University of Groningen

Limit to mass sensitivity of nanoresonators with random rough surfaces due to intrinsic sources and interactions with the surrounding gas

Palasantzas, G.

Published in:
Journal of Applied Physics

DOI:
[10.1063/1.2930997](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2930997)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2008

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Palasantzas, G. (2008). Limit to mass sensitivity of nanoresonators with random rough surfaces due to intrinsic sources and interactions with the surrounding gas. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 104(1), 016107-1 - 016107-3. [016107]. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2930997>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Limit to mass sensitivity of nanoresonators with random rough surfaces due to intrinsic sources and interactions with the surrounding gas

G. Palasantzas^{a)}*Zernike Institute of Advanced Materials, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands*

(Received 6 March 2008; accepted 19 March 2008; published online 9 July 2008)

We investigate initially the influence of thermomechanical and momentum exchange noise on the limit to mass sensitivity Δm of nanoresonators with random rough surfaces, which are characterized by the roughness amplitude w , the correlation length ξ , and the roughness exponent $0 < H < 1$. In fact, Δm increases with increasing roughness (decreasing H and/or increasing ratio w/ξ) if the quality factor associated with thermomechanical noise is larger than that due to momentum exchange noise. Finally, the influence of adsorption-desorption noise, which is also influenced by the surface morphology, is shown to play a minimal role in the presence of the other two noise sources. © 2008 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2930997]

Nanoelectromechanical systems (NEMSs) are class of devices, which combine the advantages of mechanical systems, e.g., applicability as sensor systems and robustness to electrical shocks, with the speed and large scale integration of silicon electronics.^{1–5} Moreover, nanomechanical structures provide extremely high resonance frequencies, minuscule active masses, and very small force constants. An additional important attribute is their relatively high quality factors Q ($\sim 10^3$ – 10^6).^{3–5} These functionalities translate into diverse possibilities for high mass sensitivity at high resonance frequencies. In general, the operation of resonant mass sensors is based on relating a frequency shift that is proportional to the inertial mass of deposited molecules.

The resonator sensitivity is determined by the effective vibratory mass of the resonator (which is determined by geometry, configuration, and material properties of the resonant structure) and the stability of the device resonance frequency.⁵ The frequency stability is governed by extrinsic processes (originating from the transducer and readout circuitry)^{6,7} and intrinsic processes fundamental to the nanomechanical resonator itself.^{3–5,8} The enhanced sensitivity that is attainable in NEMS,^{5,9} in combination with ultrasensitive transduction techniques,^{3–5,10} indicates that fundamental fluctuation processes are likely to determine their overall sensing performance.

Furthermore, studies of SiC/Si NEMS have shown that devices operational in the uhf/microwave regime had low surface roughness, while devices with rougher surfaces could not be operated higher than the VHF regime.¹¹ Also, studies of Si nanowires have shown the quality factor to decrease by an increment of the surface area to volume ratio.¹² Recently, random surface roughness was shown to affect the quality factor and the dynamic range of nanoresonators.¹³ As an overall outcome, we can state that the previous studies showed that surface effects play a dominant role in NEMS. These considerations motivate the present work to explore

how fluctuation processes impose ultimate limits on the sensitivity of nanosize inertial mass sensors by taking into account the morphology of their surfaces in the presence of thermomechanical and momentum exchange noise. Indeed, both types of noise lead to displacement fluctuations.

Thermomechanical noise arises from coupling between a mechanical resonator and its dissipative reservoir. This coupling damps the driven motion of the resonator and induces spatial fluctuations in the resonator's position peaking at the mechanical resonance frequencies.^{14,15} They could be a dominant source of frequency noise at a given mode of vibration, thereby setting the ultimate limits of detection for a dynamic micromechanical sensor.^{14,16} Notably, due to its small heat capacity, a nanoresonator can also be subject to large temperature fluctuations inducing frequency fluctuations since dimensions and material parameters depend on temperature.^{3,4} Furthermore, the resonator can undergo gas damping due to impingement and momentum exchange of gas molecules on its surface,^{3–5} as well as mass loading due to molecule adsorption-desorption.^{3–5,13}

