University of Groningen

Organocatalytic asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of imines

de Vries, Johannes G.; Mrsic, Natasa; Mršić, Nataša

Published in:
Catalysis Science & Technology

DOI:
[10.1039/c1cy00050k](https://doi.org/10.1039/c1cy00050k)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2011

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
de Vries, J. G., Mrsic, N., & Mršić, N. (2011). Organocatalytic asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of imines. *Catalysis Science & Technology*, 1(5), 727-735. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c1cy00050k>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Organocatalytic asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of imines

Johannes G. de Vries^{*ab} and Nataša Mršić^b

Received 9th February 2011, Accepted 28th March 2011

DOI: 10.1039/c1cy00050k

The asymmetric organocatalytic transfer hydrogenation of imines can be accomplished in good yields with high enantioselectivities through the use of BINOL-derived phosphoric acids as catalysts and Hantzsch esters or benzothiazoles as the hydride source. The same method can also be applied to the enantioselective reduction of benzo-fused heterocycles, such as quinolines, benzoxazines, benzothiazines, benzoxazinones, quinoxalines, quinoxalinones and a limited number of pyridines containing electron-withdrawing groups. Cascade reactions involving multiple reductions and rearrangements have been reported as well as combinations of metal-catalysed reactions, such as gold-catalyzed hydroaminations of alkynes combined with the reduction of the ensuing enamine. Although turnover frequencies of the organocatalytic imine hydrogenations are still lower than those of metal-catalyzed hydrogenations, there are several advantages. Mild and environmentally friendly conditions as well as excellent selectivity make this method a valuable approach to enantiopure amine building blocks.

Introduction

Although the efficiency and selectivity of many organocatalytic reactions meets the standards of established organic reactions,¹ use of metal catalysts often leads to higher turnover frequencies in the asymmetric hydrogenation of imines compared to the use of organocatalysts. However, to the best of our knowledge there is no metal catalyzed asymmetric imine hydrogenation as part of an industrial process with the exception of the Metolachlor process.² There are several disadvantages of metal catalysts that often preclude industrial applications. These are related to the cost of the catalyst, relatively low turnover numbers—the Metolachlor process is an exception—and possible contamination of the product with metals. Organocatalytic reactions have several advantages; they can be performed under aerobic conditions, organocatalysts are also more stable than enzymes and can be anchored to a solid support and reused more conveniently than organometallic/bioorganic analogues. Organocatalysts also offer alternatives with respect to the activation of the substrate. Although organocatalytic reactions have passed through a flourishing decade, their origins started much earlier.³

Organocatalytic hydride transfers are inspired by reduction processes in nature.⁴ In biological systems, reductions are done in the cascade reactions using enzymes and organic

hydride reduction cofactors, such as nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) and flavin adenine dinucleotide (FADH₂). The first metal-free enantioselective imine reduction was reported in 1989 by Singh and Batra.⁵ With the use of amino acids as catalysts and Hantzsch esters (HE) as hydrogen sources, up to 62% ee was obtained. Hantzsch esters are bio-inspired hydride donors, commonly known as synthetic analogues of reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH).⁶

Over the last decade, Brønsted acids have become a successful alternative to metal catalysts.⁷ Brønsted acid catalysis relies on enantioselective protonation or formation of a directed hydrogen bond by the catalyst. The interaction between the catalyst and the substrate is noncovalent and the chiral ion pair is the active species. Fig. 1 represents phosphoric acid catalysts and Hantzsch esters that have been successfully employed in the organocatalytic transfer hydrogenation of imines over the last 5 years.

N-Aryl imines

In 2005, Rueping *et al.* reported the first enantioselective Brønsted acid catalyzed reduction of *N*-aryl ketimines^{8,9} using a phosphoric acid catalyst derived from the Akiyama–Terada family of BINOL-derived catalysts (Scheme 1).¹⁰ Hantzsch ester **6** was used as the hydrogen source and various BINOL-derived 3,3'-aryl-substituted phosphoric acids were screened. Both steric and electronic effects of the substituents on the BINOL backbone were shown to influence the asymmetric induction. A strong solvent effect was observed, with non-polar solvents such as benzene leading to the best enantioselectivity and yield. Using 20 mol% of Brønsted acid

^a DSM Innovative Synthesis B. V., A unit of DSM Pharma Chemicals, P.O. Box 18, 6160 MD Geleen, The Netherlands. E-mail: Hans-JG.Vries-de@dsm.com; Fax: +31-46-4767604; Tel: +31-46-4761572

^b University of Groningen, Stratingh Institute for Chemistry, Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands. E-mail: N.Mrsic@rug.nl; Fax: +31-50-3634296; Tel: +31-50-3634235

Fig. 1 Most successful phosphoric acid catalysts and Hantzsch esters employed in the organocatalytic transfer hydrogenation of imines.

