University of Groningen

Benzyllanthanide Chemistry

Harder, Sjoerd

Published in:
Zeitschrift für Anorganische und Allgemeine Chemie

DOI:
[10.1002/zaac.201000189](https://doi.org/10.1002/zaac.201000189)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2010

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Harder, S. (2010). Benzyllanthanide Chemistry: Revival of Manzer's ortho-Me₂N-Benzyl Ligand. *Zeitschrift für Anorganische und Allgemeine Chemie*, 636(12), 2205-2211. <https://doi.org/10.1002/zaac.201000189>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Benzyllanthanide Chemistry: Revival of Manzer's *ortho*-Me₂N-Benzyl Ligand

Sjoerd Harder*[a]

Keywords: Rare earths; Benzyl ligand; Synthetic methods; Half sandwich complexes; Organometallic chemistry

Abstract. The Manzer benzyl ligand, *ortho*-Me₂N-benzyl (DMA), has been introduced in lanthanide (*Ln*) chemistry more than three decades ago with the syntheses of Sc(DMA)₃ and Er(DMA)₃ but no reaction chemistry or structures have been described. Only recently it was shown that a *Ln*(DMA)₃ complex can also be obtained for the largest *Ln* metal lanthanum and first crystal structures appeared. At present crystal structures of many *Ln*(DMA)₃ complexes throughout the series have been determined and were found to be isomorphous. This reports describes the improvement of synthetic methods for *Ln*(DMA)₃ complexes, a discussion on structures and trends and more important, the wide scope of *Ln*(DMA)₃ reagents as versatile building-blocks in lan-

thanide chemistry: the fast-growing number of complexes and catalysts that have been made starting from a *Ln*(DMA)₃ reagent are summarized. Despite the intramolecular chelating Me₂N arm, half-sandwich catalysts containing the DMA fragment are still active in alkene polymerizations or other catalytic conversions. The main advantages of *Ln*(DMA)₃ complexes are: i) easy syntheses from commercially available starting materials, ii) convenient crystallization which warrants high purity, iii) high stability on account of intramolecular coordination while sufficient reactivity for further conversions is maintained and iv) availability of these reagents over the full *Ln* range which is advantageous for catalyst screening.

Introduction

The benzyl ligand plays an important role throughout organometallic chemistry. It is popular in early main group chemistry as a resonance-stabilized carbanion. Charge delocalization reduces extreme reactivity and facilitates handling to a level that is sufficient for most applications. The benzyl ligand is similarly popular in transition metal chemistry. As it does not have any β -hydrogen atoms, it prevents decomposition by β -hydrogen elimination. Manzer et al. introduced the benzyl ligand in organolanthanide chemistry (for convenience we ab-

breviate “lanthanide” with *Ln* and define this group as the elements Ce–Lu and include the group 3 metals Sc, Y and La) [1–4]. They used a ligand with a “built-in” chelating Me₂N group that insures additional stabilization (1). Thus the complexes Sc(DMA)₃ and Er(DMA)₃ have been prepared already at an early stage in the development of organolanthanide chemistry (DMA = *o*-Me₂N-benzyl).

Considering their ease of preparation from commercially available precursors, it is surprising that this chemistry never achieved much further attention. In this respect, it should be noted that the most common, highly reactive, organolanthanide precursors were based on the (Me₃Si)₂CH and (Me₃Si)CH₂ ligands. Homoleptic complexes with these ligands are not always available for the larger lanthanide metals and generally show high air and thermal sensitivity [5–8]. In 2003, the synthesis of Nd(DMA)₃ appeared in a patent [9], but up to then

* Prof. Dr. S. Harder
Fax: +31-50-3634296
E-Mail: s.harder@rug.nl

[a] Stratingh Institute for Chemistry
Nijenborgh 4
9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands

Sjoerd Harder, born in The Netherlands, obtained his Ph.D. degree in experimental organolithium chemistry under the supervision of Lambert Brandsma (1990, Utrecht) and was awarded the H.J. Backer prize in organic chemistry for this contribution. After post-doc work in theoretical organic chemistry with Paul von Ragué Schleyer (1991, Erlangen) he moved to the group of Andrew Streitwieser (1992, Berkeley) to work on superbases. His third post-doc stay in the group of Hans Brintzinger (1993, Konstanz) was extended and he received his habilitation on investigations of group 1 sandwich complexes (lithocene, sodocene and cesocene) in 1998.

