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Summary

Superficial neuromasts are structures that detect water flow on the surface of the body of fish and amphibians. As a component of the lateral line system, these receptors are distributed along the body, where they sense flow patterns that mediate a wide variety of behaviors. Their ability to detect flow is governed by their structural properties, yet the micromechanics of superficial neuromasts are not well understood. The aim of this study was to examine these mechanics in zebrafish (Danio rerio) larvae by measuring the flexural stiffness of individual neuromasts. Each neuromast possesses a gelatinous cupula that is anchored to hair cells by kinocilia. Using quasi-static bending tests of the proximal region of the cupula, we found that flexural stiffness is proportional to the number of hair cells, and consequently the number of kinocilia, within a neuromast. From this relationship, the flexural stiffness of an individual kinocilium was found to be $2.4 \times 10^{-20} \text{ N m}^2$. Using this value, we estimate that the 11 kinocilia in an average cupula generate more than four-fifths of the total flexural stiffness in the proximal region. The relatively minor contribution of the cupular matrix may be attributed to its highly compliant material composition (Young’s modulus of ~21 Pa). The distal tip of the cupula is entirely composed of this material and is consequently predicted to be at least an order of magnitude more flexible than the proximal region. These findings suggest that the transduction of flow by a superficial neuromast depends on structural dynamics that are dominated by the number and height of kinocilia.
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Introduction

The lateral line system of fish and amphibians includes two classes of mechanosensory organ on the surface of the body that function to sense water flow. The signals detected by these organs, called canal and superficial neuromasts, mediate behaviors such as spawning (Satou et al., 1994), obstacle detection (Hassan, 1986) and rheotaxis (Montgomery et al., 1997). Both types of neuromast are mechanically excited by fluid forces that are transduced into receptor potentials by hair cells. The structural properties of a neuromast govern its response to flow, yet only the mechanics of canal neuromasts have been investigated in detail (Kroese and van Netten, 1989; van Netten and Kroese, 1989; van Netten and Kroese, 1987). It is consequently unclear how superficial neuromasts transduce flow or how the components of superficial neuromast morphology affect transduction. Therefore, the aim of this study was to measure the flexural stiffness (i.e. flexural rigidity or bending stiffness) of superficial neuromasts in the interest of understanding the structural basis of flow sensing in these organs.

Although canal and superficial neuromasts are similar in anatomical composition, their morphological distinctions suggest that they function differently. Both types include hair cells with kinocilia that are surrounded by a gelatinous cupula that is exposed to water flow. The pear-shaped hair cell bodies beneath the base of the cupula are surrounded by supporting cells and are innervated by efferent and afferent fibers (Dijkgraaf, 1952). However, the cupulae of superficial neuromasts protrude into the surrounding water (Fig. 1A,B), whereas canal neuromasts are recessed into a channel beneath the scales. The hemispherical cupula within this channel behaves as a rigid body that slides along the epithelium when excited by flow (van Netten and Kroese, 1989; van Netten and Kroese, 1987). In contrast, superficial neuromasts have an elongated cupula (Fig. 1B,C) that bends in flow (Schulze, 1861; Cahn and Shaw, 1962; Dinklo, 2005). Therefore, a superficial neuromast appears to operate as a cantilevered beam with a flexural stiffness that affects how mechanical information is transferred to the mechanosensory hair cells.

Subtle morphological differences in superficial neuromasts are capable of greatly influencing their flexural stiffness. The flexural stiffness is equal to the product ($EI$) of material and structural components (Timoshenko, 1983). Material stiffness, known as Young’s modulus ($E$), varies by at least five orders of magnitude among biological materials (Wainwright et al., 1976). The cupular material is composed of a...
potential to relate neuromast dynamics to the biophysics of hair cells because this species is a major model for the study of mechatransduction (Sidi et al., 2003; Corey et al., 2004). Additionally, an understanding of the mechanics of a superficial neuromast could assist the design of engineered flow sensors (Fan et al., 2002; Peleshanko et al., 2007).

