University of Groningen

Responses of savanna lawn and bunch grasses to water limitation

van der Plas, A., Zeinstra, P., Patricia; Veldhuis, Michiel; Fokkema, Rienk; Tielens, Eliske; Howison, Ruth; Olf, Han

Published in:
Plant ecology

DOI:

[10.1007/s11258-013-0240-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-013-0240-8)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2013

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

van der Plas, A., Zeinstra, P., Veldhuis, M., Fokkema, R., Tielens, E., Howison, R., & Olf, H. (2013). Responses of savanna lawn and bunch grasses to water limitation. *Plant ecology*, 214(9), 1157-1168. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-013-0240-8>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Supplementary material of: ‘Responses of savanna lawn and bunch grasses to water limitation’, Plant Ecology

AUTHORS: Fons van der Plas^{1,*}, Patricia Zeinstra¹, Michiel Veldhuis¹, Rienk Fokkema¹, Elske Tielens¹, Ruth Howison¹, Han Olff¹

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS:

¹Community and Conservation Ecology Group, Center for Ecological and Evolutionary Studies, University of Groningen, P.O. Box 11103, 9700 CC, Groningen, The Netherlands

*Corresponding author. E-mail: fonsvanderplas@gmail.com. Telephone: +31 503632231. Fax: +31 503632273

Trait	lawn species						bunch species					
	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>		<i>Dactyloctenium australianum</i>		<i>Digitaria longiflora</i>		<i>Eragrostis curvula</i>		<i>Setaria sphacelata</i>		<i>Sporobolus pyramidalis</i>	
	control	drought	control	drought	control	drought	control	drought	control	drought	control	drought
evaporation week 4 (mL day ⁻¹)	11.22 ± 3.20	8.35 ± 4.13	11.03 ± 2.86	6.82 ± 0.91	4.14 ± 2.97	3.37 ± 0.65	6.48 ± 5.01	5.89 ± 3.07	9.67 ± 2.13	9.56 ± 5.41	5.20 ± 2.92	3.34 ± 2.07
evaporation week 7 (mL day ⁻¹)	24.83 ± 4.22	0.10 ± 0.25	20.15 ± 6.46	0.06 ± 0.12	9.15 ± 5.64	0.79 ± 0.37	18.57 ± 10.21	0.73 ± 1.44	18.23 ± 3.83	0.01 ± 0.65	10.87 ± 5.08	1.95 ± 0.98
evaporation week 9 (mL day ⁻¹)	30.45 ± 5.97	17.29 ± 5.05	25.95 ± 9.08	20.10 ± 0.59	9.79 ± 5.40	7.90 ± 2.38	24.34 ± 10.20	14.73 ± 2.82	21.40 ± 2.77	15.66 ± 5.74	14.05 ± 6.99	6.17 ± 2.80
absolute growth (g)	10.82 ± 2.00	4.42 ± 0.83	7.56 ± 0.86	3.91 ± 0.62	4.07 ± 1.04	2.57 ± 0.49	7.75 ± 2.17	4.42 ± 0.84	9.00 ± 1.79	5.23 ± 1.21	6.14 ± 1.14	3.06 ± 0.91
relative growth rate (g g ⁻¹ day ⁻¹)	0.048 ± 0.003	0.034 ± 0.003	0.045 ± 0.001	0.038 ± 0.003	0.038 ± 0.004	0.033 ± 0.004	0.036 ± 0.005	0.032 ± 0.005	0.038 ± 0.004	0.031 ± 0.003	0.030 ± 0.004	0.022 ± 0.005
water use efficiency (g mL ⁻¹)	0.011 ± 0.002	0.012 ± 0.002	0.010 ± 0.001	0.011 ± 0.002	0.011 ± 0.002	0.013 ± 0.003	0.011 ± 0.002	0.013 ± 0.003	0.012 ± 0.002	0.014 ± 0.002	0.013 ± 0.002	0.016 ± 0.004
RLAR drought (leaf leaf ⁻¹ day ⁻¹)	0.066 ± 0.002	0.033 ± 0.002	0.062 ± 0.003	0.031 ± 0.003	0.066 ± 0.007	0.043 ± 0.006	0.040 ± 0.006	0.020 ± 0.003	0.042 ± 0.008	0.018 ± 0.002	0.035 ± 0.005	0.030 ± 0.005
RLAR recovery (leaf leaf ⁻¹ day ⁻¹)	0.031 ± 0.003	0.035 ± 0.002	0.030 ± 0.003	0.055 ± 0.004	0.043 ± 0.002	0.056 ± 0.006	0.018 ± 0.004	0.038 ± 0.004	0.014 ± 0.003	0.036 ± 0.004	0.037 ± 0.010	0.029 ± 0.003
root weight fraction	0.24 ± 0.04	0.18 ± 0.02	0.11 ± 0.02	0.14 ± 0.01	0.16 ± 0.02	0.19 ± 0.02	0.24 ± 0.02	0.26 ± 0.03	0.36 ± 0.02	0.36 ± 0.03	0.27 ± 0.03	0.26 ± 0.02
deep root weight fraction	0.52 ± 0.07	0.55 ± 0.04	0.70 ± 0.04	0.65 ± 0.05	0.60 ± 0.04	0.57 ± 0.07	0.55 ± 0.07	0.58 ± 0.04	0.56 ± 0.05	0.53 ± 0.03	0.58 ± 0.08	0.52 ± 0.11
specific root length (m g ⁻¹)	59.69 ± 41.56		51.60 ± 20.26		44.85 ± 17.27		22.38 ± 12.35		13.28 ± 4.07		41.29 ± 15.80	

