Clinical consensus guideline on the management of phaeochromocytoma and paraganglioma in patients harbouring germline SDHD pathogenic variants


Patients with germline SDHD pathogenic variants (encoding succinate dehydrogenase subunit D; ie, paraganglioma 1 syndrome) are predominantly affected by head and neck paragangliomas, which, in almost 20% of patients, might coexist with paragangliomas arising from other locations (eg, adrenal medulla, para-aortic, cardiac or thoracic, and pelvic). Given the higher risk of tumour multifocality and bilaterality for phaeochromocytomas and paragangliomas (PPGLs) because of SDHD pathogenic variants than for their sporadic and other genotypic counterparts, the management of patients with SDHD PPGLs is clinically complex in terms of imaging, treatment, and management options. Furthermore, locally aggressive disease can be discovered at a young age or late in the disease course, which presents challenges in balancing surgical intervention with various medical and radiotherapeutic approaches. The axiom—first, do no harm—should always be considered and an initial period of observation (ie, watchful waiting) is often appropriate to characterise tumour behaviour in patients with these pathogenic variants. These patients should be referred to specialised high-volume medical centres. This consensus guideline aims to help physicians with the clinical decision-making process when caring for patients with SDHD PPGLs.

Introduction

Hereditary head and neck paragangliomas (HNPGLs) are the most common tumours in patients with germline SDHD (encoding succinate dehydrogenase subunit D) pathogenic variants. They are typically slow-growing hypervascular tumours but have the potential to become locally aggressive. HNPGLs can be found in all anatomical sites of distribution of parasympathetic paraganglia, such as in the middle ear cleft, in the jugular bulb, along the vagus nerve, and in the carotid body (figure 1). HNPGLs are rarely functional and are inherited in 40–50% of patients. Patients with SDHD pathogenic variants might also develop thoracic, retropertioneal, and pelvic paragangliomas (in fewer than 20% of patients and rarely also develop thoracic, retroperitoneal, and pelvic.

Methods

The consensus included three chairpersons (DT, JWML, and KP) and one project manager (LM). The project was initiated in May, 2021, and started with the setting-up of the working groups (ie, determining the members of the steering and rating groups). The steering group included 12 members, GBW, MA, CL-L, NP, SN, LA, HJLMT, ZGS, ALE, ML, ELP, and LV. The rating group members included the remaining coauthors excluding DT, JWML, KP, and LM. All members of the steering and rating groups that participated in the development of the consensus are experts in PPGL and represent various countries, practice settings, and disciplines (ie, endocrinology, oncology, internal medicine, surgery, otologyngology, radiotherapy, radiology, nuclear medicine, genetics, clinical chemistry, and pathology).

A first meeting with the steering group was held on Aug 18, 2021, during which the members were asked to perform literature searches with PubMed using proposed search strategies. The members of the steering group were also asked to review and critically analyse the available literature and draft relevant graded recommendations using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) framework for each thematic area, supported by a concise paragraph with the most relevant supporting evidence (eg, references, figures, and tables).

In February, 2022, the rating group members received the proposed recommendations with evidence and
HNPGLs can arise from the carotid bifurcation (blue), the nodose ganglion (green), jugular bulb (purple), and middle ear (red), and invade adjacent structures within the head and neck. The nodose ganglion corresponds to the inferior ganglion of the vagus nerve. Arrows indicate the potential patterns of local tumour extension for a given tumour type. Roman numerals refer to the relevant cranial nerves. HNPGLs=head and neck paragangliomas.

Recommendations

Health-care environment for patients with SDHD PPGLs

Recommendation 1

We recommend that all decisions regarding patients with SDHD PPGLs are discussed and managed by an interdisciplinary tumour team of experts familiar with the disease to ensure favourable outcomes and appropriate follow-up (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=1).

Evidence for recommendation 1

There is no solid evidence from clinical studies showing favourable outcomes if patients are managed by an interdisciplinary team with expertise in PPGL. However, PPGL is a complex and heterogeneous disease involving many organs in a variable way. Most physicians will have little experience in this field due to the rarity of these tumours, particularly experience that covers the competencies of the different specialties involved. Therefore, this recommendation is mainly based on the experience and opinion of many international experts that interdisciplinary discussion of the management decisions of patients with PPGL is the optimal approach. Such approach facilitates the tailoring of clinical management to the individual patient level, which extends beyond diagnosis and treatment to offering the most appropriate individualised follow-up and surveillance.4 Expert interdisciplinary teams are operative in clinical centres that specialise in PPGL, including the European Network for the Study of Adrenal Tumors Centers of Excellence and the Clinical Centers of Excellence accredited by the Pheochromocytoma Paraganglioma Alliance and any clinical centre in which regular team discussions of interdisciplinary experts are operational.

Initial tests for patients with SDHD PPGLs

Recommendation 2

We recommend that patients with PPGL and germline SDHD pathogenic variants are evaluated by clinical assessment and biochemical measurements (ie, metanephrines in plasma or urine and plasma methoxytyramine; grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=3).

Recommendation 3

We recommend performing head and neck MRI as the first method for patients with HNPGL to screen for multifocality and tumour extension (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=3).
The sensitivity of [18F]-FDOPA-PET–CT overlooks some symptoms and signs of HDNPLs, which provides irreplaceable information on the extent of bone involvement. For patients with skull base HNPGL, temporal bone CT and MRI with angiography at the arterial phase and axial-plane fast spin-echo T1-weighted sequence with fat saturation showed a sensitivity of 88.7% and a specificity of 93.7%. For patients with skull base HNPGLs, temporal bone CT provides irreplaceable information on the extent of bone involvement. Cervico-thoraco-abdominal-pelvic PPGs can also be visualised with CT scans. CT with intravenous contrast is less costly and time consuming than MRI and particularly useful for perioperative planning. Therefore, CT is often preferred to MRI at the initial evaluation of patients, except for some paediatric patients or during pregnancy.