He intensified his work on the heavier group 2 metals (Ca, Sr, Ba) and cooperated in a BASF project on highly reactive alkaline-earth metal benzyl complexes for styrene polymerization. In 2004 he became a professor of Inorganic Chemistry at the University of Duisburg-Essen. Since 2010 he holds the chair of inorganic chemistry at the University of Groningen. His current research interests include: catalysis with early main group organometallics, hydrogen elimination in metal amidoborane and hydride complexes, multi-metallic catalysts, lanthanide chemistry (catalysis and luminescence) and Cp^{BIG} chemistry, i.e. chemistry with superbulky cyclopentadienyl ligands.

no crystal structure data of any $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ complex was available. In 2005 we showed that the early work of *Manzer* could be applied to the largest of all lanthanide metals and reported the synthesis and crystal structure of $\text{La}(\text{DMA})_3$ [10]. The same paper describes also synthesis and structure of $\text{Y}(\text{DMA})_3$, a complex with one of the smallest lanthanide metals. As both crystallize isomorphous, it was anticipated that these complexes would be easily available over the whole lanthanide range.

It was questioned whether the Ln metals in these $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ complexes, which are stabilized by intramolecular coordination, would still be accessible for further reactivity. In addition, functionalization by ligand deprotonation would form an amine by-product (DMA-H) which possibly could coordinate or disturb further reactivity. However, it could be shown that the least reactive $\text{Y}(\text{DMA})_3$ complex can be easily converted to a constrained geometry catalyst which under hydrogen pressure reacted further to a dimeric hydride complex that does not contain the original DMA ligand or any of the amine side-product DMA-H (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1.

Despite the unproblematic functionalization of $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ complexes, benzylanthanide complexes that do not contain intramolecularly chelating side-arms (**2**) were introduced and were prepared according to the same synthetic route, i.e. reaction of

benzylpotassium precursor with a lanthanide(III) halide salt. *Hessen et al.* and our group reported syntheses and crystal structures of the following compounds: $\text{La}(\text{benzyl})_3$ [11], $\text{La}(\text{para-Me-benzyl})_3$ [11], $\text{La}(\text{para-}t\text{Bu-benzyl})_3$ [12] and $\text{Y}(\text{benzyl})_3$ [12]. All crystallize as THF adducts of general formula $\text{Ln}(\text{Bn})_3 \cdot (\text{THF})_3$ with distorted octahedral metal coordination environments. The three benzyl ligands, as well as the three THF ligands, are in a facial arrangement. It is not clear why the negatively charged benzyl ligands are in close proximity.

Five years after the report on the syntheses and structures of $\text{La}(\text{DMA})_3$ and $\text{Y}(\text{DMA})_3$ [10], many intermediate members in the $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ family have been prepared and were shown to form isomorphous crystals [12–14]. Although this series of complexes is not as reactive as the unstabilized $\text{Ln}(\text{Bn})_3$ complexes, they are reactive enough for further functionalization (*vide infra*). There seems to be a good compromise between stability (ease of handling) and reactivity (functionalization/catalysis). This manuscript gives a short overview on the syntheses, structures, trends, reactivity and use of $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ complexes.

Results and Discussion

Syntheses

Complexes $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ can be obtained by simple metathesis between LnCl_3 and three equivalents of the alkali metal salt of the DMA anion. *Manzer's* original synthetic procedures for $\text{Sc}(\text{DMA})_3$ and $\text{Er}(\text{DMA})_3$ made use of $\text{Li}(\text{DMA})$ and complexes could be obtained in yields of 81 % and 44 %, respectively [2, 4]. Likewise, $\text{Nd}(\text{DMA})_3$ was obtained by metathesis with $\text{Li}(\text{DMA})$ in 40 % yield [9].

