University of Groningen

Comment on “Quasirelativistic theory equivalent to fully relativistic theory” [J. Chem. Phys. 123, 241102 (2005)]

Filatov, Michael

Published in:
Journal of Chemical Physics

DOI:
[10.1063/1.2338033](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2338033)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2006

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Filatov, M. (2006). Comment on “Quasirelativistic theory equivalent to fully relativistic theory” [J. Chem. Phys. 123, 241102 (2005)]. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, 125(10), [107101].
<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2338033>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the “Taverne” license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Comment on “Quasirelativistic theory equivalent to fully relativistic theory” [J. Chem. Phys. 123, 241102 (2005)]

Michael Filatov

Citation: J. Chem. Phys. 125, 107101 (2006); doi: 10.1063/1.2338033

View online: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2338033>

View Table of Contents: <http://aip.scitation.org/toc/jcp/125/10>

Published by the American Institute of Physics

Articles you may be interested in

[Quasirelativistic theory equivalent to fully relativistic theory](#)

The Journal of Chemical Physics 123, 241102 (2005); 10.1063/1.2137315

[Exact two-component Hamiltonians revisited](#)

The Journal of Chemical Physics 131, 031104 (2009); 10.1063/1.3159445

[Making four- and two-component relativistic density functional methods fully equivalent based on the idea of “from atoms to molecule”](#)

The Journal of Chemical Physics 127, 104106 (2007); 10.1063/1.2772856

[Response to “Comment on ‘Quasirelativistic theory equivalent to fully relativistic theory’” \[J. Chem. Phys. 123, 241102 \(2005\)\]](#)

The Journal of Chemical Physics 125, 107102 (2006); 10.1063/1.2338034

[Quasirelativistic theory. II. Theory at matrix level](#)

The Journal of Chemical Physics 126, 114107 (2007); 10.1063/1.2710258

[Infinite-order quasirelativistic density functional method based on the exact matrix quasirelativistic theory](#)

The Journal of Chemical Physics 125, 044102 (2006); 10.1063/1.2222365

PHYSICS TODAY

WHITEPAPERS

ADVANCED LIGHT CURE ADHESIVES

Take a closer look at what these environmentally friendly adhesive systems can do

READ NOW

PRESENTED BY

Comment on “Quasirelativistic theory equivalent to fully relativistic theory” [J. Chem. Phys. 123, 241102 (2005)]

Michael Filatov^{a)}

Theoretical Chemistry, Materials Science Centre, Rijksuniversiteit Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, NL-9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands

(Received 18 May 2006; accepted 25 July 2006; published online 8 September 2006)

[DOI: 10.1063/1.2338033]

In a recent publication in this journal,¹ denoted further on as I, Kutzelnigg and Liu described a two-component relativistic theory, which yields the same positive energy (electronic) eigenstates as the exact four-component relativistic theory based on the matrix representation of the Dirac Hamiltonian. In the proposed theory,¹ the non-Hermitian two-component Hamiltonian is defined as [cf. Eq. (20) in I]

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{V} + c\mathbf{X}, \quad (1)$$

where the matrix representation of the operator $\tilde{X}$, which connects the large and the small components of the four-component relativistic wave function via Eq. (7) in I, is given by [cf. Eq. (23) in I]

$$\mathbf{X} = c^{-1}\mathbf{T} + \frac{1}{4m^2c^2}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{X} - \frac{1}{2mc^2}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{L}. \quad (2)$$

In Eqs. (1) and (2), $\mathbf{S}$, $\mathbf{V}$, and $\mathbf{T}$ are the usual overlap, potential energy, and kinetic energy matrices, $\mathbf{W}$ is the matrix of the operator $\hat{W} = (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})V(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})$ ($\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is the vector of Pauli matrices and $\mathbf{p}$ is the linear momentum operator). Equations (1) and (2) are identical to Eqs. (20) and (23) in I with a minor difference that the original notation $\mathbf{U}$ for the matrix of the operator $\hat{W}$ was replaced with $\mathbf{W}$.

