University of Groningen

Static and dynamic aspects of the demagnetizing factor in magnetic thin films with random rough surfaces

Palasantzas, G.

Published in:
Journal of Applied Physics

DOI:
[10.1063/1.371030](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.371030)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
1999

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Palasantzas, G. (1999). Static and dynamic aspects of the demagnetizing factor in magnetic thin films with random rough surfaces. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 86(4), 2196-2199. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.371030>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Static and dynamic aspects of the demagnetizing factor in magnetic thin films with random rough surfaces

George Palasantzas^{a)}

Delft University of Technology, Delft Institute of Microelectronics and Submicron Technology (DIMES) and Department of Applied Physics, NEXT-Lab, Lorentzweg 1, 2628 CJ Delft, The Netherlands

(Received 9 July 1998; accepted for publication 21 April 1999)

We investigate static and dynamic aspects of the demagnetizing factor N in magnetic thin films with random rough surfaces which are described by the rms amplitude Δ , the correlation length ξ , and the roughness exponent H ($0 \leq H \leq 1$). The demagnetizing factor decreases as the surface smoothens (increasing H and/or decreasing ratio Δ/ξ), with the exponent H yielding a comparable contribution to N as the roughness ratio Δ/ξ . Moreover, for growing films with self-affine surfaces, N decreases with film thickness, closely as a power law for large roughness exponents ($H \sim 1$). Finally, estimates of the demagnetizing factor based on sinusoidal models are shown to be inadequate since they neglect fine roughness details at short wavelengths ($< \xi$) as depicted by the roughness exponent H . © 1999 American Institute of Physics. [S0021-8979(99)03915-8]

I. INTRODUCTION

The magnetic properties on thin films are influenced by a variety of parameters such as film thickness, crystalline structure, composition, preparation conditions, and surface/interface roughness. More precisely, surface/interface roughness influences magnetic properties such as magnetic anisotropy, coercivity, magnetic domain structure, and magnetoresistance.^{1,2} For example, the coercivity of chemically etched NiFeCo films was found to increase with increasing film surface roughness, and with increasing substrate roughness in Co/Cu(100) films.³ Other coercivity studies in NiCo-alloy films and CoPt/Si₃N₄ multilayers revealed a more complex dependence on surface roughness.⁴ In the diffuse limit in magnetic multilayers, interface roughness enhances the giant magnetoresistance (GMR) when bulk and interface electron roughness scattering is stronger for electrons with spins of the same kind (up or down), while in the opposite case it diminishes GMR.²

Magnetic anisotropy and magnetic domain structure of materials used in read/write heads are critical in optimizing the head performance especially at high frequencies.⁵ Studies of Co films deposited on plasma etched Co/S(100) substrates revealed that by increasing surface roughness the uniaxial anisotropy decreased and disappeared for the roughest films.⁶ Moreover, with increasing surface roughness the magnetization reversal changed gradually from magnetization rotation which was dominant for smooth films to domain-wall motion that was dominant for the roughest films.⁶ Finally, in the same study a decrease of the hysteresis loop squareness was speculated to be related to the increase of the in-plane roughness induced demagnetizing factor.

In thin magnetic films with a relatively high saturation magnetization, even a small surface roughness can produce

significant demagnetizing fields, which tend to demagnetize the film in the vicinity of the rough surface unless a strong magnetic field is applied.⁷ A measure of the roughness induced demagnetizing effect is represented by the demagnetizing factor N . For the etched Co/Si(100) films⁶ the in-plane demagnetizing factor was estimated by the formula $N = \pi \Delta^2 / d \xi^7$ with d the film thickness, Δ the rms roughness amplitude, and ξ the in-plane roughness correlation length. It was shown to increase with etching time or equivalently increasing surface roughness (especially at early etching stages) closely by two orders of magnitude.⁶

