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ABSTRACT

Background
In animal studies, hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) has been shown to protect the heart from ischemia-reperfusion injury. This study evaluates the safety and tolerability of the H₂S-donor sodium thiosulfate (STS) in patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS).

Methods
Eighteen patients, undergoing coronary angiography for ACS, received STS intravenously immediately after arrival at the catheterization laboratory according to a “3+3 dose-escalation design” with fixed dosing endpoint (0, 2.5, 5, 10, 12.5 and 15 grams). This first dose STS was combined with verapamil and nitroglycerin required for trans-radial procedures. A second dose STS was administered 6 hours later. Primary endpoint was dose-limiting toxicity, defined as significant hemodynamic instability or death up to 24 hours or before discharge from the coronary care unit. Secondary outcomes included the occurrence of anaphylaxis, nausea, vomiting, and systolic blood pressure (SBP) course.

Results
Sixteen patients received two dosages of STS, two patients one dosage. None of the patients reached the primary endpoint nor experienced a serious adverse event. We observed, a clinically well-tolerated decline in SBP 1 hour after administration of the first STS dose and concomitant verapamil/nitroglycerin. SBP for all patients together reduced 16.8 (95% confidence interval: 8.1 to 25.5) mmHg (P=0.0008). No significant decline in SBP occurred after the second dose. Mild nausea was observed in one patient.

Conclusions
This is the first report on sodium thiosulfate administration in patients with acute coronary syndromes. Our data suggests sodium thiosulfate was well tolerated in this setting. The potential benefit of this intervention has to be examined in larger studies.
INTRODUCTION

Timely and effective reperfusion by primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PPCI) is currently the most effective treatment of ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). However, reperfusion not only saves the majority of the ischemic cells, it also has a downside, which might paradoxically lead to additional myocardial injury and cardiomyocyte death.\(^1,2\) Therefore, new treatments against ischemia-reperfusion injury may be effective to further reduce myocardial infarct size and prevent the onset of heart failure.\(^3,4\)

Administration of hydrogen sulfide (H\(_2\)S), an endogenous gaseous signaling molecule, has been shown to protect the heart from ischemia-reperfusion injury in various experimental models. It reduces infarct size and apoptosis and attenuates the loss of cardiac function. Inhibition of leukocyte endothelial cell interactions, neutralization of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and the reduction of apoptotic signaling were all suggested as mechanisms underlying the cardioprotective effect of H\(_2\)S in this setting.\(^5-15\)

H\(_2\)S is synthesized endogenously by enzymatic and non-enzymatic pathways. One of the intermediate endogenous metabolites of H\(_2\)S in the non-enzymatic pathway, is thiosulfate. Especially under hypoxic conditions, thiosulfate is also able to produce H\(_2\)S.\(^16\) Furthermore, additional anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effects of thiosulfate are related to its reaction with mitochondrial thiosulfate sulfurtransferase.\(^17\) As a result of this interaction, sulfur transfers into glutathione and thioredoxin, thereby promoting thiol-dependent anti-oxidative mechanisms, resulting in additional ROS-scavenging. Thiosulfate can also be exogenously administered as sodium thiosulfate (STS) and next to its antioxidant properties, also H\(_2\)S-related mitochondrial preservation and reduced apoptosis are experimentally proven cardioprotective mechanisms.\(^18-22\)

