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ABSTRACT

One of the important hallmarks of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is the existence of severe inflammatory responses. Many reports indicated that inflammatory mediators might suppress the biological functions of some drug metabolizing enzymes and transporters, and therefore result in a transient mismatch between their genotype and phenotype expressions, a phenomenon which is called phenoconversion. The incidence might be clinically relevant to the COVID-19 patients with comorbidities. The patients are treated with multiple drugs that are prone to be altered pharmacokinetically by inflammation-mediated phenoconversion, leading to the modification of their effectiveness and safety. In this review, we discuss the regulation of inflammatory responses during COVID-19 infection and the evidence as well as potential mechanisms of inflammation-mediated phenoconversion. We also provide possible clinical implications of such phenoconversion events as a potential threat in the management of COVID-19 patients.

1. Introduction

In the end of 2019, a number of people in Wuhan, China, were reported to contract pneumonia-like illnesses that in some of the infected ones immediately developed into acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (Huang et al. 2020). The disease, termed officially by WHO as coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in February 2020, was soon discovered to be linked with a novel infectious agent named as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) (Dhama et al. 2020). At present, COVID-19 has been classified as a pandemic with more than 66 million positive cases worldwide (WHO 2020). With such devastating global profile, the emergence of COVID-19 and its suggested causative agent, SARS-CoV-2, has marked an ominous timeline in the history of human modern civilization.

Much has been done at the frontiers to demonstrate the perilous nature of SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19, the disease it causes (Dhama et al. 2020; Harapan H et al. 2020; Vabret et al. 2020). In parallel, collective global efforts to search for effective COVID-19 vaccines and clinically proven antiviral drugs to combat SARS-CoV-2 have increased our chance to provide safe and sound COVID-19 preventive measures and pharmacological treatments, respectively (Vabret et al. 2020; Corey et al. 2020; Guy et al. 2020). In addition to that, wide-concerted curated efforts to provide the best clinical guidelines for COVID-19 patients have been a remarkable step in response to the COVID-19 pandemic (Tobaiqy et al. 2020; Sanders et al. 2020). However, even with those marvelous endeavors, much remains elusive in terms of our understanding whether currently given drugs can help SARS-CoV-2 infected patients to clear off the viral particles and promote successful recovery from infection.

A further important pharmacological aspect to consider is the occurrence of inflammatory responses in COVID-19 patients that might result in
the repression of biological activities of some drug-metabolizing enzymes (DMEs) and transporters (Aitken AE et al. 2006; Vabret et al. 2020). The inflammation process might result in a transient phenoconversion phenomenon in which there is a deviation between the function encoded in the genotype and the phenotype expression to some extent (Shah and Smith 2015). This inflammation-mediated phenoconversion is particularly important with COVID-19 patients who have comorbidities. These patients might have multiple drug therapies needed to be metabolized and transported by DMEs and transporters which are potentially impacted by inflammation-mediated phenoconversion. In this review, we attempt to discuss the mechanisms of how SARS-CoV-2 can promote inflammatory responses in the infected patients and how such events will potentially result in the emergence of inflammation-mediated phenoconversion. In the end, we will address the possible implications of such phenoconversion events in the management of COVID-19 patients, which further shall provide safer and scientifically sound pharmacological interventions.

2. COVID-19 and Inflammation

Accumulating evidence has suggested that SARS-CoV-2 enters the host cells via ACE2-mediated endocytosis and carry out their replication in the cytoplasm of the infected cells (Hoffmann et al. 2020). After assembling all components required for their replication, the newly produced SARS-CoV-2 particles further relocate into the extracellular space, ready to infect new host cells (Hoffmann et al. 2020; Tang et al. 2020). Just after entering the cells, the presence of SARS-CoV-2 genome in the endosome and/or cytoplasm has been suggested to trigger the activation of innate intrinsic antiviral response in the host cells (Vabret et al. 2020; Tang et al. 2020; Li et al. 2020). Several RNA sensors, for example Toll-like receptors 7 and 8 in the endosome and MDA-5 and RIG-1 in the cytoplasm, are capable to detect RNA viral genome, including SARS-CoV-2 genome, and the activation of these sensors subsequently initiates a series of cascade reactions to stimulate the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines that leads to the recruitment of immune cells to the sites of infection (Vabret et al. 2020; Tang et al. 2020; Li et al. 2020).