Nevertheless, such a formula for the demagnetizing factor was based on a sinusoidal grooved model,⁶ and was translated for random rough surfaces by substitution of the groove amplitude and wavelength, respectively, by Δ and ξ .⁷ On the other hand, random rough surfaces are in many cases characterized (besides the parameters Δ and ξ) by an additional component, the roughness exponent H ($0 \leq H \leq 1$). The latter quantifies the degree of surface irregularity at short length scales ($r < \xi$), and is associated with a local fractal dimension $D = 3 - H$.^{8,9} For the etched Co/Si(100) films the roughness exponent was measured by atomic force microscopy to be $H \sim 0.93$.⁶ Other past x-ray reflectivity and scanning probe microscopy roughness studies of magnetic thin films (including, e.g., eroded Fe films, Fe/Au, NiFe/Au, and Co/Au multilayers)¹⁰ were shown the existence of surface/interface roughness exponents H that span almost the whole range of values $0 < H < 1$.

Therefore, in the present article we will focus on a more precise calculation of the roughness induced demagnetizing factor as a function of all the roughness parameters Δ , ξ , and H , as well as we will investigate its dynamic evolution with increasing film thickness. Moreover, direct comparisons of the demagnetizing factor with predictions of sinusoidal roughness models (which were used in the past) will be performed.

^{a)}New address from 1/9/98: Department of Applied Physics & Materials Science Center, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands. Electronic mail: G.Palasantzas@Phys.rug.nl

II. DEMAGNETIZING FACTOR THEORY FOR RANDOM ROUGH SURFACES

We assume a film of thickness d with homogenous magnetization $\mathbf{J}_0$. The local magnetization at every point in the film is given by $\mathbf{J}(r, z) = \mathbf{J}_0[\theta(h(\mathbf{r}) - z) - \theta(-d - z)]$ with $\theta(x)$ the step function, $\mathbf{r}$ the in-plane two-dimensional vector, and $h(\mathbf{r})$ the film surface fluctuations from flatness ($\langle h(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = 0$). The film/substrate interface is assumed for simplicity to be flat. If W is the magnetostatic free energy, the tensorial demagnetizing factor $\vec{N}$ is defined by the relation $W = (2\pi A d)(\mathbf{J}_0 \cdot \vec{N} \cdot \mathbf{J}_0)$.⁷ The diagonal elements of $\vec{N}$ in the limit of weak roughness ($|\nabla h| \ll 1$) are given to first order by⁷

$$N_{xx(y)} = (4\pi d A)^{-1} \times \int [\partial_{x(y)} h(\mathbf{r}) \partial_{x(y)} h(\mathbf{r}')] \frac{d^2 \mathbf{r} d^2 \mathbf{r}'}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}, \quad (1)$$

$$N_{zz} = 1 - (N_{xx} + N_{yy})$$

with A the average flat film surface, and $\partial_{x(y)} h(\mathbf{r})$ the partial derivative with respect to x (y). The nondiagonal elements N_{xy} vanish with suitable choice of the xy -coordinates system, while the elements N_{xz} will vanish to first order upon ensemble average over possible roughness configurations assuming $h(\mathbf{r})$ to be a Gaussian random variable.¹¹

We define the Fourier transform of $h(\mathbf{r})$ by $h(\mathbf{r}) = \int h(\mathbf{q}) e^{-j\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} d^2 \mathbf{q}$ (which yields $\partial_{x(y)} h(\mathbf{r}) = \int (-j q_{x(y)}) h(\mathbf{q}) e^{-j\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} d^2 \mathbf{q}$) and assumes translation invariant surfaces or $\langle h(\mathbf{q}) h(\mathbf{q}') \rangle = [(2\pi)^4 / A] \langle |h(\mathbf{q})|^2 \rangle \delta^2(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}')$. By considering the identity $\int |\mathbf{r}'|^{-1} e^{-j\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} d^2 \mathbf{r} = 2\pi / q$ and substituting in Eq. (1), we obtain after ensemble average over possible roughness configurations

$$N_{xx(y)} = \frac{1}{2d} [(2\pi)^4 / A] \times \int_{0 < q < Q_c} (q^2_{x(y)} / q) \langle |h(\mathbf{q})|^2 \rangle d^2(\mathbf{q}) \quad (2)$$

with $Q_c = \pi/a_0$ an upper roughness cutoff and a_0 to the order of the atomic spacing. As Eq. (2) indicates, further calculation of the demagnetizing factor components requires knowledge of the surface roughness spectrum $\langle |h(\mathbf{q})|^2 \rangle$.

