Elucidating excited state electronic structure and intercomponent interactions in multicomponent and supramolecular systems
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Rational design of supramolecular systems for application in photonic devices requires a clear understanding of both the mechanism of energy and electron transfer processes and how these processes can be manipulated. Central to achieving these goals is a detailed picture of their electronic structure and of the interaction between the constituent components. We review several approaches that have been taken towards gaining such understanding, with particular focus on the physical techniques employed. In the discussion, case studies are introduced to illustrate the key issues under consideration.

1. Introduction

Molecular devices, based on supramolecular (multicomponent) assemblies employing covalent and non-covalent bonds between components, are of increasing interest in the development of molecular electronics and photonic devices. One of the primary goals behind the construction of supramolecular systems is to control the direction and rate of electron and energy transfer processes, both energetically and spatially.1 Although the energetic characteristics of these systems can be manipulated relatively easily, spatial control, in terms of both direction and rate, of energy and electron transfer can be achieved only when the orbital nature of both ground and excited electronic states is understood.

Multinuclear transition metal complexes, such as those based on $d^6$ polypyridyl complexes (i.e., Re(I), Ru(II), Os(II), Rh(III), Ir(III)) have received considerable attention, both in fundamental studies and for application in molecular photonic systems.2 The attraction of these metal compounds arises from the well-defined electrochemical and photophysical properties of their polypyridyl complexes and the extensive synthetic chemistry available, which enables systematic tuning of these properties for particular applications.3 An additional advantage of employing 2nd and 3rd row transition metal complexes in studying intercomponent interactions lies in the stability of these complexes in different redox states. In consequence, the present tutorial review focuses primarily on metal-centred systems. However, it must be emphasised that the techniques discussed and approaches taken in these studies are not exclusive to metal based systems but can be applied equally well to organic systems.
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In this review, we consider the characterisation of electronic states, and the application of such information to understanding the mechanism of interaction in multi-component systems. Section 2 provides a brief overview of the theoretical aspects behind photoinduced processes. Section 3 contains a description of experimental techniques available to probe electronic excited state structure. In Section 4 a number of case studies are presented outlining how the electronic properties of compounds can be studied and how this information can be used to investigate the nature of intramolecular photoinduced processes. Special attention will be paid to the nature of intercomponent interactions both in the ground state and in the excited state.

2. Theoretical considerations

Excited state intercomponent processes can be divided into three groups, energy, electron, and proton transfer. Electron transfer can itself be classified as thermal, optical, or photo-induced, while energy transfer is generally described in terms of through-bond (Dexter) or through-space ( Förster) mechanisms. As more comprehensive reviews of these areas are available in the literature already, only a brief description and discussion of these processes is included here.

Energy and electron transfer processes share several features; a strong distance dependence between donor and acceptor groups, and through space and through bond mechanisms are relevant to both. In order to understand these processes, three aspects should be considered:

i) The nature of the donor state,

ii) The nature of the acceptor state,

iii) The mechanism by which the donor and acceptor communicate.

In this section these aspects are addressed, together with a brief introduction to electronic structure in polypyridyl-based transition metal complexes.

2.1 Electronic structure in heteroleptic complexes

Molecular assemblies employed as building blocks for photonic devices will, by necessity, consist of a number of different components. To create such assemblies, in general, heteroleptic metal complexes, i.e., complexes containing more than one type of ligand (typically polypyridyl ligands), are employed as building blocks.

Central to the development of polypyridyl based systems is the paradigm complex \([\text{Ru}(bipy)_3]^{2+}\) (bipy = 2,2’-bipyridyl). Since the first report of luminescence from this complex by Paris and Brandt in the 1960’s, compounds of this type have proven a mainstay of inorganic photophysics. A central issue regarding the photophysical properties of \([\text{Ru}(bipy)_3]^{2+}\), is the nature of the lowest emissive electronic states. In particular, the degree of delocalisation of the lowest excited states (i.e., whether on a single bipy ligand or delocalised over all three ligands) prompted lively debate over several decades. The electronic properties of \([\text{Ru}(bipy)_3]^{2+}\) are now relatively well understood and their lower electronic state manifolds are shown in Fig. 1.10

The lowest energy electronic transitions of this compound are metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) in nature (i.e., \(\text{Ru(t)-bipy to Ru(III)-bipy}\)). The complex shows strong emission from its 1MLCT state, while thermal population of a 1MC (metal centred state) determines the photostability of the complex. However, the electronic structure of heteroleptic complexes is expected to be more intricate. For example, in a complex containing different bidentate ligands (e.g., \([\text{M(A)D}]^{0+}\), or tridentate ligands (e.g., \([\text{M(CD)}]^{0+}\)) the potential energy diagram will contain a 1MLCT and a 3MLCT...
energy level for each ligand. In this case it is important to know which triplet level is the lowest in energy, since, under Kasha’s rule, it is this level which will determine the emissive and intercomponent energy/electron transfer properties of the molecular assembly. The methods available to identify the nature of the lowest excited electronic manifold will be addressed below.

2.2 Intercomponent transfer processes

Electron transfer. Electron transfer, at its simplest level, involves the movement of an electron from one point to another. In reality, however, it is better described as the movement of electron density between two components in a multi-component system (e.g., D~A, where D is the donor, A is the acceptor and ~ the connecting bridge). In optical electron transfer (process 1 in Fig. 2) the movement of charge occurs upon absorption of a photon of suitable energy. Examples of optical electron transfer are the intercalation (IT) bands in mixed valence compounds, which are typically found in the near-IR region of the absorption spectrum.6,13 In contrast, with photoinduced electron transfer, absorption of light creates an initial excited state (D*) in the donor component (process 2, Fig. 2) followed by thermal electron transfer (process 3, Fig. 2) to form the charge separated state (D~A~).

Energy transfer. As with electron transfer, energy transfer is the movement of energy from a donor group to an acceptor group. Again, consideration of energy transfer involves understanding the energy (and orbital nature) of the donating state and of the accepting state. Equally important is that the mechanism by which energy is transferred can be described as being a combination of two limiting cases; dipole–dipole exchange (Förster) and double electron energy transfer (Dexter, Fig. 3). These limits are often described as being through space and through bond respectively. In the case of Förster energy transfer, the through-space dipole–dipole interaction is distance dependent and also dependent on the relative orientation of the interacting dipoles. Similarly, with Dexter energy transfer the rate of energy transfer (k_{EnT}) is distance dependent. However, the ability of the orbitals of the bridging unit to mediate the double electron transfer, and not the orientation of donor and acceptor dipoles, is paramount.

Ground state interaction mechanisms—HOMO vs LUMO superexchange processes. When the intramolecular processes in multinuclear systems are investigated it is not always appreciated that there may be differences in the interaction between the active components in the ground state and the excited state. Studies often concentrate on either the excited state (photophysics) or the ground state (electrochemistry). However, the interaction in the ground state needs to be assessed very differently from that in the excited state. In this section we will discuss, briefly, ground state interaction using models obtained from mixed valence compounds.

The observation of intercalation transitions in the near infrared region and the relationship between the properties of these transitions (e.g., band width, energy, molar absorptivity, etc.) and the extent of interaction between the metal centres in multinuclear complexes has prompted extensive studies in this area. Of particular interest is the relative delocalisation of the SOMO (singly occupied molecular orbital) over the metal centres in the mixed valence state and the mechanism of interaction in such systems, which may be through-bond (i.e. superexchange processes mediated via either the ‘HOMO’ or ‘LUMO’ of the bridging ligand) (Fig. 4) or through-space (and hence electrostatic).

The results of these studies can be extended, albeit tentatively, as a guide to the level of excited state communication between the molecular components in large systems in
systems. In Fig. 5 the components of a typical transition orbital occurs in the majority of transition metal based the case, and indeed significant mixing of metal and ligand metal-centred (MC) excited states are involved, this is rarely exception of lanthanide-based systems, where essentially pure orbitals are located entirely on the metal centres. With the approximation holds only if the donor and acceptor communication may be strong.\(^{16}\)

2.3 What is the donor–acceptor separation?

Of central importance to the understanding of electron and energy transfer processes is the effective distance over which this transfer occurs. Conventionally the donor and acceptor groups are approximated by points, typically, in the case of metal complexes, at the metal centres.\(^6\) In reality, however, this approximation holds only if the donor and acceptor orbitals are located entirely on the metal centres. With the exception of lanthanide-based systems, where essentially pure metal-centred (MC) excited states are involved, this is rarely the case, and indeed significant mixing of metal and ligand orbitals occurs in the majority of transition metal based systems.\(^{18}\) In Fig. 5 the components of a typical transition metal based donor–acceptor system are illustrated. Given the sensitive dependence of the calculated electron and energy transfer rates on distance (e.g., \(1/r^6\)), determining the true donor–acceptor separation is essential. The effective electron–energy transfer distance must be considered in terms of the orbital nature of the donor and acceptor states. The question then arises as to how the orbital nature of these states may be determined.