The present study examined the contributions of the kinocilia and the cupular matrix to flexural stiffness by direct measurements. From these measurements and morphometrics, we formulated predictions of flexural stiffness along the height of the cupula. Conducting these experiments on the trunk neuromasts of zebrafish larvae allowed these structures to be visualized with Nomarski optics (Metcalfe et al., 1985) while intact on the body. The morphology of these neuromasts changes little over the course of growth (Webb and Shirey, 2003), as in other species (Munz, 1989). Therefore, our results have the potential to be applicable to the superficial neuromasts of a broad diversity of larval and adult fishes.

Materials and methods

All experiments were conducted on zebrafish (Danio rerio, Hamilton 1922) larvae between 5 and 8 days post-fertilization (dpf). All larvae were raised and maintained according to standard protocols (Westerfield, 1995) on a 14 h/10 h light/dark cycle between 26°C and 29°C. Experiments were performed on anesthetized larvae in a perfusion chamber with a solution of embryo media (Brand et al., 2002) containing 0.02% of MS-222 anesthetic (Argent, Redmond, WA, USA). At 5 days of development, the lateral line system is composed entirely of superficial neuromasts (Metcalfe et al., 1985) with hair cells that produce transducer potentials (Nicolson et al., 1998). These cells are innervated by afferent nerves (Alexandre and Ghysen, 1999; Metcalfe et al., 1985), and larvae respond to an impulsive jet of flow with a startle response (Liu and Fetcho, 1999). Therefore, the lateral line system appears to be fully functional by the earliest age at which we ran our experiments.

Morphological measurements

Cupulae were observed with a novel visualization technique (Fig. 1C,D). The trunk of an anesthetized larva was perfused with a solution of polystyrene particles (0.1 μm diameter; Polysciences Inc., Warrington, PA, USA). This particle coating made cupulae visible under polarized or Nomarski optics on a compound microscope (Zeiss Axioscope with ×40 water immersion objective with additional ×3 magnification). We verified that the innermost ring of the diffraction pattern created by these particles corresponds to the location of the cupular surface by touching the cupula with a dull probe. This technique represents an advance in methodology because past approaches for visualizing cupulae have relied on vital stains that either caused shrinkage or provided inconsistent results (Blaxter, 1984).

Digital photographs of cupulae coated with microspheres were used for morphological measurements. Visual cross-sections of cupulae were photographed (2080 pixels×1542 pixels; Jenoptik, ProgRes C10 plus, Laser Optik Systeme GmbH, Jena, Germany) and these photographs were analyzed with a custom-made program written in Matlab (version 7.2;
Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA) that measures the position of user-selected coordinates. Cupula diameter was measured at three positions along the height of the cupula (Fig. 1D): at the base of the cupula ($z=0\ \mu m$), approximately mid-height ($z=16\ \mu m$), and at a position near to the distal end ($z=32\ \mu m$). In addition, the number of kinocilia was visually inspected and recorded at 4 $\mu m$ intervals along the height of the cupula.

**Measurement of flexural stiffness**

The flexural stiffness of individual cupulae was measured in larvae restrained in an agar cast. The agar (low melting point, BP1360-100; Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) provided a compliant surface that did not damage larvae, while firmly holding the body. This cast was created by pouring a molten solution (heated to 36$^\circ$C) of 1% agar and 0.02% MS-222 in embryo media around a glass probe with a diameter that accommodates the width of the head of a larva (~200 $\mu m$). Once cooled, the cast was submerged in embryo media (to prevent the introduction of air bubbles) and the probe was removed. Larvae were oriented on their side and pressed into the indentation created by the glass probe. This allowed the lateral surface of the body to be viewed from a fixed-stage compound microscope (Zeiss Axioskope 2FS with $\times40$ water-immersion objective and $\times2.5$ magnification cube) with transmitted illumination through the larva’s body and the agar cast (Fig. 2A).

