University of Groningen

β -band excitation in inelastic hadron scattering and the mixing of the breathing mode into the low-lying β -vibrations

Harakeh, MN; De Leo, R

Published in:
Physics Letters B

DOI:
[10.1016/0370-2693\(82\)90562-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)90562-7)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
1982

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Harakeh, MN., & De Leo, R. (1982). β -band excitation in inelastic hadron scattering and the mixing of the breathing mode into the low-lying β -vibrations. *Physics Letters B*, 117(6), 377-380.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(82\)90562-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)90562-7)

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

β -BAND EXCITATION IN INELASTIC HADRON SCATTERING AND THE MIXING OF THE BREATHING MODE INTO THE LOW-LYING β -VIBRATIONS

M.N. HARAKEH and R. De LEO¹

Kernfysisch Versneller Instituut, Groningen, The Netherlands

Received 8 June 1982

Revised manuscript received 5 August 1982

The excitation of the ^{24}Mg β -band 0^+ and 2^+ states at 6.43 MeV and 7.35 MeV, respectively, in inelastic hadron scattering has been considered in the collective model where coupling of these states to the states of the ground state band is via a β -vibration coupled to the static deformation. It is found that coupled channel effects on the 0^+ state excitation are rather important and moreover the excitation of the 0^+ state can be satisfactorily explained only if a monopole breathing mode form factor is included in addition to the monopole β -vibration form factor.

Aside from evidence found [1–3] for a small percentage of fragmented monopole (E0) strength in light nuclei ($A \leq 40$), in only one experiment has a large concentration of E0 strength been reported [4]. Nevertheless, one can consider the existence of the giant monopole resonance (GMR) in light nuclei as yet an open question [5]. A major effort at present is being undertaken to locate this monopole strength in light nuclei either in inelastic hadron scattering at forward angles or from the study of the decay of inelastically excited nuclei in the region where the GMR is expected.

A possible way to investigate the GMR is to study [6] the effective charges of low-lying monopole transitions. By mixing in with low-lying states of the same spin and parity, a giant resonance manifests [6] itself by a renormalization of the transition rates of these low-lying states as calculated from their simple shell model (truncated) configurations. For spherical nuclei where low-lying monopole states could be described as simple shell model configurations, it is possible to obtain state dependent effective charges making certain assumptions on the excitation energy and strength of the monopole resonance. In this way Castel and Satchler [7] were able to explain the enhancement and reduction of the low-lying monopole transitions in ^{206}Pb and ^{90}Zr , respectively.

In deformed nuclei, where the configuration of the β vibrational 0^+ state is rather complicated, the renormalization of E0 transition rates due to coupling to the GMR is not easily tractable. However, because the form factor of a monopole (surface) β -vibration is different from that of the compressional (breathing) mode, there is the hope that by studying inelastic electron or hadron scattering one would be able to find the admixture of the breathing mode into the low-lying monopole transitions by trying to fit the experimental angular distribution with an admixture of the two form factors. Such an attempt has been performed by Morsch and Decowski [8] for ^4He , ^{12}C and ^{24}Mg lowest 0^+ excited states. They claim [8] that while for ^4He and ^{12}C the angular distributions can be fit with either a compressional mode form factor or a β -vibration type form factor, the contribution of the compressional mode to the excitation of the 0^+ state at 6.43 MeV in ^{24}Mg is negligible.

In their analysis of the low-lying monopole excitations, Morsch and Decowski [8] used DWBA with form factors obtained by folding the monopole transition densities into an effective projectile–nucleon interaction. While this procedure should be valid for spherical nuclei, its application to strongly deformed nuclei such as ^{24}Mg is rather questionable. In fact, strong channel coupling can affect the differential cross sections for various channels drastically. Such ef-

¹ Permanent address: Istituto di Fisica dell'Università, Bari, Italy.

fects have been observed [9], for example, in single and mutual excitation of α -scattering from ^{24}Mg and ^{28}Si . Moreover, attempts to fit the experimental 40 MeV [10] and 20 MeV [11] (p, p') data for the 0_β^+ state of ^{24}Mg with DWBA calculations using either macroscopic [10] (vibrating-diffuseness and breathing mode) form factors or microscopic [10,11] form factors failed. This failure could partly be attributed to strong coupled channel (CC) effects, since in the study of inelastic proton scattering from ^{24}Mg at 0.8 GeV, Blanpied et al. [12] concluded from a full CC analysis in the β -vibrational model that the 0^+ state at 6.43 MeV can not be described by a pure monopole β -vibration form factor alone. CC effects on the excitation of the 0_β^+ of ^{24}Mg were studied earlier [13] using a wrong coupling scheme where the same form factor was taken for the $0_{gs}^+ \rightarrow 2^+$ and $2^+ \rightarrow 0_\beta^+$ transitions but more importantly the 2_β^+ state was omitted from the coupling scheme.

In this letter, we would like to present analysis of the ground state band (gsb) and β -band, in ^{24}Mg excited by inelastic hadron scattering [1,12,14] in a coupled scheme where proper coupling of the β -band to the gsb is included. Not only is the 2_β^+ angular distribution well explained (DWBA predictions are out-of-phase with the experimental data) in this scheme, but also the 0_β^+ state can only be described by including in addition to the β -vibration form factor a breathing mode form factor.