Functional imaging complements anatomical imaging for whole-body disease staging and can exclude other potential diagnoses. Patients with SDHD PPGs typically exhibit strong somatostatin receptor (SSTR) subtype 2 expressions, which is reflected by the high sensitivity of SSTR-guided PET–CT with 68Ga-radiolabelled somatostatin analogues. The sensitivity approaches 100% for HNPGL and metastatic PPGs, but appears to be less sensitive for primary phaeochromocytomas and abdominal paragangliomas. However, more extensive imaging data for patients with SDHD pathogenic variants are still needed. 6-[18F]fluoro-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (6-[18F]FDOPA)-PET–CT has also shown high sensitivity in the detection of SDHD HNPGLs (sensitivity approaching 100%) and is a good alternative to SSTR PET–CT with 68Ga-radiolabelled somatostatin analogues if available. However, [18F]FDOPA-PET–CT overlooks some sympathetic PPGs (ie, outside the head and neck area). [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose ([18F]FDG)-PET–CT has shown excellent results in the evaluation of patients with PPGs harbouring germline pathogenic variants in one of the four genes that encode the SDH complex (collectively termed as SDHx). This imaging method has been surpassed by SSTR PET–CT, especially in the detection of SDHD HNPGLs (sensitivity ranging 70–90% for [18F]FDG-PET–CT versus nearly 100% for SSTR PET–CT). The lower clinical value of [18F]FDG-PET–CT is because of the less favourable tumour-to-background uptake ratio than those of specific radiopharmaceuticals and the potential drawbacks because of uptake by brown fat, abnormalities of the liver and other organs.

Recommendation 4
To search for SDHD PPGL in patients on a whole-body scale, we recommend performing whole-body anatomical imaging with PET–CT, preferably with radiolabelled somatostatin analogues, as the first choice (grade strength=I; quality of evidence rating=3).

**Evidence for recommendations 2–4**
In patients with SDHD HNPGL, symptoms and signs are often delayed and related to local mass effects caused by large tumours rather than an excess of catecholamines.

Patients with HNPGL with plasma normetanephrine concentrations more than double the upper reference limit are rare (2.3%). Therefore, increased concentrations of normetanephrine in patients with SDHD pathogenic variants are more likely to be related to the presence of paragangliomas outside the head and neck region. Furthermore, up to 30% of HNPGLs produce dopamine, indicated by increases in plasma methoxytyramine. Urine methoxytyramine is a useful biomarker of tumoural dopamine production in these tumours because urine dopamine is derived almost exclusively from renal uptake and decarboxylation of circulating 3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine. Biochemical assessments should include plasma (usually preferred) or urine metanephrines and plasma methoxytyramine.

Imaging has a crucial role in the evaluation of patients with SDHD PPGLs. Imaging should encompass the base of the skull to the pelvis. MRI with angiography sequences (MRA) are the most sensitive radiological imaging techniques for HNPGL staging. Various magnetic resonance acquisition protocols have been described in the literature, including one large study that evaluated MRA in 157 patients with HNPGL with germline pathogenic variants (63 patients [40%] with germline SDHD pathogenic variants). In this study, a combination of contrast-enhanced three-dimensional time-of-flight angiography at the arterial phase and axial-plane fast spin-echo T1-weighted sequence with fat saturation showed a sensitivity of 88.7% and a specificity of 93.7%.

For patients with skull base HNPGLs, temporal bone CT provides irreplaceable information on the extent of bone involvement. Cervico-thoraco-abdominal-pelvic PPGs can also be visualised with CT scans. CT with intravenous contrast is less costly and time consuming than MRI and particularly useful for perioperative planning. Therefore, CT is often preferred to MRI at the initial evaluation of patients, except for some paediatric patients or during pregnancy.

Functional imaging complements anatomical imaging for whole-body disease staging and can exclude other potential diagnoses. Patients with SDHD PPGLs typically exhibit strong somatostatin receptor (SSTR) subtype 2 expressions, which is reflected by the high sensitivity of SSTR-guided PET–CT with 68Ga-radiolabelled somatostatin analogues. The sensitivity approaches 100% for HNPGL and metastatic PPGs, but appears to be less sensitive for primary phaeochromocytomas and abdominal paragangliomas. However, more extensive imaging data for patients with SDHD pathogenic variants are still needed. 6-[18F]fluoro-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine ([18F]FDOPA)-PET–CT has also shown high sensitivity in the detection of SDHD HNPGLs (sensitivity approaching 100%) and is a good alternative to SSTR PET–CT with 68Ga-radiolabelled somatostatin analogues if available. However, [18F]FDOPA-PET–CT overlooks some sympathetic PPGs (ie, outside the head and neck area). [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose ([18F]FDG)-PET–CT has shown excellent results in the evaluation of patients with PPGs harbouring germline pathogenic variants in one of the four genes that encode the SDH complex (collectively termed as SDHx). This imaging method has been surpassed by SSTR PET–CT, especially in the detection of SDHD HNPGLs (sensitivity ranging 70–90% for [18F]FDG-PET–CT versus nearly 100% for SSTR PET–CT). The lower clinical value of [18F]FDG-PET–CT is because of the less favourable tumour-to-background uptake ratio than those of specific radiopharmaceuticals and the potential drawbacks because of uptake by brown fat, abnormalities of the liver and other organs.

Panel: Potential pitfalls in the interpretation of PET imaging by use of labeled somatostatin receptor (SSTR) ligands and 6-[18F]fluoro-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine

SSTR analogues
- Uncinate process
- Stellate ganglia
- Splenunculi (accessory spleens) and splenosis
- Pancreatic heterotopia
- Pancreatic serous cystadenoma
- Bone haemangioma, enchondroma, and fibrous dysplasia
- Active chronic inflammation (eg, sarcoidosis, tuberculosis, Hashimoto’s thyroiditis)
- Other tumours (eg, meningioma, breast cancer, renal cancer, lymphoma, thyroid neoplasms, glioma, and neuroblastoma)

6-[18F]fluoro-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine
- Solid pseudopapillary tumour of the pancreas
- Thyroid neoplasm
- Pituitary adenoma
- Squamous cell carcinoma
- Poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma
- Melanoma
- Multiple myeloma
- Hepatocellular carcinoma
- Schwannoma
- Chondrosarcoma
- Primary brain tumours (eg, glioma, astrocytoma, and glioblastoma multiforme)
Cranial nerves

SDHD = encoding succinate dehydrogenase subunit D. SSTR = somatostatin receptor. TKIs = tyrosine kinase inhibitors. SDHD patients harbouring germline pathogenic variants.

The SSTR PET-CT image shows a multifocal HNPGL with bilateral tumours, a situation that typical occurs in patients harbouring germline SDHD pathogenic variants. M1 = presence of metastasis. MRA = magnetic resonance angiography.

Evaluation of surgical interventions for patients with SDHD HNPGLs

Recommendation 5
We recommend that patients with vagal paragangliomas rarely be considered for resection due to the high risk of resultant vocal cord paralysis (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=2).

Recommendation 6
We recommend that newly diagnosed patients with jugular, vagal, and carotid paragangliomas without compelling indications for treatment undergo an initial trial of observation to characterise tumour behaviour (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=2).

