Community assembly processes of the microbial rare biosphere

Jia, Xiu; Dini-Andreote, Francisco; Salles, Joana Falcao

Published in:
Trends in Microbiology

DOI:
10.1016/j.tim.2018.02.011

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2018

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

Copyright
Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the “Taverne” license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment.

Take-down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.
Opinion

Community Assembly Processes of the Microbial Rare Biosphere

Xiu Jia, Francisco Dini-Andreote, and Joana Falcão Salles

Our planet teems with microorganisms that often present a skewed abundance distribution in a local community, with relatively few dominant species coexisting alongside a high number of rare species. Recent studies have demonstrated that these rare taxa serve as limitless reservoirs of genetic diversity, and perform disproportionate types of functions despite their low abundances. However, relatively little is known about the mechanisms controlling rarity and the processes promoting the development of the rare biosphere. Here, we propose the use of multivariate cut-offs to estimate rare species and phylogenetic null models applied to predefined rare taxa to disentangle the relative influences of ecoevolutionary processes mediating the assembly of the rare biosphere. Importantly, the identification of the factors controlling rare species assemblages is critical for understanding the types of rarity, how the rare biosphere is established, and how rare microorganisms fluctuate over spatiotemporal scales, thus enabling prospective predictions of ecosystem responses.

The Copious Rare Taxa in Microbial Communities

Advances in high-throughput sequencing technologies have provided extensive information on the vast majority of uncultured microbes across disparate systems [1]. Despite technical limitations hampering a realistic molecular characterization of microbiomes, such as the distinction between truly living cells from relic DNA [2], and artificial methodological biases (e.g., chimeras generated by PCR and artificial taxa originated from de novo operational taxonomic unit (OTU) binning algorithms) (see Glossary), it is common knowledge that microbial communities harbor a large number of low-abundant taxa, coexisting with a small fraction of dominant ones [3,4]. The fraction composed of low-abundant taxa is termed the microbial ‘rare biosphere’ [5].

While this ‘hidden’ diversity that constitutes the rare biosphere is often neglected due to low sequencing coverages and/or singleton removal steps during data processing, it can influence community structure and play essential roles in community functioning and stability [6]. For example, Gobet et al. [7] showed that, after removing up to 50% of the rare species from their dataset, the previously significant turnover in community composition was no longer observed, indicating that rare species significantly account for shifts in beta-diversity. In addition, the rare biosphere serves as a reservoir of species – greatly contributing to the quantification of species richness within a given community – and functional genes. Both the number of species (phylogenetic reservoir) and the genetic diversity (functional potential) of these species provide an ‘ecological insurance’ for the community by contributing to important ecosystem processes and services. For example, using a dilution-to-extinction approach in soil microcosms, van Elsas et al. [8] found that removal of rare species leads to a negative relationship between microbial diversity and invasion. Their result provides evidence that rare species

Highlights

- Increasing sequencing depth efforts and the number of replicates, and performing standardized benchmarking analyses, will improve the resolution of rare biosphere dynamics across spatiotemporal scales.
- The rare biosphere dominates microbial communities, and often accounts for important ecosystem services.
- Technical procedures limit our understanding of the rare biosphere.
- The detection of rare taxa should be based on multivariate cut-offs, by removing rare OTUs until removal influences community similarity.
- Different types of rarity are structured by interplay in community assembly processes. Determining assembly processes for rare species is essential to understand if they differ from processes governing abundant microbes.
- Understanding the ecological processes structuring each type of rarity is essential to know how the rare biosphere might respond to environmental changes.
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contribute to ecosystem stability, where more diverse systems hinder the establishment of an alien species through resource competition [9]. Moreover, given the fact that the rare biosphere is composed of functionally relevant taxa, changing local conditions can lead to fluctuations in abundance of hitherto rare taxa, which might influence ecosystem functioning. A recent study on lake ecosystems demonstrated that the presence of an organic pollutant led to a substantial increase in the abundance of rare microbial taxa [10] capable of decomposing the pollutant. Finally, environmentally active rare taxa can have a disproportionately large functional effect in ecosystems. For instance, Pester et al. [11] showed that Desulfosporosinus, despite comprising less than 0.006% of the total microbial community, had a fundamental role in sulfate reduction in peatland soils.