III. SURFACE ROUGHNESS MODEL

A wide variety of surfaces/interfaces occurring in nature are well described by a kind of roughness associated with self-affine fractal scaling which was defined by Mandelbrodt⁸ in terms of fractional Brownian motion. Examples include the nanometer scale topology of vapor deposited metal films, eroded and fractured surfaces, liquid-gas interface fluctuations, kilometer scale structures of mountain terrain, etc.⁹ Physical processes which produce such surfaces/interfaces include molecular-beam epitaxy, erosion, fracture, fluid invasion in porous media etc.⁹

For self-affine fractals the roughness spectrum $\langle |h(\mathbf{q})|^2 \rangle$ scales as⁹

$$\langle |h(\mathbf{q})|^2 \rangle \propto \begin{cases} q^{-2-2H} & \text{if } q\xi \gg 1 \\ \text{const} & \text{if } q\xi \ll 1 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

with the roughness exponent H being a measure of the degree of surface irregularity,¹² such that small values of H characterize more jagged or irregular surfaces at short roughness wavelengths ($< \xi$). The scaling behavior of Eq. (3) is satisfied by the simple Lorentzian model,¹³ $\langle |h(\mathbf{q})|^2 \rangle = [A/(2\pi)^5] [\Delta^2 \xi^2 / (1 + a q^2 \xi^2)^{1+H}]$ with $a = (1/2H)[1 - (1 + a Q_c^2 \xi^2)^{-H}]$ if $0 < H < 1$, and $a = (1/2) \ln[1 + a Q_c^2 \xi^2]$ if $H = 0$ (logarithmic roughness). Other roughness models which satisfy the scaling relation depicted by Eq. (3) can be found in Refs. 12 and 14.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Equation (2) can be simplified further if we take into account the isotropic nature of the roughness spectrum; $\langle |h(\mathbf{q})|^2 \rangle = \langle |h(q)|^2 \rangle$. Thus, we obtain

$$N_{xx} = N_{yy} = \frac{1}{4d\sqrt{a}} \frac{\Delta^2}{\xi} \int_{0 < x < \sqrt{a}\xi Q_c} x^2 (1 + x^2)^{-1-H} dx \quad (4)$$

with $N_{zz} = 1 - 2N_{xx}$.⁷ Analytic calculations of the demagnetizing factor can be performed for three characteristic values of the roughness exponent H ; namely $H = 0$ (logarithmic roughness), $H = 0.5$ (simple ‘‘Brownian’’ roughness⁸), and $H = 1$ (smooth hill–valley roughness). From Eq. (4) we obtain

$$N_{xx} = N_{yy} = \frac{\Delta^2}{4d\sqrt{a}\xi} [\sqrt{a}\xi Q_c - \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{a}\xi Q_c)] \quad (H = 0), \quad (5)$$

$$N_{xx} = N_{yy} = \frac{\Delta^2}{4da^{3/2}\xi} \left\{ -\frac{\sqrt{a}\xi Q_c}{\sqrt{1 + a\xi^2 Q_c^2}} + \ln(\sqrt{a}\xi Q_c + \sqrt{1 + a\xi^2 Q_c^2}) \right\} \quad (H = 1/2), \quad (6)$$

$$N_{xx} = N_{yy} = \frac{\Delta^2}{4d\sqrt{a}\xi} \{ (3/2) \tan^{-1}(\sqrt{a}\xi Q_c) - (1/4) \sin[\tan^{-1}(\sqrt{a}\xi Q_c)] \} \quad (H = 1). \quad (7)$$

For sufficiently large correlation lengths ($\xi Q_c \gg 1$) we obtain from Eqs. (5)–(7) $N_{xx} = N_{yy} \approx (\Delta^2 Q_c / 4d)$ for $H = 0$, $N_{xx} = N_{yy} \approx (\Delta^2 / 4da^{3/2}\xi) \ln(2\sqrt{a}\xi Q_c)$ for $H = 1/2$, and $N_{xx} = N_{yy} \approx (3\pi\Delta^2 / 16d\sqrt{a}\xi)$ for $H = 1$. Clearly for roughness exponents $H > 0$, the demagnetizing factor depends strongly on the ratio Δ^2 / ξ .