2.4 Intramolecular processes: strong vs weak coupling

The extent of communication between the metal centres is usually described in relative terms, as strongly coupled, weakly coupled \(etc.\) While undoubtedly very useful in describing and comparing related systems, the terms employed can lead to some confusion.

In optical electron transfer, the Robin and Day\(^{15c}\) classification of interaction strength is employed widely. In this classification, Type I indicates that no intercomponent interaction exists, Type III indicates that the two components interact strongly and the assembly is best viewed as a large molecule and not a multicomponent system and Type II systems exhibit a significant interaction between the components, which, however, retain the properties of the individual entities. Meyer and co-workers later introduced an additional classification, Type II/III, where the interaction can be switched between Type II and III, depending on the solvent conditions employed.\(^{17}\) The strength of interaction is generally expressed using the Hush parameters, \(H_{ab}\) (in cm\(^{-1}\)) and \(\sigma^2\), which can be determined from a detailed analysis of the IT bands of the mixed valence compound in question.\(^{15}\)

The terms ‘weak’ and ‘strong’ coupling are also used in the context of intramolecular excited state processes (\(i.e.\) internal conversion, IC and intersystem crossing ISC). However, in this case such descriptions refer to the nuclear displacement (\(i.e.\) the Huang Rhys Factor, \(S\)) of the two electronic (vibronic) states with respect to each other. For weakly coupled (or nested) states, the difference in displacement along the nuclear coordinate is small (\(S < 1\)), while for strongly coupled states the difference is large (\(S > 1\)).\(^{18}\)

3. Experimental methods for the investigation of the electronic structure of compounds

The number of techniques, which have found useful application in the elucidation of electronic structure, is quite large and although most techniques are limited in what they ‘see’, the application of several complementary techniques to individual problems can overcome many limitations. The experimental methods can be sub-divided into steady-state and transient. However there is considerable overlap between these classifications. Thus, whilst UV-vis and emission spectroscopy are clearly steady-state techniques, they are used also encountered as transient techniques. Equally, although spectroelectrochemistry allows electrochemically generated transient (unstable) species to be probed spectroscopically, it is generally viewed as being a steady-state technique. Hence, the classification made is, in many respects, arbitrary but is retained here to help simplify the present discussion.

In the following sections, some of the more frequently encountered techniques are presented briefly with emphasis placed on the utility of these techniques in elucidating electronic structure and properties. The techniques available for probing the electronic structure of transition metal complexes include the more common steady state techniques (\(e.g.\), electronic absorption, luminescence and resonance Raman spectroscopy, electrochemistry) and a range of transient techniques (\(e.g.\), time resolved absorption, luminescence, infrared and resonance Raman spectroscopies). More recently other techniques such as ESR\(^{19}\) spectroscopy, and, increasingly, computational methods have been applied. In addition, other strategies such as deuteration, both of solvent and ligand,\(^{18}\) and acid–base\(^{20}\) behaviour have proven useful in elucidating electronic structure.
3.1 Steady state techniques

Electronic spectroscopy and electrochemistry are probably the most widely used techniques in probing electronic structure. Indeed a close correlation between redox properties and electronic absorption has been observed in many cases.\(^{21}\) This is not surprising as both techniques address the HOMO and LUMOs of the molecules examined. However, it must be noted that this relationship is not certain, since although oxidation involves removal of an electron from the HOMO and reduction involves addition of an electron to the LUMO, electronic absorption involves simultaneous removal of an electron from the HOMO and transfer to the LUMO. A further complication is that the lowest excited state (the THEXI or thermally equilibrated excited state) is stabilised relative to the initially populated Franck-Condon state (\textit{i.e.}, the state formed following a vertical electronic transition) and hence its orbital nature might not necessarily be identical to the LUMO level ‘filled’ electrochemically. In thermal (see Fig. 2), as opposed to optical, electron transfer processes [as opposed to optical], however, the LUMO level of the acceptor unit is filled in an almost equivalent way to electrochemical reduction, whilst back electron transfer to the donor HOMO is equivalent to reduction of the oxidised donor (D\(^\ast\)). An important consideration in assigning electrochemical processes, however, is the possibility of mixing between metal-based and ligand-based orbitals. For example, in many ruthenium–dioxolene systems the lowest oxidation processes are not exclusively ligand- or metal-centred but involve both centres. This latter aspect is treated in detail elsewhere.\(^{22}\)

**Resonance Raman spectroscopy**\(^{23}\). Although comparison with related systems allows for a general assignment of electronic transitions in terms of the type of excited state being populated, definitive assignment cannot be made based solely on electrochemistry or UV-Vis spectroscopy. In order to make such assignments, resonance Raman spectroscopy has been employed. Raman scattering provides vibrational information complementary to IR spectroscopy but is inherently a very weak effect. However, when the excitation wavelength chosen is coincident with an electronic absorption band of a compound, enhancement (by factors up to \(~10^6\) of the Raman scattering from vibrational modes of the chromophore can occur. The resulting \textit{resonance Raman} (rR) spectrum is useful in assigning electronic transitions due to the selective enhancement of vibrational bands associated with the transition. In recent years, Raman spectroscopy has undergone something of a re-birth experimentally, primarily due to the increased availability of low-cost laser sources, holographic optical filters and sensitive CCD based detectors.\(^{23c,e}\)

**Spectroelectrochemistry**\(^{24}\). Spectroelectrochemistry involves the combination of an electrochemical technique with a spectroscopic one, so that the measurements are performed simultaneously. This allows for the \textit{in situ} generation of synthetically inaccessible species (such as oxidised and reduced compounds), thus enabling their examination by spectroscopic techniques. In the case of optical spectroelectrochemistry the reappearance of the original spectra subsequent to electrochemical oxidation (or reduction) enables the chemical and electrochemical reversibility of a redox process to be tested. Alternatively, it can highlight chemically irreversible redox processes and provide valuable information from the resultant spectra about the constitution of the product.\(^{25}\)

3.2 Transient techniques

At the most fundamental level, transient techniques involve the generation of a population inversion between the ground electronic state and higher excited states, which is then probed spectroscopically. With sufficiently long-lived species (in practice, the definition of ‘long-lived’ is dependent to a large extent on the equipment available!), the recovery of the ground state population can be followed with time (\textit{i.e.}, using time-resolved techniques). In both transient and time resolved experiments, the spectra of the ‘excited state species’ (A\(^\ast\)) and its evolution with time may be investigated. Almost any technique can be applied as a transient technique, \textit{e.g.} FTIR,\(^{26}\) resonance Raman,\(^{26}\) UV-Vis spectroscopy and, more recently, electrochemistry.\(^{27}\)

In the case of the use of resonance Raman to investigate transient species, it is important to be clear about the distinction between the two common approaches, referred to as single- and two-colour techniques, in which pulsed lasers are used to probe the Raman scattering from transients.\(^{23c,d}\) In the single-colour experiment the same laser pulse populates the excited state (\textit{i.e.} produces the transient species) and then probes the Raman (or, more usually, resonance Raman) scattering from that species (Fig. 6). Depending on the laser pulse energy (for a fixed beam diameter), the Raman (rR) spectrum will contain contributions from both the transient and parent molecular species. This single-colour approach, generally referred to as transient resonance Raman (TR\(^2\)) spectroscopy is by far the more commonly encountered transient Raman technique, often regarded as ‘time-resolved’, though in practice it provides little information about the dynamics of excited state processes.

True time-resolved resonance Raman (TR\(^3\)) spectroscopy involves a two-colour technique using two laser pulses, generally (but not necessarily) of different wavelength, operated in a pump-pulse delayed-probe-pulse arrangement (Fig. 7).

It is somewhat ironic that whereas infrared spectroscopy has seen much more widespread usage than Raman spectroscopy as a steady state technique, its application to time-resolved spectroscopy has been somewhat more limited. However, time-resolved IR (TRIR) has recently seen rapid developmental progress\(^{26c}\) and has shown particular application to the study of carbonyl complexes, in particular rhenium(I) carbonyl complexes such as [Re(dmb)R(CO)\(_3\)]\(^+\) (where dmb = 4,4’-dimethyl-2,2’-bipyridine, R = CH\(_3\), CD\(_3\), Et, iPr, Bz).\(^{28a}\) There are also examples where both TRIR and TR\(^3\) have been employed in a complementary manner to address photophysical problems in such systems.\(^{28c}\)

Although many of the compounds employed in photophysical studies have singlet electronic ground states, their lowest long lived excited states are frequently not singlet,
particularly in the case of metal complexes, and hence may be ESR or EPR active. ESR has proven especially useful in examining long lived excited and charge-separated states.  