Stiffness measurements were based on recordings of the deflection of a glass fiber as it was slowly pressed against the surface of the cupula. Glass fibers of lengths between 6 and 9 mm were cut from cloth tape insulation (Fisher Scientific). Both the glass fiber and the cupula were modeled as cantilever beams with a force applied at a known distance from their clamped bases. This is expressed mathematically by solving the Euler–Bernoulli beam equations for the relationship between force ($F$) applied at a distance ($d$) from its base and the deflection ($\delta$) generated at this position, assuming small deflections ($\delta d<0.1$) (Gere, 2001):

$$F = \frac{3EI_b}{d^3}. \quad (1)$$

In our quasi-static experiments, the force generated by the glass fiber was equal and opposite to the elastic force of the cupula. This is expressed by the following force-balancing relationship:

$$\frac{3(ED)_{cup}\delta_{cup}}{h^3} = \frac{3(ED)_{fiber}\delta_{fiber}}{l^3}, \quad (2)$$

where $(ED)_{cup}$, $\delta_{cup}$ and $h$ are, respectively, the cupular flexural stiffness, cupular displacement and position of the fiber along the height of the cupula; and $(ED)_{fiber}$, $\delta_{fiber}$ and $l$ are, respectively, the flexural stiffness, deflection and length of the glass fiber. The deflection of the fiber was calculated as the difference between the displacement of its base and tip (i.e. $\delta_{fiber}=x_{base}-x_{tip}$), and the deflection of the cupula was equal to the displacement of the fiber tip (i.e. $\delta_{cup}=x_{tip}$). We assumed a linear relationship (see Eqn 2) between the displacement of the fiber’s tip and base (i.e. $x_{tip}=mx_{base}$). The slope of this relationship ($m$) was found by a least-squares linear curve fit of the measured displacement of the tip of the glass fiber ($x_{tip}$, dependent variable) as a function of the displacement of the base ($x_{base}$, independent variable). Given that $\frac{\delta_{fiber}}{\delta_{cup}}=\frac{x_{base}-x_{tip}}{x_{tip}}=(1-m)/m$, Eqn 2 may be rewritten as:

$$1 - m \frac{m}{(ED)_{cup}} = \frac{h}{l} \left( \frac{3}{(ED)_{fiber}} \right) \quad (3)$$

This equation was used to calculate the flexural stiffness of a cupula from measurements of the flexural stiffness of the glass fiber $(ED)_{fiber}$, its length ($l$), the position of contact along the cupular height ($h$) and the slope ($m$).

Displacement of the base of the glass fiber ($x_{base}$) was measured with a custom-built device. A high-precision micromanipulator (DS-4F, Newport Corp., Mountain View, CA, USA) was used to translate the base of the glass probe in the direction of loading. This translation was recorded with an optical strain gauge (SPOT-2D, OSI Optoelectronics, Hawthorn, CA, USA) with a custom-built amplifier that provided precision at the 0.01 $\mu m$ level.
The position of the fiber tip (x<sub>tip</sub>) was recorded with an optical technique. The compound microscope (described above; see Fig. 2B) was focused near the distal tip of the fiber, but just proximal to its contact with the cupula. A high-speed video camera (1024 pixels × 1024 pixels, 1000 frames s<sup>−1</sup>; 1024PCI, Photron USA Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) mounted onto the compound microscope recorded the position of the fiber. We developed a Matlab program to analyze these recordings in order to automatically track the position of the edge of the glass. This program considered the pixel intensity along a user-defined strip of pixels that spanned the edge of the fiber in a video frame (Fig. 2A). A smoothing spline was fitted to the values of relative pixel intensity along this strip (Fig. 2C). The program defined the edge of the fiber as the point of most rapid positive change in intensity by finding the maximum of the first derivative of the smoothing spline (Fig. 2D). The time history of a position relates to the total error, each parameter that was factored into our calculation of stiffness.

For this regression, we calculated the mean values of the flexural stiffness of an individual kinocilium [\( (EI)_\text{kino} \)] and the product of the number of kinocilia ([number of kinocilia] × [length of fiber]).

Each squared term in this equation expresses the relative contribution of a parameter to uncertainty in our measurement of the flexural stiffness of the cupulae. The following values for these terms were found by repeated measurements: \( \frac{\Delta (EI)_\text{fiber}/(EI)_\text{fiber}}{0.10} \), \( \Delta m/m(1–m)=0.04 \), \( 3\Delta l/l<0.01 \), \( 3\Delta h/h=0.06 \). Therefore, error in the calibration of the stiffness of the glass fiber contributed most to the total error in our measurements. The total error calculated from all sources is equal to 0.12 or 12%.

