Regulation of ykrL (htpX) by Rok and YkrK, a Novel Type of Regulator in Bacillus subtilis
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Expression of ykrL of Bacillus subtilis, encoding a close homologue of the Escherichia coli membrane protein quality control protease HtpX, was shown to be upregulated under membrane protein overproduction stress. Using DNA affinity chromatography, two proteins were found to bind to the promoter region of ykrL: Rok, known as a repressor of competence and genes for extracytoplasmic functions, and YkrK, a novel type of regulator encoded by the gene adjacent to ykrL but divergently transcribed. Electrophoretic mobility shift assays showed Rok and YkrK binding to the ykrL promoter region as well as YkrK binding to the ykrL promoter region. Comparative bioinformatic analysis of the ykrL promoter regions in related Bacillus species revealed a consensus motif, which was demonstrated to be the binding site of YkrK. Deletion of rok and ykrL in a PykrL-gfp reporter strain showed that both proteins are repressors of ykrL expression. In addition, conditions which activated PykrL (membrane protein overproduction, dissipation of the membrane potential, and salt and phenol stress) point to the involvement of YkrL in membrane protein quality control.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. B. subtilis strains used in this study are isogenic derivatives of strain 168 (21) and are listed in Table 1. L. lactis NZ29000 (20) was used as an intermediate cloning host for pNZ-xylP and pNZ-ykrK-strep and E. coli DH5α for pPykrL-gfp. B. subtilis and E. coli strains were grown in LB medium (26) at 37°C with shaking. L. lactis was grown at 30°C in M17 broth (Oxoid, Basingstone, England) supplemented with 0.5% (wt/vol) glucose. LB medium was supplemented with the following, when needed: 100 μg ml⁻¹ ampicillin and 25 μg ml⁻¹ kanamycin for E. coli; 5 μg ml⁻¹ kanamycin, 100 μg ml⁻¹ spectinomycin, 0.5 μg ml⁻¹ erythromycin, 5 μg ml⁻¹ chloramphenicol, or 9 μg ml⁻¹ tetracycline for B. subtilis; and 5 μg ml⁻¹ chloramphenicol or 5 μg ml⁻¹ erythromycin for L. lactis. Solid media were prepared by adding 1.5% agar.

The membrane located xyloside transporter XylP from Lb. pentosus was overexpressed in B. subtilis using the subtilin-regulated gene expression (SURE) system (4).

Molecular techniques were carried out as described before (30). For plasmid and strain constructions, see the supplemental material. Primers used in this study are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material.