As was explained by Schlömann,⁷ the contribution of higher order terms on the demagnetizing factors can be limited up to 10% with respect to that of the first order term as long as the maximum surface local slope is less than 1. For random rough surfaces the rms local surface slope is given by $\rho = \langle |\nabla h|^2 \rangle^{1/2}$, and it has been shown to scale as $\rho \propto \Delta / \xi^H$ for self-affine fractal surfaces.¹⁵ Moreover, as can be seen in Fig. 1, the local surface slope is strongly influenced

FIG. 1. The rms local surface slope $\rho = \langle |\nabla h|^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ vs the roughness exponent H for $a_0 = 0.3$ nm, $\Delta = 1$ nm, and ratios Δ/ξ as indicated.

by the roughness exponent H in such a way that it decreases by more than an order of magnitude as H increases from 0 to 1 (especially for small roughness ratios Δ/ξ).

The in-plane demagnetizing factors $N_{xx(yy)}$ have a trivial dependence on the rms roughness amplitude Δ ; namely $N_{xx(yy)} \propto \Delta^2$, while any complex dependence will arise solely from the roughness exponent H and the in-plane correlation length ξ . Figures 2 and 3 depict N_{xx} vs ξ and H , respectively. The demagnetizing factor is a monotonic decreasing function of the roughness exponent H and the correlation length ξ . In other words, roughness induced demagnetizing decreases as the surface smoothens at short and/or long wavelengths ($H \sim 1$ and/or $\Delta/\xi \ll 1$). Moreover, since N_{xx} decreases with increasing H , Eqs. (5) and (7) define, respectively, its upper and lower limits; $N_{xx(H=1)} \leq N_{xx(H)} \leq N_{xx(H=0)}$. Finally, comparing Figs. 2 and 3 we can infer that the roughness exponent H has a contribution on the in-plane demagnetization comparable to that of the correlation length ξ or equivalently the ratio Δ/ξ (for Δ fixed).

FIG. 2. Demagnetizing factor N_{xx} vs correlation length ξ for roughness exponents H as indicated, $a_0 = 0.3$ nm, and $\Delta = 1$ nm.

FIG. 3. Demagnetizing factor N_{xx} vs roughness exponent H for correlation length ξ as indicated, $a_0 = 0.3$ nm, and $\Delta = 1$ nm.

Figure 4 depicts the ratio of N_{xx} and the demagnetizing factor $N^s = \pi \Delta^2 / d \xi$ obtained in the past for a sinusoidal roughness model.⁷ Estimation of the demagnetizing factor for random rough surfaces from the formula N^s appears to be closer to the actual predictions for moderate roughness exponents ($H \sim 0.4-0.5$). However, for small ($H \sim 0$) and large ($H \sim 1$) roughness exponents such an estimation can be different by more than an order of magnitude. In addition, as the correlation length ξ increases (or Δ/ξ decreases, leading to surface smoothing at long wavelengths), the ratio N_{xx}/N^s increases for small roughness exponents ($H < 0.5$), while it decreases for large roughness exponents ($H > 0.5$). Therefore, the effect of the roughness exponent H on the demagnetizing factor should be taken properly into account in order that a more precise estimation of roughness effects on measurable magnetic properties be achieved.

FIG. 4. Demagnetizing factor N_{xx}/N^s vs correlation length ξ for roughness exponents H as indicated, $a_0 = 0.3$ nm, and $\Delta = 1$ nm. The actual value of the demagnetizing factor for random rough surfaces can differ significantly from that estimated for sinusoidal model predictions.