3.3 Computational techniques

Over the last decade computational (theoretical) techniques have grown from being a specialism, somewhat ‘alien’ to experimental chemistry, to becoming an increasingly commonplace tool in all areas of research, not least in studying electronic and redox processes. The pioneering work of J. A. Pople in the introduction and, perhaps more importantly, the objective assessment of computational models, was central to the birth and growth of this branch of chemistry, assisted by the advent of low cost high power computing resources and better graphical user interfaces.

Computational chemistry techniques are often divided into three distinct levels of theory; molecular mechanics, semi-empirical, and ab initio/DFT. Studies of the electronic structure in large molecules such as metal polypyridyl complexes frequently use either the semi-empirical method ZINDO (Zerner’s Intermediate Neglect of Differential Overlap) or density functional theory (DFT). ZINDO may be regarded as an approximation to ab initio theory and is parameterised to reproduce experimental results, whereas DFT is intended to be exact, although in practice approximate functionals are used.

DFT (based on the use of functionals linking the ground electronic state energy to the electron density) and ab-initio methods employ mathematical approximations to solve the time independent Schrödinger equation. Formally, DFT may only be used to calculate the electronic structure of the lowest energy state of each irreducible representation of the molecular point group – this is a consequence of the Hohenberg–Kohn theorem upon which modern DFT is built. For a Ru(II) complex with C$_1$ symmetry, this means that, formally, only the singlet ground state (S$_0$) may be studied. However, recent studies on Ru(II) polypyridyl complexes show that calculation of the lowest energy triplet state ($T_1$) gives energies in good agreement with...
weak coupling limit (i.e., the electron density in the region of the accepting X–H oscillator) determines the extent of the effect of isotopic substitution. To a first approximation, deuteration of ligand A in the hypothetical complex [M(A)₂(B)] will lead to an increase in the emission lifetime only if the emitting state is based on that ligand. Otherwise the emission lifetime will be unchanged. This behaviour is observed for many compounds and some examples will be given below. It needs to be considered, however, that coupling of excited states (i.e. fast internal conversion between low lying excited states) may take place, in which case the results obtained may be less clear. An additional role for deuteration of ligands is encountered in rR spectroscopy. When used in conjunction with excited state rR, deuteration becomes an important tool in determining the nature of the emitting state. Since the vibrational features observed in excited state rR are related to the ligand where the excited state is located, a frequency shift in certain vibrational features upon deuteration can provide direct evidence that the excited state under investigation is localised on the deuterated ligand, as for instance in the case of a ligand radical anion in an MLCT state (vide infra).

3.5 Acid–base chemistry in the excited state

Upon excitation of a molecule to an electronic state above the ground state, a new molecular entity is produced with properties significantly different from those in the ground state. For example, the molecule may become simultaneously a stronger oxidising and a stronger reducing agent, its absorption spectrum will generally be changed and the acid–base properties (pKₐ) may be different. The differences observed can be related directly to the differences in the electron density distribution in the molecule. These differences are particularly significant when the excited state has charge transfer character (e.g. MLCT, LMCT, IVCT).

The difference between ground and excited state acid–base behaviour can provide information regarding the nature of the excited state. If the compound is emissive, the excited state pKₐ may be obtained from the pH dependence of the emission spectrum. Excited state pKₐ values (pKₐ*) have, therefore, been used to obtain information about the location of the excited state in mixed ligand complexes. It is generally observed that when the lowest energy excited state is located on the ligand with the acid–base properties, the compound becomes less acidic in the excited state than in the ground state and vice versa (Fig. 8a and 8b respectively). This approach has been used to determine the excited state character of a wide range of polypyridyl complexes containing ligands such as carboxy bipyridyls, triazoles, imidazoles and CN⁻, which have well-defined acid–base properties.

The extraction of the pKₐ* from emission intensity versus pH plots must, however, be done with consideration of the lifetime of the excited states. The best estimate is obtained from the following equation:

\[ \text{pK}_{\text{a}*} = \text{pH}_i + \log \frac{\tau_{\text{acid}}}{\tau_{\text{base}}} \]
where pH\textsubscript{i} is the inflection point of the emission versus pH plot. Excited state pK\textsubscript{a} (pK\textsubscript{a}*) values can be obtained also using the difference in absorption features via the Förster cycle.\textsuperscript{20} However, with this approach significant errors may be encountered. It is also important to point out that in order to obtain valid pK\textsubscript{a}* values, an acid–base equilibrium must be established in the excited state. This may not be the case if one of the species has a very short lifetime. In that case the acid–base behaviour observed may be related to the ground state.

A further consideration is that the use of acid–base properties to help assign excited state location relies on the location of the excited state being the same in both the protonated and deprotonated excited state. This may not necessarily be the case (Fig. 9) and in this situation the interpretation of pK\textsubscript{a}* data becomes quite challenging.

**3.6 Time scale and environment**

In time resolved studies, the time scale over which the experiment is conducted is important when comparing results from different techniques. Equally important is that experimental conditions (e.g., solvent, concentration) be kept constant, between techniques. For example, comparing UV-Vis absorption and luminescence properties at mM concentration of a compound, which may aggregate at high concentration, with electrochemical properties measured at mM concentration is at best, unwise.

**3.7 Absence of evidence is not evidence of absence**

One aspect that deserves explicit mention at this point is that of the importance of a multi-technique approach to photophysical studies. For example, although both transient resonance Raman (TR\textsuperscript{2}) and luminescence spectroscopies are key to the assignment of the lowest emissive excited state of the complex onto the pyrazyl ring, i.e. giving a pyrazine based 3MLCT state (Fig. 10). Kincaid and co-workers have examined the spectroscopic properties (principally by resonance Raman and transient resonance Raman) of this complex in order to confirm that this is indeed the case.\textsuperscript{36} Central to the assignment of vibrational features was the use of isotopic labelling. The resonance Raman spectra of the lowest energy absorption bands showed features typical of both pyridyl and pyrazyl rings. However, in the excited state resonance Raman spectra the characteristic bipy anion radical vibrational features (1212 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and 1285 cm\textsuperscript{-1}) were absent in the spectra of [Ru(bipy)\textsubscript{2}(pypz)]\textsuperscript{2+} with the spectral features of the pyridyl/pyrazyl radical anion (1212 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and 1277 cm\textsuperscript{-1}) observed instead (Fig. 11 B). The use of [Ru([D\textsubscript{5}],bipy)\textsubscript{2}(pypz)]\textsuperscript{2+} and [Ru(bipy)\textsubscript{2}([D\textsubscript{5}],pyrazyl)]\textsuperscript{2+} were key to the assignment of the two states (e.g., one emissive and one non-emissive ‘dark state’) are populated to a significant level then it might be the case that the emissive state is not in resonance with the excitation laser line used in a TR\textsuperscript{2} study. Hence, each technique will ‘see’ a different excited state. In most systems, where only one excited state reaches a significant population this problem does not arise. However, in multi-component systems it may become a significant issue. Ultimately, the more experimental and computational information that can be acquired about a system the more confidence that can be placed in the reliability of the resulting interpretations.

**4. Case studies**

In the following sections representative examples of investigations of the photophysical properties of transition metal complexes are discussed. In these examples various approaches are taken to studying electronic and photophysical properties of often complex systems. It is impossible to provide a comprehensive review of the literature in this area within the confines of the present article. Accordingly, we have selected examples from our own recent work and that of other research groups, to illustrate how experimental and computational techniques may be used to elucidate photophysical properties and intercomponent processes. Issues to be addressed include the determination of the location of the excited state in mixed ligand systems, the ability to tune the excited state properties of compounds by small variation in ligand design and the investigation of intramolecular processes between components in multinuclear systems, both in the excited state and in the ground state.
lowest excited state as being localised on the pypz ligand and polarised towards the pyrazine fragment. Deuteration of the bipy ligands had no effect on the features observed in the excited state resonance Raman spectra, whereas deuteration of the pyridyl fragment of pypz ([D2]-pypz) resulted in a modest shift in the 1212 cm\(^{-1}\) band (Fig. 11 D). Therefore, although to a first approximation the lowest 3MLCT state of [Ru(bipy)2(pypz)]\(^{2+}\) may be considered to be based on the strong \(\pi\)-acceptor pyrazine ring, in reality the lowest 3MLCT state is delocalised over the entire ligand (pypz), albeit with considerable polarisation towards the pyrazine fragment.

\[ \text{[Ru(bipy)}_{2}\text{pytr}]^{+}/\text{[Ru(bipy)}_{2}\text{pztr}]^{+}. \]

An extensive study of ruthenium complexes based on 1,2,4-triazole based ligands has been reported\(^{37}\) (Fig. 12). The complexes [Ru(bipy)\(_2\)pytr]\(^+\) (1) and [Ru(bipy)\(_2\)pztr]\(^+\) (2) are almost identical in structure, differing only in the replacement of a C–H by N. The presence of the pH sensitive triazole group allows external manipulation of excited state electronic structure and considerable control over electronic and photochemical properties. In this section some of the electronic properties of these pyridine and pyrazine based complexes will be compared, with particular emphasis on the application of deuteration, acid–base properties, electrochemistry and resonance Raman spectroscopy. The influence of the protonation state of the triazole ring (Fig. 12) on the electronic properties of the compounds will also be considered.