Scheme 1 Rueping's organocatalytic reduction of imines and the proposed mechanism.

1a N-aryl ketimines **9** were reduced with up to 84% ee and good yields.

Rueping proposed a mechanism in which the ketimine is activated by protonation through Brønsted acid **1a**, which generates the iminium compound **A** (Scheme 1). Subsequent hydrogen transfer from the Hantzsch ester **6** yields a chiral amine and pyridinium salt **B**, which undergoes proton transfer to regenerate the Brønsted acid **1a**. The authors assigned the absolute configuration of the products based on the stereochemical model derived from the X-ray crystal structure of the catalyst. They propose that in the transition state, the ketimine

is activated by the Brønsted acid **1a**, favouring the nucleophile to approach the substrate from the less hindered *si* face. The *re* face is shielded by the aryl group of the catalyst.

List *et al.* reported independently the use of only 1 mol% of the (*S*)-BINOL-derived phosphoric acid **1b** and Hantzsch ester **6** as the hydrogen source in the transfer hydrogenation of *N*-PMP-protected aromatic and aliphatic ketimines, with up to 93% ee and high yields.¹¹ Moreover, imine generation could be performed *in situ* in the presence of molecular sieves. After the subsequent deprotection with CAN (cerium(IV) ammonium nitrate), the primary amine was isolated with 88% ee and 81% yield. Following these results reported by Rueping *et al.*^{8,9} and List *et al.*¹¹ several groups reported BINOL-derived phosphoric acids to lead to excellent results in the metal-free transfer hydrogenation of the C=N bond. The steric hindrance in the 3,3'-position was shown to play an important role in the asymmetric induction.

Enantiomerically pure α -amino acids and their derivatives are the building blocks for the synthesis of peptides and chiral pharmaceuticals.¹² One straightforward approach to the synthesis of enantiopure α -amino acids is the asymmetric hydrogenation of α -imino esters. Antilla and co-workers¹³ and You and co-workers¹⁴ reported the organocatalytic asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of *N*-PMP protected α -imino esters **11** and **13** using chiral phosphoric acids as a catalyst and Hantzsch esters as the hydrogen source (Scheme 2).

Using 5 mol% of the VAPOL-derived catalyst **4** Antilla *et al.*¹³ found excellent enantioselectivities and yields in the hydrogenation of α -aryl and α -alkyl substituted imino esters **11** (up to 99% ee). The use of *in situ* prepared imino esters gave lower overall yields, however with identical enantioselectivities. You *et al.*¹⁴ reported the use of 1 mol% of the hindered 9-anthracenyl-substituted phosphoric acid (*S*)-**1c** which resulted in excellent yields and ee's (up to 98% ee). Enantioselectivities were strongly dependant on the 3,3'-substituents on the phosphoric acid catalyst and the ester group of the substrate. The more bulky the ester group was, the higher the enantioselectivity.

Antilla and co-workers described a highly enantioselective hydrogenation of aromatic enamides catalyzed by phosphoric

Scheme 2 Organocatalytic transfer hydrogenation of α -imino esters.

Scheme 3 You's organocatalytic transfer hydrogenation of β,γ -alkynyl α -imino esters.

acid (*S*)-**1c**. The authors found it was possible to speed up the reaction substantially by the addition of 10 mol% of acetic acid. A range of aromatic enamides was reduced with ee's ranging from 41–95% ee. Apparently, the hydrogenation proceeds through the protonated iminium intermediate.¹⁵

You *et al.* reported the asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of *N*-PMP-substituted β,γ -alkynyl α -imino esters **15** using 1 mol% of Brønsted acid **1c**. Both the alkyne and imine moieties were reduced with Hantzsch ester **6** to afford *trans*-alkenyl α -amino esters with up to 96% ee (Scheme 3).¹⁶ Yields were moderate and highly dependant on the variation of the ester group of the substrate, while enantioselectivity was unaffected. Mechanistic investigation showed that the reduction of the carbon–carbon triple bond is faster than that of the imine bond.

Recently, Zhu and Akiyama reported the use of benzothiazolines **17** and **21** as hydrogen donors in the asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of *N*-PMP-protected ketimines¹⁷ **9** and α -imino-esters **10** (Scheme 4).¹⁸ With the use of up to 2 mol% of 3,3'-disubstituted-BINOL-derived phosphoric acid catalyst **1b**, excellent yields and enantioselectivities were achieved. Once again both the yields and enantioselectivities were dependant on the substituent in the 3,3'-position of the BINOL backbone of the catalyst.

Akiyama has shown that benzothiazolines can be generated *in situ*. Introduction of a hydroxy group onto the benzothiazoline as in **21** leads to the formation of benzothiazole **22** that precipitates from the reaction mixture. Thus, **22** is easily removed by

Scheme 4 Benzothiazolines as a hydrogen source in the organocatalytic transfer hydrogenation of imines and imino-esters.