In general, the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines into the extracellular regions of infection sites serves as a good indicator for host immune activation upon introduction of foreign particles and pathogens, such as bacteria or viruses, into the host body (Chaplin 2010; Rouse and Sehrawat 2010). In the context of SARS-CoV-2 infection, inflammatory reactions at the sites of infection, including lungs, have been suggested as the results of host innate recognition to certain components of SARS-CoV-2 particles and/or countermeasure responses to robust yet unidentified viral activities in the cytoplasm of the infected cells (Vabret et al. 2020; Li et al. 2020; Vardhana and Wolchok 2020; Tay et al. 2020).

Inflammation is an important response to fend off the invading pathogens (Chaplin 2010; Rouse and Sehrawat 2010). However, it is worth to note that in some cases, a dysregulated inflammatory response posits a serious threat for host cells (Rouse and Sehrawat 2010). Indeed, recent evidence showed that COVID-19 patients, particularly the ones with severe status, experienced hyper-inflammatory responses that have been suggested to lead to multiorgans failure (Huang et al. 2020; Chen et al. 2020). Early reports indicate that inflammation was initiated at the respiratory tracts of the COVID-19 patients and in the severe COVID-19 patients, it soon developed as acute respiratory distress syndrome (Chen et al. 2020). Pathogenic mechanisms on how SARS-CoV-2 causes such fatal syndrome remain largely unknown. However, scientists expect it might be similar to that of SARS-CoV (Vabret et al. 2020; Tay et al. 2020).

Coronavirus replication is likely to activate the host cells defense system that leads to the increased production of antiviral proteins such as interferon and the subsequent release of different types of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Fung and Liu 2019; Li et al. 2020). In the context of COVID-19, levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukins (IL-2, IL-6, IL-7, IL-8, IL-10), tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (GCSF), interferon gamma-induced protein (IP)-10, monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1, and macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)-1α, were reported to increase, especially in ICU (intensive care unit) patients with severe COVID-19, suggesting the possible correlation between increased pro-inflammatory cytokine levels and COVID-19 severity (Huang et al. 2020; Vabret et al. 2020; Tang et al. 2020; Vardhana and Wolchok 2020; Chen et al. 2020).

