University of Groningen

Randomized controlled trial of a self-management intervention in persons with spinal cord injury

Kooijmans, H.; Post, M. W. M.; van der Woude, L. H. V.; de Groot, S.; Stam, H. J.; Bussmann, J. B. J.

Published in:
Disability and Rehabilitation

DOI:
[10.3109/09638288.2012.718406](https://doi.org/10.3109/09638288.2012.718406)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2013

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Kooijmans, H., Post, M. W. M., van der Woude, L. H. V., de Groot, S., Stam, H. J., & Bussmann, J. B. J. (2013). Randomized controlled trial of a self-management intervention in persons with spinal cord injury: design of the HABITS (Healthy Active Behavioural Intervention in SCI) study. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 35(13), 1111-1118. <https://doi.org/10.3109/09638288.2012.718406>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

PERSPECTIVES IN REHABILITATION: DEVELOPING ROBUST RESEARCH DESIGNS

Randomized controlled trial of a self-management intervention in persons with spinal cord injury: design of the HABITS (Healthy Active Behavioural Intervention in SCI) study

H. Kooijmans¹, M. W. M. Post², L. H. V. van der Woude³, S. de Groot^{3,4}, H. J. Stam¹ & J. B. J. Bussmann¹

¹Department of Rehabilitation Medicine and Physical Therapy, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, ²Rudolf Magnus Institute of Neuroscience and Center of Excellence for Rehabilitation Medicine, University Medical Center Utrecht and De Hoogstraat, Utrecht, The Netherlands, ³Center for Human Movement Sciences, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, and ⁴Amsterdam Rehabilitation Research Center, Reade Rehabilitation Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Purpose: To evaluate the effectiveness of a 16-week self-management intervention on physical activity level and self-management skills (self-efficacy, proactive coping and problem solving skills) in persons with chronic SCI. **Method and design:** Multicenter randomized controlled trial (RCT). Eighty persons with a SCI for at least 10 years and aged 18 to 65 will randomly be assigned to the intervention (self-management) or the control group (information provision). During the 16-week self-management intervention (one home-visit, five group and five individual sessions) active lifestyle will be stimulated and self-management skills will be taught. Data will be collected at baseline (T0), 16 (T1) and 42 (T2) weeks after baseline. Primary outcome measure is level of daily physical activity (self-report/objectively measured). Secondary outcome measures are self-managements skills, stage of behaviour change and attitude. **Conclusion:** This is the first RCT on self-management in people with chronic spinal cord injury. This trial will provide knowledge on the effects of a self-management intervention on physical active lifestyle in persons with a long-term SCI.

Keywords: Coping skills, health promotion, health behaviour, physical activity, problem solving, self-management, self-efficacy, spinal cord injuries

Introduction

In the general population, inactivity is a well-known risk factor for the development of secondary health conditions (SHCs). Physical activity (PA) can counteract these problems

Implications for Rehabilitation

- Persons with long-term SCI benefit from a higher activity level in terms of health- and psychological benefits.
- Self-management interventions have shown to be effective in modifying behaviours and preventing health problems in different chronic disorders.
- This study aims to enhance a physically active lifestyle in persons with long-term SCI by improving self-management skills (self-efficacy, problem solving & proactive coping) through a self-management intervention.

and may lead to potential health benefits [1]. Many persons with chronic spinal cord injury (SCI) show a serious inactive lifestyle [2–6]. Due to less opportunities and barriers to be active, the risk of inactivity is higher for this population in comparison to able-bodied persons and persons with other chronic disorders [7], hence extra attention is needed.

An inactive lifestyle in persons with SCI has been associated with de-conditioning and secondary health conditions [8–10], and a higher activity level has found to be associated with several physiological and psychological benefits [8–18]. Therefore, encouraging an active lifestyle is important in this population. Interventions conducted to promote PA in persons with SCI showed moderate benefits [19,20]. Furthermore, none of these interventions were

evaluated on the long-term. Educational programs to promote PA or to prevent specific secondary health conditions in SCI showed effectiveness on knowledge transfer [21,22]. However, self-management interventions showed that providing only information is insufficient to change behaviour [23–28]. Behavioural interventions are needed to implement health-related goals [23–28] and to facilitate behaviour change [29–31].

Self-management

Self-management is an important factor in the development and treatment of an inactive lifestyle, SHCs and de-conditioning in persons with SCI [18,19]. A suitable definition of self-management is given by Barlow et al. (2001; p.178) [31]; “Self-management refers to the individual’s ability to manage the symptoms, treatment, physical and psychosocial consequences and lifestyle changes inherent in living with a chronic condition. Efficacious self-management encompasses ability to monitor one’s condition and to affect the cognitive, behavioural and emotional responses necessary to maintain a satisfactory quality of life”.

To stimulate effective self-management, education programs should incorporate more behavioural and active learning strategies in addition to knowledge transfer in order to change specific behaviours [23,24,26,32]. Furthermore, persons have to be intrinsically motivated [33,34] and able to perform the suitable action at the right time [31].