For thermomechanical noise, the spectral density of frequency fluctuations is given by^{3–5} $S_\omega(\omega)_{\text{th}} = (\omega_o^5 K_B T / E_c Q_{\text{in}}^3) [(\omega^2 - \omega_o^2)^2 + (\omega\omega_o)^2 / Q_{\text{in}}^2]^{-1}$, where Q_{in} is the intrinsic quality factor of the resonator and $E_c = M_{\text{eff}} \omega_o^2 \langle u_c^2 \rangle$ is the maximum drive energy when the resonator is driven at a constant mean square amplitude $\langle u_c \rangle$ by a voltage-controlled oscillator.⁴ For momentum exchange noise, the noise spectral density is given by $S_\omega(\omega)_{m-e} = (\omega_o^5 K_B T / E_c Q_{\text{gas}}^3) [(\omega^2 - \omega_o^2)^2 + (\omega\omega_o)^2 / Q_{\text{gas}}^2]^{-1}$,^{3,6,11} assuming that the resonator operates with quality factor Q_{gas} in the molecular regime. This corresponds to the molecule mean free path $L_{\text{mph}} (= 0.23 K_B T / P d^2)$, for a dilute gas of pressure P assuming the molecules as hard spheres with diameter d ¹⁷ larger than the beam width w_b ($< 0.1L$ and L is the beam length) or, equivalently, large Knudsen numbers $K_n = L_{\text{mph}} / w_b > 10$.¹⁷ Moreover, we have $Q_{\text{gas}} = M_{\text{eff}} \omega_o \sqrt{K_B T / m (P A_{\text{rou}})^{-1}}$, where m is the molecule mass, M_{eff} is the effective resonator mass that oscillates, and A_{rou} is rough surface area of the resonator.¹³

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: g.palasantzas@rug.nl.

FIG. 1. (Color online) $\Delta m/\Delta m_{in}$ as a function of $Q_{in}/Q_{gas,f}$ for different roughness exponents H as indicated with $w=3$ nm and $\xi=60$ nm. The inset shows the influence of the roughness exponent H for three surfaces with the same w and ξ .

In the presence of both types of noise, the total quality factor Q is given by $1/Q = 1/Q_{in} + 1/Q_{gas,r}$ and the corresponding spectral density by $S_{\omega}(\omega) = (\omega_o^5 K_B T / E_c Q^3) [(\omega^2 - \omega_o^2)^2 + (\omega\omega_o)^2 / Q^2]^{-1}$. Therefore, the frequency fluctuations yield a frequency shift $\delta\omega$ and an associated limit to mass sensitivity Δm ,³⁻⁵ which are given by

$$\delta\omega = \int_{\omega_o - \pi\Delta f}^{\omega_o + \pi\Delta f} S_{\omega}(\omega) d\omega \text{ and } \Delta m \approx (2M_{eff}/\omega_o) \delta\omega, \quad (1)$$

where Δf is the measurement bandwidth. If we assume for the roughness profile a single valued random function $h(r)$ of the in-plane position $r=(x,y)$ and a Gaussian height distribution,¹⁸ the rough area is given by $A_{rou}/A_{flat} = R_{rou} = \int_0^{\infty} du (\sqrt{1+\rho^2 u}) e^{-u}$,¹⁹ where $\rho = \sqrt{\langle (\nabla h)^2 \rangle}$ is the average local surface slope or $\rho = (\int_0^{\infty} q^2 \langle |h(q)|^2 \rangle d^2 q)^{1/2}$,²⁰ and $A_{flat} = 2w_b L$ is the average flat surface area. $\langle |h(q)|^2 \rangle$ is the roughness spectrum and $Q_c = \pi/a_o$, where a_o is a lower lateral cutoff. In addition, by assuming $Q \gg 1$ and $\omega_o/\omega \gg 2\pi\Delta f$, Eq. (1) yields for Δm ,

$$\Delta m = \Delta m_{in} [1 + (Q_{in}/Q_{gas,f}) R_{rou}]^{1/2}, \quad (2)$$

where $\Delta m_{in} \approx 2M_{eff}(E_{th}/E_c)^{1/2}(\Delta f/\omega_o Q_{in})^{1/2}$ is the limit to mass sensitivity for flat surfaces for only thermomechanical noise⁵ and $Q_{gas,f} = M_{eff}\omega_o \sqrt{K_B T/m(PA_{flat})}^{-1}$.

Our calculations will be performed for random self-affine rough surfaces observed in a wide spectrum of surface engineering processes.¹⁸ In this case, $\langle |h(q)|^2 \rangle$ scales as $\langle |h(q)|^2 \rangle \propto q^{-2-2H}$ if $q\xi \gg 1$ and $\langle |h(q)|^2 \rangle \propto \text{const}$ if $q\xi \ll 1$.^{18,20,21} This is satisfied by the analytic model²¹ $\langle |h(q)|^2 \rangle = (2\pi w^2 \xi^2) / (1 + a q^2 \xi^2)^{(1+H)}$, where $a = (1/2H)[1 - (1 + a Q_c^2 \xi^2)^{-H}]$ if $0 < H < 1$ and $a = \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + a Q_c^2 \xi^2)$ if $H = 0$. Small values of H (~ 0) characterize jagged or irregular surfaces, while large values of H (~ 1) surfaces with smooth hills/valleys (see inset in Fig. 1).^{17,20} In addition, we obtain for the local slope the analytic expression $\rho = (w/\sqrt{2}\xi a)\{1 -$

FIG. 2. (Color online) $\Delta m/\Delta m_{in}$ as a function of $Q_{in}/Q_{gas,f}$ for different roughness amplitudes w as indicated with $\xi=60$ nm, and $H=0.5$. The inset shows $\Delta m/\Delta m_{in}$ as a function of $Q_{in}/Q_{gas,f}$ for different correlation lengths ξ as indicated with $H=0.5$ and $w=3$ nm.