Expression and purification of YkrK and Rok. YkrK-strep was expressed in L. lactis NZ29000 from pNZ-ykrK-strep, using the NICE system (10). Cells were grown in GM17 medium to mid-exponential phase (optical density at 600 nm [OD⁶₀₀] = 0.5) and induced with 5 ng ml⁻¹ nisin (Sigma). After 2 h, cells were harvested, washed, and resuspended in buffer W (100 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 150 mM NaCl). Lysozyme (5 mg ml⁻¹) was added, and after 10 min of incubation at 45°C, cells were disrupted by bead beating for 2 min at 4°C in a Mini-Beadbeater-16 (Biospec Products).
TABLE 1. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain or plasmid</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacterial strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli DH5α</td>
<td>F− endA1 glnV44 thi-1 recA1 relA1 gyrA96 deoR supE44Φ80d TacZΔM15</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli ED428</td>
<td>rok-his6</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. lactis NZ9000</td>
<td>pepN::nisRK</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. subtilis 168</td>
<td>trpC2</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. subtilis NZ8900</td>
<td>trpC2 amyE::spaRK (Kan′)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. subtilis JW8900</td>
<td>trpC2 thrC::spaRK (Erm′)</td>
<td>J. W. Veening, unpublished data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. subtilis JW8940</td>
<td>trpC2 thrC::spaRK ykrL (Erm′ Spe′)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. subtilis RH1100</td>
<td>trpC2 thrC::spaRK (Spe′)</td>
<td>R. Nijland, unpublished data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. subtilis KB100</td>
<td>trpC2 thrC::spaRK sigW (Spe′ Kan′)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. subtilis BC300</td>
<td>trpC2 thrC::spaRK (Spe′) Pykrl-gfp (Cam′)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. subtilis BC400</td>
<td>trpC2 Pykrl-gfp (Cam′)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. subtilis BC401</td>
<td>trpC2 Pykrl-gfp rok (Cam′ Kan′)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. subtilis BC402</td>
<td>trpC2 Pykrl-gfp ykrK (Cam′ Tet′)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. subtilis BC403</td>
<td>trpC2 Pykrl-gfp ykrK (Cam′ Kan′ Tet′)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. subtilis BC404</td>
<td>trpC2 Pykrl-gfp amyE::spaRK ykrK (Cam′ Kan′ Tet′)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. subtilis HT400</td>
<td>trpC2 amyE::Pykrl-lacZ (Cam′)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasmids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pNZ8902</td>
<td>PspaSps (Erm′)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pNZ-xylIP</td>
<td>PspaSps-xylIP (Erm′)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pNZ-yrkK-strep</td>
<td>PspaSps-yrkK-strep (Erm′)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pNZ-yrkK</td>
<td>PspaSps-yrkK (Erm′)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Protein-DNA binding affinities (dissociation constants \(K_d\)) were determined by fitting binding kinetics to the data derived from radiographs. Bound and unbound fractions were determined by measuring band intensities using ImageJ software (version 1.44i; National Institutes of Health, United States [http://rsb.info.nih.gov/nih-image/]).

**YkrK binding motif search.** In order to find a YkrK binding motif, the intergenic sequences between ykrK and ykrL homologue genes in *B. subtilis, Bacillus amyloliquefaciens, Bacillus licheniformis, Bacillus halodurans,* and *Bacillus pumilus* were compared using Motif Sampler tool (37). The motif weight matrix was generated using Genome2D (3).

**RESULTS**

Deletion of ykrL leads to a higher sensitivity to membrane protein overproduction and to dissipation of the membrane potential. Heterologous overproduction of the membrane proteins XylP, a xyloside transporter from *Lb. pentosus,* and LmrA, a multidrug transporter from *L. lactis,* in *B. subtilis* caused significant upregulation of ykrL. In the absence of a homologue of HtpX of *E. coli* (44% identity), sigW, and most of the SigW regulon members (Marciniak et al., submitted). Knockout mutants of ykrL and sigW were constructed, yielding strains JW8940 and KB100, respectively, which were tested for sensitivity to membrane stress (Fig. 1A and B) caused by overexpression of xylP from pNZ-xylP. Overproduction of XylP resulted in a significantly higher inhibition of the ΔykrL mutant than for the control cells harboring the empty vector pNZ8902. The ΔsigW mutant was more sensitive, showing cell lysis after 1 h of induction of expression of xylP. Overproduction of LmrA was also tested and showed highly similar effects (data not shown). The stronger effect of the sigW deletion is not surprising, as it regulates the expression of approximately 60 genes (5).

HtpX of *E. coli* has proteolytic activity on membrane proteins and has been suggested to be involved in degradation of misfolded membrane proteins (1). Since the transmembrane electrical potential (\(\Delta \Psi\)) has been shown to be important for correct insertion of membrane proteins into the membrane via the protein secretion machinery (34, 38), the effect of membrane potential dissipation using valinomycin was tested on the ykrL mutant (JW8940) (Fig. 1C). Valinomycin is an antibiotic that acts as a K\(^+\) carrier specifically dissipating \(\Delta \Psi\) in the presence of a sufficiently high extracellular concentration of K\(^+\) ions (31). When added at a final concentration of 0.5 μM (sublethal concentration for wild-type cells) to exponentially growing cells in LB medium supplied with 200 mM KCl, the ΔykrL strain showed a much higher sensitivity than wild-type cells. In a control experiment without addition of KCl, in which valinomycin leads to hyperpolarization due to K\(^+\) efflux rather than depolarization, the ΔykrL strain was not more sensitive than the wild type. After the addition of different concentrations of nigericin, which dissipates the proton gradient across the membrane (\(\Delta \text{pH}\)) by H\(^+/K^+\) exchange (16), no difference in sensitivity between the mutant and wild-type strains was observed. This indicates that the stress caused by membrane protein overproduction against which YkrL is acting is not proton leakage through the membrane via misfolded membrane proteins but possibly the accumulation of misfolded protein itself. In agreement, experiments in which the membrane potential was measured in ΔykrL and wild-type cells, with or without overexpression of xylP, did not show differences in the ability to maintain or build up membrane potential (data not shown).