Immediate release of pro-inflammatory cytokines can occur due to insults from certain stressors and
conditions, including the untimely death of virus-infected cells in the absence of swift elimination by phagocytic cells (Rock and Kono 2008; Nainu et al. 2017). In addition to the mechanism described above, the extracellular presence of SARS-CoV-2-related pro-inflammatory cytokines is triggered by the occurrence of pyroptosis in the macrophages and lymphocytes of COVID-19 patients (Yang 2020). Leakage of danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) and/or pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) from virus-infected cells that undergo pyroptosis, a type of inflammation-related cell death, is a potential way to induce rapid expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Bergsbaken et al. 2009; Zhao and Zhao 2020). The formation of NLRP3 (NOD-like receptor protein 3) inflammasome and the rapid secretion of IL-1β in macrophages have been demonstrated in SARS-CoV infection (Chen et al. 2019). While a similar mechanism is subject for demonstration in SARS-CoV-2 infection, the formation of NLRP3 inflammasome has been shown to result in the vast induction of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Bergsbaken et al. 2009). Inflammation is a complex process that can occur via multiple distinct pathways (Chen et al. 2017). In addition to possible immune activation by innate and adaptive recognition to SARS-CoV-2 and the outcome of pyroptotic cell death, inflammatory responses might be induced via the Angiotensin-ACE2-mediated axis (Bergsbaken et al. 2009; Vabret et al. 2020; Yang 2020). Early reports have suggested that SARS-CoV-2 infects human host cells through ACE2-mediated and TMPRSS2-supported endocytosis (Hoffmann et al. 2020) (Vabret et al. 2020; Yang 2020). This entry mechanism is similar to that of SARS-CoV that eventually leads to the downregulation of ACE2 expression in the infected cells (Li et al. 2003; Wang et al. 2008; Glowacka et al. 2010). Considering that SARS-CoV-2 has been shown to infect host cells through a similar ACE2-dependent viral entry mechanism, it is possible that a similar negative regulation of ACE2 gene expression might occur in the infected host cells. Physiologically, ACE2 plays a vital role in the degradation of Angiotensin II (AngII) into vasoprotective Ang1–7 and Ang 1–9 molecules (Tikellis and Thomas 2012). Reduced expression of pulmonary ACE2 has been reported to result in the increased induction of AngII-mediated inflammation leads to the emergence of fibrosis and lung injury (Imai et al. 2005; Imai et al. 2008; Kuba et al. 2005). Therefore, pathophysiological phenotype for COVID-19 patients may include AngII-associated lung injury, as observed in the SARS-CoV infection (Kuba et al. 2005; Imai et al. 2008). AngII-mediated inflammation accompanied by increased vascular permeability, lung edema, and enhanced penetration of neutrophils to the sites of infection due to the reduced expression of ACE2 have been demonstrated in the acute respiratory disease (ARD) murine model (Imai et al. 2005).

In severe COVID-19 patients, the occurrence of hyper-inflammation as a result of cytokine storm has been suggested (Vabret et al. 2020; Vardhana and Wolchok 2020; Tay et al. 2020). Cytokine storm is a condition where excessive pro-inflammatory cytokines, for example interleukin(s) and chemokine(s), are produced by the host immune cells in response to foreign insult(s), including in the event of betacoronavirus infection such as SARS-CoV8, MERS-CoV, and possibly SARS-CoV-2 (Tisoncik et al. 2012; Channappanavar and Perlman 2017; Song et al. 2020). Indeed, as a hallmark of severe MERS-CoV infection and to some extent, SARS-CoV-2 infection, elevated levels of certain pro-inflammatory cytokines, for example IL-6, in the late event of infection have been specifically suggested to correlate with respiratory failure, ARDS, and adverse clinical outcomes (Vabret et al. 2020; Tay et al. 2020; Channappanavar and Perlman 2017; Song et al. 2020). With this in mind, proper induction of inflammation as an antiviral response and subsequent resolution at a given time to limit the immunopathology of inflammatory reactions on the infected patients shall hold critical roles in effort to obtain a better clinical outcome.

3. Inflammation-Mediated Phenoconversion: Evidence and Mechanisms

Mounting non-clinical and clinical evidence have shown that elevated production of some pro-inflammatory cytokines (such as IL-1, IL-6, IFN or, TNF) during the inflammation process potentially alters the genetic modulation of some DMEs, reduces their hepatic levels, and therefore, decreases their metabolic activities (Aitken et al. 2006; Shah and Smith 2015; Storelli et al. 2018). This condition might lead to a transient phenoconversion of DMEs, which is defined as a discordance between their genotypic status (normal metabolizer/NM) and their phenotypic expression (intermediate metabolizer/IM or poor metabolizer/PM). It was estimated that the metabolic
clearance of some drugs was reduced substantially by 20 to 70% in inflammation state (Aitken et al. 2006). It seems that although the genotype status of the responsible DMEs corresponds to normal metabolic function, their phenotypic expressions might differ during inflammatory conditions. Therefore, phenoconversion of DMEs might potentially impact the drug efficacy and safety if it is not well recognized and managed clinically.