Scheme 5 Enantioselective transfer hydrogenation of unprotected *ortho*-hydroxyaryl alkyl *N*-H imines.

filtration, which simplifies the ensuing purification of the product. This is the major advantage over the use of the Hantzsch esters.

Guéritte and co-workers developed a protocol for the enantioselective transfer hydrogenation of unprotected *ortho*-hydroxyaryl alkyl *N*-H imines **23** using 10 mol% of (*S*)-**1e** as a catalyst and Hantzsch ester **7** as the hydride source. A variety of *ortho*-hydroxybenzylamines was obtained with good to excellent yields and enantioselectivities (Scheme 5).¹⁹

Nitrogen heterocycles

Rueping has reported the organocatalytic cascade transfer hydrogenation of nitrogen heterocycles. Brønsted acid catalyzed cascade transfer hydrogenation of quinolines,²⁰ quinoxalines,²¹ pyridines,²² benzoxazines, benzoxazinones and benzothiazines²³ provides direct access to valuable enantio-enriched saturated heterocyclic compounds that are of considerable importance as intermediates for pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals and for use in the material sciences.²⁴

In the reduction of 2-substituted quinolines **25**, enantioselectivities of >99% ee were obtained using 2 mol% of catalyst **1d** (Scheme 6). In general, 2-substituted quinolines with aromatic, heteroaromatic and aliphatic substituents were well tolerated. This is one of the first examples of metal-free asymmetric reduction of heteroaromatic compounds. The method was applied to the two-step synthesis of the natural products (–)-angustureine, (+)-cuspareine and (+)-galipinine in 90–91% ee and high yields. Rueping and Theissmann recently reported the first highly enantioselective Brønsted acid catalyzed reaction in an aqueous medium.²⁵ Various

Scheme 6 Rueping's organocatalytic transfer hydrogenation of quinolines.

2-substituted quinolines and a benzoxazine were reduced using 2 mol% of **1b** and Hantzsch ester **7** in brine as a solvent. Good yields and enantioselectivities of 83–97% were obtained.

Rueping and co-workers used Brønsted acid **2** derived from H₈-BINOL as a catalyst for the enantioselective transfer hydrogenation of 3- and 2,3-substituted quinolines **27** and **29**, with good yields and enantioselectivities/diastereoselectivities (up to 86% ee and 99% ee, respectively).²⁶

The Brønsted acid **2** was recently used successfully by the same authors as a catalyst in the 4-step metal-free synthesis of the fluoroquinolone antibiotic flumequine and levofloxacin with high ee's and yields.²⁷ Whereas in the Brønsted catalyzed enantioselective transfer hydrogenation of 2- and 4-substituted quinolines the authors proposed that the enantioselectivity is introduced in the hydride addition, in the case of 3-substituted quinolines the enantiodetermining step was assumed to be the protonation by the Brønsted acid catalyst (Scheme 7).

Du and co-workers have also reported the organocatalytic transfer hydrogenation of quinolines (Scheme 8). As in previous cases they showed that the steric bulk of the substituent on the BINOL backbone of the phosphoric acid catalysts is crucial for obtaining good reactivity and selectivity. They employed double axially chiral phosphoric acids as catalysts, where substituents in the 3,3'-positions possess double axial chirality.²⁸ The use of 0.2 and 1 mol% of catalyst **5**, respectively, resulted in excellent enantioselectivities, diastereoselectivities and yields in the transfer hydrogenation of 2- and 2,3-substituted quinolines **25** and **31** (up to 98% ee and 92% ee, respectively).

Rueping and co-workers reported that excellent enantioselectivities and high yields were obtained in the reduction of benzoxazines **33**, benzothiazines **34** and benzoxazinones **37** using 0.1–1 mol% of phenanthryl-substituted BINOL-derived phosphoric acid **1d** (Scheme 9).^{23,29} The enantiopure dihydrobenzoxazinones **38** could be ring opened using benzylamine to yield the *N*-aryl amino acid benzylamide **39** with preservation of stereochemical integrity.

Rueping and co-workers recently reported the first organocatalytic transfer hydrogenation of 2-aryl substituted quinoxalines **40** and quinoxalinones **42**, with the use of up to 10 mol% of

Scheme 8 Du's organocatalytic transfer hydrogenation of quinolines.

Scheme 9 Rueping's organocatalytic transfer hydrogenation of benzoxazines, benzothiazines and benzoxazinones.

the Brønsted acid **1c** and Hantzsch ester **6** as the hydride source.²¹ Enantioselectivities were high for both classes of substrates, with good to excellent yields (Scheme 10). The authors proposed a mechanism in which, similar to their previously reported quinoline reductions, the substrate is activated through Brønsted acid catalyzed protonation, followed by double 1,2-hydride addition.⁸

Rueping and Antonchick also reported the first Brønsted acid catalyzed cascade reduction of pyridines.²² With the use of 5 mol% of the phosphoric acid **1c** various azadecalines **45** and tetrahydropyridines **47** were obtained in good yields and excellent enantioselectivities (Scheme 11).