Evidence for recommendations 5 and 6
Because of characteristic slow growth, the axiom—first, do no harm—is particularly relevant to the management of patients with HNPGLs (figure 2). Newly diagnosed patients with germline SDHD pathogenic variants, notably patients with non-tympanicum HNPGL, and patients without an urgent indication for resection (eg, severe or progressive symptoms from cranial neuropathies, brainstem compression, severe pain, bleeding, and brain ischaemia), are good candidates for an initial observation trial. Patients with tympanic paragangliomas often present with hearing loss and pulsatile tinnitus. Resection is safe when performed by an experienced surgeon, is often done on an outpatient basis, and has a low incidence of complications.

Elective surgical resection of HNPGL should be avoided in older and debilitated patients and in patients with an inability to tolerate specific cranial neuropathies. Thus, particular attention must be paid to the patient’s swallowing function and pulmonary reserve as clinically significant dysphagia and aspiration might result from damage to or sacrifice of the lower cranial nerves. As these lesions are typically benign and indolent, a trial of observation in these populations is reasonable and justified. As these lesions are typically benign and indolent, a trial of observation in these populations is reasonable and justified.

Figure 2: Current synthesis of the important clinical facts that contribute to the decision-making process

The SSTR PET–CT image shows a multifocal HNPGL with bilateral tumours, a situation that typically occurs in patients harbouring germline SDHD pathogenic variants. M1 = presence of metastasis. MRA = magnetic resonance angiography. SDHD = encoding succinate dehydrogenase subunit D. SSTR = somatostatin receptor. TKIs = tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

Management options for M0:
- Watchful waiting
- Surgery
- Therapeutic radiation
- Other

Management options for M1:
- Watchful waiting
- Localised treatments
- Targeted radionuclide therapy
- TKIs, temozolomide, and other chemotherapies
- Other
Recommendation 8
We recommend that for patients with any jugular and large carotid or vagal paragangliomas who are undergoing surgery, preoperative angiography with embolisation be considered. Balloon occlusion testing should be considered if internal carotid artery sacrifice with reconstruction is contemplated (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=2).

Recommendation 9
We recommend an individualised, multidisciplinary approach for patients with multifocal HNPGs, with particular attention to avoid compromise of important neurovascular structures. Staging resection is key to minimising potential morbidity (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=1).

Evidence for recommendations 7–9
Indications for surgery include active signs and symptoms, such as compression of head and neck structures, sustained (especially more rapid) growth, intractable pain, progression after radiation, extensive cranial neuropathy, catecholamine secretion or a low likelihood of postoperative cranial neuropathies, and other sources of morbidity.30,32,39,40 Young and otherwise healthy patients with small tumours are generally ideal surgical candidates, with high rates of local control.30 The surgeon should evaluate the risk of new cranial neuropathies and make informed decisions with the patient and team. For example, for carotid paragangliomas, lesions with a higher Shamblin classification (ie, degree of involvement of the carotid artery) have a higher risk of cranial neuropathy.39 Additionally, tumours more than 5 cm in size have

Figure 3: Management of patients with SDHD HNPGLs with special emphasis on tumour multifocality
Jugular paragangliomas are also known as jugulotympanic paragangliomas. Stereotactic radiosurgery is the preferred radiotherapeutic option. Radiotherapy refers to conventional fractionated external-beam radiation therapy and the term stereotactic radiosurgery includes linear accelerator-based radiosurgery, Gamma Knife, and CyberKnife. HNPGLs=head and neck paragangliomas. SDHD=gene encoding succinate dehydrogenase subunit D. TRT=targeted radionuclide therapy. *For patients without preoperative neuropathy. †Some clinicians prefer to start on the side of the larger tumour.
a higher cranial neuropathy rate (67%) than lesions smaller than 5 cm (34%).26,27 When these rates are compared with the natural history of other HNPGLs, new or progressive deficits are seen in 30–33% of patients.1,2,12 Being able to compare the nerve deficit rates across methods is an important component of preoperative counselling and decision making. To standardise reporting and outcomes, jugulotympanic paragangliomas could be classified according to the Fisch44 and Glasscock-Jackson staging systems.44

Additionally, anticipating the extent of resection and involving the appropriate surgical services is paramount. Collaboration with vascular surgery should occur any time there is a question as to the need for carotid artery sacrifice46 and neurosurgery should be available for skull base lesions with intracranial extent. Carotid stenting or sacrifice with subsequent reconstruction should only be used in select circumstances and for patients with adequate collateral intracranial circulation.

The classic approach to patients with jugular paragangliomas requires overclosure of the ear canal and facial nerve mobilisation, resulting in facial paresis and substantial conductive hearing loss. To minimise morbidity, subtotal resection, particularly of large jugular paragangliomas with preservation of the lower cranial nerves, might be considered.12,37

Preoperative angiography with embolisation is recommended for all jugular, large (ie, >4 cm), or locally invasive carotid or vagal paragangliomas. Balloon occlusion testing is recommended for lesions that encase the internal carotid artery and in patients for whom carotid artery sacrifice and reconstruction are a remote possibility. The primary goal of preoperative embolisation of HNPGLs is to help achieve a dry surgical field to visualise key neurovascular structures crucial for reducing surgical morbidity and increasing the probability of gross total resection.11,31,46 Angiography and embolisation are not without risk, nor are they guaranteed to be reflective of the body’s response to internal carotid artery disruption, and temporary or permanent cranial neuropathy could occur even with super-selective embolisation due to the migration of particles to the vasa nervorum of the affected nerves.

There is no simple algorithm that best addresses the therapeutic strategy in patients with multifocal HNPGLs (figure 3).29 An individualised approach is recommended, as is the use of an experienced interdisciplinary team that includes various surgical teams, endocrinology, radiation oncology, and speech and swallow therapy. The estimation of when and how to intervene is particularly difficult given that all lesions are not present simultaneously. The possibility of future metachronous lesions further complicates the clinical case.29

Important determinants of treatment include the patient’s life expectancy, behaviour of the tumour, baseline neurological and cranial nerve status, swallowing function, and pulmonary reserve.29,30 The overarching goals of treatment should be to exercise appropriate restraint, minimise the risk of multiple or bilateral cranial nerve deficits, and not compromise the major cerebral vasculature. In the instance of bilateral tumours, staging should be implemented to minimise bilateral, potentially devastating cranial neuropathies.29,30,39 Although there is no wide consensus on special circumstances, some groups advocate resecting multiple head and neck tumours in a single stage if they are ipsilateral and anatomically close.29,37 Regarding bilateral tumours, some authors recommend operating on the side with existing cranial neuropathies and observing or radiating the contralateral side to avoid bilateral nerve palsies. If no neuropathies exist preoperatively, resection of the smaller of the two lesions poses a lower risk to the cranial nerves. If there is no nerve deficit, the contralateral side could be subsequently attempted. If there is a postoperative deficit, the contralateral lesion should be observed or radiated.29,39 Special consideration should be given to avoid baroreflex failure in patients with bilateral carotid paragangliomas and intracranial hypertension in patients with bilateral jugular paragangliomas. Resecting one side and staging resection of the contralateral tumour several months later can decrease these complications and allow for compensation.29 If observation is chosen, median growth rates in these lesions could be as low as 1 mm per year with a median doubling time of 4–2.5–7 years47 depending on the method of comparison (ie, linear measurements vs volumes) and the assumptions of the mathematical models used.