Although the impact of the rare biosphere on ecosystem services is directly linked to the types of rarity – that is, how rare organisms fluctuate over time and space – we currently lack technical and theoretical frameworks that allow for a proper categorization of the rare biosphere, both in terms of the threshold of rarity and which type of rarity dominates in a given community. Moreover, we argue that understanding the processes mediating the community assembly of rare taxa is essential to advance fundamental knowledge of the rare biosphere. In this Opinion article, we provide a synthesis on how statistical and ecological strategies can be applied to disentangle the assembly of the rare biosphere, under the assumption that each type of rarity is driven by a specific interplay of known ecological and evolutionary processes.

The Types of Rarity
In general (macro-organismal) ecology, Rabinowitz et al. [12] provided seven distinct categories of rarity based on the population sizes in a local community, habitat specificity, and geographic distributions. In this context, only species with broad geographic distribution and habitat tolerance, as well as large local abundances, are not considered rare. Thus, the great majority of species that does not fit in this categorization will be classified into one of the types of rarity [13]. Moreover, the rare biosphere has both spatial and temporal dimensions. Therefore the identification of rare species will depend on the location and frequency of sampling, as well as sampling effort and methodology [13]. This spatiotemporal concept allows for the delineation of two distinct types of rarity: (i) species that are rare through space within a given temporal window (spatially rare), and (ii) species that are rare through time within a given spatial domain (temporally rare).

Firstly, rarity can be characterized based on low population abundances within a local community, where rare species are represented by a long tail in rank–abundance curves. Second, rarity can also be defined by habitat specificity, as some taxa with specific functions and metabolisms can thrive only in specific niches. Here, a strong role in abiotic selection is expected, as is the case for microbial extremophiles occurring in harsh environments under extreme temperature, radiation, pressure, salinity, and pH [14]. Third, rarity can be associated with taxa occurring at narrow geographic ranges, as a result of environmental selection and/or dispersal limitation [15]. Temporal rarity can be categorized as (i) conditionally rare, that is, those taxa that stay at low abundances but become prevalent under specific circumstances [16], or (ii) permanently rare, that is, those taxa that occur at low abundances regardless of spatial or temporal scale [17]. The persistence of these permanently rare organisms within a community is likely associated with particular life-history strategies and ecological trade-offs, whereas traits such as dormancy and sporulation might be relevant for conditionally rare taxa as they favor large-scale dispersal and longer temporal persistence under unfavorable environmental conditions. Although the current definition of rarity applies to both macro and microbial ecology, identifying the types of rarity in microbial communities remains a major challenge, in particular

Glossary

- **Beta-diversity**: the degree to which species change across communities (both in time and/or space).
- **Community**: a collection of potentially interacting species that co-occur in a specific space and time.
- **Community structure**: species composition and their respective abundances in a community.
- **Dispersal**: the movement of organisms across space.
- **Diversification**: generation of new genetic variation or species by mutation, recombination, and/or horizontal gene transfer.
- **Drift**: random changes through time in species abundances due to stochastic birth and death events.
- **Environmental filters**: abiotic environmental variables that prevent the establishment or persistence of one or a set of species in a particular location.
- **Extremophiles**: organisms that live under extreme environmental conditions.
- **Operational taxonomic unit (OTU)**: an operational unity used to classify taxa based on sequence identity of a specific marker gene.
- **Phylogenetic signal**: the tendency of phylogenetically close species to resemble each other, more than they resemble species drawn at random from a phylogenetic tree.
- **Rank–abundance curve**: a chart used to display species distributions and their respective abundances (i.e., species richness and evenness).
- **Selection**: the result of biotic and abiotic pressures causing variation in reproductive success across individuals and species.
- **Species richness**: the number of species present in a community.
given the sheer number of species and methodological biases associated with it (see next section for details). For these reasons and other methodological constraints, we advocate that the microbial rare biosphere should be investigated in a community context rather than individual species as is usually applied in macro-organismal ecology. In doing so, the distinctiveness in the types of rarity and the processes underpinning them can be investigated using the fundaments of community ecology.