$-H)^{-1}[(1 + a Q_c^2 \xi^2)^{1-H} - 1] - 2a\}^{1/2}$,¹⁹ which further facilitates calculations of Δm . For other roughness models, see Refs. 21 and 22.

Figure 1 shows calculations of Δm as a function of $Q_{in}/Q_{gas,f}$ for various roughness exponents H . Our calculations were performed for roughness amplitudes observed in real nanoresonator systems,¹¹ and $a_o=0.3$ nm. As indicated with decreasing quality ratio $Q_{in}/Q_{gas,f}$ (or increasing gas dissipation), the limit to mass sensitivity becomes more sensitive to roughness changes at short length scales as the top most curve indicates for $Q_{in}/Q_{gas,f}$. The latter is also directly shown in Fig. 2 for various lateral correlation lengths ξ and roughness amplitudes w . In the opposite limit for $Q_{in}/Q_{gas,f}$ (where the roughness influence is weak), by considering the asymptotic expansion $(1+y)^{1/2} \approx 1 + y/2 + \dots$ and weak local slopes ($\rho \ll 1$) so that $R_{rou} \approx 1 + \rho^2/2$, we obtain the analytic form for the mass sensitivity $\Delta m \approx \Delta m_{in}[1 + (Q_{in}/2Q_{gas,f}) + (Q_{in}/4Q_{gas,f})\rho^2 + \dots]$.

If we compare Figs. 1 and 2, we can infer that the influence of the roughness exponent H plays significant role on the limit to mass sensitivity besides that of the most commonly used roughness parameters w and ξ . In order to gain better insight of its effect, we plot in Fig. 3 its direct influence on Δm plotted for different roughness ratios w/ξ . It is shown that at small roughness exponents ($H \sim 0$), the influence of the ratio w/ξ is diminished. However, its influence is more distinct in the intermediate range of exponents $0.3 < H < 0.8$, which is the regime commonly observed in experimental systems.¹⁸

Finally, we will also briefly consider the contribution from other noise sources. Indeed, the limit to mass sensitivity due to temperature fluctuations $\Delta m_{tem-flu}$ is smaller than that of thermomechanical noise and can be neglected. On the other hand, the limit to mass sensitivity due to adsorption-desorption noise is influenced by morphology as $\Delta m_{a-d} \approx \Delta m_{a-d,flat} \{R_{rou}\}^{1/2}$,²³ which increases with increasing roughening. $\Delta m_{a-d,flat}$ is the mass sensitivity for flat surfaces. Therefore, Δm_{a-d} can play a role for the total mass sensitivity

FIG. 3. (Color online) $\Delta m/\Delta m_{in}$ as a function of H for $Q_{in}/Q_{gas,f}=100$ for different roughness ratios w/ξ as indicated with $w=3$ nm.

if morphology variations are under consideration. Combining with Eq. (2) the total limit to mass sensitivity results in form $\Delta m = \Delta m_{in} [1 + (Q_{in}/Q_{gas,f})R_{rou}]^{1/2} + \Delta m_{a-d,flat} \{R_{rou}\}^{1/2}$. Figure 4 shows calculations for this case for various ratios $\Delta m_{in}/\Delta m_{a-d,flat}$. It becomes clear that morphology effects from adsorption-desorption become significant if and only if $\Delta m_{in} \leq \Delta m_{a-d,flat}$.

In conclusion, we investigated at a first stage the simultaneous influence of thermomechanical and momentum exchange noise on the limit to mass sensitivity for nanoresonators. With increasing surface roughness, the limit to mass sensitivity increases significantly if the quality factor due to gas collisions is comparable to or smaller than the intrinsic quality factor associated with thermomechanical noise. In addition, the influence of the roughness ratio w/ξ on the mass sensitivity becomes more distinct in the intermediate

FIG. 4. (Color online) $\Delta m/\Delta m_{in}$ as a function of H for $Q_{in}/Q_{gas,f}=100$ with $w/\xi=0.05$ and three different ratios of $\Delta m_{in}/\Delta m_{a-d,flat}$ as indicated with $w=3$ nm.

range of exponents $0.3 < H < 0.8$ that are commonly observed in experiments. Notably, the morphology influence can be further enhanced if also mass loading due to adsorption-desorption noise plays a significant role under specific conditions. In any case, our results indicate that the surface morphology could play an important role on mass sensing of nanoresonators, which can be minimized by fabrication processes yielding smoother morphology and/or intrinsic quality factors comparable to or larger than that imposed by the surrounding gas.