In vitro experiments by incubation of pro-inflammatory cytokines with rodents and human hepatocytes cultures showed that the cytokines were able to downregulate some cytochrome P450 (CYP450) biosynthesis (Sunman et al. 2004; Aitken et al. 2006; Shah and Smith 2015). Likewise, experimental inflammatory or infection models which were developed by inoculation of bacterial, viral, parasitic, or other inflammatory inducers (such as cytokines, interferons, irritants, or adjuvants) indicated that increased exposure to inflammatory cytokines led to the decrease of the total amount of hepatic CYP450 (CYP) expressions and their metabolic activities were markedly reduced (Sewer et al. 1997; Siewert et al. 2000; Aitken et al. 2006; Shah and Smith 2015). The reduction rate of mRNA expression of some CYP isoenzymes was in line with the increase in the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines. Comprehensive reviews on the in vitro and in vivo studies on the inflammation-mediated CYP depression can be read elsewhere (Aitken et al. 2006; Morgan et al. 2011; Shah and Smith 2015).

In terms of clinical studies, the first notable case on the clinical impact of inflammation on drug biotransformation was observed during the influenza B epidemic in Washington, US, in 1980 (Kraemer et al. 1982). Eleven young asthmatic patients who used theophylline routinely as a maintenance treatment for their asthma reported to have a prolonged half-life of theophylline, which was assumed to be due to a decrease in the catalytic activity of the drug-metabolizing enzyme (Chang et al. 1978). Shedlofsky et al. 1994 confirmed the hypothesis by doing an experiment in which they injected lipopolysaccharide (LPS) to healthy male volunteers to induce acute inflammation, who were then administered with theophylline (Shedlofsky et al. 1994). As expected, LPC induced the increased of TNFα, IL-1β, and IL-6 blood levels and caused a 22% decrease in theophylline clearance (Shedlofsky et al. 1994).

Another clinical example of the effects of inflammation-mediated reduction of CYP metabolic capacity can be observed in sepsis children treated with antipyrine (Carcillo et al. 2003). It was found that there was at least two times a reduction of antipyrine metabolic clearance in patients with sepsis compared to the healthy control group (Carcillo et al. 2003). The substantial trend of reduction was negatively correlated with the amount of IL-6 as well as the level of nitric oxide (NO) production in the blood (Carcillo et al. 2003). Antipirine is metabolized by several CYP sub-families such as CYP1A2, CYP2B6, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2C18, and CYP3A4 (Engel et al. 1996). Therefore, there was a possibility that elevated levels of cytokines during inflammation as well as the increase of NO levels may suppress the catalytic activities of those CYP enzymes.

A next clinical example was reported in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients who used simvastatin (a CYP3A4 substrate) (Lee et al. 2017). It was reported that during simvastatin treatment, RA patients had a significant increase of simvastatin area under curve (AUC) [84.3 ng.h/ml (SD = 53.6)] compared to the reference value reported in healthy individuals (17–50 ± 10–22 ng.h/ml) (Lee et al. 2017). RA patients commonly have an elevated circulating IL-6, and therefore potentially have a depressed CYP3A4 metabolic activity due to IL-6 actions. Interestingly, the co-administration of sarilumab (a human monoclonal antibody which works by blocking IL-6Ra) could return the AUC of simvastatin to the normal concentration [47.9 ng.h/ml (SD = 33.4)] (Lee et al. 2017). It indicated that IL-6 plays an important role in depressing the activity of CYP3A4 and the transient decrease of CYP3A4 metabolic activity could be normalized by IL-6 inhibitor administration. It was estimated that inflammation might reduce the CYP3A4 metabolic activity by 20 to 60% (Haas et al. 2003). An equivalent scenario was
also reported in the interaction between sirukumab (another human IL-6 blocker monoclonal antibody) with several CYP substrates such as midazolam (a CYP3A substrate), omeprazole (a CYP2C19 substrate), and warfarin (a CYP2C9 substrate) in RA patients (Zhuang et al. 2015). The high systemic level of IL-6 in RA condition seemed to downregulate the CYP enzymes, resulting in the higher blood concentration of midazolam, omeprazole, and warfarin than in normal persons (Zhuang et al. 2015). However, after a single administration of sirukumab, there was a decrease in the level of midazolam, omeprazole, and warfarin exposures by 30-35%, 37-45%, and 18-19%, respectively, to the level of exposures in the normal condition (Zhuang et al. 2015). The results were aligned with the idea that IL-6 has an ability to transiently suppress metabolic activities of some CYP sub-families which can be reversed by an IL-6 blocker.