**Recommendation 10**

We recommend a thorough examination of the cranial nerves and laryngoscopy before and after surgical intervention or radiotherapy for patients with HNPGL (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=2).

**Recommendation 11**

We recommend that in patients with postoperative facial nerve palsy, corneal protection is prioritised to avoid exposure keratitis or corneal abrasion (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=3).

Evidence for recommendations 10 and 11

Routine preoperative and postoperative screenings for cranial neuropathies are recommended, with a focused evaluation of the nerves at risk from surgical intervention. All patients should be evaluated for palsies of nerves VII–XII, measured by symmetric facial movement, audiogram, evaluation of swallow dysphagia, flexible bedside laryngoscopy,48 and evaluation of palate rise, shoulder elevation, and tongue mobility. Additional facets of the neurological examination might be incorporated depending on the specific lesions.

New cranial neuropathies are rather common after surgical intervention of patients with HNPGLs, with particularly high rates in jugular paragangliomas
with intracranial extension,\textsuperscript{35–37} large or invasive carotid body paragangliomas,\textsuperscript{38–40} and virtually all vagal paragangliomas. However, new or progressive deficits are also detected in 30–33% of patients with observed lesions.\textsuperscript{35,42} Cranial neuropathies causing dysphagia, aspiration, or facial paralysis after HNPGLs resection might prolong hospitalisation and recovery and have a profound effect on quality of life.

**Patients with SDHD non-HNPGLs and phaeochromocytomas**

**Recommendation 12**

We recommend that functional PPGLs, which are predominantly retroperitoneal, are resected as an initial priority in patients with multifocal disease including HNPGLs (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=3).

**Recommendation 13**

We recommend that patients with non-HNPGL (retroperitoneal, pelvic, or thoracic) and phaeochromocytoma are offered appropriate surgical consultation with an experienced surgeon with knowledge of this specific disease. Tumour resection should be considered when there are no absolute contraindications, especially when complete tumour removal is possible (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=3).

**Recommendation 14**

We recommend that there is a limited role for palliative debulking in patients with locally aggressive, large tumours with a high probability of incomplete surgical resection or in patients with metastatic disease, but this palliative debulking can be considered in patients who are not responsive to medical management or have debilitating sequelae, such as pain or mass effects that worsen the quality of life (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=2).

**Evidence for recommendations 12–14**

Carriers with SDHD pathogenic variants have a high incidence of multifocality and a thorough preoperative cross-sectional nuclear medicine evaluation should be performed for complete surgical planning. Biochemically positive PPGLs can be present in the context of pathogenic variants and are mostly related to retroperitoneal PPGLs. These tumours should be resected before other surgical interventions due to the risk of a perioperative hypertensive crisis (see recommendation 21).

The main objective of surgical resection for patients with SDHD PPGLs is to improve symptoms by removing the source of excess catecholamine secretion, preventing further tumour growth, and minimising the risk of metastatic disease. The current estimated rates of metastatic behaviour in patients with SDHD-associated PPGLs range between 4.5% and 7.7%.\textsuperscript{40–42}

Phaeochromocytoma treatment is typically surgical and often amenable via minimally invasive surgery. The technical approach can be either anterior or posterior, depending on surgical expertise. There are no modalities to ensure the complete removal of the adrenal medullary tissue unless the entire gland is removed. Because of multifocality and potential for missing small tumours, a cortical-sparing technique might not be always ideal. These factors should be taken into consideration and weighed against the risk of potential adrenal insufficiency if contralateral tumour develops.

An open approach is recommended rather than a laparoscopic approach for most patients with primary SDHD PPGLs when the size is more than 5 to 6 cm due to the need to assess locoregional nodal disease.\textsuperscript{15,14} Retroperitoneal extra-adrenal SDHD and other paragangliomas can be locally invasive with major vessel involvement of the inferior vena cava, aorta, renal vein, and superior mesenteric artery or vein. When technically possible, complete resection could require vascular reconstruction. In a retrospective study including 29 patients with paragangliomas and major blood vessel involvement, the authors report that overall survival was higher in patients who underwent complete tumour resection than in patients who underwent only medical management.\textsuperscript{15}

No prospective clinical trials have directly compared laparoscopic or robotic versus open adrenalectomy for patients with paragangliomas. A few case reports have shown the effectiveness and safety of laparoscopic surgery for patients with small tumours and no invasion into any surrounding structure.\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{16}} The difficulty lies in the inadequacy of the preparation for intraoperative identification of tumours that encroach on surrounding structures. These tumours are more likely to be adherent without distinguishable tissue planes and require proximal and distal vascular control, multiple vessel ligation, and potential vascular reconstruction. Safe resection requires manual assessment, palpation, careful retraction, and the ability to cross-clamp large vessels. Common locations of extra-adrenal SDHD PPGLs include the bladder, heart, and the area between or above the aortic bifurcation (eg, the inferior mesenteric artery [the organ of Zuckerkandl]). Patients with paragangliomas that arise in the pelvis can have unique presentations and require special attention during surgery; given the proximity of these tumours to the parasympathetic region, especially in males, subsequent sexual dysfunction should be considered and appropriately discussed with a patient. Additionally, sexual dysfunction has been reported as a potential complication after other pelvic and aortic surgeries, which should be discussed, especially for patients with a paraganglioma located in the organ of Zuckerkandl.