The use of lithium reagents generates LiCl which could complicate isolation of the product. First of all, LiCl dissolves well in organic solvents, especially in the presence of polar ethereal cosolvents like Et_2O and THF. As is often seen in lanthanide chemistry [15–18], reaction of a LnCl_3 with an organolithium reagent RLi can result in formation of “ate” complexes like $[\text{LnR}_3\text{Cl}_x]^{x-}[\text{Li}^+]_x$ or $[\text{LnR}_4]^{x-}[\text{Li}^+]_x$. This is especially a problem for large Ln metals that possess considerably sized coordination spheres. For this reason we chose to use the potassium reagent $\text{K}(\text{DMA})$ which would produce the much less soluble KCl and avoid contamination of the product with alkali metal salts. Reaction of the appropriate LnCl_3 with three equivalents of $\text{K}(\text{DMA})$ gave $\text{Y}(\text{DMA})_3$ and $\text{La}(\text{DMA})_3$ in crystallized yields of 59 % and 41 %, respectively (the yields of the raw products were 92 % and 85 %, respectively) [10]. Complexes with other Ln metals like Yb , Tm , Sm , Nd , Dy , Ho were made by the same procedure and have been isolated in crystalline purity in yields between 21 % and 40 % (these yields are based on unoptimized small scale synthetic procedures, < 1 mmol) [12, 19].

Hou et al. published a modified procedure for the synthesis of $\text{La}(\text{DMA})_3$ in which LaBr_3 is used instead of LaCl_3 . This increased the crystalline yield from 41 % up to 87 % and gave good yields for other metals like praseodymium and neodymium (92 % and 83 %, respectively) [14]. It was further found

that reaction of LnCl_3 precursors with $\text{Li}(\text{DMA})$ also gave satisfactory crystalline yields for the metals yttrium (75 %), samarium (92 %), gadolinium (85 %), and lutetium (77 %) [14]. Additionally, Xie et al. reported that $\text{Y}(\text{DMA})_3$ and $\text{Er}(\text{DMA})_3$ were prepared from LnCl_3 and $\text{Li}(\text{DMA})$ in yields of 81 % and 55 %, respectively [20]. It is therefore not a necessity to use $\text{K}(\text{DMA})$; $\text{Li}(\text{DMA})$ conveniently can be used instead. Apparently formation of “ate” complexes is not an issue for $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ complexes. This is likely due to the three chelating Me₂N substituents, which block the metal for further interactions with halide ions. This is supported by crystal structures of these complexes (vide infra). The ease of crystallization of $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ facilitates the isolation of these complexes in high purity and is an added advantage to the use of this precursor. Despite these factors, in cases where it is crucial to obtain absolute halide free $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$, the use of $\text{K}(\text{DMA})$ is highly recommended.

Attempted synthesis of $\text{Eu}(\text{DMA})_3$ by the same established salt metathesis route, i.e. reaction of EuCl_3 with $\text{K}(\text{DMA})$, led to the formation of an orange-brown powder that is insoluble in common polar solvents [19]. As the mother liquor contained considerable quantities of the C–C coupled DMA–DMA, (*ortho*-Me₂N–C₆H₄CH₂)₂, it is presumed that oxidation of the DMA anion is accompanied by spontaneous $\text{Eu}^{\text{III}} \rightarrow \text{Eu}^{\text{II}}$ reduction. Considering the low reduction potential of -0.35 V ($E_{1/2} \text{ Eu}^{3+}/\text{Eu}^{2+}$ [22]), this is a likely process. The product of this reduction process, $\text{Eu}(\text{DMA})_2$, could be a coordination polymer on account of the much larger ionic radius for Eu^{2+} (1.20 Å, cf. Eu^{3+} 0.95 Å; both for hexacoordinate metal ions) [22].

Hitherto, $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ complexes of nearly all 17 lanthanide metals have been prepared by a very similar salt metathesis route. So far only cerium, radioactive promethium, terbium, and europium are missing. All complexes show excellent solubility in aromatic solvents and are remarkably stable. Toluene solutions of $\text{Y}(\text{DMA})_3$ that are kept at 20 °C show after one year only minor decomposition (< 5 %). Keeping a solution overnight at reflux temperature, also hardly gives any decomposition. This contrasts with the stability of the unchelated benzyllanthanide complexes: THF solutions of $\text{Ln}(\text{Bn})_3 \cdot (\text{THF})_3$ darken within hours at room temperature. The exact nature of this decomposition reaction has not been established but it has been proposed that carbene-like intermediates $(\text{Bn})\text{Ln}=\text{CHPh}$ are formed by α -elimination [23].