A consideration of the error in our measurements influenced our experimental methodology. The equation for total error (Eqn 4) illustrates how absolute errors in the slope \( m \) propagate in proportion to the inverse of \( m(1–m) \). This suggests that error due to the slope can be minimized at \( m=0.5 \). This slope occurs when the deflections of the glass fiber and cupula are equivalent, which is achieved when the ratio of flexural stiffness to the cube of length for the two structures is equivalent. Such conditions were most easily met by adjusting the length of the glass fiber. However, a number of experiments deviated significantly from \( m=0.5 \). We therefore eliminated measurements outside of the \( 1/8<m<7/8 \) range in order to avoid generating excessively large errors.

**Flexural stiffness of kinocilia**

Natural variation in the number of hair cells within a neuromast provided the opportunity to examine the effect of kinocilia on the flexural stiffness of the cupula. All stiffness measurements were conducted on neuromasts located in the same caudal region (P8) (Raible and Kruse, 2000), which contained between 5 and 13 kinocilia. By assuming that stiffness varies in proportion to the number of kinocilia, the flexural stiffness of the proximal region of the cupula was predicted to be the sum of the stiffness from the cupular matrix ([\( (EI)_\text{matrix} \)]) and the product of the number of kinocilia (\( n \)) and the flexural stiffness of an individual kinocilium ([\( (EI)_\text{kino} \)]):

\[
(EI)_\text{kino} = n(EI)_\text{kino} + (EI)_\text{matrix}.
\] (5)

We solved for \( (EI)_\text{kino} \) and \( (EI)_\text{matrix} \) from measurements of \( (EI)_\text{cup} \) by a linear regression with \( n \) as the independent variable. For this regression, we calculated the mean values of measurements grouped by the number of hair cells. These values were weighted by sample size in a linear least-squares curve fit (Quinn and Keough, 2002) for the slope [i.e. \( (EI)_\text{kino} \)] and intercept [i.e. \( (EI)_\text{matrix} \)] of Eqn 5. Young’s modulus of the matrix material was calculated by dividing \( (EI)_\text{matrix} \) by the second moment of area for the base of the cupula, \( I_\text{cup} \). The second moment of area was calculated from a measurement of the diameter of the cupula at its base (\( D \)), using the following equation (Gere, 2001):

\[
I_\text{cup} = \frac{\pi}{64} D^4.
\] (6)

Although stiffness measurements were conducted solely on the proximal region of the cupula, our morphological measurements provided a means to predict how flexural stiffness varies along...
the length of the cupula. The contribution of the kinocilia to flexural stiffness at a particular height was calculated as the product of \((EI)_{\text{kino}}\) and the number of kinocilia \((n)\) at that position. The stiffness provided by the cupular matrix along the cupular length was calculated as a product of Young’s modulus of the matrix and the second moment of area as a function of height \([\text{Eqn 6, with } D \text{ varying with height, i.e. } D(z)]\). Values for \(D(z)\) and \(n\) were calculated for heights between measurements by linear interpolation.

Results

The flexural stiffness of individual superficial neuromasts was measured using the methods described above. Pressing a glass fiber against a cupula caused both structures to deflect. These deflections were recorded by tracking the position of the base \((D)\), the tip \((h)\), and the fiber \((l)\) as functions of time \([\text{Eqn 6, with } D \text{ varying with height, i.e. } D(z)]\). Values for \(D(z)\) and \(n\) were calculated for heights between measurements by linear interpolation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(N)</th>
<th>Age (dpf)</th>
<th>(L) (mm)</th>
<th>(D) ((\mu)m)</th>
<th>(h) ((\mu)m)</th>
<th>(l) (mm)</th>
<th>((EI)_{\text{fiber}}) ((\times 10^{-11} \text{ N m}^2))</th>
<th>(m)</th>
<th>((EI)_{\text{cup}}) ((\times 10^{-20} \text{ N m}^2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>10.03</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td>6.57</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>9.04</td>
<td>7.70</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>9.30</td>
<td>12.90</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.672</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>21.10</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td>3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td>9.60</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.434</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td>12.90</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.491</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>9.63</td>
<td>19.50</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.773</td>
<td>4.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.361</td>
<td>3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>3.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Flexural stiffness measurements

