University of Groningen

Foley catheter versus vaginal prostaglandin E2 gel for induction of labour at term (PROBAAT trial)

Jozwiak, Marta; Rengerink, Katrien Oude; Benthem, Marjan; van Beek, Erik; Dijksterhuis, Marja G. K.; de Graaf, Irene M.; van Huizen, Marloes E.; Oudijk, Martijn A.; Papatsonis, Dimitri N. M.; Perquin, Denise A. M.

Published in:
LANCET

DOI:
[10.1016/S0140-6736\(11\)61484-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61484-0)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2011

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Jozwiak, M., Rengerink, K. O., Benthem, M., van Beek, E., Dijksterhuis, M. G. K., de Graaf, I. M., van Huizen, M. E., Oudijk, M. A., Papatsonis, D. N. M., Perquin, D. A. M., Porath, M., van der Post, J. A. M., Rijnders, R. J. P., Scheepers, H. C. J., Spaanderman, M. E. A., van Pampus, M. G., de Leeuw, J. W., Mol, B. W. J., Bloemenkamp, K. W. M., & PROBAAT Study Grp (2011). Foley catheter versus vaginal prostaglandin E2 gel for induction of labour at term (PROBAAT trial): an open-label, randomised controlled trial. *LANCET*, 378(9809), 2095-2103. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(11\)61484-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61484-0)

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

- 4 van Gaal S, Lamme VA. Unconscious high-level processing: Implications for neurobiological theories of consciousness. *Neuroscientist* 2011; published online May 31. DOI:10.1177/1073858411404079.
- 5 Marcel AJ. Conscious and unconscious perception: an approach to the relations between phenomenal experience and perceptual process. *Cogn Psychol* 1983; **15**: 238–300.
- 6 Cooney JW, Gazzaniga MS. Neurological disorders and the structure of human consciousness. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2003; **7**: 161–65.
- 7 Milner AD, Goodale MA. Two visual systems re-viewed. *Neuropsychologia* 2008; **46**: 774–75.
- 8 Owen AM, Coleman MR, Boly M, Davis MH, Laureys S, Pickard J. Detecting awareness in the vegetative state. *Science* 2006; **313**: 1402.
- 9 Monti MM, Vanhaudenhuyse A, Coleman MR, et al. Willful modulation of brain activity in disorders of consciousness. *N Engl J Med* 2010; **362**: 579–89.
- 10 Overgaard M, Overgaard R. Neural correlates of contents and levels of consciousness. *Front Psychol* 2010; **1**: 1–3.

Intracervical Foley catheter for induction of labour

Published Online
October 25, 2011
DOI:10.1016/S0140-
6736(11)61581-X
See **Articles** page 2095

Labour is induced in about 20% of pregnant women in high-income countries, making it one of the most frequently done obstetric interventions.^{1,2} Induction is commonly offered to women at 41 weeks' gestation or greater, to reduce the risk of perinatal death.³ Induction is also indicated in suspected maternal or fetal compromise, such as pregnancy-induced hypertension at term, for which delivery is likely to improve maternal or fetal health.⁴

The optimum method of induction of labour is uncertain. Vaginal or intracervical prostaglandins are used in the UK and USA. Alternatives include mechanical methods, such as forewater amniotomy, laminaria tents, or an intracervical Foley catheter; all these methods probably stimulate endogenous prostaglandin production, thus ripening the cervix. Externally administered prostaglandins are effective at cervical ripening and hastening delivery, but increase the risk of uterine hyperstimulation with fetal heart rate changes.⁵ In nulliparous women or women with previous vaginal deliveries, there is no evidence that prostaglandin-induced uterine hyperstimulation is

associated with substantial harm, since prostaglandins do not increase the risk of caesarean section or neonatal unit admission.⁵ However, in women with a previous caesarean section, induction with prostaglandins is associated with uterine rupture.⁶ The absolute risk is small, but the potential for perinatal death leads to caution about use of prostaglandins in this situation.^{7,8}

When labour onset occurs physiologically, the cervix ripens before myometrial contractions start. A major drawback of administered prostaglandins is that they affect both cervical ripening and contractions simultaneously. Contractions occurring before the cervix is ripe are not effective in progressing labour and merely restrict blood flow to the fetus. We and others have proposed that the ideal strategy for induction would be administration of a cervical ripening agent before stimulation of contractions,^{9,10} which would decrease the need for fetal monitoring during ripening (enabling outpatient use) and reduce the risk of uterine rupture. Although nitric oxide donors induce cervical ripening without inducing uterine contractions,¹¹ they do not hasten the onset of delivery or reduce the need for additional agents when used for induction of labour.^{12,13} By contrast, in *The Lancet* Marta Jozwiak and colleagues¹⁴ show that intracervical placement of a Foley catheter induces cervical ripening without inducing uterine contractions and is as successful as prostaglandin for induction of labour, according to the number of failed inductions and caesarean section rates.

The researchers randomly assigned 824 women to either induction of labour with a Foley catheter or prostaglandin E₂ (up to 3 mg). If cervical ripening had not been achieved by 48 h, the woman rested for a day and then had a single repeat treatment. Once the cervix had ripened, induction of labour was continued with forewater amniotomy and oxytocin infusion. The rate of caesarean section (the primary outcome) was much the same in both groups (93 [23%] for Foley catheter vs 82 [20%]

for prostaglandins, relative risk 1.13, 95% CI 0.87–1.47, $p=0.38$). Although the induction-to-delivery interval and rates of caesarean section for failure to progress in the first stage of labour both increased, women in the Foley catheter group had reduced rates of both operative delivery for fetal distress and neonatal unit admission. In a meta-analysis, the investigators show that Foley catheter induction is similar to prostaglandin induction for caesarean section rate but significantly reduces rates of hyperstimulation (odds ratio 0.44, 95% CI 0.21–0.91) and postpartum haemorrhage (0.60, 0.37–0.95). Although women's views of the Foley catheter were not formally assessed, 74% of eligible women approached agreed to participate in the trial, and less than 0.5% declined when allocated to the Foley catheter, implying high pretreatment acceptability.

