenheede, K. Neels, Does birth history account for educational differences in breast cancer mortality? A comparison of premenopausal and postmenopausal women in Belgium, *Int. J. Cancer* 131 (2012) 2878–2885, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ijc.27538>.
- [20] I. Soerjomataram, E. Pukkala, H. Brenner, J.W.W. Coebergh, On the avoidability of breast cancer in industrialized societies: older mean age at first birth as an indicator of excess breast cancer risk, *Breast Cancer Res. Treat.* 111 (2008) 297–302, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10549-007-9778-2>.
- [21] B.R. Kirkwood, J.A.C. Sterne, Poisson regression, in: B.R. Kirkwood, J.A.C. Sterne (Eds.), *Essentials of Medical Statistics*, 2nd ed., Blackwell Science, Malden, MA, 2003, pp. 249–262.
- [22] U.Z. Ikram, J.P. Mackenbach, S. Harding, G. Rey, R.S. Bhopal, E. Regidor, et al., All-cause and cause-specific mortality of different migrant populations in Europe, *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* (2015), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10654-015-0083-9>.
- [23] H. Zeeb, O. Razum, M. Blettner, C. Stegmaier, Transition in cancer patterns among Turks residing in Germany, *Eur. J. Cancer* 38 (2002) 705–711.
- [24] J. Spallek, M. Arnold, O. Razum, K. Juel, G. Rey, P. Deboosere, et al., Cancer mortality patterns among Turkish immigrants in four European countries and in Turkey, *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* 27 (2012) 915–921, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10654-012-9746-y>.
- [25] Helicobacter and Cancer Collaborative Group, Gastric cancer and Helicobacter pylori: a combined analysis of 12 case control studies nested within prospective cohorts, *Gut* 49 (2001) 347–353, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/gut.49.3.347>.
- [26] H.B. El-Serag, Epidemiology of viral hepatitis and hepatocellular carcinoma, *Gastroenterology* 142 (2012) 1264–1273, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2011.12.061>.
- [27] S. Warnakulasuriya, Global epidemiology of oral and oropharyngeal cancer, *Oral Oncol.* 45 (2009) 309–316, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2008.06.002>.
- [28] F. Renard, J. Tafforeau, P. Deboosere, Mapping the cause-specific premature mortality reveals large between-districts disparity in Belgium, 2003–2009, *Arch. Publ. Health Arch. Belges Santé Publique* 73 (2015) 13, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13690-015-0060-5>.
- [29] S. Stuver, D. Trichopoulos, Cancer of the liver and biliary tract, in: H.-O. Adami, D. Hunter, D. Trichopoulos (Eds.), *Textbook of Cancer Epidemiology*, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, New York, 2008, pp. 308–332.
- [30] O. Nyren, H.-O. Adami, Stomach cancer, in: H.-O. Adami, D. Hunter, D. Trichopoulos (Eds.), *Textbook of Cancer Epidemiology*, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, New York, 2008, pp. 239–274.
- [31] H. Vandenheede, L. Lammens, P. Deboosere, S. Gadeyne, M. De Spiegelaere, Ethnic differences in diabetes-related mortality in the Brussels-Capital region (2001–05): the role of socioeconomic position, *Int. J. Publ. Health* 56 (2011) 533–539, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00038-011-0235-y>.
- [32] M. Szaflarski, L.A. Cubbins, J. Ying, Epidemiology of alcohol abuse among US immigrant populations, *J. Immigr. Minor. Health* 13 (2011) 647–658, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10903-010-9394-9>.
- [33] R.T. Kimbro, Acculturation in context: gender, age at migration, neighborhood ethnicity, and health behaviors, *Soc. Sci. Q.* 90 (2009) 1145–1166, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6237.2009.00651.x>.
- [34] K. Stronks, I. Kulu-Glasgow, C. Agyemang, The utility of “country of birth” for the classification of ethnic groups in health research: the Dutch experience, *Ethn. Health* 14 (2009) 255–269, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13557850802509206>.
- [35] S. Harding, Mortality of migrants from the Caribbean to England and Wales: effect of duration of residence, *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 33 (2004) 382–386, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyh059>.
- [36] S. Harding, Mortality of migrants from the Indian subcontinent to England and Wales: effect of duration of residence, *Epidemiology* 14 (2003) 287–292, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.EDE.0000050369.88416.82>.
- [37] A. Ekblom, D. Trichopoulos, Pancreatic cancer, in: H.-O. Adami, D. Hunter, D. Trichopoulos (Eds.), *Textbook of Cancer Epidemiology*, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, New York, 2008, pp. 333–348.
- [38] P. Boffetta, D. Trichopoulos, Cancer of the lung, larynx, and pleura, in: H.-O. Adami, D. Hunter, D. Trichopoulos (Eds.), *Textbook of Cancer Epidemiology*, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, New York, 2008, pp. 349–377.