Data in humans show that sodium thiosulfate can be administered safely and effectively for non-cardiac indications: STS is used in humans since 1933 for the treatment of cyanide intoxication and since the eighties for treatment of vascular calcifications in end-stage renal disease (calciphylaxis).\(^23,24\) It is also used to prevent ototoxicity of cisplatin treatment.\(^25,26\) The mechanisms of action in these diseases are thought to be based on potential calcium chelating and antioxidant properties of STS. In most cases intravenous STS was used in different doses from 10 to 25 grams per day. Most side effects were mild and manageable and comprised nausea and vomiting, hypotension and hypernatremia. In 8-15\% of hemodialysis patients metabolic acidosis occurred.\(^27\) Pre-clinical and clinical data on sodium thiosulfate are encouraging to investigate the efficacy of sodium thiosulfate on myocardial infarct size. However, STS administration has not yet been tested in the clinical setting of an acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and data regarding the safety and tolerability of STS in patients with ACS undergoing coronary angiography (CAG) via trans-radial route are lacking; Especially the effects of concomitant administration of STS and vasodilating drugs during CAG on blood pressure are of interest. Moreover, interactions of STS with other cardiac drugs are unknown.
The SAFE ACS trial is a phase 1, open-label, dose-escalation study to test the hypothesis that STS, on top of standard medical treatment, can be safely administered and is well tolerated in patients presenting with an ACS, undergoing CAG via trans-radial route with coadministration of verapamil and nitroglycerin. Safety and tolerability were evaluated by assessing dose limiting toxicity (DLT) and the maximum tolerable dose (MTD) using a "3+3 design" with a fixed dosing endpoint.

METHODS

Study population
Patients, ≥18 years old, presenting with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) at the University Medical Center Groningen (UMCG) between October 2017 and March 2018 during office hours were screened for enrollment. Inclusion criteria were: The diagnosis of ACS defined by chest pain suggestive for myocardial ischemia for at least 30 minutes, with time from onset of the symptoms less than 24 hours before hospital admission, with or without an electrocardiogram (ECG) recording with ST-segment elevation of more than 0.1 millivolt in 2 or more contiguous leads. Furthermore, patients had to undergo CAG via trans-radial approach. Exclusion criteria were the presence of a cardiomyopathy or impaired LV-ejection fraction <35%, systolic blood pressure (SBP) <100 mmHg or >180 mmHg at presentation, pregnancy/breastfeeding, a recent (<1 year) malignancy treated with chemo- and/or radiotherapy, a condition with a life expectancy of less than 1 year or any condition which does not allow the patient to successfully participate in the study. This study (www.clinicaltrials.gov, NCT03017963) was approved by the local ethics committee (Groningen, the Netherlands) and all patients provided written informed consent.

Study procedures
At admission to the hospital, baseline laboratory and vital parameters were assessed. Prior to coronary angiography, at the catheterization laboratory, the first dose of STS was administered intravenously in 15 minutes. Six dose cohorts were created. Each cohort included three patients receiving respectively 0 gram, 2.5 grams, 5 grams, 10 grams, 12.5 grams and 15 grams of STS dissolved in 250 ml sodium chloride 0.9%. Hemodynamic parameters and occurrence of side effects were cautiously monitored. CAG was performed via trans-radial approach using standard techniques, including administration of 2.5 mg verapamil and 200 mcg nitroglycerin. Number of diseased vessels was defined during CAG as ≥ 70% reduction by plaque or stenosis in the internal diameter of the right coronary artery, left anterior descending or left circumflex coronary artery or ≥50% reduction in the internal diameter of the left main coronary artery. In the absence of dose-limiting toxicity, a second dose of STS was administered 6 hours after the first dose at the coronary care unit (CCU). The duration of the second infusion was 30 minutes. Patients were followed up to 24 hours after treatment with study medication or until discharge from CCU. A schematic overview of the study design is presented in Supplementary Figure 1. All study procedures took place at the UMCG. STS was produced by A15 Pharmacy (Gorinchem, The Netherlands).
Primary and secondary endpoints
This study was designed to assess safety and MTD. Primary endpoint was the development of DLT during or after STS administration up to 24 hours or discharge from the CCU. DLT was defined as all-cause mortality or hemodynamic instability of clinical significance. The latter was defined according to the established criteria for cardiogenic shock: (i) SBP <90 mmHg for >30 min and/or vasopressors required to achieve a blood pressure ≥90 mmHg; (ii) pulmonary congestion or elevated left-ventricular filling pressures; (iii) signs of impaired organ perfusion with at least one of the following criteria: (a) altered mental status; (b) cold, clammy skin; (c) oliguria (urine output<30ml/h); (d) increased serum-lactate >2.0 mmol/L.28