Structures and Trends

The hitherto structurally known $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ complexes crystallize isomorphous in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$. The molecular structures show a paddlewheel structure in which the metal has a distorted trigonal prismatic coordination arrangement (Figure 1). The three Me₂N substituents are not arranged in a facial manner, but surround the metal in a planar trigonal conformation. The potential threefold symmetry of the molecule is broken by “up-side-down” coordination of one of the chelating DMA[−] ligands. This asymmetry is likely caused by repulsion between the more sterically demanding Me₂N substituents.

Figure 1. Crystal structure of $\text{La}(\text{DMA})_3$. All other $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ structures are isomorphous and show slightly different $\text{Ln}-\text{C}_\alpha$ and $\text{Ln}-\text{N}$ bonds as well as a less pronounced side-on coordination of the aryl ring ($\text{Ln}-\text{C}_{\text{ipso}}$ and $\text{Ln}-\text{C}_{\text{ortho}}$).

The crystal structures also show that part of the aryl ring is coordinated to the Ln metal (C_{ipso} and C_{ortho}). This side-on benzyl– Ln interaction is especially important for the larger metals like lanthanum. The $\text{Ln}-\text{C}$ and $\text{Ln}-\text{N}$ bond lengths naturally increase with metal size; however, the increment in $\text{Ln}-\text{C}$ bond lengths is much steeper than that for the $\text{Ln}-\text{N}$ bond lengths (Figure 2). The 0.150(3) Å difference between the longest (La) and shortest (Yb) $\text{Ln}-\text{N}$ bonds agrees well with the difference of 0.164 Å in their ionic radii. The difference between their respective $\text{Ln}-\text{C}_\alpha$ bonds, 0.226(3) Å, is significantly larger. Additional lengthening of the $\text{Ln}-\text{C}$ bonds with metal size is explained by the increasing importance of benzyl side-on coordination. The graph in Figure 2 shows that the average $\text{Ln}\cdots\text{ring}$ contact (C_{ipso} , C_{ortho}) hardly increases with metal size (0.061(3) Å), i.e. this contact becomes more important for the larger metals.

Figure 2. Trends for the $\text{Ln}-\text{C}$, $\text{Ln}-\text{N}$ and $\text{Ln}\cdots\text{ring}$ contacts in the series of $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ complexes.

Typical for benzyl ligands in polar organometallic compounds is delocalization of the negative charge on the benzylic carbon into the aryl ring. The extent of delocalization is highly dependent on the nature of the metal. The importance of charge

delocalization decreases with increasing metal Lewis acidity. Small, highly charged, cations polarize the negative charge on the benzylic carbon atom. Much weaker Lewis acids, like the larger alkali metal ions, lead to extensive charge delocalization of which the extent can be qualitatively estimated by several structural or spectroscopic features. It is well-known that the $C_{\alpha}-C_{\text{ipso}}$ bond length decreases with increasing importance of delocalization [24]. This goes hand in hand with aryl ring distortions: the endocyclic angle at C_{ipso} is squeezed considerably. Although these features are also present in the structures of $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ complexes, the change in Lewis acidity from La^{3+} to Yb^{3+} is too small for a clear correlation between aryl ring distortion and metal size. In line with increased Lewis acidity (and decreased charge delocalization) the endocyclic $\text{C}-\text{C}-\text{C}$ angle increases from $115.0(2)^\circ$ (La) to $115.5(3)^\circ$ (Yb) and the $C_{\alpha}-C_{\text{ipso}}$ bond length increases from $1.432(4) \text{ \AA}$ (La) to $1.454(5) \text{ \AA}$ (Yb). However, with the relatively large standard deviations, differences are too small to be significant.

The extent of charge delocalization is also connected to the hybridization state of the benzylic carbon which can be evaluated by the sum of the valence angles at this atom and should be dependent on metal size (Scheme 2). As the hydrogen atom positions in the crystal structures of $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ could not always be reliably established, this parameter does not provide precise information on the hybridization of C_{α} . However, the $^1J_{\text{CH}}$ coupling constants at C_{α} correlate with its hybridization and some information could be obtained from these. In $\text{La}(\text{DMA})_3$ and $\text{Y}(\text{DMA})_3$, these coupling constants measure 142.8 Hz and 133.2 Hz, respectively [10]. Thus, the benzylic carbon in $\text{La}(\text{DMA})_3$ is somewhat less pyramidalized than that in $\text{Y}(\text{DMA})_3$. This is in agreement with more ionic bonding and more extensive charge delocalization in the lanthanum complex.