Different theories and concepts support the potential benefits of effective self-management, as there are: (i) Self-regulation [35], which is defined as the way in which people control and direct their own actions in order to meet their goals, (ii) Proactive coping, which assumes that people do not only react on threatening situations, but that they can also anticipate on situations that may threat or influence their goals in the future [36,37], (iii) Problem solving, which entails a complex process that includes two broad components: problem orientation and problem-solving skills [21,38], and (iv) Social cognitive theory [39], which is related to self-efficacy, that suggests that confidence in one’s ability to perform certain behaviour is strongly related to one’s ability to perform that behaviour [39].

Similar self-management interventions have shown to be effective in preventing health problems and in modifying behaviour in different chronic disorders [31,40,41]. However, the effects of such a self-management intervention has to our knowledge, never been evaluated in persons with chronic SCI.

The current **Healthy Active Behavioural Intervention in SCI (HABITS)** study aims to evaluate the effects and mechanisms of a structured self-management active lifestyle intervention in persons with SCI. This study is part of the research program “Active Lifestyle Rehabilitation Interventions in aging Spinal Cord injury” (ALLRISC) [18], that has been developed to address problems related to PA, de-conditioning and SHCs in persons who have a SCI for at least 10 years [42]. It is hypothesized that this intervention will show beneficial effects on (i) a more active lifestyle, (ii) self-management

skills, such as proactive coping, problem-solving ability and self-efficacy, and (iii) that participants with improvements in self-management skills will show more favourable effects on active healthy lifestyle than participants who do not improve in self-management skills.

Methods and design

Study design

HABITS is a multicentre randomized-controlled trial. The experimental group receives a 16-week self-management intervention targeted at physical active & healthy lifestyle. The control group will only receive information about active lifestyle in SCI, including one information meeting and a booklet on how to stay fit with SCI [43] (see Figure 1). The four participating rehabilitation centres (RC’s) are Rijndam (Rotterdam), De Hoogstraat (Utrecht), Adelante (Hoensbroek), and Het Roessingh (Enschede). Measurements take place at the beginning of, directly after and half a year after termination of the intervention (Figure 1).

Ethical approval

Multicentre approval was granted by the Erasmus MC Medical Ethics Committee, The Netherlands, Local approval was granted by all participating centres.

Figure 1. Flowchart study.

Blinding

The randomization within each centre to the intervention or control groups will be done by an independent investigator who will not be involved in the interventions, measurements or the analysis of the data. In each rehabilitation centre (RC) there will be one research assistant that will perform all the tests. This person is not involved in the self-management intervention of the participants and will be blinded for the allocation of groups.

Sample size

The size of the study sample ($N = 80$) is based on a power analysis with a power of 80%, $\alpha = 0.05$, and an expected increase of 30 min per day in the duration of dynamic activities (wheelchair-driving, general movement; as assessed with the accelerometry-based activity monitor) in the experimental group compared to no change in duration of dynamic activities in the control group. The calculations are based on levels of daily physical activity as found in persons with SCI in previous studies of our department [7,44].

Participants

Inclusion criteria

Adults with a spinal cord injury will be eligible for inclusion if they meet the following criteria:

- Age: 28–65 years.
- Time since injury (TSI): at least 10 years.
- PASIPD score (Physical activity scale for individuals with physical disabilities) lower than the 75th percentile of a Dutch SCI population. The cut-off score is 30 [45].
- The participant should be able to use a hand-rim wheelchair.

Exclusion criteria

Participants will be excluded from the study if they meet any of the following criteria:

- Progressive disease or severe co-morbidities.
- Psychiatric problems that would interfere with the study.
- Insufficient knowledge of the Dutch language to understand the purpose of the study and the testing methods.
- No intention to change exercise behaviour in the next 6 months.

Recruitment

Participants will be recruited from the participating centres. The physician of the department will pre-select former inpatients using information from medical charts. Persons who meet the inclusion criteria regarding age, TSI, and wheelchair mobility will receive the patient information letter. One week later the person is contacted by the research assistant to check the other in- and exclusion-criteria and to provide the opportunity to ask questions. If they are eligible and willing to participate, they will be asked to sign the informed consent form.

Randomization

Directly after the first measurement participants will be randomly allocated to the control or experimental group per RC by means of blocked randomization per centre, with a block size of 6. A statistician will make a randomization scheme.