Both 1 and 2 show two well-defined reduction processes at potentials almost identical to that observed for [Ru(bipy)\(_3\)]\(^{2+}\). The assignment of the first reduction as being bipy based suggests that the lowest excited state will be localised on the bipy ligand. This is confirmed by the increase in emission lifetime observed upon deuteration of the bipy ligands (no increase is observed for the complexes with deuterated pytr\(^-\) and pztr\(^-\) ligands), and by the observation of very strong bipy radical anion features in the excited state resonance Raman spectra of the complexes (Fig. 13). In addition, for H1 only bipy anion radical features were observed in the transient resonance Raman spectra.

However, for H2, excited state resonance Raman spectroscopy did not produce any evidence of bipy anion radical vibrations, and instead pyrazine anion radical features were observed (Fig. 14). This indicates that whereas for 1/H1 the lowest excited state is firmly bipy based regardless of protonation state, for 2/H2 a switching is observed upon protonation from a bipy to a pyrazine based excited state.

Spectroscopic investigation of these complexes and, in particular, temperature dependent measurements, highlights the complexity of excited electronic state structure. For example, the decrease in emission lifetime upon protonation...
of 1 to H1 is accompanied by a blue shift in emission energy. This decrease is unexpected on the basis of the well-known energy (Table 1) gap law, which would predict a lifetime increase and was rationalised by assuming the involvement of a low lying 3MC state, which could engage in thermally activated decay of the excited state. This explanation was verified by examination of the photochemical properties of the complexes and by temperature dependent emission spectroscopy. The data obtained indicate that for both 1 and 2 the 3MC state is not populated, while for the protonated compounds this metal-centred state can be accessed thermally. This behaviour is consistent with the fact that whereas the deprotonated complexes are photostable, protonation leads to the photoinduced ligand substitution expected for compounds with accessible 3MC states.

A surprising observation made for 2 is that a dual emission, with two peaks at 590 nm and 710 nm of approximately equal intensity is observed between 120 and 200 K, with the higher energy emission at 590 nm showing stronger temperature dependence than the 710 nm feature. Resonance Raman data identified the lower energy state as being bipy based. The 590 nm state was assigned as being pyrazine based (see Fig. 15).

4.2 Calculation of excited state properties by DFT

In the last few years the improved computational power of desktop computers and advances in theoretical methods have lead to an increased use of techniques such as DFT for the investigation of polymeric complexes. A few examples of how
theoretical studies can be used to model excited states are discussed in this section. Daul et al. were the first to use DFT to calculate the energies of the electronic transitions of a ruthenium polypyridyl complex, with the investigation of the MLCT manifold of \([\text{Ru(bipy)}_3]^{2+}\). Since then, time-dependent DFT (TDDFT) has been used widely to investigate the excited states of \(\text{Ru(II)}\) polypyridyl complexes. TDDFT has been used to calculate the energies of the electronic transitions (both singlet and triplet) of the ground state of the complex. For example, Amini et al. found good agreement between calculation and experiment for the energy of the 3MLCT state of \([\text{Ru(terpy)}_2]^{2+}\) (16,365 cm\(^{-1}\) vs. 16,920 cm\(^{-1}\)). The energies of electronic transitions may also be calculated using configuration interaction (CI) and ZINDO/S, where the 'S' indicates that the method is parameterised to reproduce spectroscopic data. ZINDO/S–CI is much less computationally expensive than TDDFT and has proven very useful. Using this method, Pourtois et al. calculated the energy of the 3MLCT of \([\text{Ru(bipy)}_3]^{2+}\) to be 2.42 eV (19,520 cm\(^{-1}\) or 512 nm) at the ground state geometry.

Although the electronic structures of the excited states are not available using TDDFT or ZINDO/S–CI approaches, the electron distribution and hence the extent of localisation of the excited state may be visualised readily using electron density difference maps (EDDMs), as shown in Fig. 16 for \([\text{Ru(bipy)}_3]^{2+}\) and \([\text{Ru(bipy)}_2(bpz)]^{2+}\) (Fig. 10). The EDDM for the lowest energy transition of \([\text{Ru(bipy)}_3]^{2+}\) (Fig. 16 (a)) shows a decrease in charge density at the metal centre, and a corresponding increase on each of the bipyridyl ligands—hence, the lowest energy transition is \(d\text{Ru}^A\)p\^*bipy MLCT. In the heteroleptic complex, \([\text{Ru(bipy)}_2(bpz)]^{2+}\), bipyrazyl is a better acceptor than bipyridyl, and the lowest energy transition is \(d\text{Ru}^A\)p\^*bpz. This result is in agreement with the interpretation shown in Fig. 10 obtained from rR experiments. In many cases, the EDDM for the lowest energy transition can be constructed by simply subtracting the square of the HOMO, \(\Psi^2\), of the ground state from that of the LUMO, \(\Psi^2\). However, care is required in the case of degenerate transitions.

**Table 1** Selected emission lifetimes for 1, 2 and H2 and their deuteriated analogues at 298 K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>(t/\text{ns})</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>(t/\text{ns})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Ru(bipy)}_2(pytr)]^+)</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>([\text{Ru(D}_8\text{-bipy)}_2(pytr)]^+)</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Ru(bipy)}_2(pztr)]^+)</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>([\text{Ru(D}_8\text{-bipy)}_2(pztr)]^+)</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([\text{Ru(bipy)}_2(Hpztr)]^+)</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>([\text{Ru(bipy)}_2(D}_8\text{-Hpztr})^+)</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reprinted with permission from ref. 37. Copyright [1999] American Chemical Society.
and where a transition has contributions from several singly-excited configurations.59

A number of studies have attempted to calculate the energy of the MC (metal-centred) state of [Ru(bipy)2(bpz)]2+. Buchs and Daul44 found a value of 33,000 cm−1 for the energy of the 3MC state, computed at the geometry of the ground state. Amini et al.42 used ZINDO to calculate the geometry, energy and electronic structure of the 3MC state of [Ru(terpy)2]3+: the calculated vertical transition energy was 19,280 cm−1 (17,600 cm−1 for the relaxed 3MC). Pourtois et al.32 examined the triplet excited state manifold of [Ru(bipy)2]2+ and [Ru(phen)2]3+ using ZINDO/CI, and identified 3MC transitions with energies of 3.25 eV (26,220 cm−1) and 3.10 eV (25,000 cm−1), respectively.

In systems of very low symmetry, computational methods become expensive in terms of computing power and the size of basis sets, which may be employed, are much lower than with homoleptic complexes. Nevertheless, computational chemistry remains of value in understanding the electronic properties of less symmetric systems.45

4.3 Intercomponent processes: ground state and excited state interactions in multicomponent systems

The control of interaction between units in multicomponent molecular devices is central to the development of molecular based photonics. Dinuclear compounds are ideal model systems to investigate the mechanism of both ground and excited state interaction. In a wide range of studies the nature of the bridge and the distance between the interacting components has been varied systematically to obtain information about the parameters that drive intercomponent energy and electron transfer.22,46 A number of representative cases are discussed in this section to highlight various approaches to the design and characterisation of multicomponent systems.

The effect of the nature of the bridge on intramolecular processes. In the previous section the effect of protonation of a triazole ring on the photophysical properties of its ruthenium compounds was discussed. In this section the effect of protonation of a triazole bridge on the interaction of two ruthenium centres will be considered (Fig. 17).

As outlined above, for this particular class of compounds the electrochemical and electronic properties are dependent on the protonation state of the triazole rings and for 3 and 4 single and double protonation is possible (Table 2). Complex 3 shows two reversible protonation steps with pKₐ values of 1.1 and 3.8. The pyrazine analogue, 4, shows only minor but

Fig. 15 Model representing the excited-state behaviour of 2. ~ denotes nonradiative/vibrational relaxation processes. Reprinted with permission from ref. 37. Copyright [2005] American Chemical Society.

Fig. 16 Electron density difference maps (EDDMs) of the lowest energy singlet electronic transition of (a) [Ru(bipy)2]2+ and (b) [Ru(bipy)2(bpz)]2+. Red indicates a decrease in charge density, while green indicates an increase. The EDDMs were prepared from Gaussian0358 TDDFT output (B3LYP/LanL2DZ) using GaussSum59 and visualised using VMD60 and PovRay61

Fig. 17 Dinuclear complexes based on 1,2,4-triazole ligands.
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Copyright [2002] American Chemical Society Data.

well-defined spectral changes with pH indicating the formation of H4 and H24 but preventing a reliable determination of pKs values. The emission properties of 3 and 4 also show pH dependence (Table 2). Emission spectra obtained for 3 at three different protonation states are shown in Fig. 18. The emission spectrum of 3 undergoes a blue shift from 690 nm (3) to 660 nm (H3) to 630 nm (H23) in acetonitrile. However, for 4, protonation results in a small red shift in the emission spectrum (ca. 10 nm) in agreement with observations made for other pyrazine containing triazole complexes.