Secondary outcomes included the occurrence of anaphylaxis, nausea, vomiting and the prescription of metoclopramide. An anaphylactic reaction was defined according to the World Allergy Organization guidelines for the assessment and management of anaphylaxis.29 Nausea and vomiting was monitored during and after medication infusion with a 4-point Likert scale for severity (none, mild, moderate, severe). Furthermore, blood pressures were evaluated before and once every hour during the first 3 hours after each dose of sodium thiosulfate. Hypotension was especially important to monitor because this patient population is prone to develop hemodynamic instability, due to disease and performed interventions, and possible interactions of STS with the vasodilator cocktail, required for CAG, are unknown.

Sample size considerations
Sample size is based on the classic 3+3 design with fixed dosing endpoint of 15 grams STS. Three patients were enrolled in every dosing cohort. In case that one out of three patients would develop DLT at a specific dose, an additional three subjects would be enrolled into the same dose cohort (maximum six per cohort). If none of these patients experienced a DLT, enrollment to the next cohort was allowed. When more than one out of six patients developed DLT, the trial would be terminated because the MTD has been exceeded. For future trials we intend to investigate the effects of 2x12.5 grams STS on infarct size in patients with STEMI (NCT02899364). This intended dose was chosen based on experimental data on the effects of H₂S in the setting of ischemia-reperfusion injury, clinical data on STS, the elimination time of STS, logistical reasons and the ongoing pathophysiological process of reperfusion injury.30 In this pilot study we aimed to evaluate also a higher dose cohort, as safety margin, and therefore defined our dosing endpoint fixed at 15 grams.

Statistical analysis
Continuous data are reported as mean and standard deviation (SD) or median and interquartile range [IQR] depending on data distribution. Discrete variables were presented as frequencies and percentages. For outcome, DLT was expressed as categorical data and data on nausea/vomiting as ordinal data. SBP course was assessed as a continuous variable and was compared within dose groups between consecutive time points and between dose cohorts on the same time points with linear mixed model analysis for the first dose and second dose separately. Additionally, the lowest, middle and highest two dose cohorts were
combined and paired t-tests were performed to assess differences between two consecutive time points within these combined dose cohorts. In accordance with Benjamin et al., a two-tailed P-value of <0.005 was considered statistically significant.31 A P-value between 0.05 and 0.005 was considered suggestive. STATA statistical software, release 15 (College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC) and SPSS statistics for Windows, version 23.0 (IBM Corp. Released 2015. Armonk, NY), were used for statistical analysis. Graphs were composed with GraphPad Prism version 7.0 for Windows (GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA).

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1. A total of 18 patients were included. Of these, sixteen patients received two dosages 6 hours apart. Two patients received only 1 dosage of STS (STS 2.5 and 15 grams), because of early discharge from CCU. All patients had at least one troponin T value above the 99th percentile upper reference limit. Fourteen patients were diagnosed with non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI), two with unstable angina and one patient had an aborted STEMI. 5 patients were classified with 0-vessel disease and treatment was conservative: 4 patients presented with NSTEMI, due to transient thrombus (n=1), microvascular disease (n=1), spontaneous coronary artery dissection without any plaques or stenoses (n=1), the fourth patient had a history of STEMI without any new stenosis. In one patient the diagnosis ACS was rejected, and troponin T rise was attributed to hypertension. From the remaining 13 patients, 10 patients (56%) underwent PCI. The other three patients had (prior) coronary artery disease without options for intervention. No patient underwent CABG. Before coronary angiography, 89% of the participants were treated with beta blockers and 83% with ACE inhibitors or Angiotensin II receptor blockers (Table 1). All patients received at least one additional antihypertensive drug (either beta blocker or ACE inhibitor/Angiotensin II receptor blocker or long-acting nitrate/nitroglycerin iv), next to the vasodilator radialis cocktail.