**Stress conditions activating the ykrL promoter.** To investigate the regulation of ykrL expression, a reporter strain was constructed containing a fusion of the ykrL promoter region to gfp (PykrL-gfp) integrated at the native locus. As ykrL was induced by membrane protein overproduction in the transcriptome analyses, activation of PykrL by overexpression of xylP in the PykrL-gfp reporter strain (BC300) was tested using flow cytometry (Fig. 2A). PykrL clearly showed a higher activity upon XylP overproduction than in cells not producing XylP.

Dissipation of membrane potential (\(\Delta \Psi\)) by addition of a sublethal concentration of valinomycin to the PykrL-gfp reporter strain (BC400) growing in LB medium supplied with 200 mM KCl resulted in a strong induction of PykrL (Fig. 2B). In the absence of KCl, no induction was observed (data not shown). In contrast, dissipation of the proton concentration gradient (\(\Delta \text{pH}\)) by addition of a sublethal concentration of nigericin did not affect PykrL activity, not even after prolonged incubation (Fig. 2C). This, as well as the sensitivity of the ΔykrL strain to valinomycin but not nigericin (see above), shows a relation of the membrane potential, but not the proton concentration gradient, with stress caused by membrane protein overproduction. A relation between the PykrL activity and membrane energetics was suggested by results from a

---

**FIG 1 Sensitivity of ykrL.** (A and C) and sigW (B) deletion mutants to membrane protein (XylP) overproduction (A and B) or to membrane potential (\(\Delta \Psi\)) dissipation (C). (A and B) Growth of wild type (circles) and ΔykrL (JW8940 [A]) or ΔsigW (KB100 [B]) strains (triangles) overexpressing xylP (open symbols) or harboring the empty vector pNZ8902 (closed symbols). Expression of xylP was induced at an OD\(_{600}\) of 0.8 (arrow) with 0.1% subtilin. (C) Growth of wild type (JW8940 [A]) or ΔykrL (JW8940 [open symbols]) in LB medium with 200 mM KCl with (triangles) or without (circles) addition of 0.5 μM valinomycin. Lower growth rates are due to addition of KCl. Arrow indicates time point of valinomycin addition. All growth curves are representative of at least three independent experiments.

---

**FIG 2**
random transposon mutagenesis screening in a PykrL-lacZ reporter strain (HT400), which showed that PykrL is induced by mutations in resE, resB, sdhC, and sdhA (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). resE codes for a sensor histidine kinase of the ResDE two-component system, which regulates expression of genes involved in aerobic and anaerobic respiration (23), including its upstream genes resABC (37); resB is essential for cytochrome c synthesis (5), and sdhC and sdhA encode components of succinate dehydrogenase, which plays a role in the electron transport chain (17). Although the effects of these mutations are pleiotropic, they constituted almost two-thirds of the identified mutations that induced PykrL (data not shown), and the genes all play a role in generation of membrane potential. This, together with the above-mentioned results, suggests that the membrane potential component of the proton motive force could affect the activity of PykrL, either directly or indirectly.

In addition, PykrL activity was tested under different stress conditions that can influence the membrane protein structure or were reported to induce ykrL expression previously (phenol stress [36] and salt stress [23]). The conditions tested were addition of 16 mM phenol, addition of 650 mM NaCl, submission to heat stress at 42°C and 50°C, and anaerobic growth (a closed vessel filled up to 80% with culture) (Fig. 2D). Salt stress had a strong effect on PykrL, while phenol, heat, and anaerobic stress resulted in milder activation of PykrL.