\(N\), number of kinocilia; dpf, days post-fertilization; \(L\), body length; \(D\), neuromast base diameter; \(h\), fiber height along cupula; \(l\), fiber length; \((EI)_{\text{fiber}}\), flexural stiffness of fiber; \(m\), slope of fiber displacements; \((EI)_{\text{cup}}\), flexural stiffness of cupula.
We estimated that the flexural stiffness of a superficial neuromast decreases along its height (Fig. 6). At the base of an average neuromast, the relatively large diameter (8.88 μm) of the cupular matrix is predicted to generate a flexural stiffness of 0.6 \times 10^{-20} \, \text{N} \cdot \text{m}^2 (assuming \( E_{\text{matrix}} = 21 \, \text{Pa} \)). This value is about one-fifth the total stiffness \( (EI)_{\text{cup}} = 3.3 \times 10^{-20} \, \text{N} \cdot \text{m}^2 \) of the proximal region (assuming 11 kinocilia and \((EI)_{\text{kino}} = 2.4 \times 10^{-21} \, \text{N} \cdot \text{m}^2 \)). Tapering in the diameter of the cupula leads to a decrease from a mean diameter of 8.88 μm at its base to 7.2 μm at a height of 16 μm, and 5.5 μm at a height of 32.0 μm (Fig. 6C). This causes a gradual reduction in stiffness generated by the matrix (Fig. 6E). Kinocilia exhibited a gradual decrease in number from a mean value of 11 at the base, to eight at a height of 16 μm, while being completely absent beyond a height of 24 μm. These reductions in the number of kinocilia strongly influence cupular flexural stiffness (Fig. 6E). Therefore, flexural stiffness is predicted to vary solely with the second moment of area of the matrix in the cupular tip region. Together, the reduction in the number of kinocilia and cupular diameter with increasing height result in a decrease of superficial cupular flexural stiffness at the proximal region (3.3 \times 10^{-20} \, \text{N} \cdot \text{m}^2) to less than an order of magnitude lower (0.2 \times 10^{-20} \, \text{N} \cdot \text{m}^2) at the tip region (Fig. 6E).

Discussion

Our measurements of cupular flexural stiffness provide a basis for understanding the micromechanics of superficial neuromasts. According to our results, kinocilia dominate the flexural stiffness of the proximal region. The cupular matrix surrounds and extends beyond the kinocilia, thereby forming a highly flexible tip. This suggests that a superficial cupula functions as a two-part beam with mechanical properties that are largely determined by the height and number of kinocilia.

The flexural stiffness of kinocilia

Kinocilia are similar to cilia and eukaryotic flagella in their ultrastructure. They possess a 9+2 arrangement of microtubules...
Fig. 5. Measurement of flexural stiffness ($EI$) of a kinocilium compared with that of a flagellum. (A) The schematic illustration of a portion of an axoneme shows major features of the ultrastructure of flagella and kinocilia. (B) Arrows directed at the axonemes above each bar indicate the direction of loading for each measurement of stiffness. Flagellar stiffness was measured in demembranated sperm of the sand dollar (*Clypeaster japonicus*) activated by ATP (Ishijima and Hiramoto, 1994). (i) The stiffness of a flagellum when loaded along its beating plane is shown in comparison to measurements of stiffness for (ii) a kinocilium from the present study (error flags denote 95% confidence intervals) and the stiffness of (iii) a flagellum when loaded perpendicular to the beating plane.

(Fawcett, 1961; Flock and Duvall, 1965) with radial spokes and outer dynein arms [Fig. 5A.B(ii)]. However, kinocilia lack nexin links and inner dynein arms [Fig. 5B(ii)], which may preclude motility (Kikuchi et al., 1989). Although their ability to generate force has not been ruled out (Ross et al., 1987), kinocilia are generally regarded as passive transmitters of deflections that are transduced by channels in the stereocilia (Hudspeth and Jacobs, 1979).

