Relationship between haemodynamic impairment and collateral blood flow in carotid artery disease
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Abstract
Collateral blood flow plays a pivotal role in steno-occlusive internal carotid artery (ICA) disease to prevent irreversible ischaemic damage. Our aim was to investigate the effect of carotid artery disease upon cerebral perfusion and cerebrovascular reactivity and whether haemodynamic impairment is influenced at brain tissue level by the existence of primary and/or secondary collateral. Eighty-eight patients with steno-occlusive ICA disease and 29 healthy controls underwent MR examination. The presence of collaterals was determined with time-of-flight, two-dimensional phase contrast MRA and territorial arterial spin labeling (ASL) imaging. Cerebral blood flow and cerebrovascular reactivity were assessed with ASL before and after acetazolamide. Cerebral haemodynamics were normal in asymptomatic ICA stenosis patients, as opposed to patients with ICA occlusion, in whom the haemodynamics in both hemispheres were compromised. Haemodynamic impairment in the affected brain region was always present in symptomatic patients. The degree of collateral blood flow was inversely correlated with haemodynamic impairment. Recruitment of secondary collaterals only occurred in symptomatic ICA occlusion patients. In conclusion, both CBF and cerebrovascular reactivity were found to be reduced in symptomatic patients with steno-occlusive ICA disease. The presence of collateral flow is associated with further haemodynamic impairment. Recruitment of secondary collaterals is associated with severe haemodynamic impairment.
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Introduction
Collateral blood flow plays a pivotal role in patients with an occlusion in one of the cerebral arteries to maintain adequate oxygenation and cell function.¹ A stenosis or occlusion of the internal carotid artery

¹Department of Radiology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands
²Centre for Functional and Diagnostic Imaging and Research, Danish Research Centre for Magnetic Resonance, Copenhagen University Hospital, Hvidovre, Denmark
³Center for Magnetic Resonance, Department of Electrical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark
⁴Department of Engineering Science, Institute of Biomedical Engineering, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
⁵Oxford Center for Functional MRI of the Brain, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
⁶Department of Radiology, Medical Imaging Center, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands
⁷Department of Neurology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands
⁸Division of Vascular Surgery, Department of Surgery, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

Corresponding author:
Reinoud PH Bokkers, Medical Imaging Center, Department of Radiology, University Medical Center Groningen, PO Box 30.001, 9700 RB Groningen, the Netherlands.
Email: r.p.h.bokkers@umcg.nl
(ICA) decreases the perfusion pressure on the afflicted side. This pressure drop may lead to collateral blood flow and redistribution of blood from the contralateral internal carotid artery (ICA) or the posterior circulation towards the afflicted hemisphere. The circle of Willis (CoW) is considered to be the primary collateral flow route and can supplement the affected brain tissue area with blood through the anterior communicating artery (AComA) or the posterior communicating artery (PComA). Other collateral pathways such as collateral flow via the ophthalmic artery or leptomeningeal collaterals are considered to be secondary collateral flow routes, meaning that these collaterals are only recruited when the primary collaterals are insufficient or fail.

Patients with recently symptomatic steno-occlusive carotid artery disease are at increased risk for stroke, with an annual risk of 5–6% for recurrent stroke. This risk is raised to 9–18% per year in patients with compromised cerebral haemodynamics and poor collateral blood flow. The presence of leptomeningeal collaterals on a diagnostic angiogram is predictive of recurrent ischaemic stroke. This suggests that secondary collaterals are associated with increased haemodynamic compromise. Prevalent studies, however, found no correlation between recurrent ischaemic stroke and haemodynamic impairment measured as cerebrovascular reactivity (CVR) with transcranial Doppler. Arterial spin labeling (ASL) MR perfusion imaging has made it possible to measure within the brain tissue both the cerebral blood flow (CBF) and its territorial distribution. By combining perfusion measurements with a vasodilatory challenge, the CVR can be assessed as a measure for haemodynamic impairment at brain tissue level. Furthermore, in combination with MR angiography, selective ASL can be used to evaluate the territorial distribution of blood and assess collateral pathways.