**Rok and YkrK bind to the intergenic region of ykrK and ykrL.**

In order to find proteins binding to the promoter of ykrL, DNA affinity chromatography was performed. A 542-bp DNA fragment containing the ykrK-ykrL intergenic region with the predicted ykrK and ykrL promoters (Fig. 3A), was amplified, biotinylated, immobilized on magnetic streptavidin beads, and incubated with a cytoplasmic fraction of B. subtilis cells. Proteins bound to the DNA were eluted and analyzed on an SDS-PAGE gel (Fig. 3B) followed by in-gel digestion and identification by mass spectrometry.
Flow cytometry histograms showing P<sub>ykrL</sub> activity. Among the most abundant proteins that bound to the ykrL intergenic region were 30S and 50S ribosomal proteins, dihydrolipoamide acetyltransferase (acoC), lipoamide acyltransferase (bkdB), and dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase (lpdV). Since these proteins were not (potential) DNA binding proteins, their role in ykrL regulation was not further analyzed.

Rok and YkrK are repressors of ykrL expression. To test how YkrK and Rok regulate expression of ykrL, i.e., by activation or repression, strains containing the Pykrl-gfp fusion combined with a deletion of rok (BC401), ykrK (BC402), or both rok and ykrK (BC403) were constructed. GFP fluorescence was measured every hour throughout growth (only chosen time points are shown in Fig. 4). During early exponential phase, Pykrl activity was clearly higher in the ΔykrK and Δrok ΔykrK mutants (Fig. 4A). Later in growth (transition from exponential to stationary phase and early stationary phase), the difference in GFP signal between the wild type and these two mutants became larger, and Pykrl activity also increased in the Δrok mutant at late stationary growth phase (Fig. 4B). Overexpression of ykrL from pNZ-ykrK using the SURE system (4) in the ΔykrK mutant (BC404) resulted in full repression of Pykrl-gfp (Fig. 4C). Altogether, we demonstrate that both Rok and YkrK are repressors of the ykrL promoter.

Rok binds to the ykrL promoter region; YkrK binds to both the ykrK and ykrL promoter regions. To determine more precisely the regions to which Rok and YkrK bind, four fragments, A, B, C, and D (Fig. 5A), covering the ykrL-ykrK intergenic region were amplified. DNA fragments A and B contained the predicted ykrK promoter (PykrlK) and the predicted ykrL promoter (PykrlM), respectively. Fragment C covered the region between these two promoters, including predicted −35 boxes, and fragment D covered the whole region. The Rok and YkrK proteins were expressed in E. coli and L. lactis and purified using a C-terminal His<sub>6</sub> tag and Strep tag, respectively. Binding of Rok-His<sub>6</sub> and YkrK-Strep to these fragments was tested by electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) (Fig. 5B). Binding affinities were estimated from the decrease in signal of the unbound DNA using binding kinetics curve fitting. Both proteins bound to fragment B (PykrlM), although YkrK with much higher affinity than Rok (apparent K<sub>d</sub> [μM] of 0.1 μM and 1.2 μM, respectively). YkrK also showed significant binding to fragments A (K<sub>d</sub> app = 3.4 μM) and C (K<sub>d</sub> app = 2.7 μM), albeit with much lower affinity than to fragment B, indicating that next to ykrL, YkrK might regulate its own expression. The mobility shift patterns of fragment D were consis-

Other, less abundant proteins identified in the elution fraction were 30S and 50S ribosomal proteins, dihydrolipoamide acetyltransferase (acoC), lipoamide acyltransferase (bkdB), and dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase (lpdV). Since these proteins were not (potential) DNA binding proteins, their role in ykrL regulation was not further analyzed.