The management of patients with thoracic SDHD paragangliomas is complex and technically challenging. Complete anatomical involvement of the tumour should be determined before resection. En bloc removal provides the best long-term outcome and freedom from recurrence. Cardiac SDHD paragangliomas, although rarely malignant, often involve cardiac structures such as the left...
atrium and ventricle, pulmonary artery, and coronary arteries without a distinct border.\textsuperscript{57} Imaging often under-estimates the actual involvement at the time of operative resection. Multiple cardiac chamber reconstruction might be required with coronary artery bypass if coronary vessels are involved, and in rare cases, cardiac autotransplantation might be required. En bloc resection of the tumour is required in all patients. For patients with thoracic, paraoortic, and pelvic paragangliomas, open operations allow for the manual interpretation of two important aspects of the technical procedure: assessment of the extent of vascular wall invasion and for the presence of lymph node disease. Interpretation of imaging and anticipation of invasion or adherence to vessels are paramount in planning. The reactive formation, particularly in chest structures, seems to be locally more difficult and requires specialised cardiac surgical expertise.

On occasion, SDHD paragangliomas might occur in a location where surgical resection cannot be safely accomplished and other therapies are required to control both hormone hypersecretion and tumour growth.

A perioperative haemodynamic management plan should be devised to prevent instability and complications during the perioperative period. Beyond pharmacological preparation, this plan requires good communication among multiple specialties, including the availability of experienced anaesthesiologists. Excellent intraoperative communication with the surgical team and understanding the half-life and effects of pharmacological agents are important factors in the management of intravascular volume, heart rate, and blood pressure.

Palliative debulking rarely grants pharmacological independence; one study showed that aggressive debulking for biochemical management alone might not be effective as only seven of 24 patients had a partial biochemical response, with six of seven tumours recurring within 12 months.\textsuperscript{68} The authors also reported that resection can selectively relieve some tumour-associated symptoms and signs, such as pain.

**Therapeutic radiation for patients with SDHD HNPGLs**

**Recommendation 15**

We recommend therapeutic radiation as a treatment for patients with SDHD HNPGLs, more specifically for patients with radiologically progressive or symptomatic SDHD HNPGLs. Older patients with multiple comorbidities or highly complex surgical resectability of tumours with cranial nerve palsies, such as vagus nerve involvement and contralateral lower cranial neuropathies, are strong candidates for primary therapeutic radiation (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=2).

**Recommendation 16**

We recommend therapeutic radiation for patients with post-surgical residual and recurrent SDHD HNPGLs with progressive disease (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=2).

**Evidence for recommendation 15 and 16**

We recommend a multidisciplinary discussion on therapeutic radiation for each patient with SDHD HNPGLs (figure 2). In this guideline, we use the term therapeutic radiation to refer to both radiotherapy (ie, conventional fractionated external-beam radiation therapy) and stereotactic radiosurgery. Therapeutic radiation, specifically stereotactic radiosurgery, should be considered the primary treatment for all patients with SDHD HNPGLs, including in older patients, those with clinically significant comorbidities, or those with cranial neuropathies.\textsuperscript{19,61} Hypofractionated stereotactic radiotherapy might be preferred in patients with contralateral lower cranial neuropathies or multifocal disease involving the bilateral vagal nerves as it is an effective method to preserve cranial nerves, even in large tumours.\textsuperscript{12,43}

Therapeutic radiation should be considered as a secondary treatment for progressive lesions after surgical resection\textsuperscript{69} or planned as an adjuvant treatment 8–12 weeks after subtotal resection.\textsuperscript{42} Single-fraction stereotactic radiosurgery is most effective in smaller tumours (ie, maximum diameter <3 cm)\textsuperscript{11} but has also shown efficacy in residual tumours with a volume 4 cm\textsuperscript{3} or less. These series found a median marginal tumour dose of 14 Gy with 80% of patients showing tumour stability and 20% with shrinkage and no clinical progression.\textsuperscript{44} Gamma Knife (Elekta; Stockholm, Sweden) radiosurgery in patients with post-surgical jugulotympanic paragangliomas also showed volumetric tumour control in 94–8% of patients and clinical control in 91–4% of patients.\textsuperscript{45}

**Recommendation 17**

We recommend stereotactic radiosurgery as the primary or complementary treatment for surgical resection. Conventional radiotherapy could be recommended for patients with larger SDHD HNPGLs (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=3).

**Evidence for recommendation 17**

Radiotherapy is a treatment method that works with ionising radiation, generating free radicals that cause breaks in DNA and cell death through apoptosis and via mitotic cell death.\textsuperscript{46} Conventional fractionated external beam radiation therapy is typically delivered as intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT). IMRT has been reported to have control rates and toxicities similar to those of stereotactic radiosurgery.\textsuperscript{63,70,71} Compared with traditional multifraction IMRT occurring over several weeks, stereotactic radiosurgery is one to five fractions. Each fraction is a single daily fraction, thereby having generally no more than 5 days of stereotactic radiosurgery treatment for the same efficacy of radiation therapy compared with IMRT. Furthermore, stereotactic radiosurgery has several advantages over IMRT. First, stereotactic radiosurgery uses larger doses per fraction which might have a therapeutic advantage for slower growing tumours, such as HNPGLs. Second, stereotactic
Radiosurgery also provides submillimetre accuracy of the tumour target with a steep dose gradient, minimizing radiation exposure to nearby critical structures. Single-fraction stereotactic radiosurgery is considered most effective in smaller tumours (ie, maximum diameter <3 cm) and has also been shown to be equally efficacious, with toxicity rates similar to or lower than those of hypofractionated radiotherapy.81,82

There are multiple equivalents of stereotactic radiosurgery, such as linear accelerator, Gamma Knife, and CyberKnife (Accuray; Sunnyvale, CA, USA) being the most common.83 A meta-analysis that examined radiosurgical treatment of patients with jugular paragangliomas showed that Gamma Knife, linear accelerator, and CyberKnife technologies all exhibited similarly high rates of tumour control (95%) and clinical control (97%) across all studies.71

A meta-analysis of 15 studies reviewing the treatment of patients with jugular paragangliomas with stereotactic radiosurgery as the primary treatment showed tumour control in 92% of patients, symptom control in 93% of patients, and complications in 8% of patients.72 The analysis also showed that smaller tumour volumes predicted symptomatic improvement. The North American Gamma Knife Consortium collated the outcomes of eight Gamma Knife radiosurgical centres that had treated patients with jugular paragangliomas with a median tumour margin dose of 15 Gy (n=132, 134 procedures) and showed actuarial tumour control of 88% 5 years after radiosurgery. Improvement in pre-existing cranial nerve deficits was observed in 11% of patients, new or progressive cranial neuropathies were seen in 15%, and no mortality was noted (appendix p 2).73 In other smaller series of patients with jugular paragangliomas, tumour control was noted to be 94–7%–100%.74–76 Additionally, in previous small series reports, linear accelerator radiosurgery treatment of patients with jugular paragangliomas showed tumour control rates of 91–100%.77–79 A long-term series of jugular paragangliomas treated with frameless linear accelerator-based stereotactic radiosurgery over nearly two decades showed a 7-year progression-free survival of 97·0% of patients and grade 1 and 2 toxicities in 7·7% of patients (Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events system).80

Because SDHD paragangliomas of the carotid body are the most common type of HNPGLs, surgical resection is the most common treatment. Up to now, only one systematic review has compared the results of surgical resection to the results of IMRT (not only patients with SDHD pathogenic variants).81 In a systematic review on management of carotid body paragangliomas, long-term tumour control was noted in 120 (94.5%) of 127 IMRT patients and 1846 (93·8%) of 1968 surgical patients. However, surgically induced cranial neuropathies are four times more common than those induced by IMRT.