The aim of the current study was to investigate the effect of large carotid artery disease upon cerebral perfusion and CVR and whether haemodynamic impairment is influenced at brain tissue level by the existence of primary and/or secondary collaterals. We therefore compared the CVR between healthy subjects, symptomatic and asymptomatic patients with severe ICA stenosis or occlusion and assessed the presence of primary and secondary collateral blood flow by combining MR angiography flow patterns at the CoW with territorial ASL perfusion MRI assessment of collateral perfusion territories.

**Materials and methods**

This study was approved by the institutional ethical review board of the University Medical Center Utrecht according to the Declaration of Helsinki ‘Ethical Principles for Medical Research Involving Human Subjects’ and in accordance with the guidelines for Good Clinical Practice (CPMP/ICH/135/95) and written informed consent was obtained from each participant before inclusion.

**Subjects**

One-hundred seventeen subjects were included in the study. Eighty-eight were functionally independent patients with steno-occlusive ICA disease and 29 were healthy control subjects. All patients were admitted within an 18-month period to the University Medical Center Utrecht, a tertiary comprehensive stroke center, because of carotid artery disease. Group comparisons were done for healthy control subjects, patients with asymptomatic ICA steno-occlusive disease and patients with symptomatic steno-occlusive disease.

Thirty-six of the patients were asymptomatic and had an ICA stenosis of \(>50\%\) (\(n=27\)) or occlusion (\(n=9\)). Fifty-two were symptomatic and had an ICA stenosis \(>50\%\) (\(n=23\)) or occlusion (\(n=29\)). All patients were evaluated by a stroke neurologist. Symptomatic patients had had a transient ischaemic attack (TIA) or non-disabling ischaemic stroke ipsilateral to the afflicted ICA in the previous three months. A TIA was characterized by distinct focal neurological dysfunction or monocular blindness with clearing of sign and symptoms within 24h. A stroke was characterized by one or more minor (non-disabling) completed strokes with persistence of symptoms or signs for more than 24h. Patients with severe disabling stroke (modified Rankin core 3–5) were excluded from this study. Patients with diabetes mellitus, severe renal or liver dysfunction, which are contraindications for the use of acetazolamide (ACZ), or disabling stroke (modified Rankin scale score of 3–5), were excluded from this study.

**Imaging protocol**

Imaging was performed on a 3T MRI (Achieva, Philips Medical Systems, the Netherlands). The imaging protocol included anatomical T1-weighted imaging, time-of-flight MR angiography (TOF MRA), diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), T2-weighted fluid attenuation inversion recovery (FLAIR) imaging, and perfusion and territorial ASL imaging.

CBF was measured with a pseudo-continuous ASL (p-CASL) scan. CVR was assessed, according to a
Image processing

Image processing was performed in MATLAB (Mathworks, MA, USA). Perfusion images were calculated as CBF in mL·100 mL⁻¹·min⁻¹ from the p-CASL images according to a previously published model that corrects for T₁ decay, T₂* decay and the different delay times of the imaging slices. The T₂* transversal relaxation rate and T₁ of arterial blood at 3T were assumed to be, respectively, 50 ms and 1680 ms. The blood magnetization at thermal equilibrium (M₀) for all subjects was determined by selecting a region of interest in the cerebral spinal fluid and iteratively fitting the inversion recovery data by a non-linear least-square method. The water content of blood was assumed to be 0.76 mL·mL⁻¹ of arterial blood. To avoid partial voluming of white matter, a surrogate T₁-weighted image was calculated from the inversion recovery sequence by calculating the reciprocal of the quantitative T₁. This was segmented into grey and white matter probability maps with SPM8 (Wellcome Trust, England), and a corrective threshold was furthermore applied to ensure maximal exclusion of all white matter. CBF before (baseline CBF) and after administration of ACZ was calculated using the resulting grey matter mask. CVR, as a measure for hemodynamic impairment, was defined as the percentage increase in CBF after ACZ administration.