Scheme 2.

Another probe for charge delocalization is the ^1H NMR chemical shift for the ring proton in *para*-position of the benzylic carbon. The shift for this hydrogen atom is highly sensitive to the nature of the metal cation. Large, soft electropositive metal cations result in extensive charge delocalization and cause a significant high-field shift of this signal. For *ortho*- $\text{Me}_2\text{N}-a\text{-Me}_3\text{Si-benzylmetal}$ compounds the following shifts

were measured (ppm): 5.77 (K^+) [25, 6.29 (Li^+) 19, 6.33 (Ca^{2+}) 25, 6.36 (Yb^{2+}) 26]. Whereas the potassium complex shows an unusually low chemical shift for an aryl proton, the smaller Li^+ localizes the negative charge much more on the benzylic carbon. For the compound with the larger Ca^{2+} , the increased $2+$ charge counterbalances the size effect and a similar chemical shift is found as for the lithium compound. It is interesting to note that the Yb^{2+} and Ca^{2+} complexes show very similar chemical shifts. This is in line with their similar ion sizes ($1.02 \text{ \AA}$ vs. $1.00 \text{ \AA}$) [22]. Therefore, Yb^{II} complexes show very similar structures and properties as their analogous calcium compounds [26]. In the series of $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ complexes, we compared the chemical shifts of this *para*-hydrogen atom for the metals Y^{3+} and La^{3+} . The values of 6.66 (Y^{3+}) and 6.43 (La^{3+}) indicate that the latter is significantly more ionic (and reactive). Thus, the chemical shift for the *para*-hydrogen atom, as well as the $^1J_{\text{CH}}$ coupling constant, are much more sensitive probes for ionicity (charge delocalization) as structural distortions in the aryl ring.

Conversions and Applications

From the early work of Manzer on $\text{Sc}(\text{DMA})_3$ and $\text{Er}(\text{DMA})_3$ [1–4], it was not clear what the synthetic potential of these complexes is. The 2003 patent on the use of $\text{Nd}(\text{DMA})_3$ in butadiene polymerization also does not describe further reaction chemistry [9]. The $\text{Nd}(\text{DMA})_3$ itself is activated with non-hydrolytic methyl alumoxane (i.e. $\text{Me}_3\text{Al-poor PMAO-IP}$) and produces polybutadiene with a high *cis*-1,4-content [9]. Our earlier study described the reactivity of $\text{Y}(\text{DMA})_3$ with a combined fluorene-amine ligand and its subsequent conversion with hydrogen (Scheme 1). This demonstrates that the *Ln*-DMA functionality is highly reactive. Protection of the metal atom by intramolecular $\text{Me}_2\text{N-Ln}$ coordination apparently does not diminish its synthetic potential and even H_2 , with a pK_{a} value of circa 35 [27, 28], could be efficiently deprotonated. As yttrium is one of the smaller *Ln* metals, the remaining $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ complexes are expected to be even more reactive. Only $\text{Sc}(\text{DMA})_3$ might show lower reactivity.

Hou et al. showed that $\text{Sc}(\text{DMA})_3$ also efficiently reacts with a combined cyclopentadiene-amine ligand and a constrained geometry catalyst of type **5** (Scheme 3) could be isolated [14]. Access to $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ complexes over the full range of the *Ln* series enabled the syntheses of **5** with various metals (La, Pr, Nd, Sm, Gd, Lu, Y, and Sc). This series of catalysts was tested in the addition of the phosphane P-H bond to carbodiimides and allowed screening of the metal size: an increase in the size of the metal results in increased activity. The same type of catalysts has shown good activity in the cross-coupling of various alkynes with isocyanide and shows an unprecedented *Z*-selectivity [29]. Catalysts of type **5**, which contain the DMA ligand as the active part, showed the same activity as similar catalysts with CH_2SiMe_3 as the active group. This demonstrates that the activity of DMA-containing catalysts is not significantly affected by the initially chelating benzyl fragment.

Scheme 3.