Intervention

Theoretical framework

This study is based on a theoretical framework (see Figure 3) that serves as scientific background and was used to design the intervention and to select outcome measures. This theoretical framework combines two well-known models: Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) [46] and the Transtheoretical Model (TTM) [47]. TPB assumes that intention is required to perform (new) behaviour, and intention is influenced by attitude, subjective norms, and perceived behavioural control [46]. The Transtheoretical Model of Behaviour Change assesses an individual's readiness to act on a new healthier behaviour [47], which also applies to active lifestyle exercise behaviour [48]. The five stages of (exercise) change (SToC) range from pre-contemplation (no intention to change exercise behaviour) to maintenance (people changed their exercise behaviour and maintained this change for more than 6 months), see Figure 2 [47]. In this framework we define "intention" (TBP) as the first three stages of the TTM and "behaviour" (TBP) the last two stages of TTM. In addition we assume that proactive coping facilitates the step from intention to performing behaviour. Positive effects between proactive coping and behaviour change are found in different studies [40,49–51].

Sessions

The self-management intervention consists of one home visit, five individual and five group sessions, during a total of 16 weeks (see Table I). The content of the intervention is described in Table I.

Home visit

During the home visit the counsellor gets an impression of the participant, and investigates the participants' stage of exercise change [52]. This enables the counsellor to tailor the intervention to the participant [53]. Furthermore the environment (at home and outdoors) will be observed for PA possibilities.

Group sessions

Group sessions will be used as a tool to motivate participants on specific behaviours and to enhance their self-efficacy. Contributing factors include methods from the social cognitive theory [39,41], like peer support and mastery experiences.

The group sessions have different themes (see Table I) associated with self-management, PA and health, but share the same format; feedback, short introduction- and interactive elaboration of the theme and making action/coping plans. The group number will be between 6 and 8. Each session will last about 2.5 h.

Figure 2. Stages of behaviour change.

Figure 3. Theoretical framework.

Individual sessions

The individual sessions are used to monitor the participants on their exercise- and health behaviour and to provide extra support by the counsellor. For practical reasons, these sessions will be executed by telephone.

Counsellor

The intervention will be provided by a counsellor who has experience in the treatment of persons with SCI (e.g. physio-therapist, occupational therapist or a specialized nurse), and followed training in motivational interviewing (MI). MI is a directive client-centred counselling style to elicit behaviour change by helping clients to explore and resolve their ambivalence towards change [54]. MI has been shown an effective approach to change behaviours and lifestyle [55], and support has been found for the clinical utility of this technique in exercise settings [56].

To be good self-managers, participants have to be self-reliant in finding information, and solutions for problems [40,41]. Therefore, learning how to seek and utilize resources is also part of the intervention, and it is the task of the counsellor to take up a supporting and facilitating role [41,57].

In addition, the intervention has to be closely tuned to the goals and expectations of the participants in order to motivate them to change their current behaviour [21,41]. This will be accomplished by linking the different sessions to self-chosen goals of the participants.

Table I. Overview HABITS intervention different sessions.

Week	Home visit	Group session	Individual session
1	Start of HABTIS Home visit		
2			
3		1. Introduction: Active lifestyle vs. Health	
4			Telephone session 1
5		2. Sports & leisure PA	
6			Telephone session 2
7		3. Healthy lifestyle & Dealing with emotions	
8			
9			Telephone session 3
10			
11		4. Communication & Social support	
12			
13			Telephone session 4
14		5. Booster session	
15			
16			Telephone session 5

Intervention resources

Action and coping plans

A stepwise action & coping plan (based on the proactive coping plan of Aspinwall et al. [36] and other effective interventions [36,37,49] concerning PA- and health goals will be made by the participant during the sessions. This plan helps the participant formulate self-chosen, concrete, and achievable goals. Using action plans to promote PA has found to be effective in individuals with SCI [58].

Odometers

Participants will receive feedback on their activity level by using odometers which register the distance travelled with a wheelchair. Odometers are an effective technique for promoting PA [59,60].

Counsellors manual and workbook

The counsellor receives a manual including a detailed description of the content of the different sessions and directives on how different parts of the sessions can be executed.

A self-guided workbook for participants will be used as a reference book for the intervention and will contain small assignments to allow the participants to prepare for the sessions.

Measurements

Primary outcome measures

Objectively measured level of everyday physical activity

To objectively measure the level of daily physical activity (PA), an accelerometer-based device (ActiGraph GT3X+ (AG)) will be used [61]. One AG will be worn on the wrist and one will be attached to a wheel of the wheelchair. In this way, independent wheelchair driving, being pushed and other arm activity can be distinguished.

Participants will wear the AG continuously for 5 consecutive days, except while swimming, bathing or sleeping. To avoid measurement bias, the goal and working principle of the activity monitor will only be explained to the participants after all measurements have been completed. During the measurement they receive the instruction to continue their ordinary daily activities.

The parameters that will be analysed are duration of wheelchair-driving and static activities (e.g. lying and sit still) per day.