Given their similarities in ultrastructure, it is informative to compare our measurements of flexural stiffness in kinocilia with that in cilia and flagella. Our measurements for the flexural stiffness of kinocilia ($1.1 \times 10^{-21}$ to $3.7 \times 10^{-21}$ N m$^2$) are about 100 times lower than the direct measurements ($2 \times 10^{-19}$ to $3 \times 10^{-19}$ N m$^2$) from gill cilia in a clam (*Mytilus edulis*) by Baba (Baba, 1972). However, Okuno and Hiramoto (Okuno and Hiramoto, 1979) could not replicate these results in sea urchin (*Hemicentrotus pulcherrimus*) flagella, which showed substantially lower flexural stiffness ($-1 \times 10^{-20}$ N m$^2$). When treated with 10 mmol l$^{-1}$ ATP, demembranated flagella became an order of magnitude less flexible ($-1 \times 10^{-21}$ N m$^2$), presumably because ATP causes dynein to detach from the microtubules within the axoneme (Okuno and Hiramoto, 1979). This measure of stiffness was verified in sand dollar (*Clypeaster japonicus*) spermatozoa that were loaded hydrodynamically (Ishijima and Hiramoto, 1994). We found this value to be slightly, but significantly, less than our measurements for the flexural stiffness of a kinocilium [Fig. 5B(ii)]. The greater stiffness of kinocilia is probably a consequence of greater spacing between their microtubule doublets [178 nm between outer edges (Flock and Duvall, 1965)] than in flagella (158 nm) (Brokaw, 1989).

The structural and mechanical similarities between kinocilia and flagella raise the potential that kinocilia are polarized in their mechanics. The present study measured flexural stiffness in the kinocilia of the P8 neuromast (Fig. 1) by applying force in the antero-posterior direction. It is in this direction that the neuromast is sensitive, due to its arrangement of stereocilia (Lopez-Schier et al., 2004). This direction of loading is perpendicular to the axis of the central pair of microtubules within the kinocilium [Fig. 5B(ii)] (Flock and Duvall, 1965). Using the central pair for alignment, the kinocilia in the present study showed an order of magnitude less flexible ($10^{-20}$ to $3.7 \times 10^{-20}$ N m$^2$) from gill cilia in a clam (*Mytilus edulis*) by Baba (Baba, 1972). However, Okuno and Hiramoto (Okuno and Hiramoto, 1979) could not replicate these results in sea urchin (*Hemicentrotus pulcherrimus*) flagella, which showed substantially lower flexural stiffness ($-1 \times 10^{-20}$ N m$^2$). When treated with 10 mmol l$^{-1}$ ATP, demembranated flagella became an order of magnitude less flexible ($-1 \times 10^{-21}$ N m$^2$), presumably because ATP causes dynein to detach from the microtubules within the axoneme (Okuno and Hiramoto, 1979). This measure of stiffness was verified in sand dollar (*Clypeaster japonicus*) spermatozoa that were loaded hydrodynamically (Ishijima and Hiramoto, 1994). We found this value to be slightly, but significantly, less than our measurements for the flexural stiffness of a kinocilium [Fig. 5B(ii)]. The greater stiffness of kinocilia is probably a consequence of greater spacing between their microtubule doublets [178 nm between outer edges (Flock and Duvall, 1965)] than in flagella (158 nm) (Brokaw, 1989).

The structural and mechanical similarities between kinocilia and flagella raise the potential that kinocilia are polarized in their mechanics. The present study measured flexural stiffness in the kinocilia of the P8 neuromast (Fig. 1) by applying force in the antero-posterior direction. It is in this direction that the neuromast is sensitive, due to its arrangement of stereocilia (Lopez-Schier et al., 2004). This direction of loading is perpendicular to the axis of the central pair of microtubules within the kinocilium [Fig. 5B(ii)] (Flock and Duvall, 1965). Using the central pair for alignment, the kinocilia in the present study showed an order of magnitude less flexible ($10^{-20}$ to $3.7 \times 10^{-20}$ N m$^2$) from gill cilia in a clam (*Mytilus edulis*) by Baba (Baba, 1972). However, Okuno and Hiramoto (Okuno and Hiramoto, 1979) could not replicate these results in sea urchin (*Hemicentrotus pulcherrimus*) flagella, which showed substantially lower flexural stiffness ($-1 \times 10^{-20}$ N m$^2$). When treated with 10 mmol l$^{-1}$ ATP, demembranated flagella became an order of magnitude less flexible ($-1 \times 10^{-21}$ N m$^2$), presumably because ATP causes dynein to detach from the microtubules within the axoneme (Okuno and Hiramoto, 1979). This measure of stiffness was verified in sand dollar (*Clypeaster japonicus*) spermatozoa that were loaded hydrodynamically (Ishijima and Hiramoto, 1994). We found this value to be slightly, but significantly, less than our measurements for the flexural stiffness of a kinocilium [Fig. 5B(ii)]. The greater stiffness of kinocilia is probably a consequence of greater spacing between their microtubule doublets [178 nm between outer edges (Flock and Duvall, 1965)] than in flagella (158 nm) (Brokaw, 1989).
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Flexural stiffness of superficial neuromasts