The compound $\text{Sc}(\text{DMA})_3$ was also shown to react with differently substituted Cp'H compounds and is a convenient precursor for half-sandwich complexes of the type $\text{Cp}'\text{Sc}(\text{DMA})_2$ (**6**, Scheme 3) [30]. Although these complexes were prepared in THF, the products are THF-free on account of the chelating side-arms. These half-sandwich complexes were further activated by reaction with $\text{Ph}_3\text{C}^+/\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_4\text{B}^-$ and one of the products, $[(\text{Me}_2\text{Si})\text{Me}_4\text{Cp}]\text{Sc}(\text{DMA})^+/\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_4\text{B}^-$ (type **7**), could be characterized by X-ray diffraction [30]. It represents the first example of a cationic rare-earth metal species that is free of external Lewis bases. It was questioned whether intramolecular Me_2N coordination would inhibit its activity for olefin polymerization, however, **7** could initiate the polymerization of a variety of olefins such as ethylene, 1-hexene, styrene, norbornene (NB) and dicyclopentadiene (DCPD). More remarkably, it could copolymerize 1-hexene with NB or DCPD to give random copolymers. This demonstrates that the DMA ligand is an excellent scaffold for the stabilization of external-Lewis-base-free cationic Ln species. The coordinating side-arm does not hinder olefin coordination and polymerization and apparently walks away from the metal during the progress of the polymerization reaction. External THF ligands would continuously coordinate to the metal site and seriously hinder the polymerization reaction. Similar $\text{Cp}'\text{Sc}(\text{DMA})_2$ (**6**) complexes were used as catalysts for the carboalumination of alkoxy substituted alkynes or alkenes and display unique regio- and stereoselectivity [31].

Half-sandwich complexes could also be obtained by the *in situ* reaction of $\text{Sc}(\text{DMA})_3$ with a sterically hindered phosphole (**8**) [32]. The resulting compound, which could not be obtained by the alternative salt metathesis route, was activated with $\text{Ph}_3\text{C}^+/\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_4\text{B}^-$ and found to be an excellent catalyst for syndiospecific styrene polymerization. Reaction of various $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ complexes with a similar sterically hindered pyrrole gave the analogous complex (**9**), which is also active in syndiospecific styrene polymerization [33]. The availability of

a set of catalysts ($\text{Ln} = \text{Sc}, \text{Y}, \text{La}$) allowed for screening of the effect of metal size: activities increase with decreasing metal size along the row $\text{La} < \text{Y} < \text{Sc}$. Use of a less sterically hindered phosphole gave the η^1 edge-on coordinated complex **10** which is inactive in styrene polymerization [33]. This demonstrates the importance of η^5 face-on coordination of the ligand for catalyst activity.

Reaction of $\text{Y}(\text{DMA})_3$ with a sterically hindered amidine gave access to the amidinate complex **11** ($\text{Ln} = \text{Y}$) [34]. Once activated with $\text{Ph}_3\text{C}^+/\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_4\text{B}^-$, it polymerizes isoprene with high 3,4-regioselectivity and some isotacticity ($mm \approx 50\%$). Reaction of **11** with excess Me_3Al led to exchange of the DMA^- ions for Me_4Al^- ions. Interestingly, subsequent activation with $\text{Ph}_3\text{C}^+/\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_4\text{B}^-$ gave a switch in selectivity and largely 1,4-*cis*-polyisoprene was isolated (up to 98% selective) [34, 35]. The analogous scandium complex of **11** has been used for the synthesis of a cyclized polyisoprene [36].

Other conversions of $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ complexes include the reactions with an indene ligand that contains a chelating arm with the bulky anion cage 1,2-*closo*- $\text{B}_{10}\text{C}_2\text{H}_{10}$ (**12**, $\text{Ln} = \text{Sc}$ or Er) [21], with a partially hydrogenated fluorene ligand (**13**, $\text{Ln} = \text{Sc}$) [37] or with a cyclopentadiene ligand containing a pendant arm (**14**, $\text{Ln} = \text{Sc}$ or Y) [37]. Activation of the scandium complex **14** with MAO gives a catalyst that is active for ethylene/1-hexene copolymerization or for the polymerization of lactones. Activation of **14** with $\text{Al}(\text{iBu})_3$ and $\text{Ph}_3\text{C}^+/\text{C}_6\text{F}_5)_4\text{B}^-$ gives a catalyst for ethylene/styrene copolymerization (the ratio PE/PS can be effectively tuned by ethylene pressure). The latter catalyst also allows for the synthesis of PE/PS block-copolymers in which PS blocks have significant syndiotacticity [37].