FIG 3 Identification of proteins binding to the ykrK-ykrL intergenic region using DNA affinity chromatography. (A) Schematic organization of the ykrK-ykrL locus in B. subtilis. Lollipops indicate terminator structures. Flags indicate predicted promoters. The line below indicates the fragment used for the affinity chromatography. (B) SDS-PAGE and silver stain analysis of the proteins enriched from the cytoplasmic fraction of a B. subtilis NZ8900 culture using the ykrK-ykrL intergenic region immobilized on streptavidin beads. Lane 1, protein ladder; lane 2, proteins enriched with the ykrL-ykrK intergenic region of B. subtilis.

FIG 4 Flow cytometry histograms showing Pykrl activity in Pykrl-gfp reporter strains; wild type (BC400), Δrok (BC401), ΔykrK (BC402), Δrok ΔykrK (BC403), ΔykrK+pNZ-ykrK (BC404 carrying pNZ-ykrK), and ΔykrK+pNZ8902 (BC404 carrying pNZ8902). (A) GFP signal in cells growing exponentially; (B) GFP signal in cells in stationary phase; (C) GFP signal in cells growing exponentially 30 min after inducing ykrK expression with subtilin. The shift in fluorescent signal (FL-1) to the right indicates higher Pykrl-gfp activity.
**FIG 5** *In vitro* binding of YkrK-Strep and Rok-His\(_6\) to fragments of the *ykrK*-ykrL intergenic region. (A) Schematic representation of the *ykrK*-ykrL intergenic region and fragments A (PykrK), B (PykrL), C, and D used for EMSA. Predicted −35 and −10 boxes of PykrK and PykrL are shown as boxed arrows and putative YkrK binding sites as light gray rectangles. (B) EMSAs of YkrK-Strep (top panel) and Rok-His\(_6\) (bottom panel) binding to fragments A, B, C, and D. (C) Negative control, Rok-His\(_6\) and YkrK-Strep binding to *secA* DNA. Asterisks indicate free \(^{32}\)P-DNA probes, and arrows indicate the position of the shifted probe. The calculated \(K_d\) values are indicated below each binding assay graph.
tent with one binding site for Rok in the intergenic region and three for YkrK, the latter appearing as a sum of the YkrK binding patterns of fragments A and B.

A YkrK binding motif is present within PykrK, within PykrL, and in between the two promoters. In order to identify a consensus sequence within the ykrK-ykrL intergenic region that may represent a YkrK binding motif, we compared the sequences of this region from five Bacillus species which contain a corresponding, divergent ykrK-ykrL pair—B. subtilis, B. amyloliquefaciens, B. licheniformis, B. halodurans, and B. pumilus—using the Motif Sampler tool (37). A conserved sequence TGAWCTTA (W = A/T) was found (Fig. 6A). This 8-nucleotide (nt) motif is present in the ykrK-ykrL intergenic region of B. subtilis in three places (Fig. 6B): overlapping with the predicted −35 box of PykrK, downstream of the −10 box of PykrL, and, with more deviation from the consensus, in between these two promoters. The locations of the motif correlates with the observed YkrK binding to the four DNA fragments in the EMSA experiment (compare Fig. 5).

To confirm experimentally the result obtained with the YkrK binding motif search, a YkrK binding assay was performed with DNA fragment B, covering the predicted PykrL, in its original sequence and with three variants carrying point mutations in the predicted binding motif: B-mut1 (G2A), B-mut2 (C5T), and B-mut3 (G2A/C5T) (Fig. 6C). YkrK bound to the wild-type DNA