FIG. 5. Demagnetizing factor N_{xx} vs film thickness d for $\Delta = 0.96d^{0.29}$, $\xi = 5.3d^{0.8/0.29}$ ($H=0.82$ and $\beta=0.29$), $a_0=0.3$ nm, and $\Delta=1$ nm. The demagnetizing factor decays as a power law $N_{xx} \propto d^{-(H+\beta-2\beta H)/H}$.

In the plasma etched Co/Si(100) films,⁶ the demagnetizing factor (using the formula $N^s = \pi\Delta^2/d\xi^7$) was shown to increase significantly with increasing etching time. On the other hand, its thickness evolution can be different and still remains unexplored. Indeed, in nonequilibrium film growth it was predicted¹⁶ and verified experimentally^{9,17} that the roughness parameters Δ and ξ grow with film thickness (for constant deposition rate) as power laws; namely $\Delta \propto d^\beta$ and $\xi \propto d^{\beta/H}$ with the roughness exponent H (>0) constant and the growth exponent β smaller than 0.5 (in most cases). Figure 5 shows the evolution of N_{xx} with film thickness using for illustration purposes $\Delta = 0.96d^{0.29}$ and $\xi = 5.3d^{0.8/0.29}$ ($H=0.82$ and $\beta=0.29$). The demagnetizing factor N_{xx} is shown to decrease by more than an order of magnitude for a thickness increase by two orders of magnitude. For large roughness exponents ($H \geq 0.8$), the demagnetizing factor decreases with film thickness as a power law $N_{xx} \propto d^{-(H+\beta-2\beta H)/H}$ (Fig. 5). This is expected since Eq. (7) indicates the dominant roughness term to be $\Delta^2/d\xi$ for large roughness exponents ($H \sim 1$). Nevertheless, deviations from this power law occur for smaller roughness exponents since, for example, logarithmic contributions might occur as Eq. (6) indicates for $H=0.5$; $N_{xx} \propto \xi^{-1} \ln(\xi)$.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we investigated static and dynamic aspects of the demagnetizing factor for magnetic thin films with rough self-affine surfaces. It was shown that the roughness exponent H has comparable contribution on the demagnetizing factor N with that of the long wavelength ratio Δ/ξ . Indeed, N can decrease closely by two orders of magnitude as H increases from 0 to 1. Moreover, estimations of N based on a sinusoidal model are shown to be inadequate by more than an order of magnitude if they applied in random surfaces and neglecting the effect of the roughness exponent H .

For growing self-affine surfaces, N decreases significantly with film thickness, closely as a power law for large roughness exponents ($H \geq 0.8$) reflecting the dominant dependence on the ratio Δ^2/ξ . Finally, analytic calculations of the demagnetizing factor were performed for the characteristic roughness exponents $H=0, 0.5$, and 1, which can be useful in future studies of roughness induced demagnetizing effects.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

G.P. would like to acknowledge support from the Department of Applied Physics at Delft University of Technology and the ESPRIT research program No. 22953.