Reaction of $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ with sulfur-bridged biphenol compounds gave complexes of type **15** ($\text{Ln} = \text{Sc}, \text{Y}$) [38]. Activation with MAO resulted in catalysts for the polymerization of ethylene or for copolymerization of ethylene and 1-hexene.

Reaction of $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ complexes with superbulky cyclopentadiene (Ar_5CpH , $\text{Ar} = 4\text{-}n\text{Bu-C}_6\text{H}_4$; abbreviated in here as

$\text{Cp}^{\text{BIG}}\text{H}$) was investigated [39]. For $\text{Ln} = \text{Y}$ the half-sandwich complex of type **6** was obtained: $\text{Cp}^{\text{BIG}}\text{Y}(\text{DMA})_2$. This demonstrates that the less reactive $\text{Y}(\text{DMA})_3$ efficiently deprotonates the superbuly $\text{Cp}^{\text{BIG}}\text{H}$ ligand. For $\text{Ln} = \text{Yb}$ and Sm , however, spontaneous reduction to the metallocene $(\text{Cp}^{\text{BIG}})_2\text{Ln}$ was observed [39]. As the reduction potential for samarium is highly negative ($E_{1/2} \text{ Sm}^{3+}/\text{Sm}^{2+} = -1.55 \text{ V}$) [22], this spontaneous metal reduction should be considered remarkable (especially when one considers that Cp^*_2Sm is oxidized by nitrogen [40]). The detection of large amounts of the C–C coupled DMA–DMA, (*ortho*- $\text{Me}_2\text{N}-\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{CH}_2$)₂, indicates that the mechanism of formation could be sterically induced (SIR) [41].

Conclusions

The early work of Manzer has produced $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ complexes of the metals scandium and erbium. This chemistry has been revived three decades later with the syntheses of the $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ complexes containing either one of the smallest Ln metals, yttrium, or the largest Ln metal lanthanum. The crystal structures of these two complexes are isomorphous and suggested that the whole series would crystallize similar. With few exceptions, $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ complexes over the whole metal size range were obtained: Sc, Y, La, Pr, Nd, Sm, Gd, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, and Lu. These complexes indeed all crystallize isomorphous. The DMA ligand represents the first (resonance-stabilized) alkyl system that allows access to highly reactive lanthanide precursors for the complete Ln series. Optimization of synthetic procedures has led to convenient methods for their syntheses from cheap commercially available starting materials. As all $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ complexes crystallize nicely in the form of large blocks, the purity of the final products is warranted.

The availability of $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ complexes over the whole series does not only allow for a discussion of trends in their molecular structures but also for screening of metal size effects in catalysis. All $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ complexes can be easily converted by reaction with mono-protic (LH) or diprotic (LH_2) ligands and various products $\text{LLn}(\text{DMA})_2$ or $\text{LLn}(\text{DMA})$ were routinely obtained. Bulky ligands do not pose a problem and dihydrogen can be deprotonated to give a $\text{Ln}-\text{H}$ functionality.

The catalytic behavior of the resulting complexes is not negatively influenced by the presence of the intramolecular chelating Me_2N arm. In contrast, this chelating substituent allows for isolation of compounds that are free of external Lewis bases like THF. In the time frame 2007–2010, DMA-containing complexes have emerged as efficient catalysts for the polymerization of a wide variety of monomers. The system is also increasingly popular for other catalytic conversions like the hydrophosphanation of carbodiimides, carboalumination of alkoxy substituted alkynes (or alkenes) and cross-coupling of various alkynes with isocyanides. The fast-growing number of patents which make use of $\text{Ln}(\text{DMA})_3$ precursors, shows that interest is not only academic. In conclusion, the Manzer ligand system has been shown to be very versatile in Ln chemistry and its rapid progress during the last few years promises an even brighter future.

Acknowledgement

S. Harder acknowledges the *Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft* for generous support within the framework of the high priority program SPP1166 “lanthanide-specific functionalities in molecule and material”.