Categorizing ‘the Rare Biosphere’ in Microbial Ecology

Despite advances in defining rarity in general ecology [12,13], the operational definition of rarity in microbial ecology is still challenging. The current sequencing coverages achieved in community profiling often fail to fully describe microbial communities, thus leading to insufficient detection and therefore an underestimation of rare microbial taxa [18]. In addition, as reference databases do not encompass the diverse magnitude of microbial species, the use of reference-based OTU binning is known to exclude a large portion of rare taxa. Lastly, microbial ecologists also have to contend with the fact that taxa abundances obtained by community profiling are relative, rather than absolute, values, which largely influences the identification of rare taxa [13]. In addition to these issues, we still lack a unified method to distinguish rare from abundant taxa. Currently, arbitrary thresholds are often used to delineate rare organisms – for example, species with relative abundances below 0.01% or even 0.001% are placed within the rare biosphere [19]. Similarly, the number of sequences in a dataset is used to determine rarity, with either OTUs that appear only once in a given sample (local community) or in the entire dataset (metacommunity) determining the group to which they are classed [7]. However, an important drawback of these two approaches is the strong correlation between abundance or frequency of occurrence and sequencing coverage, that is, the greater the number of sequences (sequencing effort), the higher the chance of detecting low-abundant OTUs. In some cases, researchers characterize rarity by shifts in taxa abundances, as rare species may drop below the detection limit under unfavorable environmental conditions, but bloom when the environment becomes favorable, although this approach cannot detect permanently rare species [20]. An alternative is the use of multivariate cut-offs which sets rarity cut-offs after the systematic removal of rare OTUs from the dataset, at the point where removal has an influence on community similarity [21]. This can provide a community-specific definition of rarity, and allow the discrimination, per sample, of the rare OTUs, which can be further tested regarding assembly processes (see, below, the section ‘Using Community Assembly Theory to Infer the Types of Rarity’), by focusing only on the rare subset of the dataset. It is important to note that the threshold of rare species is dependent on the beta-diversity and therefore influenced by the number and identity of samples. Thus, the removal of samples from the analyses or the addition of new ones requires running new simulations (systematic application of multiple cut-off values, generating truncated communities, which are used to generate sample-by-sample dissimilarity matrices that are further compared to the original matrix through nonparametric Spearman correlations and Procrustes analyses [21]). Instead of relying on artificial thresholds, by exploring the effect of rarity on community structure, this method is driven by ecological interpretation, which can provide conceptual inferences across a continuum of abundance. We thus recommend this approach, as it provides a comprehensive understanding of the ecological processes regulating the abundant and rare fractions of microbial communities.

Disentangling the Assembly Processes of the Microbial Rare Biosphere

The discipline of microbial community ecology is progressing from the description of patterns towards a mechanistic understanding of how communities are assembled, by seeking to investigate how ecological processes structure and maintain the composition of species from local to global spatiotemporal scales [22]. Historically, ecologists have debated along two
distinct theoretical lines to examine and interpret community assembly: the niche-based theory and the neutral theory (Box 1). Nowadays, this polarized dichotomy has been suppressed, as it has become more broadly accepted that both stochastic (‘neutral’) and deterministic processes influence community assembly simultaneously [23]. The challenge lies in quantifying their relative influences across community types and unravelling the mechanisms underpinning their variations across space and time. In line with that, Vellend’s theory of ecological communities [24,25] proposes that four high-level ecological and evolutionary processes, namely selection, dispersal, drift, and diversification, drive all principles in community ecology. These processes interplay across community types, shaping the microbial community structure and their biogeographical patterns, and influencing both abundant and rare species across any given setting [26]. Although distinguishing some of these processes might still be a challenge in microbial systems, such as in the measurement of in situ diversification rates, Stegen et al. have proposed a framework that enables the relative quantification of these processes in microbial communities. We suggest that a variation of this framework can be applied to disentangle how these processes operate in distinct fractions of microbial communities, thus enabling the quantification of the interplay between ecological processes involved in the assembly of the rare biosphere (Boxes and) [27,28].

Evidence suggests that rare species are susceptible to local elimination by drift or competition by other abundant species [29]; however, it remains largely unclear how other assembly processes operate in the rare biosphere – knowledge that is critical to foster our understanding of the types of rarity. Evidence from macro-organisms shows that the occurrence of rare and abundant species is often regulated by different ecological processes [30,31]. In the case of microbial communities, relatively little is known about how these ecological processes regulate the assembly of the rare biosphere. One of the few studies addressing this issue showed that, in microbial communities from the Arctic Ocean, abundant species follow a log-normal distribution, while rare species fit a log-series distribution [19]. These results indicate a more deterministic assembly of abundant taxa, while the assembly of rare species is mediated by stochastic processes. However, rank abundance distribution is sensitive to the size of the ‘tail’ in species distribution, that is, the number of rare species [13], and therefore, partitioning rare individuals from abundant ones might undermine the approach and revoke the results. This suggests that rank abundance curves, although broadly used to investigate assembly processes of the entire community [32], cannot be properly extended to study subsets of communities. Alternatively, the use of phylogenetic null models (Box 2) would represent a scientifically sound alternative to contrast assembly processes driving rare versus abundant fractions of microbial communities, as the basic assumption relies on phylogenetic signal (ecological traits correlating with phylogeny) rather than solely on the number and distribution of individuals.