Table 1 | Baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Total (n = 18)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean ± SD (years)</td>
<td>62.2 ± 10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex, n (%)</td>
<td>12 (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, median [IQR] (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.6 [23.3-27.4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>17 (94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular related history, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>12 (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td>9 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>7 (39)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Diabetes Mellitus 4 (22)
Previous PCI 10 (56)
Previous CABG 1 (6)
Previous angina pectoris 7 (39)
Previous myocardial infarction 9 (50)
Stroke 2 (11)
Peripheral artery disease 1 (6)

Antihypertensive medications before PCI, n (%) a
- Beta-blocker 16 (89)
- ACE inhibitor or Angiotensin II receptor blocker 15 (83)
- Calcium channel blocker 3 (17)
- Diuretics 3 (17)
- Long-acting nitrate or intravenous nitroglycerin 9 (50)

Blood pressure, mean ± SD (mmHg)
- Systolic 142 ± 22.8
- Diastolic 82 ± 13.7

Heart rate, mean ± SD (beats/min) 70 ± 11.8

Infarct related artery, n (%)
- Left main 0
- Left circumflex coronary artery 2 (11)
- Left anterior descending coronary artery 5 (28)
- Right coronary artery 5 (28)
- No clear culprit defined 6 (33)

Number of vessels affected, n (%) b
- 0 5 (28)
- 1 7 (39)
- 2 6 (33)

Lab values at admission, median [IQR]
- High sensitive troponin T (ng/L) 23 [15-30]
- CK (U/L) 95 [62-140]
- CK-MB (U/L) 12 [10-17]
- NT-proBNP (ng/L) 132 [53-262]
- Creatinin (µmol/L) 72 [66-84]

aDefined as antihypertensive drug use before admission or at least 1 dose <24 hours before arrival at the catheterization laboratory. b ≥70% reduction in the internal diameter of the right coronary artery, left anterior descending or left circumflex coronary artery or ≥50% reduction in the internal diameter of the left main coronary artery. Abbreviations: CK, creatine kinase; CK-MB, creatine kinase-myocardial band; IQR, interquartile range; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro brain natriuretic peptide; SD, standard deviation.
Table 2 | Outcome parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>STS dose (grams)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary endpoint</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose limiting toxicity</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary endpoints</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaphylaxis</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Peak values, median [IQR]</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak CK (U/L)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[87-227]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak CK-MB (U/L)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[15-46]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak high sensitive troponin T (ng/L)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[29-295]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Moderate nausea complaints commenced before STS administration

Abbreviations: CK, creatine kinase; CK-MB: creatine kinase-myocardial band; IQR: interquartile range; STS: sodium thiosulfate.

Primary and secondary outcomes

Primary and secondary outcomes are shown in Table 2. None of the patients reached primary endpoint, nor experienced a serious adverse event. No anaphylactic or infusion reactions occurred. Mild and transient nausea was reported in one patient. Two other patients reported moderate nausea which already existed before administration of study medication. Metoclopramide was not prescribed in any patient.
Blood pressure measurements

Systolic blood pressure course at the predefined time points after the first and second dose for all dose cohorts is depicted in Figure 1. SBPs at the predefined time points were never lower than 101 mmHg (t=7). Linear mixed modeling was performed for the first and second dose separately. No significant differences were observed between the different dose cohorts and over time (first dose: $P=0.844$ over dose, $P=0.069$ over time; second dose: $P=0.537$ over dose, $P=0.478$ over time). Additionally, paired t-tests were performed. A significant, but clinically well-tolerated, change between all SBPs before administration of study medication (t=0, mean (SD) 142.5 (22.8) mmHg) and 1 hour after the first dose STS and verapamil/nitroglycerin cocktail (t=1, 125.8 (14.7) mmHg) was observed (mean difference -16.8 mmHg, 95% confidence interval (CI) [-8.1 to -25.5] $P=0.0008$; Figure 2).
Systolic blood pressure course over time

Figure 2 | Systolic blood pressure course for combined dose cohorts

Subsequently, dose cohorts were combined (0+2.5 gr; 5+10 gr; 12.5+15 gr) and paired t-tests were performed on blood pressures within those groups. Only for the highest combined dose cohort (12.5+15 gr) a suggestive decrease in SBP was observed from t=0 to t=1, (154.5(10.9) vs. 131.2 (15.0) mmHg, mean difference -23.3, 95% CI [-6.2 to -40.4], \( P=0.017 \), Figure 2). No significant changes in SBP were observed after administration of the second dose STS, during which no concomitant vasodilatory medication was administered.