In a meta-analysis examining treatment outcomes for patients with vagal paragangliomas, local tumour control rates were similar between surgery and therapeutic radiation (93–3%), with a mean follow-up of 86·7 months.85 Radiation-induced malignancy rates have been historically difficult to assess because of variability in follow-up, rarity of HNPGLs, and varying methods of radiotherapeutic treatment.86 The Mayo Clinic reviewed the institutional data of all HNPGLs patients who received either external beam radiation therapy or stereotactic radiosurgery and found no radiation-induced malignancy.87 These findings are consistent with the historical risk of 0·28%88 and a publication indicating that stereotactic radiosurgery is likely to have a lower risk of radiation-induced malignancy than traditional external beam therapy because of a lower median dose.89

**Therapeutic radiation for patients with SDHD non-HNPGLs**

**Recommendation 18**

We suggest considering therapeutic radiation for patients with symptomatic or progressive chest, abdomen, and pelvis SDHD paragangliomas that cannot be resected (grade strength=2; quality of evidence rating=1).

**Evidence for recommendation 18**

Literature to support the role of therapeutic radiation in the treatment of patients with paragangliomas below the neck is scarce because these tumours are generally managed with surgical resection. Radiation can provide high rates of local control for patients with advanced and unresectable paragangliomas to both primary and metastatic sites.82–84 Although dose and fractionation data can be extrapolated from the HNPGL literature, radiation to the chest and abdomen has unique considerations, including respiratory motion and interfraction deformation of anatomy and organs at risk. Higher radiation doses have been associated with improved local control.86,87 The use of advanced radiation technologies, including IMRT and stereotactic body radiotherapy with adequate motion management, can allow safe dose escalation in the setting of radiosensitive structures, such as the small bowel. Published series on radiation for patients with non-HNPGLs have used standard fractionation (1·8–2·0 Gy per fraction) or fractionated stereotactic ablative radiotherapy rather than single fraction stereotactic radiosurgery, as is commonly used in patients with HNPGLs.

**Medical management of patients with SDHD PPGLs**

**Recommendation 19**

We recommend the use of α-adrenoceptor blockers as medical treatment for the management of norepinephrine-associated manifestations (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=2).

**Recommendation 20**

We recommend avoiding the use of medications that might elicit a catecholamine crisis in patients with catecholamine-producing SDHD PPGLs who do
not receive appropriate adrenoceptor blockade (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=1).

**Recommendation 21**
We recommend the use of α-adrenoceptor blockers before any surgical and non-surgical treatment interventions in patients with SDHD PPGLs showing norepinephrine production (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=2).

**Recommendation 22**
We do not recommend the use of medical treatment before interventions for patients with exclusively dopamine-producing SDHD PPGLs (as indicated by isolated elevation of plasma methoxytyramine; grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=1).

**Evidence for recommendations 19–22**
Preoperative biochemical screening is mandatory to avoid rare but catastrophic perioperative complications in patients treated surgically, regardless of the presence of symptoms and signs. Patients with norepinephrine-producing SDHD PPGLs should be treated with α-adrenoceptor blockade before any therapeutic intervention. Norepinephrine production is defined and recognised by an elevation of normetanephrine in the plasma, urine, or both. Some patients also have concurrent elevation of norepinephrine in the plasma or urine. Tumours displaying norepinephrine production, as reflected by elevated normetanephrine, require pretreatment.

In the event of tachycardia during α-adrenoceptor blockade, a β-adrenoceptor blocker could be added. Metyrosine, which inhibits tyrosine hydroxylase and thereby catecholamine biosynthesis, can be used as an add-on drug when available. Monotherapy with non-selective β-adrenoceptor blockers can elicit hypertension and is contraindicated. The exclusive production and subsequent secretion of dopamine by HNPGGLs is unlikely to provoke any substantial haemodynamic effects.

In rare instances, patients with larger dopamine-only producing SDHD PPGLs, or in isolated metastatic disease with overwhelming dopamine excess, are typically normotensive or even hypotensive. Therefore, in patients with dopamine-only SDHD HNPGGLs, management with α-adrenoceptor blockers before any type of treatment is not advised.

Contrastingly, preoperative adrenoceptor blockade should be considered in patients with norepinephrine-producing SDHD HNPGGLs. It should be ascertained that there are no additional sympathetic PPGLs as a source of norepinephrine for which surgical treatment might be prioritised. In a single-centre series of 152 patients with 182 HNPGGLs, 14 (9.2%) of patients were deemed clinically significant secretors of catecholamines. This subgroup was treated with α-adrenoceptor and β-adrenoceptor blockade before surgery, whereas pretreatment was omitted in 13 patients with clinically insignificant increases of normetanephrine concentrations. A review of anaesthesia records showed no instances of haemodynamic instability requiring vasopressors, aggressive fluid resuscitation, or antihypertensives in the small number of patients with clinically insignificant elevations of catecholamines. Whether these latter patients should also receive perioperative blockade remains debatable.

To our knowledge, a catecholamine crisis elicited by radiation therapy or systemic therapy for patients with SDHD PPGL is not common. Nevertheless, in the event of norepinephrine production usually reflected by elevated plasma normetanephrine but not necessarily plasma norepinephrine, treatment with appropriate adrenoceptor blockade should be considered before these interventions. Therefore, patients should be carefully monitored before, during, and after any procedure, and vigorously treated in instances of haemodynamic instability. Postoperative baroreflex failure is associated with surgery and radiotherapy for patients with SDHD bilateral carotid body paragangliomas; thus, haemodynamic complications should be carefully considered.