Self report daily PA

Self-reported level of PA will be assessed by the Physical Activity Scale for Individuals with Physical Disabilities (PASIPD) [62]. The PASIPD consists of 11 items concerning sports, hobbies, household- and work related activities. The questionnaire assesses the number of days a week and the hours a day a certain activity has been performed during the past 7 days. The total score of the PASIPD is created by multiplying the average hours per day for each item by a Metabolic Equivalent value (MET) associated with the intensity of the activity, MET*hour/week. The PASIPD was able to discriminate between persons with paraplegia and with tetraplegia ($p < 0.02$). PASIPD scores further showed significant moderate correlations (0.36–0.51, $p < 0.01$) with measures of social functioning, and significant weak to moderate correlations with fitness parameters (0.25–0.36, $p < 0.05$) [45]. The PASIPD is the best questionnaire for physical activity available in the Dutch language.

Secondary outcome measures

Self-management skills

Self-management skills are measured with two scales: (i) The SCI exercise self-efficacy scale [63], which measures perceived self-efficacy for various types of physical exercise in persons with SCI. This self-report scale includes 10 items; answers can be given on a 4 point Likert scale (1: not at all true up to 4: exactly true). Internal consistency was 0.93. (ii) The Utrecht Proactive Coping Competence scale [64], which assesses an individual's experienced competency with regard to the various skills associated with proactive coping. This self-report

scale includes 21 items; answers can be given on a 4 point Likert scale (1: not capable up to 4: very capable). Internal consistency was between 0.83 and 0.95, and test-retest reliability was between 0.45 and 0.82.

Stage of exercise change

The Questionnaire University of Rhode Island continuous measure questionnaire (URICA-E2) [52] assesses the stage of change for regular exercise and is based on the TTM [47] and a previous questionnaire, the URICA [65]. The URICA-E2 measures the six stages of change (Figure 3) related to exercise. The URICA-E2 consists of 24 items with statements concerning the different stages of exercise change. The items are given on a 1–5 scale, from “strongly disagree” to “strongly agree.” Internal consistency (tested in a Norwegian study) for this questionnaire was 0.72–0.92 [66].

Attitude

Attitude will be measured using the questionnaire Exercise: Decisional Balance. This questionnaire reflects the individual's relative weighing of the pros and cons of changing exercise behaviour [67]. The questionnaire consists of 10 statements (5 con's, 5 pro's). The importance of each statement to exercise or not to exercise is asked on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (not at all) to 5 (extremely). Mean internal consistency was 0.8 for the pro subscale, and 0.7 for the cons subscale. Test-retest reliability was 0.84 and 0.74 for the pros and cons, respectively [67].

Other evaluated outcome measures

In addition the following outcome measures will be evaluated for descriptive reasons and for comparison with the other three ALLRISC studies. These outcome measures will not be fully described but only mentioned here.

Secondary health complications (Spinal Cord Injury Secondary Conditions Scale [68] & Questionnaire Health Problems Spinal Cord Injury) [69], Social support (Social Support for Exercise Behaviour Scale) [70], Demographics (gender, age, smoking, drinking, living situation, medication and re-admission to rehabilitation and/or hospital), Functional Independence (Spinal Cord Independence Measure III) [71,72], Mood (Mental Health Inventory-5) [73,74], Fatigue (Fatigue severity scale) [75–77], Participation (The Utrecht Scale for Evaluation of Rehabilitation-Participation) [78], Quality of Life (five items from the World Health Organization quality of life assessment [79,80]).

In addition, the following physical measurements will be performed: Lesion characteristics (International spinal cord injury core data set [81] and the neurological classification of spinal injury developed by the American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA-A)) [82], Anthropometry data (height, body mass, waist circumference), Pulmonary function (Forced expiratory Volume in 1 min (L/%predicted)) [83–85] and Aerobic capacity (VO_{2peak} (L/min)/ PO_{2peak} (watts)) measured during a wheelchair treadmill test [86,87].

A process evaluation will be conducted with the cases that have been randomized in the intervention group. Both

quantitative and qualitative data on applicability, compliance, satisfaction and barriers to the protocol will be gathered at the end of the intervention.

Statistics

Multilevel regression analysis will be the main statistical technique to test for differences between the intervention and the control group at the three test moments, as well to test for differences within both groups across the three test moments. This technique allows for missing values and can correct for differences between the participating centres. Level of significance will be $p < 0.05$. For all multilevel analyses, MLwiN software [88,89] will be used.

Discussion

Comparison with other studies

This study is unique in implementing a self-management intervention in SCI in which activity and health behaviour are stimulated by improving self-management skills through a behavioural intervention. There are other studies that use self-management- or other active learning strategies to stimulate physical activity, but these strategies are in most studies an addition to a physical training programme [90].

Strengths and limitations

This study utilizes a theoretical framework to develop a self-management intervention, and to explain the results of the evaluation study. Earlier self-management interventions lack such a scientific background [91]. However, it will still be difficult to identify the effective elements of the interventions, because of the multifaceted nature of this intervention.

The HABITS intervention, if proven effective, can be used as a versatile self-management intervention. Enhancing self-management skills is a very general tool for behaviour change. For instance, the target of the intervention, active lifestyle in this study, can easily be changed. The same applies to the target population.