For 3 and 4 two metal-based oxidation processes are observed with a gap of about 135 mV. An increase in the metal-based oxidation potentials is observed upon protonation, together with a significant decrease in the gap between the 1st and 2nd oxidation waves to less than 70 mV for H3 and H4 (Table 2). Both H23 and H14 exhibit a single two-electron metal oxidation wave. Spectroelectrochemical studies indicate the formation of intervalence bands (IT) for the deprotonated and singly protonated species. No such features are found for the fully protonated compounds. Interestingly, only minor differences are observed in the energy of the IT bands for 3 and 4 (δmax ca. 5500 cm⁻¹) and similarly for H3 and H4 (δmax ca. 8700 cm⁻¹). These data provide direct evidence for communication between the two metal centres. They also indicate that this interaction is strongly dependent on the protonation state of the bridging ligand. The effect of communication on the emission behaviour is most clearly demonstrated for H3 (Fig. 18) and H4. In the absence of any interaction two emission signals are expected for a mono-protonated species.

Table 2 Electronic, photophysical and redox data in acetonitrile at 298 K for complexes 3 and 4 and their protonated analogues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Absorption λmax/nm</th>
<th>Emission, 298 K λmax/nm, (τ/ns)</th>
<th>E (ox) V vs SCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>690 (102)</td>
<td>+0.80 [1], +0.98 [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>660 (344)</td>
<td>+1.06 [1], +1.17 [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H14</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>630 (&lt;5 ns)</td>
<td>+1.10 [2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>670 (214)</td>
<td>+0.92 [1], +1.09 [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>675 (764)</td>
<td>+1.09 [1], +1.15 [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H24</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>678 (1000)</td>
<td>+1.13 [2]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a “[ ]” refers to the number of electrons under the wave. Reprinted with permission from 16b. Copyright [2002] American Chemical Society.

For H3, where the emission energy expected for the deprotonated (690 nm) and fully protonated species (630 nm) are significantly different, this would be particularly straightforward to detect. Instead, a single exponential decay of the emitting state is observed, and the λmax of the emission at 660 nm is intermediate between that of 3 and H3. This indicates the presence of a new emitting species, in which the effect of mono-protonation is shared by both metal centres.

An important observation is that, as indicated above, the intervalence properties of 3 and 4 are very similar. This suggests that the LUMO of the bridging ligand plays at most a minor role in determining intercomponent interaction. Instead it is expected that interaction between the metal centres is taking place via a hole transfer mechanism as indicated in Fig. 4, involving the HOMO of the metal units and bridging ligand. This is confirmed by the decrease in interaction observed upon protonation of the bridging ligand. In a hole transfer mechanism the extent of the interaction depends on the energy gap between the d metal orbitals (metal-based HOMO) and the σ orbitals of the bridge. The spectroscopic and electrochemical data show that the ligand-based σ-orbitals are stabilised upon protonation, so that the energy gap between the relevant orbitals increases, leading to decreased superexchange-assisted electronic interactions.

The electrochemical and photophysical studies of these dinuclear compounds illustrate that both intercomponent interaction strength and the photophysical properties of the molecular units are “tunable”. Understanding which components dominate which processes is necessary for practical application of multicomponent systems. The close proximity of the two triazole rings creates an interaction between the two parts of the molecule. In addition, the three protonation states obtained show different levels of intercomponent interaction. It is important to note that whilst the differences in ground state properties and metal–metal interaction between the pyridine and pyrazine based complexes are minor, their luminescence properties are substantially different. Relatively small changes in the composition of the compounds, e.g. pyridine νs pyrazine lead to compounds with different excited state properties.

For complexes 3 and 4 the peripheral pyrazine/pyridine units were varied and the core triazole–triazole unit was not. The next example deals with complexes 5 and 6 and the effect of changing the bridging unit is considered. Complexes 5 and 6 are similar in composition. Both bridging ligands are based on pyrazine and 1,2,4-triazole, but these moieties are arranged in a different manner (Fig. 17). The effect of this change on the interaction in both the ground state and the excited state will be discussed. The results are compared with those reported for the Creutz–Taube ion ([Ru(NH3)6]2[2py]3+), for which the metal–metal distance is very similar. However, whereas the Creutz–Taube ion is non-emissive, both 5 and 6 are emissive at 670 and 748 nm respectively. For both compounds the first ligand based reduction is assigned to a pyrazine-based reduction. This suggests that the lowest excited state will be a pyrazine based 3MLCT state and not a bipy based state. This is confirmed by resonance Raman spectroscopy, which shows pyrazine based vibrations.45,47
The overall ground state interaction between the metal centres can be obtained readily from electrochemical measurements, specifically the separation (ΔE) between the first and second metal oxidation steps. For the 1,2,4-triazolato bridged complex 5, two metal-based oxidation processes are observed at 1.16 and 1.46 V vs SCE (ΔE = 300 mV). In comparison, for the pyrazine bridged complex 6, a reduced separation is observed (ΔE = 170 mV). For the latter complex 6 the reduced separation is surprising, considering that for the Creutz-Taube ion the value observed for ΔE is 350 mV. In addition, for 6, the protonation of the triazole ring does not affect the magnitude of ΔE significantly. When compared with the general behaviour of triazole based systems discussed in the first example in this section, this is a striking observation.

These results show that while compound 6 has excited state properties which resemble those of 5, its ground state properties are very different from those normally observed for triazole based systems. The observation that protonation does not affect the separation between the metal based redox potentials indicates that the mechanism for ground state interaction is not based on a hole transfer mechanism controlled by the bridge but that 6 behaves in this respect more like the Creutz-Taube ion with an interaction mechanism that is best explained by a LUMO based superexchange. The behaviour of the three compounds (5, 6, and the CT-ion) is therefore clearly very different, despite the equivalent metal–metal separation. The importance of considering both the ground state and excited state interaction separately is further highlighted.

Energy and electron transfer in dinuclear complexes. Ruthenium(II)–osmium(II), ruthenium(II)–rhenium(I) and ruthenium(II)–rhodium(III) based dinuclear complexes are of particular interest in the study of intercomponent processes, due to the isoelectronic nature (d⁶) of the four metals in the oxidation states indicated. Typical examples of such mixed metal systems are shown in Fig. 19. As outlined in section 2.3 and in Fig. 5, the effective distance over which energy and electron transfer processes occur in supramolecular systems (as opposed to large molecules) is critically dependent on the location of the donor and acceptor states. Therefore, in order to understand such systems on a fundamental level, the photophysical properties of the components must first be understood. As shown in the previous section, it is possible to obtain detailed information as to the nature of the donor and acceptor excited states with the application of several complementary techniques. In supramolecular systems where understanding the properties of the individual components is essential, a second issue arises; that of the mechanism of interaction ( Förster or Dexter) between the components. In addition the possibility of photoinduced electron transfer competing with energy transfer must also be considered. In this section, several examples have been chosen to illustrate various approaches taken in investigating energy and electron transfer.

Intramolecular energy transfer in phenylene bridged systems. This example deals with photoinduced energy transfer between components as a function of the orientation of the bridging ligand, i.e. whether the two chelating groups are meta (m) or para (p) with respect to each other (see Fig. 19). In compounds 7 and 8, the distance between the metal centres is considerably larger than in the related compounds discussed in the last section. The homo-dinuclear complexes (7a and 7c and 8a and 8c) show a single two electron redox wave at 0.84 V (7a and 8a) and 0.47 V vs SCE (7c and 8c) at potentials identical to their corresponding mononuclear complexes. In the hetero-dinuclear complexes (RuOs, 7b/8b), the redox potentials are again identical to the respective mononuclear Ru(II) and Os(II) complexes, confirming that electronic interaction between the two metal centres in the dinuclear complexes is at most very weak and that electrostatic interaction is negligible. Spectroelectrochemical investigations of the mixed valence (e.g. Ru(II)Ru(III) and Ru(II)Os(III)) complexes, however, indicate that the level of electronic interaction is stronger for the para-phenyl substituted complexes than for the corresponding meta-substituted complexes. The difference in interaction is manifested in the observation of IT absorption bands in the case of para-substituted complexes and the absence of

Fig. 19 Dinuclear complexes discussed in the text
such bands in the meta-substituted complexes. For the para-complexes, protonation of the 1,2,4-triazole groups results in a dramatic reduction in the interaction strength. The reduced interaction upon protonation and the reduced interaction observed for the meta-complex indicates that the mechanism of interaction is HOMO mediated through bond superexchange mechanism (i.e. hole transfer).