FIG 6 YkrK binding motif search and validation. (A) Weight matrix of consensus sequence present within the ykrK-ykrL intergenic region in different Bacillus species. (B) Sequence of the ykrK-ykrL intergenic region of B. subtilis, with putative YkrK binding sites in gray shading; start codons of ykrL and ykrK are in italics and predicted −10 and −35 boxes in bold. The fragments used for EMSA experiments in Fig. 5 are underlined: solid line, fragment A (PykrK); dotted line, fragment B (PykrL); dashed line, fragment C. (C) EMSA of YkrK-Strep binding to fragment B containing the consensus motif, and with derivatives containing point mutations in the motif (B-mut1, B-mut2, and B-mut3). Point mutations are underlined. Asterisks indicate free 32P-DNA probes, and arrows indicate the position of the shifted probe. The calculated Kd values are indicated below each binding assay graph.
fragment B with an estimated $K_d$ of 60 nM. The single point mutations on the second (B-mut1) and fifth (B-mut2) positions of the predicted motif caused at least a 10-fold decrease in affinity of YkrK binding (apparent $K_d$ values of 600 nM and 960 nM, respectively). When the two mutations were present simultaneously (B-mut3), affinity decreased even more substantially ($K_d$ app = 1.65 µM). This result confirms that the conserved sequence TGAWCTTA (W or T) is the YkrK binding motif, or at least constitutes the major part of the binding site. Up- and downstream base pairs may be involved as well, which could explain the difference of the YkrK binding affinity between the ykrL promoter and the other two binding sites, present in the ykrK promoter and in the region in between.

Since ykrL was possibly not the only target of YkrK regulation, ykrK was overexpressed in B. subtilis and the transcriptome was compared to control cells using DNA microarrays. The upstream regions of significantly regulated genes (see Table S2 in the supplemental material) were searched for the YkrK binding motif using the genome-scale DNA pattern tool (39) with default parameters. Next to ykrK and ykrL, only two genes were found to possess the putative YkrK binding motif (TGAWCTTA) in their promoter regions: yrkA, coding for a putative membrane protein of unknown function, and penP, encoding a secreted penicillinase. The motif of penP, however, is in the reverse orientation, while the expression of the adjacent but divergently transcribed gene yoaZ was not changed upon YkrK overexpression. It appears that YkrK regulates only a small number of genes, including ykrL and possibly ykrK, but this needs further research.

**DISCUSSION**

The regulation of ykrL of B. subtilis, encoding a close homologue of E. coli HtpX, is described. In addition, insight in the physiological role of YkrL was obtained from a ykrL knockout mutant as well as from stress conditions inducing ykrL expression. YkrL is a membrane protein with four predicted transmembrane segments and contains all the conserved residues present in the active site of the 44% identical HtpX of E. coli, a zinc-dependent metalloprotease involved in membrane protein quality control (1, 27). It was shown that a ykrL knockout mutant was more sensitive to membrane protein overproduction stress and dissipation of transmembrane electrical potential ($\Delta\Psi$) than the wild-type parent strain. These conditions also induced expression from the ykrL promoter, PykrL. In contrast, the ykrL mutation did not increase sensitivity to dissipation of the chemical proton gradient, $\Delta$pH, which together with the $\Delta\Psi$ constitutes the proton motive force. Nor did this condition induce PykrL. This suggests that the stress that is sensed and leads to induction of ykrL expression is the presence or accumulation of misfolded proteins in the membrane, rather than proton leakage as a result of disturbed membrane integrity. In this case, the observed activation of PykrL by membrane potential dissipation can be explained by the requirement of membrane potential for correct insertion of membrane proteins (34, 38).

We suggest that YkrL, similar to HtpX of E. coli, is involved in a membrane protein stress response, likely by proteolytic degradation of misfolded membrane proteins, and serves as a membrane protein quality control system. Supporting this hypothesis is the strongly increased amount of YkrL observed in the membrane proteome in a conditional double knockout of spoIIIJ and ygiG, encoding YidC homologues involved in membrane protein insertion (29). However, differences between the HtpX and YkrL functions may exist. The htpX gene is induced in E. coli by heat stress (32), whereas the effect of heat stress on ykrL expression in B. subtilis, albeit significant, was less strong than that of other tested conditions such as salt stress. The strong induction of PykrL by salt stress and phenol, observed before (23, 36), may be explained by misfolding of proteins induced by the hyperosmotic conditions and denaturation, respectively. Indeed, Hahne et al. (14) observed that salt stress induced cytosolic as well as membrane protein quality control proteins in B. subtilis, although ykrL was not indicated as significantly regulated in that study.