Assessment of collateral blood flow

Two types of collateral blood flow were distinguished, including primary collaterals through the CoW and secondary collateral flow through leptomeningeal vessels and the ophthalmic artery.
The morphology of the CoW was evaluated by an expert reader (NH) by evaluating the time-of-flight MRA images (supplemental Figure 1). Each CoW was assessed for the presence of the AComA, precommunicating (A1) segment of the ACA, PComA, and precommunicating (P1) segment of the PCA. Presence of collateral blood flow was established by evaluating the blood flow direction through the CoW by means of the 2DPC images. It was determined that no collateral blood flow was present when the ACA and MCA were supplied by the ipsilateral ICA, and the PCA was supplied by the BA. Anterior collateral blood flow was defined as flow from the contralateral side via the AComA towards the ACA (supplemental Figure 1(b)), and subsequently via retrograde flow in the A1 segment of the ACA towards the MCA. Posterior-to-anterior collateral blood flow was defined as flow via the PComA towards the MCA. Anterior-to-posterior collateral flow was defined as blood flow via the PComA towards the PCA, for example, due to a hypoplastic or absent P1 segment of the PCA, also known as a fetal-type CoW (supplemental Figure 1(c)). Secondary collateral blood flow by leptomeningeal collaterals was determined to be present when a brain region was fed by more than one brain-feeding artery. Each territorial perfusion map was assessed for the contribution of the RICA, LICA and BA to the territories of the ACA, MCA and PCA.

**Haemodynamic measurements**

CBF and CVR were measured in the ACA, MCA and PCA territory of the ipsi- and contralateral hemispheres. Regions of interest were made at group level
from the territorial perfusion maps transformed to standardized MNI space. Figure 1 shows a detailed description of the method of ROI determination.

**Statistical analyses**

Differences in degree of stenosis between asymptomatic and symptomatic patients with ICA stenosis or occlusion were compared using Kruskal-Wallis H test. Differences in measurements of baseline CBF and CVR between healthy subjects, subjects with ICA stenosis or occlusion were compared with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Differences between subjects with ICA stenosis or occlusion, without collateral flow or with primary or secondary collateral flow were also compared with ANOVA. A Tukey test was used post-hoc if ANOVA showed a statistically significant difference between groups. A paired *t*-test and independent *t*-test were used for comparisons in patients of the same group and between two groups, respectively. A *p*-value ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant. SPSS (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, version 23) was used for statistical analysis.

**Results**

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants are outlined in Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences in the degree of ICA stenosis between asymptomatic and symptomatic patients with ICA stenosis on the ipsilateral side (*h* = 0.03, *p* = 0.86) and contralateral side (*h* = 0.98, *p* = 0.32) and between asymptomatic and symptomatic patients with ICA occlusion on the contralateral side (*h* = 0.10, *p* = 0.76).

**Haemodynamic measurements**

Table 2 summarizes baseline CBF and CVR per hemisphere and cerebral perfusion territory for healthy subjects, patients with an asymptomatic ICA stenosis/occlusion and patients with a symptomatic ICA stenosis/occlusion. There were no differences (paired *t*-test) in CBF and CBV between the ACA, MCA and PCA territories within each group.

**Asymptomatic patients**

In patients with an asymptomatic ICA stenosis, there were no differences in baseline CBF and CVR within the different territories when compared to the contralateral hemisphere and healthy control subjects. In patients with an asymptomatic ICA occlusion, baseline CBF was statistically significantly reduced (*p* < 0.005) in the MCA territory, and CVR was statistically significantly impaired (*p* < 0.05) in the ACA and MCA territories distal to the ipsilateral occlusion when compared to the healthy control subjects.

| Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population. |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                                                  | Healthy subjects | Asymptomatic patients | Symptomatic patients |
| Number                                           | 29               | 27               | 9                | 23               | 29               |
| Male, n (%)                                      | 13 (45%)         | 19 (70%)         | 6 (67%)          | 23 (74%)         | 21 (72%)         |
| Age, mean years ± SD                             | 62 ± 8.2         | 66 ± 7.3         | 62 ± 11          | 69 ± 7.2         | 56 ± 14          |
| Degree of ICA stenosis, n                         |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| 0–49%                                            | 29               | 0                | 0                | 0                | 0                |
| 50–69%                                           | 0                | 10               | 0                | 5                | 0                |
| 70–99%                                           | 0                | 17               | 0                | 18               | 0                |
| Occluded                                         | 0                | 0                | 9                | 0                | 29               |
| Degree of contralateral ICA stenosis, n           |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| 0–49%                                            | 0                | 19               | 6                | 17               | 16               |
| 50–69%                                           | 8                | 10               | 1                | 5                | 9                |
| 70–99%                                           | 0                | 2                | 2                | 1                | 4                |
| Occluded                                         | 0                | 0                | 0                | 0                | 0                |
| Presenting events, n                             |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| Transient ischaemic attack                       | –                | –                | –                | 17               | 13               |
| Ischaemic stroke                                 | –                | –                | –                | 8                | 15               |
| Retinal ischaemia                                | –                | –                | –                | 4                | 1                |