- ¹ *Ultrathin Magnetic Structures I and II*, edited by J. A. C. Bland and B. Heinrich (Springer, New York, 1994); C. H. Chang and M. H. Kryder, J. Appl. Phys. **75**, 6864 (1994); P. Bruno, G. Bayreuther, P. Beauvillain, C. Chappert, G. Lugert, D. Renard, J. P. Renard, and J. Seiden, *ibid.* **68**, 5759 (1990).
- ² J. Barnas and Y. Bruynseraede, Europhys. Lett. **32**, 167 (1995); Phys. Rev. B **53**, 5449 (1996); J. Barnas and G. Palasantzas, J. Appl. Phys. **82**, 3950 (1997); S. Zhang and P. M. Levy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 916 (1996); S. S. P. Parkin, *Ultrathin Magnetic Structures II*, edited by J. A. C. Bland and B. Heinrich (Springer, New York, 1994).
- ³ V. I. Malyutin, V. E. Osukhovskii, Yu. D. Vorobiev, A. G. Shishkov, and V. V. Yudin, Phys. Status Solidi A **65**, 45 (1981); Q. Jiang, H.-N. Yang, and G.-C. Wang, Surf. Sci. **373**, 181 (1997).
- ⁴ S. Vilain, J. Ebothe, and M. Troyon, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **157**, 274 (1996); J.-H. Kim and S.-C. Shin, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., Part 1 **35**, 342 (1996).
- ⁵ M. Uchizawa, J. Fujita, H. Kobayashi, and S. Tanabe, J. Appl. Phys. **79**, 4999 (1996).
- ⁶ M. Li, G. C. Wang, and H.-G. Min, J. Appl. Phys. **83**, 5313 (1998).
- ⁷ E. Schlomann, J. Appl. Phys. **41**, 1617 (1970).
- ⁸ B. B. Mandelbrot, *The Fractal Geometry of Nature* (Freeman, New York, 1982).
- ⁹ P. Meakin, Phys. Rep. **235**, 191 (1993); J. Krim and G. Palasantzas, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **9**, 599 (1995).
- ¹⁰ R. Paniago, H. Homma, P. C. Chow, S. C. Moss, Z. Barnea, S. S. P. Parkin, and D. Cookson, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 13442 (1997); R. Paniago, H. Homma, P. C. Chow, S. C. Moss, Z. Barnea, S. S. P. Parkin, and D. Cookson, *ibid.* **52**, 17052 (1995); J. Krim, I. Heyvaert, C. Van Haesendonck, and Y. Bruynseraede, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 57 (1993); Y.-L. He, H. N. Yang, T.-M. Lu, and G.-C. Wang, *ibid.* **69**, 3770 (1992); M. Chladek, V. Valvoda, C. Dorner, C. Holy, and J. Grim, Appl. Phys. Lett. **69**, 1318 (1996); T. Gu, A. I. Coldman, and M. Mao, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 6474 (1997).
- ¹¹ G. A. Farias and A. A. Maradudin, Phys. Rev. B **28**, 5675 (1983) (see page 5676 on basic concepts on random rough surfaces); for Gaussian random variables the ensemble of an odd product of them will be zero, e.g., $\langle h(r)h(r')h(r'') \rangle = 0$, while an even product will be equal to the sum of the pair products in all possible combinations. See also G. Palasantzas and E. Koumanakos, J. Appl. Phys. **79**, 8531 (1996).
- ¹² S. K. Sinha, E. B. Sirota, S. Garoff, and H. B. Stanley, Phys. Rev. B **38**, 2297 (1988).
- ¹³ G. Palasantzas, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 14472 (1993); Phys. Rev. E **49**, 5785 (1994). Besides the simplicity of $\langle |h(q)|^2 \rangle$, its Fourier transform yields the analytically solvable correlation function $C(r) = [\Delta^2/a\Gamma(1+H)] \times (r/2a^{1/2}\xi)^H K_H(r/2a^{1/2}\xi)$ with $K_\nu(x)$ the second kind Bessel function of order ν .
- ¹⁴ H.-N. Yang and T.-M. Lu, Phys. Rev. E **51**, 2479 (1995); Y.-P. Zhao, G.-C. Wang, and T.-M. Lu, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 13938 (1997); G. Palasantzas and J. Krim, *ibid.* **48**, 2873 (1993); G. Palasantzas, Phys. Rev. E **49**, 1740 (1994).
- ¹⁵ G. Palasantzas, Phys. Rev. E **56**, 1254 (1997); J. H. Jeffries, J.-K. Zuo, and M. M. Graig, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 4931 (1996); J. G. Amar, P.-M. Lam, and F. Family, Phys. Rev. E **47**, 3242 (1993).
- ¹⁶ F. Family and T. Viscek, *Dynamics of Fractal Surfaces* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991).
- ¹⁷ G. Palasantzas and J. Krim, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3564 (1994).