- ¹A.-C. Wong, H. Ding, and C. T.-C. Nguyen, Tech. Dig. - Int. Electron Devices Meet. **1998**, 471.
- ²D. W. Carr, S. Evoy, L. Sekaric, H. G. Craighead, and J. M. Parpia, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **75**, 920 (1999).
- ³A. N. Cleland and M. L. Roukes, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **69**, 2563 (1999); A. Cleland, *Foundations of Nanomechanics* (Springer, New York, 2003); M. L. Roukes, *Phys. World* **14**, 25 (2001).
- ⁴A. N. Cleland and M. L. Roukes, *J. Appl. Phys.* **92**, 2758 (2002); K. L. Ekinci and M. L. Roukes, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **76**, 061101 (2005); S. S. Verbridge, H. G. Craighead, and J. M. Parpia, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **92**, 013112 (2008); S. Masmanidis, R. Karabalin, I. Vlaminc, G. Borghs, M. Freeman, and M. Roukes, *Science* **317**, 780 (2007).
- ⁵K. L. Ekinci, Y. T. Yang, and M. L. Roukes, *J. Appl. Phys.* **95**, 2682 (2004).
- ⁶W. P. Robins, *Phase Noise in Signal Sources* (Peter Peregrinus, London, 1982).
- ⁷T. R. Albrecht, P. Grutter, D. Horne, and D. Rugar, *J. Appl. Phys.* **69**, 668 (1991).
- ⁸F. L. Walls and J. Vig, *IEEE Trans. Ultrason. Ferroelectr. Freq. Control* **42**, 576 (1995).
- ⁹N. V. Lavrik and P. G. Datskos, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **82**, 2697 (2003).
- ¹⁰K. L. Ekinci, Y. T. Yang, X. M. Huang, and M. L. Roukes, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **81**, 2253 (2002); R. G. Knobel and A. N. Cleland, *Nature (London)* **424**, 291 (2003).
- ¹¹X. M. H. Huang, "Ultra high and microwave frequency nanomechanical systems," Ph.D. thesis, California Institute of Technology, 2004.
- ¹²D. W. Carr, S. Evoy, L. Sekaric, H. G. Craighead, and J. M. Parpia, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **75**, 920 (1999); L. Sekaric, J. M. Parpia, H. G. Craighead, T. Feygelson, B. H. Houston, and J. E. Butler, *ibid.* **81**, 4455 (2002).
- ¹³G. Palasantzas, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **90**, 041914 (2007); **91**, 021901 (2007); *J. Appl. Phys.* **102**, 076111 (2007).
- ¹⁴T. H. Stievater, W. S. Rabinovich, N. A. Papanicolaou, R. Bass, and J. B. Boos, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **90**, 051114 (2007).
- ¹⁵N. V. Lavrik, M. J. Sepaniak, and P. G. Datskos, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **75**, 2229 (2004); T. H. Stievater, W. S. Rabinovich, H. S. Newman, R. Mahon, D. McGee, and P. G. Goetz, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **81**, 1779 (2002); R. S. Tucker, D. M. Baney, W. V. Sorin, and C. A. Flory, *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron.* **8**, 88 (2002); C. H. Metzger and K. Karrai, *Nature (London)* **43**, 1002 (2004).
- ¹⁶E. J. Eklund and A. M. Shkel, *J. Micromech. Microeng.* **15**, 1770 (2005); A. N. Cleland, *New J. Phys.* **7**, 1 (2005).
- ¹⁷R. B. Bhiladvala and Z. J. Wang, *Phys. Rev. E* **69**, 036307 (2004).
- ¹⁸P. Meakin, *Phys. Rep.* **235** (1994) 1991; J. Krim and G. Palasantzas, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **9**, 599 (1995); Y. -P. Zhao, G. -C. Wang, and T. -M. Lu, *Characterization of Amorphous and Crystalline Rough Surfaces-Principles and Applications*, Experimental Methods in the Physical Science Vol. 37 (Academic, New York, 2001).
- ¹⁹B. N. J. Persson and E. J. Tosatti, *J. Chem. Phys.* **115**, 5597 (2001).
- ²⁰G. Palasantzas, *Phys. Rev. E* **56**, 1254 (1997).
- ²¹G. Palasantzas, *Phys. Rev. B* **48**, 14472 (1993); **49**, 5785 (1994).
- ²²S. K. Sinha, E. B. Sirota, S. Garoff, and H. B. Stanley, *Phys. Rev. B* **38**, 2297 (1988); H. N. Yang and T. M. Lu, *ibid.* **51**, 2479 (1995).
- ²³G. Palasantzas, *J. Appl. Phys.* **101**, 076103 (2007).