ICA: internal carotid artery.
Symptomatic patients

In both patients with a symptomatic ICA stenosis and occlusion, baseline CBF and CVR were statistically significantly reduced ($p<0.01$) in the ACA and MCA territories on the side of the ICA stenosis/occlusion when compared to the healthy control subjects. In the patients with an ICA occlusion, CVR was also statistically significantly reduced ($p<0.001$) in each of the indicated cerebral perfusion territories between patients with symptomatic ICA stenosis, and patients with asymptomatic ICA stenosis. A difference ($p<0.001$) in cerebrovascular reactivity in each of the indicated cerebral perfusion territories between the indicated patient groups and the healthy subjects. A difference ($p<0.001$) in cerebrovascular reactivity in each of the indicated cerebral perfusion territories between the indicated patient groups and the healthy subjects.

Primary collateral flow

Table 3 summarizes baseline CBF and CVR for patients with ICA stenosis or occlusion. The haemodynamic measurements are described for those with no collateral flow, anterior collateral flow, posterior-to-anterior collateral flow or secondary collateral flow. There were no differences (paired $t$-test) in CBF and CBV between the ACA, MCA and PCA territories within each group.

Stenosis patients

Anterior collateral flow occurred in 15 of the 50 patients with an ICA stenosis. Figure 2 shows an example of a symptomatic patient without collateral blood flow, and supplemental Figure 2 shows an example of a symptomatic patient with an anterior collateral blood flow. Anterior collateral flow occurred statistically significantly ($p=0.015$, two-sided Fisher’s exact test) more often in symptomatic patients with an ICA stenosis (11 with vs. 12 without) than in asymptomatic patients (4 with vs. 23 without). None of the patients had secondary posterior collateral of secondary collateral blood flow.

Patients with ICA stenosis and anterior collateral flow had statistically significant reduced ($p<0.05$) CVR in the ACA territory of the afflicted hemisphere when compared to patients without anterior collateral flow.

Occlusion patients

Anterior collateral flow occurred in 11 of the 38 patients with an ICA occlusion, posterior collateral flow in 17 patients and 10 patients had secondary collateral flow. There was difference between symptomatic (8 vs. 11) and asymptomatic (3 vs. 6) patients for the occurrence of anterior collateral flow or posterior-to-anterior collateral flow ($p=1.0$, two-sided Fisher’s exact test) to the MCA territory.

Patients with posterior-to-anterior collateral flow were found to have a statistically significant reduced ($p<0.005$) CVR in the MCA territory of the afflicted hemisphere compared to patients with anterior collateral flow towards the MCA territory. Examples of symptomatic patients with ICA occlusion and anterior
Secondary collateral flow

Secondary collateral flow occurred only in 10 of the 29 patients with a symptomatic ICA occlusion. Figure 4 shows an example of a symptomatic patient with an ICA occlusion and secondary collateral flow. There was no secondary collateral flow in the patients with an ICA stenosis, or patients with an asymptomatic ICA occlusion.

The patients with secondary collateral flow were found to have statistically significant reduced (p < 0.001) CVR in the MCA territory of the afflicted hemisphere compared to patients with anterior collateral flow. In patients with secondary collateral flow, there was no differences in baseline CBF (36 ± 11 vs. 38 ± 9.3 ml/100 gr/min; p = 0.10, paired t-test) and CVR (9.2 ± 10% vs. 9.4 ± 11%; p = 0.89, paired t-test) in the region fed by secondary collaterals and the MCA territory on the side of the occlusion.