These data suggest that Foley catheter induction of labour is effective and should be considered for use in clinical practice. Some authorities caution against mechanical methods of cervical ripening or induction of labour because of the perceived increased risk of infection.⁷ Jozwiak and colleagues report no evidence of increased infection for either mothers or babies, and these data should prompt a revision of the recommendation that "mechanical procedures (balloon catheters and laminaria tents) should not be used routinely for induction of labour".⁷ The low cost of the Foley catheter could make it particularly useful in resource-limited settings.

Jozwiak and co-workers' study makes an important contribution. The nature of Foley catheter treatment means that it would not have been easy to conceal treatment allocation; however, despite the open-label design, the randomisation procedure was sufficiently robust to prevent treatment allocation bias. Important questions remain about the design of trials to test interventions for labour induction. The Cochrane collaboration suggests five potential primary outcomes for induction agents: vaginal delivery not being achieved within a specified time, caesarean section, uterine hyperstimulation with fetal heart rate changes, serious neonatal morbidity or mortality, and serious maternal morbidity or mortality.¹⁵ In practice one primary outcome is often used, commonly (as here) caesarean section. By this measure, the Foley catheter was no better than prostaglandin. However, the reduced risk of hyperstimulation with the Foley catheter (a secondary outcome of Jozwiak and co-workers' study) is likely to be

attractive to pregnant women (particularly those with a previous caesarean section) and clinicians. Although women with a previous caesarean section were excluded from Jozwiak and colleagues' study, a Foley catheter could be the ideal induction agent in this situation, and should be assessed further in randomised trials. If such trials are to be done, the avoidance of maternal and neonatal mortality and morbidity are arguably as important as speed and avoidance of caesarean section, and warrant inclusion as primary outcomes.

*Jane E Norman, Sarah Stock

MRC Centre for Reproductive Health, University of Edinburgh,
The Queen's Medical Research Centre, Edinburgh EH16 4TY, UK
jane.norman@ed.ac.uk

JEN has received fees for acting as a consultant for Preglem, and is a member of an advisory board (unpaid) for Hologic. SS declares that she has no conflicts of interest.

- 1 NHS Information Centre for Health and Social Care. NHS maternity statistics 2009–10. <http://www.hesonline.nhs.uk/Ease/servlet/ContentServer?siteID=1937&categoryID=1475> (accessed Sept 27, 2011).
- 2 US Census Bureau. Statistical abstract of the United States births, deaths, marriages and divorces 2011. <http://www.census.gov/compendia/statab/2011/tables/11s0088.pdf> (accessed Sept 27, 2011).
- 3 Gulmezoglu AM, Crowther CA, Middleton P. Induction of labour for improving birth outcomes for women at or beyond term. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006; **4**: CD004945.
- 4 Koopmans CM, Bijlenga D, Groen H, et al. Induction of labour versus expectant monitoring for gestational hypertension or mild pre-eclampsia after 36 weeks' gestation (HYPIAT): a multicentre, open-label randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2009; **374**: 979–88.
- 5 Kelly AJ, Malik S, Smith L, Kavanagh J, Thomas J. Vaginal prostaglandin (PGE₂ and PGF_{2a}) for induction of labour at term. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009; **4**: CD003101.
- 6 Smith GC, Pell JP, Pasupathy D, Dobbie R. Factors predisposing to perinatal death related to uterine rupture during attempted vaginal birth after caesarean section: retrospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2004; **329**: 375.
- 7 National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health on behalf of NICE. Induction of labour clinical guideline, 2008. London: Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists Press, 2008.
- 8 American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG practice bulletin: induction of labor. *Obstet Gynecol* 2009; **114**: 386–98.
- 9 Romero R. Clinical application of nitric oxide donors and blockers. *Hum Reprod* 1998; **13**: 248–50.
- 10 Norman JE, Thomson A, Greer I. Cervical ripening after nitric oxide. *Hum Reprod* 1998; **13**: 251–52.
- 11 Ledingham MA, Thomson AJ, Lunan CB, Greer IA, Norman JE. A comparison of isosorbide mononitrate, misoprostol and combination therapy for first trimester pre-operative cervical ripening: a randomised controlled trial. *BJOG* 2001; **108**: 276–80.
- 12 Bollapragada SS, MacKenzie F, Norrie JD, et al. Randomised placebo-controlled trial of outpatient (at home) cervical ripening with isosorbide mononitrate (IMN) prior to induction of labour—clinical trial with analyses of efficacy and acceptability. The IMOP study. *BJOG* 2009; **116**: 1185–95.
- 13 Kelly AJ, Munson C, Minden L. Nitric oxide donors for cervical ripening and induction of labour. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2011; **6**: CD006901.
- 14 Jozwiak M, Rengerink KO, Bentham M, et al, for the PROBAAT Study Group. Foley catheter versus vaginal prostaglandin E₂ gel for induction of labour at term (PROBAAT trial): an open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2011; published online Oct 24. DOI:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61484-0.
- 15 Hofmeyr GJ, Alfirevic Z, Kelly AJ, et al. Methods for cervical ripening and labour induction in late pregnancy: generic protocol (protocol). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009; **3**: CD002074.