Enantioselectivity was highly dependant on the substituent on the phosphoric acid catalyst and the ester group of the Hantzsch ester. The authors also applied their method to the

Scheme 7 Proposed mechanism for the asymmetric transfer hydrogenation of quinolines using chiral phosphoric acids.

Scheme 10 Rueping's organocatalytic transfer hydrogenation of quinoxalines and quinoxalinones.

synthesis of the alkaloid diepi-pumiliotoxin **C**. The proposed mechanistic cycle of the transfer hydrogenation of pyridines starts with the protonation of the pyridine by the phosphoric acid, resulting in the chiral ion pair **A** (Scheme 11). Subsequent hydride transfer from Hantzsch ester **6** gives the adduct **B**, which is transformed into the iminium ion **C** through an acid-catalyzed isomerization. A second hydride transfer results in the desired product, and the phosphate catalyst **1c** is regenerated.

The same authors developed a protocol for the enantioselective transfer hydrogenation of 3*H*-indoles **48**.³⁰ Using 1 mol% of **1c** as catalyst, various substituted indolines were isolated with high yields and 70–99% ee (Scheme 12).

Recently, Rueping and co-workers described the asymmetric organocatalytic hydrogenation of quinolines and benzoxazines using polymer-supported chiral BINOL-derived phosphoric acids.³¹ These catalysts were shown to be as stable and effective as their homogenous counterparts and could be easily removed from the reaction mixture and reused in up to 11 consecutive reactions with preservation of both enantioselectivity and reactivity.

Scheme 11 Rueping's organocatalytic reduction of pyridines and the proposed mechanism.

Scheme 12 Rueping's organocatalytic reduction of indoles.

Scheme 13 Asymmetric organocatalytic transfer hydrogenation of 1,10-phenantrolines.

Scheme 14 Synthesis of benzodiazepinones *via* organocatalytic hydrogenation.

In 2008, Metallinos *et al.* described the first asymmetric organocatalytic transfer hydrogenation of 2- and 2,9-substituted 1,10-phenantrolines **50** (Scheme 13).³² They applied “Rueping's conditions”; using up to 10 mol% of the phosphoric acid catalyst **1d** and **1f** and Hantzsch ester **6** (6 eq.) and obtained octahydrophenantrolines **51** in 40–88% yield and good to excellent enantioselectivities (78–99% ee).

Rueping and co-workers recently reported the highly enantioselective synthesis of benzodiazepinones *via* organocatalytic transfer hydrogenation.³³ A one-pot procedure involving the *in situ* generation of benzodiazepin-2-ones **52** and subsequent hydrogenation furnished benzodiazepinones **53** in moderate to high yields and excellent enantioselectivities (83–99% ee, Scheme 14).

Gong and co-workers described a dynamic kinetic transfer hydrogenation of 2-methyl-2,4-diaryl-2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]diazepines with **8** using the 3,3'-phenyl-substituted BINOL-derived phosphoric acid catalyst.³⁴ Using 10 mol% of the catalyst at –10 °C, optically active 1,3-diamines were isolated with high yields and moderate to good diastereoselectivities (2:1 to 8:1; *syn* is the major isomer) and good enantioselectivities (63–86% ee).

Direct reductive amination

The direct reductive amination is a biomimetic reaction that allows the asymmetric coupling of complex fragments

Scheme 15 MacMillan's organocatalytic direct reductive amination.

containing a ketone functionality into amines by the use of a chiral hydrogen-bonding catalyst and a hydrogen donor. Direct reductive amination enables access to enantio-enriched amines without the isolation of the imine substrates. This is a great advantage in the case of imines that are too unstable to be isolated.

The first enantioselective organocatalytic direct reductive amination was described by MacMillan and co-workers in 2006 (Scheme 15).³⁵ They were able to achieve the reductive amination of aromatic and aliphatic ketones with various aromatic and heteroaromatic amines. Exposing ketone **54** and amine **55** to 10 mol% of the chiral catalyst **1e**, in the presence of molecular sieves, resulted in the formation of an intermediate iminium species that in the presence of a suitable Hantzsch ester underwent enantioselective hydride reduction with excellent enantioselectivities and moderate to good yields. Based on the X-ray structure of the catalyst, as well as on calculated and experimental data, the authors concluded that the catalyst is particularly selective for the reduction of iminium ions derived from methyl ketones. Ethyl ketones reacted substantially slower. Remarkably, aliphatic methyl ketones could also be reductively aminated with a variety of anilines with ee's between 83–94%. List and co-workers described the asymmetric Brønsted acid catalyzed reductive amination of ketones using benzylamine. With the use of 5 mol% of (**S**)-**1c** moderate to good yields and enantioselectivities were obtained with four different substrates (26–88% ee).³⁶ Reactions were slow and took 7 days.