Discussion

In the current study, we were able to assess the presence of collateral blood flow and haemodynamic impairment in a cohort of both asymptomatic and symptomatic patients with steno-occlusive ICA disease. Both baseline CBF and CVR were found to be reduced in symptomatic patients with ICA stenosis or occlusion. Reduced CVR correlated with the presence of different types of collateral blood flow.

Our results show that cerebral haemodynamics are unimpaired in patients with asymptomatic ICA stenosis, but affected in asymptomatic patients with ICA occlusion, indicating that occlusion of an ICA leads to insufficient capacity of the afferent cerebral blood supply to sustain a normal autoregulatory response. In symptomatic patients with ICA stenosis, the vasodilatory capacity of the parenchymal arterioles seems to be reduced or exhausted in the ipsilateral (afflicted) hemisphere. Since asymptomatic patients with ICA stenosis have sufficient capacity of the major brain-feeding arteries and there was a comparable degree of ICA stenosis, haemodynamic impairment in symptomatic patients might be due to reduced vasodilatory capacity of afflicted brain parenchyma.

In patients with ICA occlusion, no difference was found in types of primary collateral flow between asymptomatic and symptomatic patients. Anterior collateral flow to the ACA territory occurred in all patients with ICA occlusion. In patients with posterior-to-anterior collateral flow, haemodynamic impairment in the afflicted hemisphere was more severe, compared to patients with anterior collateral flow towards the MCA territory; it was, however, not

Table 3. Baseline cerebral blood flow and cerebrovascular reactivity in each perfusion territory per patient group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients with ICA stenosis</th>
<th>Hemisphere</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>ACA</th>
<th>MCA</th>
<th>PCA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No collateral flow</td>
<td>Ipsilateral</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>47 ± 7.9/39 ± 7.9</td>
<td>45 ± 7.5/37 ± 9.0</td>
<td>44 ± 6.4/58 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contralateral</td>
<td>48 ± 8.2/45 ± 9.3</td>
<td>47 ± 6.2/45 ± 9.9</td>
<td>44 ± 6.5/57 ± 9.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior collateral flow</td>
<td>Ipsilateral</td>
<td>45 ± 8.4/33 ± 8.5</td>
<td>43 ± 7.4/36 ± 9.0</td>
<td>42 ± 6.5/58 ± 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contralateral</td>
<td>48 ± 9.6/43 ± 8.7</td>
<td>43 ± 6.9/45 ± 8.4</td>
<td>42 ± 6.1/60 ± 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary collateral flow</td>
<td>Ipsilateral</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48 ± 9.1/24 ± 14</td>
<td>43 ± 7.6/27 ± 13</td>
<td>44 ± 8.4/44 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contralateral</td>
<td>50 ± 9.5/40 ± 15</td>
<td>49 ± 9.4/32 ± 14</td>
<td>44 ± 9.2/48 ± 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior-to-anterior</td>
<td>Ipsilateral</td>
<td>41 ± 8.8/24 ± 12</td>
<td>39 ± 8.5/16 ± 11</td>
<td>37 ± 9.4/41 ± 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>collateral flow</td>
<td>Contralateral</td>
<td>44 ± 9.1/36 ± 13</td>
<td>47 ± 9.1/34 ± 13</td>
<td>40 ± 8.5/40 ± 14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary collateral flow</td>
<td>Ipsilateral</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>42 ± 8.7/20 ± 13</td>
<td>38 ± 9.3/9 ± 10</td>
<td>43 ± 8.3/31 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contralateral</td>
<td>49 ± 9.4/37 ± 14</td>
<td>48 ± 5.9/29 ± 10</td>
<td>44 ± 7.7/34 ± 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACA: anterior cerebral artery; ICA: internal carotid artery; MCA: middle cerebral artery; PCA: posterior cerebral artery. *Difference (p < 0.05) in cerebrovascular reactivity in the ipsilateral ACA territory between patients with anterior collateral flow, and patients with no collateral flow. *Difference (p < 0.005) in cerebrovascular reactivity in the ipsilateral MCA territory between patients with anterior collateral flow, and patients with posterior-to-anterior collateral flow. *Difference (p < 0.001) in cerebrovascular reactivity in the ipsilateral MCA territory between patients with anterior collateral flow, and patients with secondary collateral flow.
more prevalent in asymptomatic or symptomatic patients. We may speculate that posterior-to-anterior instead of anterior collateral flow towards the MCA territory is a sign of inadequate capacity of the contra-lateral ICA.