Electronic spectroscopy (absorption and emission) together with luminescence lifetime measurements have been employed to investigate energy transfer in the hetero-dinuclear complexes. The absorption spectra of equimolar solutions of the heterodinuclear complexes mRuOs (8b) and pRuOs (7b) and 1:1 mixtures of the corresponding homodinuclear species, pRuRu/pOsOs and mRuRu/mOsOs were found to be identical, in agreement with redox data. The most striking observation for these compounds is that the hetero-dinuclear complexes exhibit dual emission in all cases (i.e. both meta- and para-substituted and protonated/deprotonated). This is illustrated in Fig. 20. The quenching of the Ru(II) emission by the osmium centre takes place via an energy transfer mechanism and using standard methods the energy transfer rates can be estimated (Table 3). These values show that the energy transfer process is, predominantly, insensitive to both the energy of the bridging ligand HOMO/LUMO orbitals and also to orientation (meta vs para). This suggests that energy transfer is primarily taking place via a through space dipole–dipole, ( Förster type) mechanism and not via a through bond (Dexter type) mechanism. This is in contrast to the interaction in the mixed valent state which is dominated by a through bond interaction.

**Intramolecular electron transfer in Rh–Ru dinuclear systems.**

As an example of electron transfer processes, the hetero-dinuclear complex (9) (Ru(II)–Rh(III)) shown in Fig. 19 is discussed. The nature of the interaction between the metal centres was established by application of a wide range of techniques including nanosecond single-photon counting (emission lifetimes), picosecond laser spectroscopy, Raman spectroscopy and transient absorption measurements.

This compound has a number of features, which make it particularly well suited for photophysical investigations. Each of the metal centres can be excited (almost) independently. Irradiation at 450 nm leads to excitation of the Ru(II) centre, while 70% of the light absorbed at 300 nm leads to excitation of the Rh(III) centre (30% goes to the Ru(II) centre). Both centres emit from long-lived triplet states and the analogous mononuclear Ru(II) and Rh(III) compounds have emission maxima at 610 nm (room temperature) and 450 nm (77 K) respectively. The Ru(II) based emission is from the lowest 3MLCT state while the Rh(III) emission is ligand centred (3LL) in nature.

The dinuclear compound has well-defined redox properties with a Ru(II)/(III) redox couple at 1.13 V vs SCE and a Rh(III)/(II) couple at −0.92 V, values which are within experimental error of the respective Ru(II) and Rh(III) mononuclear compounds. Comparison of redox and electronic data with those of the mononuclear complexes indicates that, in the ground state, the interaction between the two polypyridyl centres is, at most, weak, as expected on the basis of the saturated aliphatic nature of the bridge.

Despite such weak ground state interaction, a 90% quenching of the Ru(II) emission is observed in the Ru(II)–Rh(II) dyad (in comparison with the mononuclear Ru(II) complex). Transient resonance Raman spectroscopy identified the location of the lowest 3MLCT state of the Ru(II) state as being based on the dimethyl-bipyridine of the bridging ligand and not on the peripheral dimethyl-phenanthroline ligands.

The nature of the intercomponent quenching process, i.e. energy vs electron transfer, now needs to be established. Based on the spectroscopic and redox data given above, both electron transfer to the Rh(III) moiety and energy transfer from the Ru(II) to the Rh(III) centre are thermodynamically allowed and could be responsible for the quenching process. The excitation spectrum obtained for the Ru(II) based emission contains no absorption features at 300 nm that can be related to the Rh polypyridyl moiety as would be expected for an energy transfer mechanism. Electron transfer,

$^*\text{Ru(II)}\rightarrow \text{Rh(III)} \rightarrow \text{Ru(III)}\rightarrow \text{Rh(II)}$

is, therefore, the most likely explanation for the quenching process and this is confirmed by emission decay and transient absorption

---

**Fig. 20** Emission spectrum of dinuclear complexes at 77 K in basic ethanol/methanol 5/1 v/v. (spectral intensity is adjusted for clarity) Reprinted with permission from ref. 16c. Copyright [2004] American Chemical Society.

**Table 3** Emission lifetimes and calculated energy transfer parameter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>τ_{em/ns} (Ru-based)</th>
<th>τ_{em/ns} (Os-based)</th>
<th>k_{ET/s^{-1}}</th>
<th>k_{Förster/s^{-1}}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7b</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>&lt;6</td>
<td>1.6 × 10^8</td>
<td>3 × 10^7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17b</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.4 × 10^9</td>
<td>2 × 10^8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8b</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>&lt;6</td>
<td>1.5 × 10^8</td>
<td>3 × 10^7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18b</td>
<td>1.0 (≈ 50%); 3.0 (≈ 50%)</td>
<td>38 (2–6) × 10^9</td>
<td>2 × 10^8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

spectroscopy. Picosecond laser photolysis results obtained subsequently for excitation of the Rh moiety with UV light indicated that the thermally driven back reaction:

\( \text{Ru(III)} - \text{Rh(II)} \rightarrow \text{Ru(II)} - \text{Rh(III)} \)

is faster than the forward reaction. An overall scheme of the electronic properties determined for this compound is shown in Fig. 21.

**Intramolecular energy transfer in molecular dyads and triads.**

The importance of considering not only the relative energy of the donor and acceptor states in energy and electron transfer processes, but also the extent of localisation of these states in multicomponent systems was highlighted in recent studies by Constable and co-workers on Ru2–Os triads50 and by Ward and co-workers on a series of dyads based on 2-(2-pyridyl)-imidazole (PB-Ar) complexes of Re(I), Ru(II) and Pt(II), covalently connected to a series of aromatic groups (i.e. phenyl, pentafluorophenyl, naphthyl, anthracenyl and pyrenyl).51

In the case of the Re(I) and Pt(II) based complexes ([Re(PB-Ar)(CO)3Cl] and [Pt(PB-Ar)(C≡CR)]2 where CCR is an acetylide ligand) the donor 3MLCT state is localised on the PB ligand in very close proximity to the aryl group. In contrast, in the Ru(II) complexes (i.e. [Ru(bipy)2(PB-Ar)]2+) the donor 3MLCT state is localised on the peripheral bipy ligand. For all three series of complexes strong emission (553–605 nm (Pt series), 620–640 nm (Re series) and 626–645 nm (Ru series)) arising from the 3MLCT state was observed, with luminescence lifetimes of up to 500 ns and quantum yields of up to 6% in air-saturated CH2Cl2 at room temperature (Table 4). The ground state energy of each of the complexes (i.e. the metal oxidation potential) is approximately equal (~1.0 V vs Fe/Fc+) and hence the 3MLCT states of the Pt(II), Re(I) and Ru(II) complexes are expected to be quite close in energy. Hence, the differences in the energy transfer rates and efficiency observed can, reasonably, be assigned to differences in the separation between the donor and acceptor.

Table 4  Luminescence properties of PB complexes (CH2Cl2, room temperature)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>(\lambda_{\text{em}}/\text{nm})</th>
<th>(t/\text{ns})</th>
<th>(\Phi^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt-PBPh-Py</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt-PBPh-CF3</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt-PBE-CF3</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt-PBE-Ph</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt-PBF-Ph</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt-PBN-Ph</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt-PBBPh-Ph</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-PBN</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(~10^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-PBPh</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(~10^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-PBF</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(~10^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-PBA</td>
<td>395, 418, 440(^c)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(~10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-PBPyr</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(~10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-PBF</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-PBPyr</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-PBA</td>
<td>630, 400, 421, 445(^e)</td>
<td>232, 4</td>
<td>(~10^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-PBN</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Emission maxima are uncorrected. \(^b\) Quantum yields were calculated using [Ru(bpy)3]2+ in aerated water (\(\Phi = 0.028\)) as standard. \(^c\) Anthracene-based luminescence. Reproduced from ref. 51 with permission of the Royal Society of Chemistry. Copyright RSC 2004.

Fig. 21  Energy level diagram of the Ru(II)–Rh(III) dyad (9). Rate constants refer to acetonitrile solutions at 295 K, unless otherwise noted. Reprinted with permission from ref. 49. Copyright [1994] American Chemical Society.
Re-based MLCT excited state, which in turn is completely quenched by energy transfer to the lower-lying pyrene triplet state (Fig. 22). For the analogous Ru(II) complexes the inter-component energy transfer is less effective, with no observable anthracene → Ru 3MLCT energy transfer, and a reduced efficiency for Ru 3MLCT → anthracene energy transfer. This is rationalised on the basis of a greater effective distance for energy transfer in the Ru(II) series, because the MLCT excited states are localised on the bipy ligands which are remote from the pendant aromatic group; in contrast, for the Re series, the MLCT excited states involve the PB ligand to which the pendant aromatic group is attached directly, leading to more efficient energy transfer.