The scope of the organocatalytic direct reductive amination was extended by List and co-workers to α -branched aldehydes³⁷ and ketones (Schemes 16 and 17).³⁸ Enolizable α -branched aldehydes were subjected to direct reductive amination to give β -branched amines *via* an enantiomer-differentiating kinetic resolution (DKR).

Under reductive amination conditions the α -branched aldehyde **57** undergoes a fast racemization in the presence of the amine and an acid catalyst *via* an imine/enamine tautomerization. The reductive amination of one of the two imine enantiomers is faster than that of the other, resulting in an enantiomerically enriched product *via* a dynamic kinetic resolution (DKR).

Using 5 mol% of catalyst **1b** and Hantzsch ester **7** as a hydrogen source different aryl, alkyl-substituted aldehydes were reduced to β -branched amines **58** with up to 98% ee and high yields. Aliphatic aldehydes can also be employed although with lower enantioselectivity.

This approach was extended to the first example of the direct catalytic asymmetric reductive amination of α -branched ketones using DKR (Scheme 17).³⁸ Treating cyclohexanones **59** with para-anisidine **60**, Hantzsch ester **6** and Brønsted acid

Scheme 16 Organocatalytic reductive amination of α -branched aldehydes by DKR.

Scheme 17 Organocatalytic reductive amination of α -branched ketones by DKR.

1d provided 2-alkyl, 2-aryl and 2-chloro-substituted *N*-PMP-protected cyclohexylamines **61** in good to excellent diastereo- and high enantioselectivity. The catalyst loading used depended on the steric demand of the substituent (1–10 mol%). Ketones with different ring sizes gave less successful results. Whereas 2-substituted cyclopentanone underwent reductive amination with lower selectivity, cycloheptanone did not undergo reductive amination. This approach was successfully used for the preparation of a key intermediate for the synthesis of Perindopril, an ACE inhibitor.³⁹

Enders and co-workers recently reported the organocatalytic asymmetric synthesis of *trans*-1,3-disubstituted tetrahydro-isoquinolines *via* a reductive amination/aza-Michael reaction sequence (Scheme 18).⁴⁰ When methylketone enoates **62** and **64** were subjected to the reductive amination conditions using 10 mol% of the Brønsted acid **1b** and a hydride source (Hantzsch ester or benzothiazole) in the

Scheme 18 Synthesis of *trans*-1,3-disubstituted tetrahydroisoquinolines via a reductive amination/aza-Michael reaction sequence.

presence of *p*-anisidine and molecular sieves, the amines **63** and **65** were obtained with high yields and enantioselectivities. Use of the benzothiazole reductant **66** resulted in higher yields and enantioselectivities when compared with the use of the Hantzsch ester. Treating the resulting amines with strong bases induced the cyclization reaction, resulting in the *trans*-diastereomer of the tetrahydro-isoquinoline product in good yields. Starting from an indole-derived keto enoate **64** the corresponding *trans*-disubstituted β -carboline **65** was obtained in excellent yield and stereoselectivity.

Recently Akiyama *et al.* reported the use of BINOL-derived phosphoroselenoic acids as organocatalysts in the imine hydrogenation and direct reductive amination of acetophenone, with up to 62% ee.⁴¹ The first nucleotide-catalyzed reductive amination of acetophenone, using the Hantzsch ester as the hydrogen donor, was described by Kumar and co-workers. With the use of up to 5 mol% of catalyst, they were able to obtain the products in good yields with up to 79% ee.⁴²

Domino reactions

Over the last few years, organocatalytic domino reactions attracted significant attention.⁴³ In nature, enzymatic multi-step sequences often take the form of domino and multi-component reactions. As the advantage of the formation of several bonds in a single reaction is evident, many groups have pursued organocatalytic domino reactions. In particular, amines and their salts trigger cascade reactions via enamine and/or iminium ion formation. The first example of an enantioselective phosphoric acid catalyzed domino reaction was the enantioselective cascade transfer hydrogenation of quinolines established by Rueping *et al.* mentioned earlier.²⁰

In 2007, List *et al.* reported a domino reaction involving a Brønsted acid catalyzed transfer hydrogenation (Scheme 19).⁴⁴ The authors combined enamine and iminium catalysis with asymmetric Brønsted catalysis in order to obtain pharmaceutically relevant *cis*-1,3-substituted cyclohexylamines **68** in high diastereo- and enantioselectivities, starting from linear

Scheme 19 Preparation of cyclohexylamines via a cascade reaction.

diketones **67**. The reaction proceeds via an intramolecular aldol condensation followed by a conjugate reduction and reductive amination cascade that is catalyzed by a Brønsted acid **1b** and accelerated by the amine substrate. The authors proposed a catalytic cycle that was recently verified by mass spectrometry.⁴⁵ The initial aldolization step involves formation of the enamine **A**, which reacts intramolecularly to form the iminium salt **B**. **B** undergoes conjugate reduction to **C** and a final reduction thereof furnishes the cyclohexylamine product **68**.