References

- [1] L. E. Manzer, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1977**, *135*, C6–C9.
- [2] L. E. Manzer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978**, *100*, 8068–8073.
- [3] L. E. Manzer, *Inorg. Chem.* **1978**, *17*, 1552–1558.
- [4] L. E. Manzer, *Patent* US4057565, **1977**.
- [5] M. F. Lappert, R. Pearce, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1973**, 126–127.
- [6] S. Arndt, T. P. Spaniol, J. Okuda, *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, 896–898.
- [7] P. B. Hitchcock, M. F. Lappert, R. G. Smith, R. A. Bartlett, P. P. Power, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1988**, 1007–1009.
- [8] C. J. Schaverien, A. G. Orpen, *Inorg. Chem.* **1991**, *30*, 4968.
- [9] S. K.-H. Thiele, V. M. Monroy, D. R. Wilson, H. Stoye, *Patent* WO03033545, **2003**.
- [10] S. Harder, *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 373–379.
- [11] S. Bambirra, A. Meetsma, B. Hessen, *Organometallics* **2006**, *25*, 3454–3462.
- [12] S. Harder, C. Ruspice, N. Ní Bhriain, F. Berkermann, M. Schürmann, *Z. Naturforsch.* **2008**, *63b*, 267–274.
- [13] C. Ruspice, J. R. Moss, M. Schürmann, S. Harder, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 2121–2126.
- [14] W. X. Zhang, M. Nishiura, T. Mashiko, Z. Hou, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2008**, *14*, 2167–2179.
- [15] H. Schumann, J. Müller, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1978**, *17*, 276–277.
- [16] H. Schumann, J. Müller, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1981**, *20*, 120–121.
- [17] H. Schumann, J. Müller, N. Bruncks, H. Lauke, J. Pickardt, *Organometallics* **1984**, *3*, 69–74.
- [18] A. L. Wayda, W. J. Evans, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978**, *100*, 7119–7121.
- [19] S. Harder, unpublished results.
- [20] H. Shen, H.-S. Chan, Z. Xie, *Organometallics* **2008**, *27*, 5309–5316.
- [21] R. D. Shannon, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A* **1976**, *32*, 751–767.
- [22] L. R. Morss, *Chem. Rev.* **1976**, *76*, 827–841.
- [23] I. S. Guzman, N. N. Chigir, O. K. Sharaev, G. N. Bondarenko, E. I. Tinyakova, B. A. Dolgoplosk, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **1979**, *249*, 860–869.
- [24] D. Hoffmann, W. Bauer, F. Hampel, N. J. R. van Eikema Hommes, P. von Ragué Schleyer, P. Otto, U. Pieper, D. Stalke, D. S. Wright, R. Snaith, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 528–536.
- [25] S. Harder, F. Feil, A. Weeber, *Organometallics* **2001**, *20*, 1044–1046.
- [26] S. Harder, *Angew. Chem.* **2004**, *116*, 2768–2773; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 2714–2718.
- [27] E. Buncel, B. C. Menon, *Can. J. Chem.* **1976**, *54*, 3949–3954.
- [28] E. Buncel, B. C. Menon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1977**, *99*, 4457–4461.
- [29] W.-X. Zhang, M. Nishiura, Z. Hou, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 9700–9709.
- [30] X. Li, M. Nishiura, K. Mori, T. Mashiko, Z. Hou, *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 4137–4139.
- [31] M. Takimoto, S. Usami, Z. Hou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 18266–18268.
- [32] F. Jaroschik, T. Shima, X. Li, K. Mori, L. Ricard, X.-F. Le Goff, F. Nief, Z. Hou, *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 5654–5660.
- [33] M. Nishiura, T. Mashiko, Z. Hou, *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 2019–2021.
- [34] L. Zhang, M. Nishiura, M. Yuki, Y. Luo, Z. Hou, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 2642–2645.

- [35] L. S. Zhang, Z. M. Ko, *Patent* JP2008222791 **2008**.
 [36] K. Nishii, Y. Tsunogae, Z. Hou, *Patent* WO2009128545 **2009**.
 [37] T. Senda, H. Hanaoka, *Patent* WO2008004628 **2008**.
 [38] T. Senda, H. Hanaoka, *Patent* JP2008120733 **2008**.
 [39] C. Ruspic, J. R. Moss, M. Schürmann, S. Harder, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 2121–2127.
 [40] W. J. Evans, T. A. Ulibarri, J. W. Ziller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 6877–6879.
 [41] W. J. Evans, *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 3435–3449.

Received: April 29, 2010
 Published Online: June 28, 2010