Another important CYP subtype that is potentially depressed by cytokines is CYP2D6. It was reported that CYP2D6 catalytic activity was decreased by about 90% in persons with HIV compared to the normal control (Jones et al. 2010). It was also noted that about 25% of patients experienced phenocconversion in which they were genetically NM but phenotypically PM (Jones AE et al. 2010). The CYP2D6 activity was inversely correlated with the blood concentration of TNFα (Jones AE et al. 2010). Interestingly, from the same study, it was also found that the activity of N-acetyltransferase 2 (NAT2), a phase II drug metabolizing enzyme, was also lessened by 53% compared to the healthy individuals and results in a significant phenotypic conversion from fast to slow acetylation (Jones AE et al. 2010). The rate of phenocconversion is associated with the progression of HIV disease (Jones AE et al. 2010; Shah and Smith 2015). However, the overall impact of inflammation on metabolic capacities of Phase II drug metabolizing enzymes generally has not been comprehensively explored yet (Aitken et al. 2006).

The molecular mechanisms of inflammation-mediated phenocconversion are still not well elucidated. However, there have been some mechanisms proposed to explain how the pro-inflammatory cytokines might suppress the amount and catalytic functions of CYP enzymes. Firstly, the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines might alter the regulation of some particular transcription factors, such as pregnane X receptor (PXR), constitutive androstane receptor (CAR), retinoid X receptor (RXR), hepatocyte nuclear factor (HNF), nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB), and CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein beta (C/EBPβ), in the liver (Aitken et al. 2006; Morgan et al. 2011). These modifications might lead to a repression of some CYP450 genes transcription, reducing the particular CYP mRNA levels and resulting in the decrease of respective CYP metabolic activities. Secondly, the chronic generation of the pro-inflammatory cytokines might induce nitric oxide synthase 2 (NOS2) to produce hepatic NO (Aitken et al. 2006). NO was reported to potentially down-regulate the expression of CYP genes because it has a capability in modulating HNF4 and NFκB through the formation of S-nitrosylation (cysteine-nitrosylation) on the DNA-binding domains of the transcription factors (Aitken et al. 2006; Morgan et al. 2011). Additionally, NO was also indicated to be able to decrease the metabolic activity of hepatic CYP enzymes by nitrosylation of cysteine or tyrosine residues in the various CYP structure (Aitken et al. 2006; Morgan et al. 2011).

Furthermore, inflammation was also reported to influence the function of main drug transporter such as P-glycoprotein (P-gp) transporter by suppressing its mRNA expression as well as its biological activity (Aitken et al. 2006; Morgan et al. 2011). In vivo models of acute inflammatory conditions, which were created by administration of chemical (turpentine oil) and biological (endotoxin) substances to induce the release of inflammatory cytokines, indicated the substantial decrease in the amount of Mdr1 (Abcb1) gene expression in the liver (gene responsible for encoding P-gp transporter) (Piquette-Miller et al. 1998; Hartmann et al. 2005; Aitken et al. 2006; Morgan et al. 2011). Hartmann et al. 2005 reported a reduction in the systemic clearance of doxorubicin (a P-gp substrate), which led to a significant increase in the AUC of doxorubicin in the LPS-induced acute inflammatory model (Hartmann et al. 2005). Doxorubicin is cleared primarily via biliary secretion which is facilitated by P-gp transporter. Therefore, the reduction of hepatic P-gp level due to inflammation can decrease its clearance from the blood.