Physical activity is the primary outcome of this study, but it is possible that participants improve in their stages of change, self-management skills, or exercise attitude, but not yet actually perform new PA behaviour yet, and no change is detected on the AG or the PASIPD [46,92,93]. However, changing forward in the STOC or changing attitude and self-management-skills will be also be seen as positive effects of the study. These secondary outcome measures are a prerequisite to change behaviour. If there are any improvements on these outcome measures, behaviour change in terms of level PA is still possible.

Persons who are unwilling to change their exercise behaviour the next 6 months will be excluded from the study. This probably excludes an important group of subjects in which Motivational Interviewing might have a positive effect [56]. However, it is unlikely that those persons would consent to participate in the study.

Finally, it might be considered that it can be easier to establish behaviour change towards PA in the early stages of SCI. However another PA trial from our group [18] is already

been executed in this population, testing a slightly different intervention.

This trial will show whether this self-management intervention has a positive effect on changing physical active lifestyle in persons with long-term SCI. Additionally it should determine if self-management skills can be enhanced and whether they affect PA behaviour and health. The results of this trial are expected in 2014.

Declaration of Interest: This project is part of the Dutch ALLRISC research program and is supported financially by ZonMw Rehabilitation program and Fonds NutsOhra, grant no. 89000006.

References

- Warburton DE, Nicol CW, Bredin SS. Health benefits of physical activity: the evidence. *CMAJ* 2006;174:801–809.
- Buchholz AC, McGillivray CE, Pencharz PB. Physical activity levels are low in free-living adults with chronic paraplegia. *Obes Res* 2003;11:563–570.
- Dearwater SR, LaPorte RE, Cauley JA, Brenes G. Assessment of physical activity in inactive populations. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1985;17:651–655.
- Monroe MB, Tataranni PA, Pratley R, Manore MM, Skinner JS, Ravussin E. Lower daily energy expenditure as measured by a respiratory chamber in subjects with spinal cord injury compared with control subjects. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1998;68:1223–1227.
- Munakata M, Kameyama J, Kanazawa M, Nunokawa T, Moriai N, Yoshinaga K. Circadian blood pressure rhythm in patients with higher and lower spinal cord injury: simultaneous evaluation of autonomic nervous activity and physical activity. *J Hypertens* 1997;15:1745–1749.
- Fernhall B, Heffernan K, Jae SY, Hedrick B. Health implications of physical activity in individuals with spinal cord injury: a literature review. *J Health Hum Serv Adm* 2008;30:468–502.
- van den Berg-Emons RJ, Bussmann JB, Haisma JA, Sluis TA, van der Woude LH, Bergen MP, Stam HJ. A prospective study on physical activity levels after spinal cord injury during inpatient rehabilitation and the year after discharge. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2008;89:2094–2101.
- Lannem AM, Sørensen M, Frøslie KF, Hjeltne N. Incomplete spinal cord injury, exercise and life satisfaction. *Spinal Cord* 2009;47:295–300.
- Tawashy AE, Eng JJ, Lin KH, Tang PF, Hung C. Physical activity is related to lower levels of pain, fatigue and depression in individuals with spinal-cord injury: a correlational study. *Spinal Cord* 2009;47:301–306.
- Tasiemski T, Kennedy P, Gardner BP, Taylor N. The association of sports and physical recreation with life satisfaction in a community sample of people with spinal cord injuries. *NeuroRehabilitation* 2005;20:253–265.
- Stevens SL, Caputo JL, Fuller DK, Morgan DW. Physical activity and quality of life in adults with spinal cord injury. *J Spinal Cord Med* 2008;31:373–378.
- Liang H, Tomey K, Chen D, Savar NL, Rimmer JH, Braunschweig CL. Objective measures of neighborhood environment and self-reported physical activity in spinal cord injured men. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2008;89:1468–1473.
- Hetz SP, Latimer AE, Buchholz AC, Martin Ginis KA; SHAPE-SCI Research Group. Increased participation in activities of daily living is associated with lower cholesterol levels in people with spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2009;90:1755–1759.
- Manns PJ, McCubbin JA, Williams DP. Fitness, inflammation, and the metabolic syndrome in men with paraplegia. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2005;86:1176–1181.
- Buchholz AC, Martin Ginis KA, Bray SR, Craven BC, Hicks AL, Hayes KC, Latimer AE, et al. Greater daily leisure time physical activity is associated with lower chronic disease risk in adults with spinal cord injury. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 2009;34:640–647.
- Dallmeijer AJ, Hopman MT, van der Woude LH. Lipid, lipoprotein, and apolipoprotein profiles in active and sedentary men with tetraplegia. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1997;78:1173–1176.
- Brenes G, Dearwater S, Shapera R, LaPorte RE, Collins E. High density lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations in physically active and sedentary spinal cord injured patients. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1986;67:445–450.
- Janssen TW, van Oers CA, van Kamp GJ, TenVoorde BJ, van der Woude LH, Hollander AP. Coronary heart disease risk indicators, aerobic