Adverse events
In general, study medication was tolerated well. However, a few adverse events were observed besides our predefined endpoints: One patient experienced a vagal reaction due to wire manipulation during PCI (STS 2.5 gr), directly recovering after atropine administration. Two patients experienced a brief period of hypotension (SBP <90mmHg), both lasting shorter than 25 minutes. The first patient experienced hypotension a few minutes after completion of study medication (STS 5 gr) and directly after stent placement in the left anterior descending artery, recovering after resuscitation with 1L NaCl 0.9%. The second patient experienced hypotension together with mild nausea right after a change of posture (from supine to sitting position), 15 minutes after administration of the second dose (STS 12.5 gr), with spontaneous recovery. Both patients receiving only one dose did not experience an adverse event after administration of STS. Furthermore, their SBP 1 hour after administration of the first dose did not drop below 145 mmHg.
DISCUSSION

This is the first study in which the H\textsubscript{2}S-donor STS was administered in patients presenting with an ACS. We demonstrated that STS is well tolerated and appears to be safe in combination with concomitant administration of vasodilatory and blood pressure lowering drugs in ACS patients undergoing CAG via trans-radial approach. Specifically, no clinically relevant changes in systolic blood pressure, dose-limiting toxicity or infusion reactions occur and side effects (nausea, hypotension) are mild and transient.

These observations are in line with previous experiences of STS use in human beings for other indications. In a study by Matthews \textit{et al.}, in which the feasibility, safety and efficacy of STS in the progression of vascular calcification in hemodialysis patients was evaluated, escalating doses of STS (12.5 gr, 18.75 gr, 25 gr) were administered during 30 minutes after each dialysis session.\textsuperscript{32} STS infusions at the dose of 25 gr/treatment were associated with nausea and vomiting in all 22 patients despite anti-emetic therapy. Final maintenance doses of 12.5 gr/treatment in 16 patients and 18.75 gr/treatment in 6 patients were well tolerated and the authors concluded a dose of 12.5-18.75 gr to be feasible and safe, which is in line with our study. Also, a recent retrospective analysis of 24 calciphylaxis patients reported dose-limiting toxicity, mainly due to nausea and vomiting in two out of eighteen patients on 25 grams thrice weekly.\textsuperscript{33} A dose lowering to 12.5 grams was well tolerated. However, these results are based on a retrospective analysis and large prospective studies on optimal dosage and safety in calciphylaxis patients are lacking. For ototoxicity two randomized trials have been carried out, in which doses of 16gr/m\textsuperscript{2} and 20gr/m\textsuperscript{2} were administered in 15 minutes.\textsuperscript{25,26} A total of 116 children, <18 years old, were treated with STS. In the first trial, one patient withdrew due to emesis and rigors. In the second trial, one patient developed severe metabolic acidosis and another patient suffered from severe nausea and vomiting. Most children tolerated doses of 20gr/m\textsuperscript{2} (34 grams for an average adult body surface area of 1.7m\textsuperscript{2}) well, which is slightly higher than the maximum dose we investigated. However, extrapolation and application of these results to our study population should be done with caution, since the other trials included severely ill children on chemotherapy with possibly different pharmacokinetic characteristics. However, also a dose escalation pilot study in 29 patients (age 2-68 years old) for the prevention of ototoxicity from carboplatin treatment showed high doses (20gr/m\textsuperscript{2}; 34 grams for an average adult body surface area of 1.7m\textsuperscript{2}) to be well tolerated, albeit when anti-emetic premedication was administered.\textsuperscript{34} In this study also low doses of 4 and 8 grams were already associated with nausea and vomiting. However exact incidences were not reported.