It was estimated that there was about 50% to 70% reduction of hepatic expression and activity of the drug transporter within one or two days after a chemical irritant injection (Piquette-Miller et al. 1998). The alteration was seemingly produced by a repression of Mdr1a and Mdr1b (isoforms of Mdr1 in mice) nuclear gene transcription via modulation of
Patients with COVID-19 experiencing severe phenoconversion to some extent. The probability to be altered by inflammation-mediated transporters used by COVID-19 patients have a high blood concentration of P-gp substrates. The transporter might produce a substantial change in the activities of Phase II drug metabolizing enzymes as well as P-gp drug transporter (Aitken et al. 2006; Morgan et al. 2011). Therefore, pharmacokinetics of drugs used by COVID-19 patients have a high probability to be altered by inflammation-mediated phenoconversion to some extent.

Patients with COVID-19 experiencing severe conditions because of cytokine storms, are not uncommon to have comorbidities (Guan et al. 2020; Richardson et al. 2020). Several frequent diseases observed among COVID-19 patients are hypertension, coronary artery disease, obesity, diabetes, and chronic respiratory diseases (asthma, COPD) (Guan et al. 2020; Richardson et al. 2020). Consequently, these particular patients might consume several drugs concomitantly. In table 1, we provide some lists of drugs which are theoretically used by COVID-19 patients and their clinical impacts are potentially altered by inflammation-mediated phenoconversion. If COVID-19 patients are prescribed with normal doses of drugs intended to treat their co-existing comorbidities, their phenoconversion condition might potentially alter the clinical impacts of the drugs either by increasing the risk of side effects because of the elevated plasma concentrations of the drugs or inducing ineffectiveness in case of pro-drugs.

There are some conditions which are theoretically prone to be clinically affected by inflammation-mediated phenoconversion such as: 1) elderly patients which normally experience physiological-related changes such as decreased kidney and hepatic functions; 2) patients with pre-existing renal and liver diseases; 3) inflammation-mediated phenoconversion affecting not only the main metabolic pathway of the drug but also its alternative metabolic pathway; 4) decreased function of metabolic pathways because of genetic polymorphisms; 5) concomitant use of a drug which is an inhibitor of the DME or transporter; 6) patients using a drug with a narrow therapeutic index. It is therefore important for clinicians to be able to identify patients who are sensitive to be affected by inflammation-mediated phenoconversion as well as to identify potentially affected drugs. It is also prudent that the clinical practitioners are being aware of the potential impact of inflammation-mediated phenoconversion and therefore could do a close monitoring to the potential side effects of particular drugs and if needed, to adjust the dose of the drugs.

Yet, the impact of inflammation-mediated phenoconversion is probably hard to observe clinically since most drugs do have a wide therapeutic index and are commonly metabolized by several metabolic pathways. It has been reported that the failure of one metabolic pathway could be compensated by an alternative metabolic pathway (Bahar et al. 2017). Moreover, the presence of observable impact of side effects due to the increase of drug concentrations might also be shadowed by the COVID-19 symptoms or attributed to the COVID-19 infection.

Another challenge to tackle the potential hazard of inflammation-mediated phenoconversion is the lack of guideline to manage this particular drug-disease interaction. The current guidelines of drug interactions have not considered the importance of inflammation-mediated phenoconversion on drug safety yet. It is understandable since clinical studies regarding the topic is still limited and hard to translate to clinically actionable medication management. Yet, Van Tongeren et al. (2020) have recently published a standardized guideline to help the translation of the available clinical information of drug-disease interactions to applicable clinical action (Van Tongeren et al. 2020).