In E. coli, next to HtpX, the membrane-located ATP-dependent metalloprotease FtsH is involved in membrane protein quality control (1). The gene encoding FtsH of B. subtilis, sharing 47% amino acid sequence identity with E. coli FtsH, was, unlike ykrL, not induced by membrane stress caused by membrane protein overproduction (Marciniak et al., submitted). Although we cannot exclude a general role for FtsH in membrane protein quality control, its previously described functions (7, 22) may represent a more specific role in the physiology of B. subtilis.

YkrL has recently been shown to associate with the MreB cytoskeleton (19) forming a spiral structure immediately underneath the cell membrane and coordinating cell wall synthesis together with MreC and MreD (18). This spiral localization of MreB is disrupted under dissipation of the membrane potential (35). The site where YkrL is recruited may be close to the cell wall synthesis machinery (MreB complex), where, during rapid growth, cells could benefit from a membrane protein quality control system.

In E. coli, expression of htpX is regulated by the CpxR/CpxA two-component system (32), which regulates many genes with an important role in envelope protein folding (25), including the gene encoding the secretion stress protein DegP (HtraA) (8). The corresponding system in B. subtilis, CssRS, regulating htrA and htrB expression (9), appeared not to be involved in ykrL expression. Instead, two proteins binding to the ykrL promoter region were identified by DNA affinity chromatography: the unknown protein YkrK, encoded by ykrK, located upstream of ykrL but divergently transcribed, and Rok, a known repressor of competence and of genes with extracytoplasmic function (2, 17). Both proteins were demonstrated to act as repressors of ykrL expression. Electrophoresis mobility shift assays showed that Rok binds to the ykrL promoter region, whereas YkrK binds at three positions in the ykrK-ykrL intergenic region: with high affinity to the ykrL promoter region, with low affinity to the ykrK promoter region, and with low affinity to a region in between these. A consensus motif for YkrK binding was identified by comparative bioinformatic analysis of homologous ykrK-ykrL intergenic regions of related Bacillus species. The motif, TGAWCCTTA (W or T), was confirmed by making point mutations, which led to a drastically lowered affinity for YkrK. The presence of the binding motif in the ykrK promoter region, overlapping with its predicted −35 sequence, and the weak but significant binding of YkrK to this region, suggests that YkrK may be involved in its own regulation, but this needs further research.

The exact binding site for Rok in the ykrK-ykrL intergenic region was not determined, but recent work demonstrated that Rok binding occurs at A+T-rich DNA (33). The fragment of the ykrK-ykrL intergenic region to which Rok bound contains an uninterrupted 18-bp stretch of A+T, overlapping with the predicted −10 box of PykrL (Fig. 6B). The fragments to which Rok did not bind
do not contain such uninterrupted stretches, although the average A+T content of the fragments did not significantly differ. The functioning of YkrK is an interesting target for further research, as it may be either directly or indirectly involved in the sensing of the stress signal that leads to its dissociation from the ykrL promoter. As this stress is occurring and sensed at or in the membrane, interaction of YkrK with other membrane-associated or -embedded proteins can be expected. At the C terminus of YkrK, a 34-amino-acid sequence containing a large proportion of hydrophobic amino acids is predicted as a relatively hydrophobic α-helix by secondary-structure prediction tool POLYVIEW (24), which may play a role in such interaction.

In conclusion, this study addressed the so far relatively unexplored area of membrane protein stress in _B. subtilis_, in particular the regulation of the membrane stress-responsive gene _ykrL_. Two regulators were identified, Rok and the novel YkrK protein, for which a binding motif was revealed. Results on a ykrL knockout strain and data for PyrKL-controlled expression, together with other studies discussed above, indicate YkrK as a quality control system for membrane proteins.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This research was supported by a grant from ALW–NWO in the ESF Bacell SysMO program.

We thank J. W. Veening for constructing strain JW8900 and R. Nijland for constructing strain RH100.

**REFERENCES**