Equations (1) and (2) comprise a system of coupled equations that is solved by iteration. The system is solved for all electronic states simultaneously. As a check of convergence during the iterations, the energy eigenvalues are obtained from the equation [cf. Eq. (41) in I],

$$\tilde{\mathbf{L}}\mathbf{a}_k = E_k\tilde{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{a}_k, \quad (3)$$

where the normalization matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ is given by [cf. Eq. (40) in I]

$$\tilde{\mathbf{S}} = \mathbf{S} + \frac{1}{2m}\mathbf{X}^\dagger\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{X} \quad (4)$$

and the Hermitian Hamiltonian operator $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}$ is given by [cf. Eq. (44) in I]

$$\tilde{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{V} + c\mathbf{X}^\dagger + c\mathbf{X} - c^2\mathbf{X}^\dagger\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{X} + \frac{1}{4m^2}\mathbf{X}^\dagger\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{X}. \quad (5)$$

The theory described in I represents an interesting development and is capable of yielding the exact solution to the

Dirac equation within the given basis set. Several iterative schemes for solving the respective equations have been suggested and tested.

However, there exists a close connection between the theory developed in I and the methods of elimination of the small component, both in the normalized (NESC) and in the unnormalized (UESC) forms, developed earlier by Dyall.² Although in I some connection between their theory and NESC/UESC was implicitly recognized, it is the purpose of this Comment to spell out this connection explicitly.

In Ref. 2, the operator that connects the large and the pseudolarge components of the four-component wave function is denoted by $\mathbf{U}$ and is connected to $\mathbf{X}$ as

$$\mathbf{U} = c\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{X}. \quad (6)$$

Substituting (6) in (5) immediately yields the NESC Hamiltonian given in Eqs. (13) and (14) in Ref. 2. Similarly, substituting (6) in (1) yields the UESC Hamiltonian given in Eq. (12) in Ref. 2.

Transforming Eq. (2) with the help of (6) leads to

$$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{T} + \frac{1}{4m^2c^2}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{U} - \frac{1}{2mc^2}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{L} \quad (7)$$

for the matrix $\mathbf{U}$, using the approach of Kutzelnigg and Liu. This expression seems dissimilar to the formula derived by Dyall for the same operator [cf. Eq. (23) in Ref. 2]. A simple manipulation of Eq. (23) from Ref. 2 using the definition of the Hermitian Hamiltonian operator $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}$ leads to

$$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{T} + \frac{1}{4m^2c^2}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{U} - \frac{1}{2mc^2}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{U}\tilde{\mathbf{S}}^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}. \quad (8)$$

Equation (8) differs from (7) in the last term. However, as will be shown below, the last terms in (7) and (8) are identical provided that the exact solutions of both equations are obtained.

Let us start from Eq. (21) in Ref. 2 and rewrite it with the help of [cf. Eq. (9) in Ref. 3]

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \tilde{\mathbf{S}}^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{L}}, \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ denotes the entire set of eigenvectors $\mathbf{a}_k$ and $\mathbf{E}$ is the entire set of eigenvalues E_k of Eq. (3) as

$$\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{T}^{-1}[\tilde{\mathbf{S}}\tilde{\mathbf{S}}^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{L}} - \mathbf{V}]. \quad (10)$$

Equation (10) can easily be transformed to

$$\tilde{\mathbf{S}}^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{L}, \quad (11)$$

which proves the equivalence of Eqs. (7) and (8). Furthermore, with the help of Eqs. (11) and (6) it can be easily shown that the Hermitian eigenvalue problem represented by Eqs. (38)–(41) in I is identical to the NESC equations as given in Eq. (14) of Ref. 2 or in Eqs. (3)–(5) of this work.

Therefore, there exists a close connection between the approach developed in I and the method developed in Ref. 2. This should actually be the case, because all exact quasirelativistic theories must be equivalent to one another. Furthermore, similar to the approach developed in I the expressions

for $\mathbf{U}$ developed in Ref. 2 can be used for iterative construction of exact two-component relativistic Hamiltonian. Equation (11), which is first derived in this Comment, establishes the connection between Hermitian and non-Hermitian formulations of the exact quasirelativistic theory. This equation can also be used for the development of new formulations of the exact quasirelativistic theory.

^{a)}Electronic mail: m.filatov@rug.nl

¹W. Kutzelnigg and W. Liu, J. Chem. Phys. **123**, 241102 (2005).

²K. G. Dyall, J. Chem. Phys. **106**, 9618 (1997).

³M. Filatov and D. Cremer, J. Chem. Phys. **122**, 064104 (2005).