To control the symptoms and signs of catecholamine excess and to prevent complications of therapeutic interventions, α-adrenoceptor blockers are widely used as the primary treatment. Both α1-selective and competitive adrenoceptor blockers, such as doxazosin, prazosin, or terazosin, and the non-selective and non-competitive α1- and α2-adrenoceptor blocker phenoxybenzamine, are used. These drugs are typically started increasing doses until blood pressure targets are achieved. The efficacy of phenoxybenzamine and doxazosin has been investigated in PRESCRIPT, the first randomised controlled trial on presurgical treatment in patients with PPGLs. The primary endpoint was defined as the total time a patient’s blood pressure was outside a predefined range intraoperatively. Although there was no difference between the two drugs, there was less intraoperative haemodynamic instability with phenoxybenzamine. Additionally, metyrosine and calcium channel blockers can be used. Calcium channel blockers can be used either as an adjunct to α-adrenoceptor blockers to control refractory hypertension or as presurgical monotherapy in patients with typical, mildly elevated blood pressure values or patients with severe orthostatic hypotension when an α-adrenoceptor blocker is used. Tachycardia is treated with either non-selective β-adrenoceptor blocker or, preferably, β1-selective adrenoceptor blocker. To reduce the risk of preoperative orthostatic hypotension and postoperative hypotension, common practice is to give a high-sodium diet, administer 1–2 L of saline 24 h before surgery, and use compressive stockings.
Surveillance of patients with non-metastatic SDHD PPGLs

**Recommendation 23**
We suggest that treatment-naive patients with SDHD PPGLs with no compelling indication for treatment are imaged at 3–6 months after diagnosis and again at 1 year after diagnosis to document the disease course and decide on treatment options (grade strength=2; quality of evidence rating=1).

**Recommendation 24**
We suggest that patients who have been surgically treated for primary functional PPGLs undergo measurement of plasma or urine metanephrines and plasma methoxytyramine by 8 weeks post-treatment. Imaging could be done at 3–6 months for such patients, as well as for patients in whom the PPGL was not functional (grade strength=2; quality of evidence rating=1).

**Evidence for recommendation 23 and 24**
After patients with SDHD PPGLs have received a diagnosis, approximately 50% of patients undergo treatment and the remaining undergo surveillance.\(^9\) Most patients with functional HNPPGLs are recognised by an elevation of plasma or urinary normetanephrine and methoxytyramine, even if they do not have catecholamine-related signs and symptoms. Non-functionality is defined as normal plasma and urinary normetanephrine and methoxytyramine or as the sum of plasma metanephrines that is too low according to tumour size.\(^10\)

For patients with functional SDHD PPGLs, measurement of metanephrines should be performed 2–8 weeks postoperatively.\(^3\,31\) In patients with non-functional tumours who have completely normal preoperative biochemistry, imaging should be done at 3–6 months to check whether surgery was complete. Repeat imaging should be done 3–6 months after any therapy.

In patients with non-metastatic (ie, M0) SDHD paraganglioma, whole-body imaging should be repeated at 3–6 months postoperatively because there are no clinically reliable predictors of metastasis. This advice is particularly important in patients with large SDHD PPGLs. If the disease is stable, annual imaging findings should be considered. The estimated median volume growth rate is 10–12% per year\(^1\,3\) despite no progression in 60% of SDHD paragangliomas.\(^1\)

**Recommendation 25**
We recommend that patients with SDHD PPGLs, regardless of surgical history, undergo annual blood pressure measurements, clinical assessment, and biochemical measurements to detect new PPGLs, metastases, or progression (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=2).

**Recommendation 26**
We recommend that a whole-body MRI is performed at least every 2–3 years to detect new SDHD PPGLs, metastases, or progression. Initially, more frequent imaging follow-up is recommended for patients with unoperated PPGLs or metastases (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=2).

**Recommendation 27**
We suggest the use of SSTR PET–CT on an individual basis to screen for disease progression in patients with non-metastatic PPGLs (grade strength=2; quality of evidence rating=1).

**Evidence for recommendation 25–27**
Overall, the prognosis of patients with SDHD PPGLs remains excellent, with no substantial increase in mortality observed in a Dutch sample of SDHD pathogenic variant carriers.\(^5\) However, patients with SDHD are at risk of developing recurrence, metastasis, or progression. Therefore, patients should receive lifelong follow-up and the quality of life should be monitored. In one meta-analysis, the pooled risk for metastasis was 4% for patients with SDHD paragangliomas versus 13% for patients with SDHB paragangliomas.\(^2\) A postoperative analysis of 47 SDHx patients (33 patients with SDHD) followed for a median of 2.7 years showed that 5 patients (11%) developed local recurrence.\(^9\) Disease penetrance was high in the presence of SDHD paragangliomas, but the occurrence of metachronous tumours might be delayed.\(^9\,9\)–\(^10\) A study that included 93 patients with SDHD HNPGLs found that a diagnosis of biochemically positive PPGLs was made in 30% of patients (with five glomus paragangliomas).\(^3\) The diagnosis was made at the initial screening in 63% of patients, whereas in 37% of patients the PPGLs were detected after repeated biochemical screening. In this study, only patients in whom urinary excretion of catecholamines or metabolites was above the reference limit were subjected to imaging.\(^10\) In a follow-up study performed over 22 years, new paragangliomas were found in 73% patients, most of which were HNPPGLs. Eight patients (4%) developed phaeochromocytomas, and 12 (5%) developed sympathetic paragangliomas.\(^1\) The diagnosis of a biochemically positive lesion is crucial to avoid risks related to catecholamine crises. Therefore, an annual assessment of plasma metanephrines in the follow-up of patients with SDHD should be mandatory.\(^5\,13\) To limit radiation exposure to patients, whole-body MRI or multiple-standard MRIs should be performed first.\(^13\,13\) If possible, and on the basis of current clinical assessment, the administration of gadolinium-based contrast agents could be avoided due to the risk of deposition in the brain. PET–CT can be performed every 3–5 years on an individual basis to screen for multifocality and metastasis.\(^5\)
Recommendation 28
We recommend the use of SSTR PET–CT to evaluate disease progression in patients with metastatic PPGL (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=2).

Recommendation 29
We recommend characterising disease progression in the setting of an interdisciplinary tumour team with clinical, biochemical, and imaging (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=2).

Recommendation 30
We recommend active surveillance for patients with asymptomatic metastatic lesions (or stable symptoms and signs), or stable or very slow-growing metastatic lesions (ie, stable disease for >12 months), particularly in patients with low tumour burden (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=2).

Recommendation 31
We recommend considering local therapies (eg, surgery, therapeutic radiation, and interventional radiology procedures) for patients with symptomatic oligometastatic SDHD PPGL without contraindication that cannot be otherwise controlled or in those with lesions at risk of severe local complications (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=2).

Recommendation 32
We recommend targeted radionuclide therapy as the first-line systemic therapy for SSTR-positive or ¹²³I-MIBG-positive metastatic tumours with moderate to high tumour burden and without evidence of rapidly progressive disease (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=2).