Rueping and co-workers developed an asymmetric organo-catalytic cascade reaction in which multiple steps are catalyzed by a chiral Brønsted acid catalyst **1c** and which provides tetrahydropyridines and aza-decalinones with good yields and excellent enantioselectivities (Scheme 20). The sequence comprises a one-pot Michael addition–isomerization–cyclization–elimination–isomerization–transfer hydrogenation in which each step is catalyzed by the same chiral Brønsted acid.⁴⁶ The authors propose a mechanism in which exposure of a mixture of enamine **69** and vinyl ketone **70** to catalytic amounts of the Brønsted acid leads to the formation of the corresponding 1,4-addition products **72** and **73**. Subsequent Brønsted acid catalyzed cyclization of **72**, which is in an acid-catalyzed equilibrium with **73**, gives the hemiaminal **74** which upon rapid water elimination results in the formation of the dihydropyridine **75**. The following Brønsted acid catalyzed protonation isomerizes the enamine to the iminium ion **76**, which is activated for an enantioselective hydride transfer to give the desired product. The requirement is that the last Brønsted acid catalyzed step in the sequence proceeds with high enantiocontrol. This domino reaction consists of six reaction steps catalyzed by the same catalyst which represents a direct and efficient access to useful tetrahydropyridines and azadecalinones from simple and readily available starting materials with the highest levels of enantiocontrol.

Scheme 20 Mechanism of Rueping's Brønsted acid catalyzed domino reaction sequence.

The concept of combining metal catalyzed reactions with organocatalysis has emerged as a promising field since it enables transformations that previously could not be achieved.⁴⁷ The challenge is to find reaction conditions suitable for the optimal performance of both metal-catalyzed and organocatalytic steps in one pot. A hydroamination/transfer hydrogenation cascade reaction reported by Gong and co-workers involved conversion of 2-(2-propynyl)aniline derivatives to tetrahydroquinolines (Scheme 21).⁴⁸ The reaction is initiated by a gold catalyzed hydroamination-cyclization to quinoline, which is then hydrogenated with the use of Brønsted acid catalyst **1d** to give the tetrahydroquinolines **81** with excellent yield and enantioselectivities. Catalysts tolerated both aryl and alkyl substituents on the propynyl bond, with the alkyl substituents leading to somewhat lower ee's (87–88% ee compared to 94–99% in case of aryl substituents).

Scheme 21 Asymmetric synthesis of tetrahydroquinolines via an intramolecular hydroamination/asymmetric transfer hydrogenation domino reaction.

Scheme 22 Asymmetric synthesis of *N*-aryl imines via an intermolecular hydroamination/asymmetric transfer hydrogenation domino reaction.

The silver salt of the Brønsted acid was also prepared and tested, but its use led to poor reactivity (35% yield, 90% ee, 80 h). The authors therefore concluded that the stereochemistry was controlled by the phosphoric acid. This method is nicely complimentary to the direct reduction of 2-substituted quinolines as described above in view of the different starting materials.

Chen independently reported a highly enantioselective synthesis of chiral secondary amines by gold/chiral Brønsted acid catalyzed tandem intermolecular hydroamination and transfer hydrogenation reactions of alkynes **83**, in good to excellent yields and excellent enantioselectivities (Scheme 22).⁴⁹

The authors proposed a mechanism which involves the gold(I)-catalyzed intramolecular hydroamination of the alkyne to generate the ketimine intermediate and the subsequent chiral phosphoric acid catalyzed transfer hydrogenation thereof. The authors revealed that in the presence of Au^{I} the hydroamination reaction proceeds smoothly, whereas in the presence of only the Brønsted acid the hydroamination is completely inhibited. Although Au^{I} complexes can catalyze the transfer hydrogenation of imines, the transfer hydrogenation only takes place if the Brønsted acid is present. Control experiments showed that upon treatment of the gold catalyst with the chiral gold phosphate, similar reactivity and enantioselectivities were observed. Thus the authors claim that there is a possible exchange of the metal counter ion, leading to the formation of a Au^{I} complex cation/chiral counter ion pair which carries out the subsequent reaction. Comparing this method to the reduction of the preformed imine or the direct reductive amination the only disadvantage is the accessibility of starting alkynes. Whereas the substituted acetophenones are readily available, the aromatic alkynes need to be prepared via a Sonogashira reaction.