- power, and physical activity in men with spinal cord injuries. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1997;78:697–705.
19. Warms CA, Belza BL, Whitney JD, Mitchell PH, Stiens SA. Lifestyle physical activity for individuals with spinal cord injury: a pilot study. *Am J Health Promot* 2004;18:288–291.
 20. Zemper ED, Tate DG, Roller S, Forchheimer M, Chiodo A, Nelson VS, Scelza W. Assessment of a holistic wellness program for persons with spinal cord injury. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2003;82:957–68; quiz 969.
 21. May L, Day R, Warren S. Evaluation of patient education in spinal cord injury rehabilitation: knowledge, problem-solving and perceived importance. *Disabil Rehabil* 2006;28:405–413.
 22. Bloemen-Vrencken JH, de Witte LP, Post MW. Follow-up care for persons with spinal cord injury living in the community: a systematic review of interventions and their evaluation. *Spinal Cord* 2005;43:462–475.
 23. Brown SA. Interventions to promote diabetes self-management: state of the science. *Diabetes Educ* 1999;25:52–61.
 24. Devine EC. Meta-analysis of the effects of psychoeducational care in adults with asthma. *Res Nurs Health* 1996;19:367–376.
 25. Gibson PG, Coughlan J, Wilson AJ, Abramson M, Bauman A, Hensley MJ, Walters EH. Self-management education and regular practitioner review for adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2000;CD001117.
 26. Mullen PD, Mains DA, Velez R. A meta-analysis of controlled trials of cardiac patient education. *Patient Educ Couns* 1992;19:143–162.
 27. Rich MW, Gray DB, Beckham V, Wittenberg C, Luther P. Effect of a multidisciplinary intervention on medication compliance in elderly patients with congestive heart failure. *Am J Med* 1996;101:270–276.
 28. Stewart S, Marley JE, Horowitz JD. Effects of a multidisciplinary, home-based intervention on unplanned readmissions and survival among patients with chronic congestive heart failure: a randomised controlled study. *Lancet* 1999;354:1077–1083.
 29. Goldstein MG, Whitlock EP, DePue J; Planning Committee of the Addressing Multiple Behavioral Risk Factors in Primary Care Project. Multiple behavioral risk factor interventions in primary care. Summary of research evidence. *Am J Prev Med* 2004;27:61–79.
 30. Newman S, Steed L, Mulligan K. Self-management interventions for chronic illness. *Lancet* 2004;364:1523–1537.
 31. Barlow J, Wright C, Sheasby J, Turner A, Hainsworth J. Self-management approaches for people with chronic conditions: a review. *Patient Educ Couns* 2002;48:177–187.
 32. Gibson PG, Powell H, Coughlan J, Wilson AJ, Abramson M, Haywood P, Bauman A, Hensley MJ, Walters EH. Self-management education and regular practitioner review for adults with asthma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2003;1:CD001117.
 33. Kehn M, Kroll T. Staying physically active after spinal cord injury: a qualitative exploration of barriers and facilitators to exercise participation. *BMC Public Health* 2009;9:168.
 34. Bandura A. Health promotion by social cognitive means. *Health Educ Behav* 2004;31:143–164.
 35. Gollwitzer PM. Implementation intentions: Strong effects of simple plans. *Am Psychol* 1999;54:493–503.
 36. Aspinwall LG, Taylor SE. A stitch in time: self-regulation and proactive coping. *Psychol Bull* 1997;121:417–436.
 37. Bode C, Thoolen B, de Ridder D. Het meten van proactieve copingvaardigheden: psychometrische eigenschappen van de Utrechtse Proactieve Coping Competentie Lijst (UPCC)[Dutch]. *Psychologie & Gezondheid* 2008;36:81–89.
 38. D'Zurilla TJ. Problem-solving training for effective stress management and prevention. *J Cogni Psychot: Inter Quarter* 1990;4:327–354.
 39. Bandura A. Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychol Rev* 1977;84:191–215.
 40. Thoolen BJ, de Ridder D, Bensing J, Gorter K, Rutten G. Beyond good intentions: The role of proactive coping in achieving sustained behavioural change in the context of diabetes management. *Psychol Health* 2009;24:237–254.
 41. Lorig KR, Holman H. Self-management education: history, definition, outcomes, and mechanisms. *Ann Behav Med* 2003;26:1–7.
 42. van der Woude LVH, de Groot S, Postema K, Bussmann JBJ, Janssen TWJ; ALLRISC, Post MWM. Active Lifestyle Rehabilitation Interventions in aging Spinal Cord injury (ALLRISC): a multicenter research program. *Disabil Rehabil* 2012.
 43. Valent LJM, Broeksteeg CGP. Hoe blijf je fit met een dwarslaesie? 'Keep on rolling'. Own management. 2012.
 44. Postma K, van den Berg-Emons HJ, Bussmann JB, Sluis TA, Bergen MP, Stam HJ. Validity of the detection of wheelchair propulsion as measured with an Activity Monitor in patients with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 2005;43:550–557.