**Box 1. Niche and Neutral Theories in Community Ecology**

The niche theory emphasizes the importance of determinism, that is, it raises ecological selection as a unique ecological process that influences the identities and abundance of species. This theory assumes both the role of selection imposed by abiotic factors (also termed ‘environmental filters’) and species interactions (antagonistic and synergistic). In microbial ecology, such theory fits well within two postulates: (i) the Baas Becking hypothesis that ‘Everything is everywhere, but the environment selects’ [38], and (ii) the Gause [48] postulate that ‘the niche that is occupied by one organismal type is very unlikely to be also occupied by another one’. A large amount of research has supported the importance of selection via abiotic factors, such as pH, salinity, and the concentration and composition of organic carbon, in determining microbial community structure [49–51]. Beyond such a paradigm, Hubbell’s [52] neutral theory stresses that neutral and often stochastic processes can largely mediate the assembly and dynamic changes in community structures. This theory assumes that random demographic aspects, probabilistic dispersal, speciation, and unpredictable disturbance are the mechanisms generating divergence across community types [53–56].
Using Community Assembly Theory to Infer the Types of Rarity

Revealing the relative contributions of ecological processes structuring the rare biosphere will not only add substantial knowledge towards the identification of biotic and abiotic drivers of rarity but will also assist in the classification of the types of rarity proposed by Lynch and Neufeld [17] (Figure 1). Within this framework, if deterministic processes have a higher influence on the assembly of the rare biosphere, the existence of the rare biosphere is largely caused by narrow niches and a set of abiotic and biotic interactions that affect specific population dynamics within a...
community [6]. This phenomenon leads to rare populations that are driven by trade-offs and will persist at low abundances, that is, permanently rare (Figure 1A). This type of rarity occurs due to strong homogeneous selection, as these specifically rare taxa occupy narrow niches and can often interact with other species. For instance, oligotrophic organisms can successfully persist under low-nutrient conditions, as they cannot reach high abundances within a community due to intrinsic metabolic constraints [33]. Moreover, low-abundant species can be suppressed/controlled by competitors, that is, through antibiosis or by being outcompeted in the exploitation of nutritional resources, leading to the phenomenon of negative frequency dependency [34]. In addition, the ‘kill the winner’ theory indicates that bacteria and archaea face strong predation pressures, mostly by protozoan grazing and viral attack [35], which mainly occur in a species-specific manner, affecting the abundance of taxa preferentially exploited by predators.

On the other hand, rare taxa can periodically switch between abundant and rare (Figure 1D, green line). The dynamics of their abundances depends on variable selection through periodic changes in environmental conditions, such as seasonal fluctuations. Similar to the periodically dynamic group, species that persist at relatively low abundances can become more prevalent (Figure 1D, yellow line) by responding to occasional events such as precipitation and nutritional pulses. Under stressful conditions, these taxa remain at low growth rates and consequently low densities. In extreme cases this can lead to microbial dormancy, in which the organism enters a reversible state of decreased metabolic activity that can persist for long periods of time under unfavorable or harsh local environmental conditions [36]. However, it is disputable whether these organisms should be considered part of the rare biosphere (see next section). Once favorable conditions arise, both active but slow-growth and dormant rare species gradually recover their population densities. Shade et al. [16] described that conditionally rare taxa are usually at low abundance but can occasionally increase their abundances under suitable conditions. They surveyed nine different ecosystems and reported that 1.5–28% of all detected taxa are conditionally rare taxa [16]. Importantly, the conditions described above indicate the role of deterministic processes in driving the assemblage of both permanently and conditionally rare organisms, through homogeneous and variable selection, respectively.