Secondary collateral flow only occurred in symptomatic patients with ICA occlusion. These patients were found to have severe haemodynamic impairment of the afflicted hemisphere. We also found CVR was just as severely impaired in the brain tissue supplied by secondary collaterals as it was in the MCA territory supplied by primary collaterals. In these patients with a chronic occlusion, we hypothesize that the occurrence of secondary collaterals is due to critically insufficient primary collateral redistribution via the CoW. It has previously been reported that the presence of ophthalmic or leptomeningeal (secondary) collateral flow in patients was associated with impaired CVR.\textsuperscript{25} We believe it is a sign of severely impaired cerebral haemodynamics, and we speculate ischaemic damage to brain parenchyma even occurs in spite of secondary collateral flow.

The advantage of this study has been the establishment of a measurement of cerebral perfusion and haemodynamics in combination with assessment of collateral flow patterns with one modality in a single session. Other modalities than MR might have been more proficient, such as digital subtraction angiography.

Figure 2. Case example of a 64-year-old female asymptomatic patient with right-sided ICA stenosis >70%. Time-of-flight MR angiogram images (a) of the circle of Willis show the presence of all vessels. 2D phase contrast images (b,c) show blood flowing from right-to-left in white and left-to-right in black (b), and flowing from anterior-to-posterior in white and posterior-to-anterior in black (c). FLAIR images (d) from cranial (top) to caudal (bottom) correspond with ASL perfusion images before (e) and after (f) acetazolamide, CVR images (g), and territorial ASL maps (h) of the right (red), left (green) carotid arteries and the basilar artery (blue). There is no evidence of reduced cerebral perfusion (e, f), and the cerebrovascular reactivity (g) is unimpaired. The perfusion territories (h) are symmetrical according to the morphology of the circle of Willis.
(DSA), in detecting the type of collateral flow; these techniques, however, lack the direct cross-sectional comparison we have accomplished with ASL MR imaging. Furthermore, territorial ASL has found to provide excellent information on collateral flow comparable to DSA. The currently employed planning-free VE p-CASL technique in combination with a Bayesian inference analysis has been previously shown to be comparable with other more robust techniques to depict exact cerebral perfusion territories. TOF MR angiography and 2DPC MR imaging for the assessment of primary collaterals, in combination with territorial ASL MR imaging for secondary collaterals, with additional CBF and CVR measurements have enabled us to assess the haemodynamic status of individual patients with no more than 15 min scan time added to a standard MR protocol.

A limitation of this study is the lack of clinical follow-up data in patients. The presence of secondary collaterals was earlier found to be predictive of recurrent ischaemic stroke. Previous studies with transcranial Doppler, however, did not find a correlation between recurrent ischaemic stroke and impaired CVR. These earlier studies are either based solely on angiographic collateral supply patterns or CVR measurements in a single vessel or territory without collateralization information, which may in part explain the discrepancy. Furthermore, the CVR measurements are higher than in the previously published papers that compared p-CASL reactivity to Oxygen-15 PET. Although the
baseline CBF measures are comparable, the variability of vasoactive stimuli may explain the differences in CBF values after ACZ. Although this may lead to generally higher CVR values throughout the brain, we expect that this does not affect our evaluation of the effect of collateral within the brain. Finally, only a few number of patients had stenocclusive vertebrobasilar circulation. The results of this study are therefore only representative of the anterior circulation.

In conclusion, we have shown that patients with an asymptomatic ICA stenosis rarely have haemodynamic impairment, as opposed to asymptomatic patients with ICA occlusion, in whom both hemispheres are compromised. The presence of collateral flow is associated with further haemodynamic impairment. Recruitment of secondary collaterals is associated with severe haemodynamic impairment, indicating critically insufficient blood supply via primary collaterals and only occurs in symptomatic patients with ICA occlusion. In future, this knowledge of haemodynamic impairment and collateral blood flow at brain tissue level may help personalize treatment and select those who benefit most from revascularization therapy.
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