A similar dependence on the location of the 3MLCT excited state was observed by Constable and coworkers, in regard to the rate of energy transfer between Ru(II) and Os(II) terpy based complexes (Fig. 23). At room temperature the very short lifetime (<10 ns) of the donor Ru(II) 3MLCT states prevent energy transfer to the lowest Os(II) 3MLCT state (based on the peripheral thienyl-terpy ligand). At 77 K, energy transfer is observed due to the longer lifetime of the Ru(II) 3MLCT states. However, for complex 11 the rate of energy transfer is less than for 10 due to the localisation of the 3MLCT on the peripheral thienyl-terpy ligand rather than on the terpy ligand forming the bridge between the metal centres (as is the case for 10).

Energy and electron transfer in self-assembled multicomponent systems. In contrast to covalently linked multicomponent systems, the use of dynamic self-assembly introduces an added level of complexity to the investigation of excited state processes. Nevertheless this increased level of complexity, whilst requiring careful experimental control, should not limit our ability to probe energy and electron transfer processes to a similar level as in covalently tethered systems. Indeed, many examples of such detailed studies have been reported to date and in the following section a few of these are discussed.

Energy and electron transfer in hydrogen bonded systems. Energy transfer in hydrogen bonded supramolecular systems represents a challenge to spectroscopic studies due to their environmental sensitivity (e.g., to trace amounts of polar and protic solvents, rapid break-up and reformation of hydrogen bonds, pH etc.). Nevertheless, the study of supramolecular systems based on strong hydrogen bonding interactions is an area of growing interest due to possible applications in intelligent (i.e., responsive) materials and the potential for development of large systems based on non-chemically coupled subunits. Supramolecular systems of this type, however, involve equilibria between aggregate and molecular units, which must be considered in any analysis of their spectroscopic properties.

Energy transfer between Ru(II) (energy donor) and Os(II) (energy acceptor) based supramolecular complexes have been explored by Rau et al. (Fig. 24). Although, the system studied is very sensitive to hydrogen bond disruptors (e.g. water), in dry aprotic solvents efficient energy transfer could be observed using a combination of luminescence and single photon counting techniques. Fig. 25 shows that while the Ru(II) emission increases linearly with concentration of the complex in the presence of [Os(bipy)3]2+, in the presence of [Os(bipy)3(mcbipy)]2+ a two step process is observed where the Ru(II) emission is initially quenched by the Os(II) complex until a ratio of 1:1 is reached. It is worth pointing out that even in very dry CH2Cl2 not all of the ruthenium monomer is associated with the osmium monomer. Hence, the observation of emission from Ru(II) even in the presence of excess Os(II), does not indicate that energy transfer in the hydrogen bonded dyads is slow. From emission decay traces obtained by single
photon counting both the ruthenium and osmium emission are clearly biphasic. In addition, the lifetime of the decay of the short lived process observed in the ruthenium emission is coincident with a long rise time observed for the osmium emission (see Fig. 26). This supported the conclusion that efficient but slow (ns timescale) energy transfer was occurring via the hydrogen-bonded bridge. This effect was lost in the presence of even trace levels of protic solvents e.g. methanol and no such interaction was observed with the [Os(bipy)] sup+ core, confirming the effect is not a result of a purely diffusion-controlled process.

**Energy and electron transfer in self assembled Ru(II)–Ln(III) multinuclear complexes.** Beer et al. have examined both energy and electron transfer in a series of calix[4]arene modified [Ru(bipy)] sup+ complexes, which are capable of binding near-Lanthanide(III) ions (i.e. Nd sup+ sup+, Eu sup+ sup+ and Tb sup+ sup+). sup+ sup+ The use of lanthanides as probes in the study of energy and electron transfer offers distinct advantages over other metals, in particular the ability to tune electronic energy levels by variation in the lanthanide metal employed, with minimal differences in other physical characteristics such as binding constants, ion size and ion charge. A further advantage in using lanthanide(III) ions lies in the metal-centred (i.e. f–f) nature of their emissive states, which reduces uncertainty in terms of energy and electron transfer distances. By employing differing numbers of calixarene adducts (1, 2 or 6) the Ru(II):Ln(III) stoichiometry can be varied. The formation of the multi-nuclear complexes (and determination of association constants) was determined from changes in the absorption spectrum, specifically from changes in the absorption bands associated with the calix[4]arene units. The extent of quenching of the strong luminescence of the [Ru(bipy)] sup+ sup+ core was found to be dependent on the nature of the lanthanide ion employed (Nd sup+ sup+ > Eu sup+ sup+ > Tb sup+ sup+ , Gd sup+ sup+) and in fact the luminescence was increased by the use of Tb sup+ sup+ and Gd sup+ sup+.

For Nd sup+ sup+, the quenching of the luminescence of the [Ru(bipy)] sup+ sup+ core was found to occur via an energy transfer mechanism. Excitation spectra confirm that, although efficient energy transfer from the calix[4]arene to the Nd sup+ sup+ is observed, the calix[4]arene units do not sensitise emission from the [Ru(bipy)] sup+ sup+ core. These results were rationalised on the basis of the lower energy of the Nd sup+ sup+ (F 4I g) emissive excited state compared to the 3MLCT state of the [Ru(bipy)] sup+ sup+ core and of the very efficient energy transfer from the calix[4]arene to the coordinated Nd sup+ sup+ ion.

For Tb sup+ sup+ and Gd sup+ sup+ the quenching of [Ru(bipy)] sup+ sup+ luminescence by energy transfer is not observed, as expected, given the higher energy of the Tb sup+ sup+ (F 5D 1) emission. Instead, an increase in Ru(II) emission intensity and lifetime is observed. This increase cannot be attributed to thermal equilibration of the 3MLCT and 5D 1 states given the large difference in energy. The possibility of energy transfer from the Gd(III) and Tb(III) calixarene complex to the [Ru(bipy)] sup+ sup+ core was excluded by examination of the excitation spectra (obtained from monitoring the emission at the l max of the Ru(II) 3MLCT emission). The strong absorption band assigned to the Tb(III) calixarene complex was notably absent in the excitation spectrum obtained. In contrast, excitation spectra obtained by monitoring the l max of the Tb(III) emission confirmed that the calixarene was effective in sensitising the Tb(III) emission. On the basis of these results the extension in emission lifetime and increase in emission intensity were assigned as being due to the increased rigidity of the Ru(II) complex and the consequent reduction in the contribution of vibrational modes to the deactivation of the 3MLCT excited state. sup+ sup+ In contrast to Nd sup+ sup+ , Tb sup+ sup+ and Gd sup+ sup+, for Eu sup+ sup+ , a decrease in emission intensity of the [Ru(bipy)] sup+ sup+ core was observed. However, a simultaneous sensitisation in the Eu(III) emission was not observed. This effect was rationalised on the basis of electron transfer competing effectively with energy transfer processes. The low reduction potential of the Eu sup+ sup+ ion and the strong reducing power of the Ru(II) centre in the 3MLCT state make electron transfer thermodynamically very favourable. This conclusion is supported by the observation of an increase in Eu sup+ sup+ emission intensity in frozen solutions. In rigid matrices,
stabilisation of the charge transfer state by solvent reorganisation cannot occur and hence the energy of the CT state is increased, allowing energy transfer processes to become more competitive.

The results of the study (summarised in Fig. 27) highlight the necessity of using several techniques (emission lifetime measurements, absorption, emission and excitation spectroscopy, temperature dependence studies and electrochemistry) to understand and discriminate between energy and electron transfer processes in complex and dynamic systems. In particular the consideration of the orbital parentage (e.g. 3MLCT, 3MC) of the excited states is essential.

Energy and electron transfer in dynamic self-assembled donor–acceptor arrays. Photoinduced energy and electron transfer processes in self-assembled triads based on [Ru(bipy)2(bpy-L)]2+ with organic donor and acceptor units bridged by trivalent (but spectroscopically silent) ions such as Sc(III), have been investigated by Kercher et al. The Sc(III) acetyl acetonate derivative is employed to promote dyad formation due to its high association constant (Kb > 10^15 in water). However, the dynamic nature of this first row transition metal ion (the average lifetime of the complex is 5 ms) leads to a statistical mixture of donor–acceptor species, resulting in a challenging system for spectroscopic investigations. The [Ru(bipy)2(bpy-L)]2+ is capable of acting either as energy donor (with anthracene as the energy acceptor) or as electron acceptor (with N,N',N'-tetramethyl-2,5-diaminobenzene (TMDAB) as electron donor). Using emission lifetime measurements, the various photophysical processes in these kinetically labile systems could be elucidated. The choice of components is critical in reducing the complexity of the photophysical study. For example Sc(acac) complexes which incorporate three anthracene (or three TMDAB) units do not absorb at the probe wavelengths employed and, as a result, are not observable. [Ru(bipy)2(bpy-L)]2+ is employed as energy donor–electron acceptor due to its absorption in the visible region of the UV-Vis spectrum and its very well defined spectroscopic properties.