Alternatively, stochastic processes can also play a role in the assembly of the rare biosphere. Passive dispersal is the common mechanism of microbial dispersal, for example, through wind, water, and/or by hitch-hiking on other organisms [37]. It has been assumed that microbes have ubiquitous dispersal capability due to their size and are not restricted by geographical barriers, as asserted by the Baas Becking dictum ‘everything is everywhere’ [38]. However, it has recently been shown, through a modeling approach, that microbes are in fact dispersal-limited [39]. In any case, it is likely that dispersal has a larger influence on the microbial rare biosphere than on rare macro-organisms, as their smaller size facilitates displacement by passive dispersal. Thus, taxa can persist in the rare biosphere by (unrestricted) dispersal processes, with populations fluctuating by drift process while remaining below a rarity threshold (Figure 1B). Alternatively, transiently rare taxa could arise when recently immigrated species enter a community by a dispersal process (Figure 1C). The biogeographic patterns of the rare biosphere in the Arctic Ocean support that dispersal limitation, together with selection and drift, potentially promote cross-systems rare biosphere divergences [19]. It is worth mentioning that, along with dispersal, the diversification process can also introduce new species into a community [40]. Due to the relatively small genome sizes and often high growth rates, microorganisms evolve faster than macro-organisms through mutations or via horizontal gene transfer [41,42]. Thus, the generation of new species/genotypes contributes to the rare biosphere, although assessing the relative contribution of speciation to rarity remains challenging in view of the current molecular approaches used for species identification (see next section).
Based on the lines of evidence presented, we argue that different ecological processes are associated with the different types of rarity. In order to quantify the importance of each process in driving the assembly of the rare biosphere, and the biotic and abiotic mechanisms underpinning their relative influences, we advocate using the approach proposed by Stegen et al. [27], which combines the use of phylogenetic inferences and null modeling approaches (Box 3) to quantitatively probe major ecological processes (e.g., variable selection, homogeneous selection, dispersal limitation, homogenizing dispersal, and undominated processes; Box 2) involved in structuring the rare biosphere [28].

**Challenges in the Investigation of Rare Assemblages**

To unravel the assembly processes of the rare biosphere, it is important to obtain information on the entire community at multiple time points (time series), for instance by increasing sequencing depth efforts, replicates and benchmarking analyses. The development of techniques to

**Box 3. Modeling Community Assembly and Ecological Processes**

The use of phylogenetic information enables the elucidation of the phylogenetic relatedness of species within and across communities. The basic requirement for using such a method is the conservation of a phylogenetic signal, which indicates that ecological traits are not distributed randomly across a phylogenetic tree [61]. Between-community mean nearest taxon distance (βMNTD), which is based on the mean distance to the nearest neighbor, is a measure of the clustering of closely related species [57]. Null model distributions of βMNTD can be built by shuffling species among the tips of the phylogenetic tree or between different communities using randomizations and permutation analyses. The β-nearest neighbor index (βNTI), a standardized measure of the βMNTD, is then generated by comparing the observed and the null distribution of βMNTD, using the following formula:

$$βNTI = \frac{βMNTD_{obs} - βMNTD_{null}}{sd(βMNTD_{null})}$$

A value of [βNTI] > 2 indicates that the observed turnover between a pair of communities is governed primarily by selection, in which βNTI > +2 is consistent with variable selection, while βNTI < -2 indicates homogeneous selection [59]. As a consequence, [βNTI] < 2 means that the turnover of a group of communities is governed by dispersal limitation, homogenizing dispersal, or undominated processes [28]. To disentangle these processes, the Raup–Crick matrix (RCbray), built based on the standardized Bray–Curtis matrix of communities, provides information on whether the observed degrees of turnover deviate significantly from the expectation [62]. This value equals the deviation between observed Bray–Curtis and the null distribution, ranging from -1 to +1. Within this, |RCbray| < 0.95 can be interpreted as an influence of undominated processes. In turn, dispersal limitation coupled with drift leads to greater than expected turnover (RCbray > +0.95), whereas RCbray < -0.95 indicates that turnover in community composition is governed primarily by homogenizing dispersal (Table I).

In order to determine the ecological processes controlling the assembly of rare communities, we propose using a variant of the method described above which would use only the rare species present in each sample (determined by multivariate cut-off analyses) to generate the phylogenetic tree. This tree will serve as the basis for calculating the observed and null βMNTD, generating βNTI values for each rare community, which can be < -2 or > +2, indicating that rare communities are driven by deterministic processes and can be considered to be permanently rare (homogeneous selection) or conditionally rare (variable selection), respectively. Alternatively, the rare communities can be driven by stochastic processes (-2 < βNTI < 2), in which case RCbray should be used to determine whether communities are transiently rare (RCbray > +0.95), permanently rare with periodic distribution (RCbray < -0.95), or driven by undominated processes (-0.95 < RCbray < +0.95).