Recommendation 33
We recommend chemotherapy as the first-line therapy in patients with rapid progression or high visceral tumour burden and possibly as second-line therapy if there is rapid progression after other systemic therapies (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=2). In patients for whom chemotherapy with cyclophosphamide, vincristine, and dacarbazine (CVD) is not tolerated, not wished for by the patient, or if there are contraindications to CVD, tyrosine kinase inhibitors (eg, sunitinib) or temozolomide can be used as alternative agents while carefully evaluating their adverse effects.

Recommendation 34
We recommend either tyrosine kinase inhibitors (eg, sunitinib; grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=3) or temozolomide (grade strength=1; quality of evidence rating=2) in patients with progressing tumours who are not eligible for peptide receptor radionuclide therapy (PRRT) or ¹³¹I-MIBG or following progression to radionuclide therapy or CVD.

Evidence for recommendations 28–34
Assessment of disease progression mainly relies on anatomical and functional imaging in selected patients (preferably PET–CT with somatostatin analogues). The Consensus on Molecular Imaging and Theranostics in Neuroendocrine Tumors has proposed that the detection of new lesions, after the exclusion of pitfalls, by functional imaging with the same tracer can be considered sufficient to define progression. However, data are too scarce to provide any recommendation in the setting of patients with metastatic SDHD PPGLs.

In a study that included therapy-naive patients with metastatic PPGLs, 87% of patients who experienced progressive disease at one year had progressive disease at baseline. Therefore, an imaging assessment 3 months after the diagnosis of metastatic disease might be recommended. As SDHD PPGL metastases are often associated with slow progression, active surveillance might be reasonable for patients with low tumour burden (figure 4).

Surgery for the primary tumour (see recommendation 14) and locoregional treatments should be considered on an individual basis in an interdisciplinary tumour
board meeting. Patient preparation was recommended for all local interventions, similar to that for surgery.

The European Society of Hypertension recommends targeted radionuclide therapy for patients with slow or moderate disease progression with a moderate tumour burden (figure 4). Targeted radionuclide therapy of metastatic or inoperable PPGLs is a palliative treatment with patients rarely showing complete responses. The goals of therapy are the stabilisation and regression of progressive, metastatic, or inoperable tumours, amelioration of symptoms, and control of disease-specific cardiovascular effects. The choice between the two systemic radiotherapeutic options, PRRT or ¹³¹I-MIBG, is mainly dependent on the imaging phenotypes seen on SSTR PET–CT and ¹²³I-MIBG scintigraphy. A radiopharmaceutical is favoured if it is superior in targeting most or all of a patient’s lesions. If both radiopharmaceuticals localise similarly, other issues related to toxicity and morbidity should be considered. Additional issues to be considered are reimbursement and inpatient versus outpatient therapies. PRRT is favoured when the patient has a low bone marrow reserve or baseline leukopenia or thrombocytopenia due to a lower potential for bone marrow toxicity, especially compared with 18-5 GBq ¹³¹I-MIBG. Owing to the less differentiated nature of SDHD PPGLs and their usual parasympathetic origin, PRRT is used more than ¹³¹I-MIBG therapy in this setting. The results from clinical studies investigating PRRT, low-specific and high-specific activity ¹¹¹I-MIBG therapy, and potential side effects are summarised in the appendix (pp 3–7). The disease control rate (DCR) for patients with PPGLs with PRRT in most retrospective studies was at least 80%, and the progression-free survival (PFS) was 17–39 months. In the largest meta-analysis of 234 pooled patients with PPGLs treated with PRRT, a high DCR of 90% was reported. However, only 166 (74%) of 225 patients showed progressive disease at treatment initiation, which makes drawing conclusions complicated. Another meta-analysis of 201 pooled patients with PPGLs treated with PRRT reported an overall response rate of 25% and DCR of 84%. ¹³¹I-MIBG treatment is more effective for patients with SDHB pathogenic variants; 15 patients evaluable by RECIST; and one SDHC pathogenic variant, and seven patients with SDHB pathogenic variants; 15 patients evaluable by RECIST; and one SDHB pathogenic variant (overall PFS=13·3 months, with a significantly longer PFS of 19·7 months in SDHB pathogenic variants carriers vs 2·9 months in non-SDHB pathogenic variants carriers). Another retrospective study of 17 patients (one patient with a SDHA pathogenic variant, one patient with a SDHC pathogenic variant, and seven patients with SDHB pathogenic variants; 15 patients evaluable by RECIST; and one SDHC pathogenic variant, and seven patients with SDHB pathogenic variants; 15 patients evaluable by RECIST; and one SDHC pathogenic variant, and seven patients with SDHB pathogenic variants; 15 patients evaluable by RECIST; and one SDHC pathogenic variant, and seven patients with SDHB pathogenic variants: one study ⁴¹⁶ ¹¹¹I-MIBG therapy was used in 10 patients, including 10 patients with SDHB, reported an overall DCR of 80% with a partial response rate of 33% (according to RECIST plus PERCIST), with all responders being carriers of SDHB pathogenic variants (overall PFS=13·3 months, with a significantly longer PFS of 19·7 months in SDHB pathogenic variants carriers vs 2·9 months in non-SDHB pathogenic variants carriers). Thus, whether temozolomide has a specific benefit for SDH pathogenic variant carriers remains unclear. Other studies are described in the appendix (pp 3–7).

TKIs might worsen hypertension; thus, careful follow-up and aggressive antihypertensive dosage adjustment
before and during TKI therapy are needed. In patients with progression following sunitinib or temozolomide treatment, an alternative treatment can be used. Follow-up surveillance is important to screen for new PPGL, and metastases. The management of metastatic PPGL mainly relies on hormonal secretion, disease extension, and pace of growth. This guideline should help to standardise high-quality care for patients with PPGLs with SDHD pathogenic variants.

Conclusion

All patients with SDHD pathogenic variants should be managed by an expert interdisciplinary team and require clinical, endocrine, and imaging assessments to screen and diagnose PPGL at a whole-body scale. This screening can be achieved by a combination of morphological imaging and, in most patients, by SSTR PET–CT. In patients with HNPGL, long-term preservation of cranial nerve function is a main concern when considering treatment. Therapeutic radiation can complement or be an alternative to surgery in some situations. Life-long surveillance is important to screen for new PPGL, disease progression, and metastases. The management of metastatic PPGL mainly relies on hormonal secretion, disease extension, and pace of growth. This guideline should help to standardise high-quality care for patients with PPGLs with SDHD pathogenic variants.
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