Intercomponent energy transfer in the [Ru(bipy)2(bpy-L)]2+/anthracene systems is expected to follow similar electronic energetics to those observed in covalently linked assemblies (see Fig. 28). Absorption spectroscopy confirms that no significant interaction between the donor and acceptor occurs upon mixing in a 2:1 ratio in the presence or absence of Sc(III) ions. The formation of the donor–acceptor complexes is supported by the decrease in emission from the [Ru(bipy)2(bpy-L)]2+ site due to energy transfer to the anthracene 1IL state. The possibility of energy transfer occurring through diffusional contact rather than as a result of formation of the multicomponent arrays cannot be excluded, however. The ability of anthracene (in the T1 state) to interact with O2 was exploited in this study to confirm the formation of the arrays. In the presence of Sc(III) ions photolysis of the solution at 460 nm (where direct excitation of anthracene cannot occur) led to a decrease in absorption features of the anthracene unit and increase in the emission intensity of

Fig. 27  Energy level diagram accounting for the photophysical behaviour of the lanthanide adducts with the ruthenium bipyridyl calix[4]arene complexes: a) Nd3+, b) Tb3+, c) Eu3+. Reprinted with permission from ref. 53. Copyright [2004] American Chemical Society Data.

Fig. 28  Formulas of the components bpy-L, L-A, and L-D and a schematic representation of self-assembled dyads via the coordination to the scandium(III) ion. Reprinted with permission from ref. 54. Copyright [2002] American Chemical Society Data.
[Ru(bipy)$_2$(bpy-L)]$^{2+}$, due to the inability of the oxidised anthracene to act as energy acceptor (Fig. 29). Similarly in the absence of Sc(III) ions, a decrease in the absorption feature of the anthracene was observed. However in this case no increase in [Ru(bipy)$_2$(bpy-L)]$^{2+}$ emission was observed.

Intercomponent photoinduced electron transfer in the [Ru(bipy)$_2$(bpy-L)]$^{2+}$/TMDAB systems proved even more accessible than the anthracene based systems due to the very strong absorption of the TMDAB cation radical between 500 and 750 nm, which made it possible to employ time-resolved absorption spectroscopy in the study of the charge transfer state. In the absence of Sc(III) ions no quenching of the [Ru(bipy)$_2$(bpy-L)]$^{2+}$ emission was observed and the emission decay of the Ru(II) $^3$MLCT state was found to be mono-exponential (150 ns in aerated acetonitrile), identical to that observed in the absence of TMDAB (Fig. 30). Under identical conditions in the presence of Sc(III) ions, clear evidence for the formation of donor–acceptor arrays was obtained from both the reduction in emission intensity and the biexponential nature of the Ru(II) $^3$MLCT emission decay (10 ns and 150 ns, the latter being due to free [Ru(bipy)$_2$(bpy-L)]$^{2+}$). Transient absorption spectra recorded in both the absence and presence of Sc(III) ions, show the presence of the well known bipy radical anion (375 and 520 nm). The practical complication arising from overlap of the 520 nm band with that of the TMDAB radical cation was overcome by examination of the relative intensities of the 375 and 520 nm bands in the absence and presence of Sc(III) ions. In the presence of Sc(III) ions, the two bands are approximately equal in intensity whereas in the absence of Sc(III) the ratio is $\sim 3:1$. An analysis of the kinetics of the evolution of the transient absorption spectra gave a lifetime of $\sim 40$ ns for the charge-separated state.

**Energy transfer via cyclodextrin based supramolecular systems.** The use of cyclodextrin as a template for the building of donor–acceptor supramolecular structures has been explored by De Cola, Pikramenou and co-workers, with respect to both vectorial energy and electron transfer. In contrast to systems based on hydrogen bonding, with cyclodextrins lipophylic interactions are employed to create supramolecular structure. This overcomes the inherent sensitivity to protic solvents observed with H-bonding systems (see previous section). These studies highlight the importance of understanding the nature of the donor and acceptor states in controlling both the direction and operating distance of energy and electron transfer.

The directionality of energy transfer is achieved through the use of different metal centres, Ru(II), Os(II) and Ir(II). The relative energies of the THEXI (thermally equilibrated excited) states (e.g. $^3$MLCT, $^3$IL) of the complexes are in the order $\text{Ir(III)} > \text{Ru(II)} > \text{Os(II)}$. Hence by a suitable choice of the metal centre the direction of energy transfer in these systems can be controlled. However, the control over the effective distance of energy transfer is less straightforward. To a first approximation the energy transfer distance may be taken as the separation between the metal centres (e.g. the Ru(II) to Os(II) separation). However, this assumes that the donor and acceptor excited states are localised on the metal centres and while this may be a valid approximation for systems whose THEXI states are metal-based, it cannot hold where ligand based excited states (e.g. $^3$MLCT and $^3$IL) states are involved.
This latter point was exemplified in the use of adamantane and biphenyl units as ‘anchors’ for the cyclodextrin host cavities (Fig. 31). The use of biphenyl and adamantane represent two limiting situations. The aromatic biphenyl unit can engage in localisation of the $^{3}\text{MLCT}$ state of the guest molecule on the ligand closest to the cyclodextrin unit. However, in the case of adamantane components, the effect is to destabilise the $^{3}\text{MLCT}$ state of the ligand closest to the cyclodextrin, with the result that an excited state of the guest is localised on the peripheral unit. The consequences of these effects in terms of the effective energy transfer distance are potentially useful in modulating the energy transfer rates (through changes in donor–acceptor distance).

**Photoinduced intermolecular electron transfer and EPR spectroscopy.** Although, photoinduced intermolecular electron transfer is fundamental to the photosynthetic process and involves the generation of paramagnetic species, the use of EPR in photophysical studies of such systems has been very limited. The usefulness of EPR has been demonstrated by Styring and coworkers and Shanzer et al. in studies of electron transfer between $[\text{Ru(bipy)}_3]^{2+}$ and dinuclear manganese complexes and dioxygen respectively. As discussed above (see section 2.1), following photo-excitation of $[\text{Ru(bipy)}_3]^{2+}$ to $[\text{Ru(bipy)}_3]^{2+*}$, it becomes simultaneously a very strong reducing agent and a strong oxidant.

Hence, in the excited state, outer sphere electron transfer processes, which are thermodynamically disfavoured ($\Delta G > 0$) in the ground state become energetically favoured in the excited state ($\Delta G < 0$). The paramagnetic species generated (e.g. Ru(III), Mn(III)/Mn(IV)) are potentially sensitive to EPR spectroscopy allowing these photoinduced electron transfer processes to be monitored.

**Conclusions and outlook**

The above discussion provides a general, albeit brief, overview of the very extensive research that is going on into the structural and dynamic aspects of intercomponent interactions in multi-component systems. The central point is that, in order to elucidate the often complex nature of intercomponent processes, which occur in both multi-component molecular and supramolecular assemblies, it is important that as many different techniques as possible be brought to bear on the issues involved. When examining energy and electron transfer interactions, principal among the parameters to be considered are the energies of donor/acceptor excited state levels, the redox properties and also the distance between the interacting orbitals. In mixed ligand complexes it is first of all important to determine the nature of the excited state so that the efficiency of the exchange process and the distance between two interacting components can be established. However, the importance of donor–acceptor separation distance is not always appreciated fully. In Fig. 32 a number of theoretically possible interactions are shown. It is clear that the distance between the interacting components will be a key factor in determining the extent of interaction between them. For example, energy transfer between two polypyridyl moieties connected by a bridging ligand will be determined by the nature of the lowest energy excited state and whether it is based on the peripheral ligands or on the bridging ligand. It is also important to point out that the interaction mechanism in the ground state may be different from that obtaining in the excited state. For instance, the interaction between metal centres in intervalence compounds in the ground state may involve very different orbitals from those implicated in the excited state, particularly when this ground state interaction...
takes place via a HOMO based hole transfer mechanism and the excited state interaction is via LUMO orbitals located on peripheral ligands.

Several of the examples discussed in section 4 above also serve to emphasise the fact that the information derived from relevant experiments can enable the interaction between components in supramolecular assemblies to be tuned. Although molecular-based multicomponent systems have been at the forefront of investigations into energy and electron transfer processes, the growth of interest in nano-materials and in particular in heterogenous photonic devices will almost certainly lead to increased interest in molecular interactions with solid substrates such as TiO₂. When substrates such as the latter are actively involved, i.e. when particular surface states are available for population, hybrid, solid-molecular components or heterosupramolecular systems are created, which can be expected to behave very differently from solution-based analogues. Nevertheless the broad experimental and computational approaches taken in such studies are unlikely to be substantially different to those taken in the investigation of molecular systems.
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