**Table I. Criteria to Quantify the Importance of Ecological Processes Accounting for the Turnover between Communities [28]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>βNTI</th>
<th>RCbray</th>
<th>Processes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; -2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Homogeneous selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2 &lt; βNTI &lt; 2</td>
<td>&lt; -0.95</td>
<td>Homogenizing dispersal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.95 &lt; RCbray &lt; +0.95</td>
<td></td>
<td>Undominated processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+0.95</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dispersal limitation acting with drift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; +2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Variable selection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
remove artificial sequences from a dataset will help us to accurately investigate microbial communities. In addition, a finer taxonomic resolution will decrease the omission of rare taxa. For instance, it has been argued that exact sequence variants defined by methods such as UNOISE3, DADA2, and Deblur [43] provide greater resolution in marker gene analysis and improve reusability, reproducibility, and comprehensiveness for microbial community analyses.

A potential bias lies in the use of relative abundances (i.e., standardizing the number of taxa within microbial communities) to facilitate the comparison across communities. While this is common practice, Props et al. showed that the use of absolute abundances leads to different community patterns than those observed by relative abundances [44]. Thus, whether abundances are displayed by relative or absolute values may influence the conclusions we draw on the rare assemblages [13]. Other alternatives could rely on measuring microbial communities based on the number of sequences per mass of substrate [45] or by quantifying absolute taxon abundances using flow cytometry, quantitative PCR, or fluorescence in situ hybridization [44].

A further challenge refers to microbial-specific issues, which cause microbial ecology to deviate from general (macro-organismal based) ecological principles [46], such as the distinction between inactive or dormant cells and active ones. The combined use of DNA- and RNA-based sequencing could provide the first evidence to distinguish active from inactive taxa in the rare biosphere. However, to overcome the variation in RNA copy number and microbial activity, new high-throughput methods should be developed, which will ultimately provide answers to questions such as the following. (i) How active is the rare biosphere, that is, does it encompass only inactive cells? (ii) An even more conceptual question: can both active and inactive fractions be considered to be equal contributors to the rare biosphere? Or should a conceptual partition between functionally rare and dormant (nonfunctional) rare be proposed?

Another potential discrepancy between macro- and micro-organismal ecology lies in the identification of speciation rates and extinction events. Speciation is an important evolutionary process that cannot currently be measured at the resolution used in microbial community studies, given the high phylogenetic signal and the low evolutionary rate associated with the rRNA gene, which is often used for phylogenetic purposes. Extinction, which has been defined by Vellend as the outcome of selection and drift rather than being considered a process in itself, cannot be taken into consideration until the extent of microbial diversity has been fully scrutinized. While extinction might happen, the same species can recolonize the same patch if dispersal from a nearby patch takes place, thus rendering its detection currently impossible [40].

**Concluding Remarks and Future Perspectives**

Although rare species are key constituents of microbial communities’ diversity and functionality, the processes driving rarity remain unclear, both from a conceptual and technical perspective. Regarding the latter, most of the literature is based on the dominant species in microbial communities because low-abundance species are routinely removed from data sets in order to avoid noise caused by sequencing artifacts [6]. In order to gather information on the entire microbial community, low-abundant species must be included and not discarded arbitrarily. Moreover, as sequencing technologies advance and become more affordable, it is expected that sequencing depths will continue to increase in the near future, alongside proper sample acquisition and benchmarked computational analyses. Collectively, these practices will reveal the temporal and spatial dynamics of the rare biosphere at a higher resolution. From a practical standpoint, we argue that, by combining strategies of increased sequencing depth and multivariate cut-off values with theoretical models of community assembly, it will be possible...
to determine the ecological processes controlling the rare biosphere, and by doing so, identify the types of rarity. A next step will consist of linking the rare fraction of communities to their ecosystem functioning. A recent modeling attempt indicated that communities that are stochastically assembled due to high dispersal rates (homogenizing dispersal), could harbor microbes that are maladapted to the environment, potentially leading to a reduction in function when compared to a community driven largely by selection [47]. It remains to be tested whether rare communities driven by stochastic processes (transiently rare or permanent rare with periodic distributions) negatively influence the ecosystem processes they are associated with. Or whether deterministically assembled rare communities (permanently or conditionally rare) contribute to the ecosystem processes as much as do the abundant taxa. Moreover, future research should focus on understanding whether the rare biosphere is simply a subset of the abundant or total community or whether it follows a specific path either in terms of community assembly processes or functioning.

To a large extent, a more comprehensive knowledge of rare biosphere assembly rules will enhance our understanding of seminal questions in microbial ecology about why microbial communities are so diverse and what the main processes underpinning the observed diversity are (see Outstanding Questions). It will also pave the way towards guiding future experiments and environmental sampling in searching for generalized patterns and processes mediating the assembly and ecological role of the microbial rare biosphere.
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