 45. de Groot S, van der Woude LH, Niezen A, Smit CA, Post MW. Evaluation of the physical activity scale for individuals with physical disabilities in people with spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord* 2010;48:542–547.
 46. Ajzen I. The theory of planned behavior. *Organ Behav Hum Decis Process* 1991;50:179–211.
 47. Prochaska JO, DiClemente CC. Stages and processes of self-change of smoking: toward an integrative model of change. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1983;51:390–395.
 48. Marcus BH, Selby VC, Niaura RS, Rossi JS. Self-efficacy and the stages of exercise behavior change. *Res Q Exerc Sport* 1992;63:60–66.
 49. Colland V, Scheurs K, de Ridder D, van Elderen T. Het bevorderen van self-management door proactieve coping. *Gedrag & Gezondheid* 2001;29:188–196.
 50. Arbour-Nicitopoulos KP, Ginis KA, Latimer AE. Planning, leisure-time physical activity, and coping self-efficacy in persons with spinal cord injury: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2009;90:2003–2011.
 51. Greenglass ER, Marques S, deRidder M, Behl S. Positive coping and mastery in a rehabilitation setting. *Int J Rehabil Res* 2005;28:331–339.
 52. Reed GR, Velicer WF, Prochaska JO, Rossi JS, Marcus BH. What makes a good staging algorithm: examples from regular exercise. *Am J Health Promot* 1997;12:57–66.
 53. Miller WR, Rollnick S. Ten things that motivational interviewing is not. *Behav Cogn Psychother* 2009;37:129–140.
 54. Miller WR. Motivational interviewing: research, practice, and puzzles. *Addict Behav* 1996;21:835–842.
 55. Yevlakhova D, Satur J. Models for individual oral health promotion and their effectiveness: a systematic review. *Aust Dent J* 2009;54:190–197.
 56. Martins RK, McNeil DW. Review of Motivational Interviewing in promoting health behaviors. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2009;29:283–293.
 57. Bodenheimer T, Lorig K, Holman H, Grumbach K. Patient self-management of chronic disease in primary care. *JAMA* 2002;288:2469–2475.
 58. Latimer AE, Martin Ginis KA, Arbour KP. The efficacy of an implementation intention intervention for promoting physical activity among individuals with spinal cord injury: a randomized controlled trial. *Rehabil Psychol* 2006;51:273–280.
 59. Tudor-Locke C, Lutes L. Why do pedometers work?: a reflection upon the factors related to successfully increasing physical activity. *Sports Med* 2009;39:981–993.
 60. Bravata DM, Smith-Spangler C, Sundaram V, Gienger AL, Lin N, Lewis R, Stave CD, et al. Using pedometers to increase physical activity and improve health: a systematic review. *JAMA* 2007;298:2296–2304.
 61. John D, Freedson P. ActiGraph and Actical physical activity monitors: a peek under the hood. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2012;44:S86–S89.
 62. Washburn RA, Zhu W, McAuley E, Frogley M, Figeni SF. The physical activity scale for individuals with physical disabilities: development and evaluation. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2002;83:193–200.
 63. Kroll T, Kehn M, Ho PS, Groah S. The SCI Exercise Self-Efficacy Scale (ESES): development and psychometric properties. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act* 2007;4:34.
 64. Bode C, Thoolen B, de Ridder D. Psychometrische eigenschappen van de Utrechtse Proactieve Coping Competentie lijst (UPCC). *Psychologie en Gezondheid* 2008;36:81–91.
 65. DiClemente CC, Hughes SO. Stages of change profiles in outpatient alcoholism treatment. *J Subst Abuse* 1990;2:217–235.
 66. Lerdal A, Moe B, Digre E, Harding T, Kristensen F, Grov EK, Bakken LN, et al. Stages of Change—continuous measure (URICA-E2): psychometrics of a Norwegian version. *J Adv Nurs* 2009;65:193–202.
 67. Plotnikoff RC, Blanchard C, Hotz SB, Rhodes R. Validation of the decisional balance scales in the exercise domain from the transtheoretical model: A longitudinal test. *Meas Phys Educ Exerc Sci* 2001;5:191–206.
 68. Kalpakjian CZ, Scelza WM, Forchheimer MB, Toussaint LL. Preliminary reliability and validity of a Spinal Cord Injury Secondary Conditions Scale. *J Spinal Cord Med* 2007;30:131–139.
 69. Bloemen-Vrencken JH, Post MW, Hendriks JM, De Reus EC, De Witte LP. Health problems of persons with spinal cord injury living in the Netherlands. *Disabil Rehabil* 2005;27:1381–1389.
 70. Stevens M, Bakker van Dijk A, de Greef MH, Lemmink KA, Rispens P. A Dutch version of the Social Support for Exercise Behaviors Scale. *Percept Mot Skills* 2000;90:771–774.
 71. Catz A, Itzkovich M, Agranov E, Ring H, Tamir A. The spinal cord independence measure (SCIM): sensitivity to functional changes in subgroups of spinal cord lesion patients. *Spinal Cord* 2001;39:97–100.
 72. Itzkovich M, Gelernter I, Biering-Sorensen F, Weeks C, Laramée MT, Craven BC, Tonack M, et al. The Spinal Cord Independence Measure (SCIM) version III: reliability and validity in a multi-center international study. *Disabil Rehabil* 2007;29:1926–1933.