Nevertheless, exploration of the clinical relevance of inflammation-mediated phenoconversion should still be further pursued. Since patients with comorbidities are commonly not included in randomized clinical trials, the evidence regarding the potential impact of drug-disease interactions is often lacking (Van Tongeren et al. 2020). Therefore, we need an alternative method that can be used.
to predict the clinical impact of inflammation on drug pharmacokinetics. One method that could be employed is a physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) modeling (Jones and Rowland-Yeo 2013). The quantitative biosimulation method can incorporate complex data which are predicted to affect drug pharmacokinetics such as drug-specific parameters as well as variability in internal and external characteristics of the individual patient (Jones and Rowland-Yeo 2013; Vieira et al. 2014). The model has been successfully utilized to predict the magnitude of complex drug interactions (Storelli et al. 2019).

Table 1. List of drugs which are theoretically used by COVID-19 patients with comorbidities and potentially affected by inflammation mediated phenoconversion (Flockhart 2008; Wishart et al. 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug metabolizing enzymes and transporter</th>
<th>DM</th>
<th>Hypertension</th>
<th>Coronary Artery disease</th>
<th>Chronic respiratory diseases (asthma, COPD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CYP2C19</td>
<td></td>
<td>Labetalol</td>
<td>Clopidogrel, labetalol, coomarins</td>
<td>Zafirlukast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glibenclamide, glimepiride, glipizide, glyburide, nateglinide, rosiglitazone, tolbutamide</td>
<td></td>
<td>Irbesartan, losartan</td>
<td>Fluvastatin, warfarin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2D6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Metoprolol, alprenolol, carvedilol, propranolol, nebivolol, clonidine</td>
<td></td>
<td>Metoprolol, carvedilol, propranolol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP3A4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amlodipine, diltiazem, eplerenone, felodipine, lercanidipine, nifedipine, nisoldipine, nitrendipine, verapamil</td>
<td>Simvastatine, lovastatine, amlodipine, diltiazem, atorvastatine, cilostazole, diltiazem, felodipine, nifedipine, verapamil</td>
<td>Roflumilast, astemizole, deflazacort, salmeterol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP1A2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Verapamil, propranolol</td>
<td>Verapamil, propranolol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2C8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Verapamil, propranolol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2C18, CYP2B6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Verapamil</td>
<td>Verapamil</td>
<td>Coumarins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-gp transporter</td>
<td></td>
<td>Verapamil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitagliptin, dapagliflozin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Verapamil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Finally, the potential impact of inflammation on drug therapy during COVID-19 should be considered concomitantly with other intrinsic (such as genetics, comorbidity; renal disease or liver problems, physiological factors: age, gender and BMI) and extrinsic factors (such as herbal medicine, vitamins and dietary supplement, co-administered drug, and lifestyles: cigarette smoking, exercising, drinking alcohol) which might modify the safety and effectiveness of the drug. The co-existence of internal (genetic polymorphisms, comorbidity) and external (co-medication) factors may produce a complex interaction such as drug-drug-gene-disease interactions/DDGDIs (the overlapping condition of drug-drug-interaction, genetic polymorphisms, and disease) (Storelli et al. 2018). The DDGs, which may produce a wider range of inter-individual variability on drug exposures than drug-drug interactions and drug-gene interactions, were observed in clinical practice (Storelli et al. 2018). Therefore, a clinical decision support system, which is commonly used to help healthcare professionals in managing drug interactions, should be created to be able to accommodate and process all the clinically relevant information in order to generate a personalized clinical practice to manage potential drug-related problems based on specific risk factors found in patients (Bahar 2020).

5. Conclusion

COVID-19 patients are often accompanied with chronic inflammation, a condition which might influence the pharmacokinetics of some drugs prescribed for them via alteration of drug metabolizing enzymes and transporters. Therefore, it is prudent that clinicians (prescribing doctors and pharmacists) should be aware on this drug-disease interaction to minimize the potential harm since inflammation-mediated phenoconversion might become an important threat in the COVID-19 management and pharmacotherapy.
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