New-onset nausea was observed in our study in 5.6%. This is considerably lower than the ranges of nausea and vomiting reported in calciphylaxis patients. Two recent systematic reviews including over 700 patients treated with STS for calciphylaxis reported nausea and vomiting in 17-30% of the patients.\textsuperscript{27,35} The higher dosages used in calciphylaxis patients (25 gr thrice weekly) might be an explanation for this observed difference. Furthermore, hemodynamic effects of hemodialysis and metabolic imbalances within dialysis patients might be an additional explanation.
Most (case-)studies do not report on blood pressure values after STS administration, however mild hypotension is a known side effect, reported in 3.1% of patients in an analysis of multiple case reports from calciphylaxis patients \((n=64)\). In contrast, in a pilot study of 22 patients with end-stage renal disease, STS administration up to 25 grams was not associated with significant changes in blood pressure. Effects of STS on blood pressure in experimental setting have been proven, but in humans literature remains controversial. However, in human literature, when hypotension was reported, it was mild and manageable. In our study, a significant decrease in SBP was observed 1 hour after the first dose, especially in the higher dose cohort. However, blood pressure before study medication was already higher in the highest dose cohort. Therefore, we might be looking at a regression to the mean phenomenon. Moreover, after the second dose no blood pressure decrease was observed, suggesting that the decrease in blood pressure after the first dose and coronary angiography might also be due to relieve of stress or the effect of concomitant administration of vasodilating drugs standard for trans-radial procedure. However, this blood pressure decrease was not considered clinically relevant as it was mild, transient and recovered spontaneously, except for one patient, which received limited fluid resuscitation. As reported earlier, none of our patients showed signs of cardiogenic shock and no inotropic medication was needed.

Metabolic acidosis has been reported to occur in 7-30% of patients with calciphylaxis. We did not observe clinical signs of metabolic acidosis. Higher dosages of STS used for calciphylaxis, precarious acid/base balances and high comorbidities in patients with end-stage renal disease might account for this observed difference. At last, in our study we did not observe any anaphylactic reactions, nor has this been seen in previous studies in other patients’ populations.

The intention of this study was to assess safety and tolerability of STS in the setting of ACS. Even though 5 patients did not present with (new) significant coronary artery stenosis (0-VD) and 8 patients did not undergo revascularization, all patients were concomitantly treated with vasodilators during trans-radial CAG and at least one blood pressure lowering drug. We demonstrated that in this setting of suspected ACS, STS administration appeared safe and we could reasonably rule out procedure-related adverse events, especially severe hypotension. In SAFE-ACS efficacy was not evaluated and sample size was too small to draw conclusions on surrogate efficacy parameters like CK-levels. Considering the mechanism of action of STS, in the future GIPS-IV trial, the effect on myocardial infarct size, determined by magnetic resonance imaging, as surrogate endpoint for long-term outcomes (heart failure and survival) will be evaluated.

Limitations of our study are comparable to most pilot studies and comprise small sample size and relatively short follow-up. An additional limitation may be the inclusion of clinically stable ACS patients with mostly small infarctions. Therefore, conclusions on safety of STS treatment in other patients with ACS should be drawn with caution. However, the lack of clinically relevant (serious) adverse events data suggests that this medication can be used...
relatively safe in a large group of patients with an ACS. Strengths of this study include the escalating dosage method, in which also higher dosages were evaluated than the desired one for future trials. Moreover, our patients were closely monitored for objectively chosen endpoints.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, dosages STS up to 2x15 grams, 6 hours apart, were well tolerated and administration of STS appeared to be safe in patients with ACS treated with concomitant vasodilators and blood pressure lowering drugs. Our findings and encouraging preclinical data provide the basis for further clinical assessment on safety and efficacy of STS administration in patients with acute coronary syndromes.
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Supplementary Figure 1 | Study design
Abbreviations: CAG, coronary angiography; CCU, coronary care unit; DLT, dose-limiting toxicity; HC, heart catheterization laboratory; STS, sodium thiosulfate.