Conclusions

The field of organocatalytic transfer hydrogenation has been developing at an extraordinary pace over the last decade. Although turnover frequencies of the organocatalytic imine hydrogenations are still lower than those of metal-catalyzed

hydrogenations, there are several advantages. Mild, environmentally friendly conditions such as the avoidance of the use of high pressure hydrogenation conditions make this method synthetically useful. The challenges, such as the issue of the catalyst recycling, lowering the amount of catalyst, the reduction of reaction times and the complexity of the catalyst synthesis, remain to be overcome to allow large-scale application.

Notes and references

- (a) B. R. Buckley, *Annu. Rep. Prog. Chem., Sect. B*, 2009, **105**, 113; (b) M. Bella and T. Gasperi, *Synthesis*, 2009, 1583; (c) K. A. Jørgensen and S. Bertelsen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 2178; (d) D. W. C. MacMillan, *Nature*, 2008, **455**, 304; (e) S. V. Ley, in *Asymmetric Synthesis*, ed. M. Christmann and S. Braese, 2nd edn., 2008, p. 211; (f) A. Dondoni and A. Massi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 4638; (g) P. Melchiorre, M. Marigo, A. Carlone and G. Bartoli, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 6138; (h) M. J. Gaunt, C. C. C. Johansson, A. McNally and N. T. Vo, *Drug Discovery Today*, 2007, **12**, 8; (i) A. Berkessel and H. Gröger, *Asymmetric Organocatalysis*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2007; (j) H. Pellissier, *Tetrahedron*, 2007, **63**, 9267; (k) P. I. Dalko and L. Moisan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 5138; (l) P. I. Dalko and L. Moisan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 3726.
- H.-U. Blaser, B. Pugin, F. Spindler and M. Thommen, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2007, **40**, 1240.
- (a) H. Wynberg and R. Helder, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1975, **16**, 4057; (b) H. Pracejus, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1960, **634**, 9; (c) R. Wegler, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1932, **498**, 62; (d) G. Bredig and P. S. Fiske, *Biochem. Z.*, 1912, **46**, 7; (e) G. Bredig and K. Fajans, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.*, 1908, **41**, 752.
- H. Adolfsson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 3340.
- S. Singh and U. K. Batra, *Indian J. Chem., Sect. B: Org. Chem. Incl. Med. Chem.*, 1989, **28**, 1.
- (a) S. G. Ouellet, A. Walji and D. W. C. M. Macmillan, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2007, **40**, 1327; (b) S. Connon, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2007, **5**, 3407; (c) S.-L. You, *Chem.-Asian J.*, 2007, **2**, 820; (d) A. Hantzsch, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1882, **215**, 1.
- (a) J. Seayad and B. List, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2005, **3**, 719; (b) C. Bolm, T. Rantanen, I. Schiffrs and L. Zani, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 1758; (c) P. M. Pihko, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 2062; (d) P. R. Schreiner, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2003, **32**, 289.
- M. Rueping, E. Sugiono and F. R. Schoepke, *Synlett*, 2010, 852.
- (a) M. Rueping, E. Sugiono, C. Azap and T. Theissmann, in *Catalysis for Fine Chemical Synthesis*, ed. S. M. Roberts and J. Whittall, Wiley & Sons, New York, 2007, vol. 5, p. 162; (b) M. Rueping, E. Sugiono, C. Azap, T. Theissmann and M. Bolte, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, **7**, 3781; For the DFT studies on the mode of action of diarylphosphoric acid organocatalysts in the transfer hydrogenation of ketimines using Hantzsch esters see; (c) T. Marcelli, P. Hammar and F. Himo, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2008, **14**, 8562; (d) L. Simón and J. M. Goodman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 8741.
- (a) M. Terada, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2010, **83**, 101; (b) D. Kampen, C. M. Reisinger and B. List, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2009, **291**, 395; (c) T. Akiyama, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 5744; (d) M. S. Taylor and E. N. Jacobsen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 1520; (e) T. Akiyama, J. Itoh and K. Fuchibe, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2006, **348**, 999; (f) T. Akiyama, J. Itoh, K. Yokota and K. Fuchibe, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 1566; (g) D. Uraguchi and M. Terada, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 5356.
- S. Hoffmann, A. M. Seayad and B. List, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 7424.
- (a) M. J. O'Donnell, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2004, **37**, 506; (b) B. Lygo and B. I. Andrews, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2004, **37**, 518; (c) H. Gröger, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **103**, 2795; (d) K. Maruoka and T. Ooi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **103**, 3013; (e) J.-A. Ma, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, **42**, 4290; (f) *Synthesis of Optically Active Amino Acids*, ed. R. M. Williams, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1989; (g) C. N. Drey, in *Chemistry and Biochemistry of the Amino Acids*, ed. G. C. Barrett, Chapman and Hall, New York, 1985, p. 25; (h) A. F. Spatola, in *Chemistry and Biochemistry of Amino Acids, Peptides and Proteins*, Weinstein, B. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1983, vol. 7, p. 331.