73. Berwick DM, Murphy JM, Goldman PA, Ware JE Jr, Barsky AJ, Weinstein MC. Performance of a five-item mental health screening test. *Med Care* 1991;29:169–176.
74. Cuijpers P, Smits N, Donker T, ten Have M, de Graaf R. Screening for mood and anxiety disorders with the five-item, the three-item, and the two-item Mental Health Inventory. *Psychiatry Res* 2009;168:250–255.
75. Anton HA, Miller WC, Townson AF. Measuring fatigue in persons with spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2008;89:538–542.
76. Krupp LB, LaRocca NG, Muir-Nash J, Steinberg AD. The fatigue severity scale. Application to patients with multiple sclerosis and systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arch Neurol* 1989;46:1121–1123.
77. Merkies IS, Schmitz PI, Samijn JP, van der Meché FG, van Doorn PA. Fatigue in immune-mediated polyneuropathies. European Inflammatory Neuropathy Cause and Treatment (INCAT) Group. *Neurology* 1999;53:1648–1654.
78. van der Zee CH, Priesterbach AR, van der Dussen L, Kap A, Schepers VP, Visser-Meily JM, Post MW. Reproducibility of three self-report participation measures: The ICF Measure of Participation and Activities Screener, the Participation Scale, and the Utrecht Scale for Evaluation of Rehabilitation-Participation. *J Rehabil Med* 2010;42:752–757.
79. Development of the World Health Organization WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment. The WHOQOL Group. *Psychol Med* 1998;28:551–558.
80. Ginis KA, Latimer AE, Arbour-Nicitopoulos KP, Buchholz AC, Bray SR, Craven BC, Hayes KC, et al. Leisure time physical activity in a population-based sample of people with spinal cord injury part I: demographic and injury-related correlates. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2010;91:722–728.
81. DeVivo M, Biering-Sørensen F, Charlifue S, Noonan V, Post M, Stripling T, Wing P; Executive Committee for the International SCI Data Sets Committees. International Spinal Cord Injury Core Data Set. *Spinal Cord* 2006;44:535–540.
82. Marino RJ, Barros T, Biering-Sørensen F, Burns SP, Donovan WH, Graves DE, Haak M, et al.; ASIA Neurological Standards Committee 2002. International standards for neurological classification of spinal cord injury. *J Spinal Cord Med* 2003;26 Suppl 1:S50–S56.
83. Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Casaburi R, Coates A, Crapo R, et al.; ATS/ERS Task Force. Standardisation of spirometry. *Eur Respir J* 2005;26:319–338.
84. Kelley A, Garshick E, Gross ER, Lieberman SL, Tun CG, Brown R. Spirometry testing standards in spinal cord injury. *Chest* 2003;123:725–730.
85. Pierce R. Spirometry: an essential clinical measurement. *Aust Fam Physician* 2005;34:535–539.
86. Haisma JA, Bussmann JB, Stam HJ, Sluis TA, Bergen MP, Dallmeijer AJ, de Groot S, van der Woude LH. Changes in physical capacity during and after inpatient rehabilitation in subjects with a spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2006;87:741–748.
87. Kilkens OJ, Dallmeijer AJ, De Witte LP, Van Der Woude LH, Post MW. The Wheelchair Circuit: Construct validity and responsiveness of a test to assess manual wheelchair mobility in persons with spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2004;85:424–431.
88. Goldstein H, Rasbash J, Plewis I. A user's guide to MlwiN. London: Institute of Education, University London; 1998.
89. Twisk J. Applied longitudinal data analysis for epidemiology. A practical guide. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2003.
90. Conn VS, Hafidahl AR, Brown SA, Brown LM. Meta-analysis of patient education interventions to increase physical activity among chronically ill adults. *Patient Educ Couns* 2008;70:157–172.
91. Warsi A, Wang PS, LaValley MP, Avorn J, Solomon DH. Self-management education programs in chronic disease: a systematic review and methodological critique of the literature. *Arch Intern Med* 2004;164:1641–1649.
92. Marcus BH, Rakowski W, Rossi JS. Assessing motivational readiness and decision making for exercise. *Health Psychol* 1992;11:257–261.
93. Prochaska JO, Velicer WF. The transtheoretical model of health behavior change. *Am J Health Promot* 1997;12:38–48.