Table S1. Mean values plus standard deviations for each species of the measured traits during the experiment. Abbreviations: RLAR = Relative Leaf Accumulation Rate.

Locations sites

Figure S1: Locations of the sites that were visited for the field work of this study. Plots were distributed over the rainfall gradient of the park, except in the wettest sites, where grazing lawns hardly occurred.

Results survival analysis

In order to investigate whether lawn and bunch grasses differed in wilting point as a function of time (in weeks) or proportion water evaporated (in %), we performed two survival analysis models. In the first model, survival (i.e. not wilting) was a function of time (in weeks) and growth form (lawn grasses vs. bunch grasses) while in the second model, survival was a function of proportion of water evaporated (in %) and growth form (lawn grasses vs. bunch grasses). Only grasses from the drought treatment were included in the analyses, as none of the grasses from the control treatment wilted. Analyses were done using the survival package (Therneau 2013) in R (R Development Core Team 2011).

It appeared that lawn and bunch grasses did not differ in their resistance to wilting: both in response to time and proportion of water evaporated, they did not significantly differ in wilting point (survival analysis: week: $\chi^2 = 0.9$, $P = 0.904$; water evaporated: $\chi^2 = 1.2$, $P =$

0.272).

Figure S1. Wilting point of lawn (red) and bunch grasses as a function of time (left) and water evaporation (right). The small vertical lines depict pots were plants did not wilt. Differences in wilting between growth forms were not significant.

Results of ratio dead leaves:total leaves

Figure S2. Graph showing the proportion of dead leaves through time (in weeks) for both lawn (grey) and bunch (black) grasses and for both control (squares) and drought (circles) treatments. The grey area in the graphs shows the drought period (week 3-7).

Roots of lawn and bunch grasses

Here two scans are shown that were used for calculating SRL: a root scan of *Digitaria longiflora* (lawn grass, left) and of *Setaria sphacelata* (bunch grass, right). *Digitaria longiflora* has more thin root hairs than *Setaria sphacelata*, but less thick roots at the base.

REFERENCES

Therneau T (2013) A package for survival analysis in R. R package version 2.37-4