Study were loaded in the same direction as the beating plane of a flagellum [Fig. 5B(i)]. Ishijima and Hiramoto (Ishijima and Hiramoto, 1994) found the passive stiffness of flagella along the beating plane to be lower than when they were loaded perpendicular to this plane [1.2 × 10^−20 N m^2, Fig. 5B(iii)] by a factor of 12. If one assumes similar polarity, then kinocilia would be predicted to be more than an order of magnitude more flexible in the direction in which the hair cells sense flow (anterio-posterior, in this case) than in the perpendicular direction (dorso-ventral).

Young’s modulus of the cupular matrix

Prior studies have suggested that the cupular matrix possesses a biochemical composition that is grossly similar to the mesoglea of cnidarians. Like mesoglea, the cupula is composed of a well-hydrated neutral glycosaminoglycan gel (Sato, 1962; Thomopolous, 1958). Mesoglea commonly contains protein fibrils composed of collagen, collagen-like and fibrillin-like molecules (Chapman, 1953; Grimstone et al., 1958; Gosline, 1971; Megill et al., 2005). The cupula includes extracellular fibrils of unknown composition in the canal neuromasts (Jielof et al., 1952; Flock, 1965b; Munz, 1979; Kelly and van Netten, 1991) and the superficial neuromasts of some species [e.g. Fudulus heteroclitus (Denny, 1937)], but not others [e.g. Sarotherodon niloticus (Munz, 1979)]. Such fibrils were not found in the superficial neuromasts of embryonic zebrafish previously (Munz, 1979) and were not observed in larvae with polarized or differential interference contrast microscopy in the present study.

The relatively high compliance of the zebrafish cupula appears to be related its lack of fibrils. Its Young’s modulus (~21 Pa) is more than two orders of magnitude less than that of blind cavefish (~8 kPa in Astyanax fasciatus) (Peleshanko et al., 2007), which appear to possess fibrils (in Astyanax hubbsi) (Teyke, 1990). An even greater range of Young’s modulus is found in mesoglea. For example, the high fibril density of sea anemone (Anthopleura xanthogrammica) mesoglea has a Young’s modulus (~100 kPa) (Koehl, 1977) that is four orders of magnitude greater than that of mesoglea lacking these fibrils (e.g. Polycorchis penicillatus) (Megill et al., 2005). Mesoglea lacking fibrils from the bell of some hydromedusae has an estimated Young’s modulus (~50 Pa) (Megill et al., 2005) that is similar to what we have found for the cupula of zebrafish larvae. This is not surprising given the similar molecular composition of mesoglea and the cupular matrix.

It is unclear whether the viscoelasticity of the cupular matrix influences its function. The viscoelastic properties of mesoglea largely determine how sea anemones respond to hydrodynamic loads over different timescales (Koehl, 1977) and dictate the resilience of the bell of swimming jellyfish (DeMont and Gosline, 1988). It therefore is conceivable that the viscous component of the cupula matrix (Peleshanko et al., 2007) could act to filter high-frequency stimuli. Alternatively, the dominance of kinocilia in the proximal region (Fig. 6) may...
cause the cupula to respond elastically to hydrodynamic loads. Given the quasi-static nature of the present experiments, further investigation will be necessary to resolve the role of structural viscosity in the mechanics of superficial neuromasts.

A model for superficial neuromast mechanics

Our results suggest a model for the structural mechanics of superficial neuromasts (Fig. 7A,B). According to this model, the cupula behaves as a beam that is anchored to hair bundles, is stiff in its proximal region, and is compliant at its distal tip. The juncture at the base of the cupula behaves as a pivot that is coupled to hair bundles that act as a spring. The proximal region of the cupula has a flexural stiffness that is proportional to the number of kinocilia (Fig. 4). This stiff region extends to the height of the kinocilia, with the remainder of the cupula height providing a compliant tip. The cupula deflects when excited by flow that is governed by boundary layer hydrodynamics over the surface of the body (Jielof et al., 1952; Kuiper, 1967; Hassan, 1985; Kalmijn, 1988; Teyke, 1988; Dinklo, 2005).