- G. Li, Y. Liang and J. C. Antilla, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 5830.
- Q. Kang, Z.-A. Zhao and S.-L. You, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2007, **349**, 1657; Corrigendum: *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2007, **349**, 2075.
- G. Li and J. C. Antilla, *Org. Lett.*, 2009, **11**, 1075.
- Q. Kang, Z.-A. Zhao and S.-L. You, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 2031.
- C. Zhu and T. Akiyama, *Org. Lett.*, 2009, **11**, 4180.
- C. Zhu and T. Akiyama, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2010, **352**, 1846.
- T. B. Nguyen, H. Bosserouel, Q. Wang and F. Guéritte, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 4705.
- M. Rueping, A. P. Antonchick and T. Theissmann, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 3683.
- M. Rueping, F. Tato and F. Schoepke, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 2688.
- M. Rueping and A. P. Antonchick, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 4562.
- M. Rueping, A. P. Antonchick and T. Theissmann, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 6751.
- (a) *Comprehensive Natural Products Chemistry*, ed. D. H. Barton, K. Nakanishi and O. Meth-Cohn, Elsevier, Oxford, 1999, vol. 1–9; (b) A. R. Katritzky, S. Rachwal and B. Rachwal, *Tetrahedron*, 1996, **52**, 15031.
- M. Rueping and T. Theissmann, *Chem. Sci.*, 2010, **1**, 473.
- M. Rueping, T. Theissmann, S. Raja and J. W. Bats, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2008, **350**, 1001.
- M. Rueping, M. Stoeckel, E. Sugiono and T. Theissmann, *Tetrahedron*, 2010, **66**, 6565.
- Q.-S. Guo, A.-M. Du and J. Xu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 759.
- The use of 0.01 mol% of catalyst in the reduction of benzoxazines resulted in a 3–6% loss in enantioselectivity.
- M. Rueping, C. Brinkmann, A. P. Antonchick and I. Atodiresei, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 4604.
- M. Rueping, E. Sugiono, A. Steck and T. Theissmann, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2010, **352**, 281.
- C. Metallinos, F. B. Barrett and S. Xu, *Synlett*, 2008, 720.
- M. Rueping, E. Merino and R. M. Koenigs, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2010, **352**, 2629.
- Z.-Y. Han, H. Xiao and L.-Z. Gong, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2009, **19**, 3729.
- R. I. Storer, D. E. Carrera, Y. Ni and D. W. C. Macmillan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 84.
- V. N. Wackchaure, M. Nicoletti, L. Ratjen and B. List, *Synlett*, 2010, 2708.
- (a) S. Hoffmann, M. Nicoletti and B. List, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 13074; for the computational studies on the origin of enantioselection in the reductive amination of α -branched aldehydes see: (b) T. Marcelli, P. Hammar and F. Himo, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2009, **351**, 525.
- V. N. Wackchaure, J. Zhou, S. Hoffmann and B. List, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 4612.
- G. Remond, M. Laubie and M. Vincent, EP0049658 to Science Union et Cie Société Française de Recherche Medical, 1982.
- D. Enders, J. X. Liebich and G. Raabe, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 9763.
- T. Murai, M. Monzaki, T. Katoh, T. Suzuki and T. Akiyama, *Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.*, 2010, **185**, 964.
- A. Kumar, S. Sharma and R. A. Maurya, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2010, **352**, 2227.
- (a) *Domino Reactions in Organic Synthesis*, ed. L. F. Tietze, G. Brasche and K. Gericke, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2007; (b) D. Enders, C. Grondal and M. R. M. Hüttl, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 1570; (c) L. F. Tietze, *Chem. Rev.*, 1996, **96**, 115.
- (a) J. Zhou and B. List, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 7498; (b) J. Zhou and B. List, *Synlett*, 2007, 2037.
- W. Schrader, P. P. Handayani, J. Zhou and B. List, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 1463.
- M. Rueping and A. P. Antonchick, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 5836.
- (a) A. S. Hashmi and C. Hubbert, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 1010; (b) M. Rueping, R. M. Koenigs and I. Atodiresei, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 9350; (c) C. Zhong and X. Shi, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, 2999; (d) Z. Shao and H. Zhang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 2745; (e) Y. J. Park, J.-W. Park and C.-H. Jun, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2008, **41**, 222.
- Z.-Y. Han, H. Xiao, X.-H. Chen and L.-Z. Gong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 9182.
- X.-Y. Liu and C.-M. Che, *Org. Lett.*, 2009, **11**, 4204.