This model suggests that the sensitivity of a superficial neuromast largely depends on its morphology. The hair cells within a neuromast generate transducer potentials that are proportional to the deflection of the kinocilia at low amplitudes (Flock, 1965a). Therefore, morphological properties that increase flexural stiffness serve to reduce the sensitivity of the neuromast by decreasing deflection. For example, a greater number of hair cells within a neuromast provides more kinocilia that stiffen the cupula and reduce sensitivity. Similarly, a cupula of greater diameter will have a larger second moment of area that acts to reduce deflection. However, these features may also promote sensitivity. Although a greater number of hair cells will stiffen the cupula, the neurobiological sensitivity of the neuromast will increase because there are more sensory cells. A larger cupula diameter increases flexural stiffness, but also provides a greater area for fluid forces to cause greater deflection. Therefore, trade-offs exist in the design of neuromasts that suggest the possibility that an optimal combination of morphological parameters could maximize the sensitivity of an individual neuromast. The design of neuromast arrays may alternatively benefit from a variation in morphology that creates a variety of frequency responses and sensitivities to facilitate range fractionation.

Our superficial neuromast model contrasts the micromechanics of canal neuromasts (Fig. 7C,D). Most strikingly, the structural dynamics of the cupula do not play an important role in the function of a canal neuromast. van Netten and Kroese (van Netten and Kroese, 1987) demonstrated that the cupula slides along the sensory epithelium as a rigid body. Therefore, canal neuromasts have been modeled as a rigid structure that stiffens the cupula and reduces sensitivity. Similarly, a cupula within a neuromast generates transducer potentials that are proportional to the motion of the cupula. This motion and, consequently, the fluid forces depend on the speed and acceleration of flow relative to the movement of the cupula. Although a greater number of hair cells will stiffen the cupula and reduce sensitivity, a greater number of hair cells within a neuromast provides more kinocilia that stiffen the cupula and reduce sensitivity. Similarly, a cupula of greater diameter will have a larger second moment of area that acts to reduce deflection. However, these features may also promote sensitivity. Although a greater number of hair cells will stiffen the cupula, the neurobiological sensitivity of the neuromast will increase because there are more sensory cells. A larger cupula diameter increases flexural stiffness, but also provides a greater area for fluid forces to cause greater deflection. Therefore, trade-offs exist in the design of neuromasts that suggest the possibility that an optimal combination of morphological parameters could maximize the sensitivity of an individual neuromast. The design of neuromast arrays may alternatively benefit from a variation in morphology that creates a variety of frequency responses and sensitivities to facilitate range fractionation.

Our superficial neuromast model contrasts the micromechanics of canal neuromasts (Fig. 7C,D). Most strikingly, the structural dynamics of the cupula do not play an important role in the function of a canal neuromast. van Netten and Kroese (van Netten and Kroese, 1987) demonstrated that the cupula slides along the sensory epithelium as a rigid body. Therefore, canal neuromasts have been modeled as a rigid structure that stiffens the cupula and reduces sensitivity. Similarly, a cupula within a neuromast generates transducer potentials that are proportional to the motion of the cupula. This motion and, consequently, the fluid forces depend on the speed and acceleration of flow relative to the movement of the cupula. This motion and, consequently, the fluid forces depend on the structural properties of the neuromast. This is illustrated mathematically by the canal neuromast model. The equations describing the hydrodynamics of the canal cupula cannot be solved without considering the stiffness of the hair bundles (van Netten and Kroese, 1989; van Netten and Kroese, 1987). Similarly, formulating a prediction for the frequency response of a superficial neuromast will require consideration of the fluid–structure interaction between the cupula and surrounding flow.

We thank two anonymous reviewers for their helpful suggestions on the manuscript. S. Coombs made us aware of new work on the superficial neuromasts of blind cavefish. This research was supported by National Science Foundation grants to M.J.M. (IOS-0723288 and IOB-0509740).

References