Along similar lines as described in ref. [14], one can show that the coupling of a β -vibration to a static deformation would lead for the excitation of the β -band states from states of gsb to a form factor:

$$f_{\lambda 0 \lambda} = (-1)^\lambda \langle I_\beta 0 \lambda 0 | I_g 0 \rangle \hat{\lambda}^{-1} (5/4\pi)^{1/2} \sum_l \hat{l} v_l^{(1)} \times (|\beta - \beta_2| \langle l 0 2 0 | \lambda 0 \rangle^2 - \xi \langle l 0 0 0 | \lambda 0 \rangle^2), \quad (1)$$

where $|\beta - \beta_2|$ is the amplitude of the β -vibration and the second term within the brackets is added to satisfy the condition of conservation of particles. Essentially ξ is determined once $|\beta - \beta_2|$ is determined with the condition

$$\int f_{000}(r) r^2 dr = 0 \quad (2)$$

For the definition of the various symbols see ref. [14]. This form factor was incorporated into CHUCK [15] ^{*1} to perform the full CC calculations. For ex-

Fig. 1. Angular distribution for the 0_β^+ state at $E_x = 6.43$ MeV in ^{24}Mg . Data points are obtained from ref. [1]. Dashed and solid curves are results of DWBA calculations using β -vibration and breathing mode form factors, respectively. The monopole amplitudes needed to fit the data are $|\beta - \beta_2| = 0.190$ for the β -vibration form factor and $\beta_0 = 0.0734$ for the breathing mode form factor.

citations within the same rotational band the usual collective form factor [14] was used.

As it will soon become obvious, breathing mode form factor will be needed to fit the 0^+ state of the β -band in addition to the β -vibration form factor [eq. (1)]. This was taken of the form [16]

$$f_{000} = -3U(r) - r dU/dr, \quad (3)$$

where U is the optical model (OM) potential.

The DWBA and CC calculations were performed using OM parameters of ref. [14]. Coulomb excitation of the 2^+ states of the gs and β -bands was neglected since it had [14] little effect on the angular distributions of the 2^+ states and its neglect leads only to a slight renormalization of the deformation parameters. In fig. 1, the results of DWBA calculations for the 0_β^+ using the β -vibration form factor [eq. (1)] and the breathing mode form factor [eq. (3)] are shown as dashed and solid curves, respectively. The two DWBA curves reproduce the first maximum but start to differ from each other and become out of phase with the data in the region of the second and third maxima. For the 2_β^+ state of the β -band, the DWBA calcula-

^{*1} The program has been modified to perform the correct couplings to the states of the β -band.

Fig. 2. Angular distribution of the 0_{β}^{+} and 2_{β}^{+} states of the β -band in ^{24}Mg . Data points are from ref. [1]. Dashed curves are results of CC calculations in which the 0^{+} and 2^{+} states of the gs and β -bands are coupled together in one scheme where the couplings are given by eq. (1). Solid curves are results of CC calculations in which a breathing mode form factor in addition to the β -vibration form factor coupling the 0_{β}^{+} state to the gs is included. Dotted curve through 2^{+} data is the result of DWBA calculation. See text for more details.

tions (dotted curve in fig. 2) using the usual vibrational $\lambda \geq 2$ form factor ($f_{\lambda 0 \lambda} = -R_0 dU/dr$) is out of phase with the data.

The question arises as to what happens when the 0_{β}^{+} and 2_{β}^{+} states of the β -band are coupled to the 0^{+} and 2^{+} states of the gs band in a scheme in which all couplings arising from a β -vibration coupled to a static deformation are included? The results of such a calculation are shown as dashed curves in fig. 2. The only free parameter in this calculation is in principle the coupling parameter $|\beta - \beta_2|$ [see eq. (1)]. The OM parameters and the deformation parameter of the gs band ($\beta_2 = 0.355$) are obtained from ref. [14]. The deformation parameter of the β -band (β_2^{β}) could essentially be obtained [14] by scaling the moments of inertia of the gs and β -bands. However, to anticipate any possible strong effect of β_2^{β} on the angular distribution of the

0_{β}^{+} state we have varied both $|\beta - \beta_2|$ and β_2^{β} to obtain the best χ^2 -fit to the 0_{β}^{+} differential cross section (dashed curve in fig. 2). It is clear that the reasonable fit at the forward angles obtained to the 0_{β}^{+} from DWBA calculations using either the β -vibration or the breathing mode form factors (see fig. 1) is lost. Moreover, the predicted differential cross section for the 2_{β}^{+} with the parameter $|\beta - \beta_2| = 0.138$ and $\beta_2^{\beta} = 0.491$ which give a reasonable estimate to the magnitude of the 0_{β}^{+} differential cross section (see dashed curves in fig. 2) overestimates the data of the 2_{β}^{+} state by a large factor.

The differential cross sections for elastic scattering of α -particles from ^{24}Mg at $E_{\alpha} = 120$ MeV and inelastic differential cross sections to the 2^{+} state of the gsb obtained from the above CC calculations are not shown here since they are very similar to those shown in fig. 2 of ref. [14].

A good description for the data of the 0_{β}^{+} state in the CC scheme described above could only be obtained by including a breathing mode form factor [eq. (3)] in addition to the β -vibration form factor [eq. (1)] connecting the 0_{β}^{+} to the gs. A χ^2 -search on the two coupling parameters $|\beta - \beta_2|$ and β_0 (breathing mode) which lead to the best fit to the 0_{β}^{+} and 2_{β}^{+} differential cross sections resulted in the solid curves in fig. 2. Indeed the results of this CC calculation are quite an improvement even compared to the DWBA curves of fig. 1. The parameters so-obtained are $|\beta - \beta_2| = 0.0652$ and $\beta_0 = -0.0684$. In this calculation β_2^{β} was also varied. The final value for β_2^{β} obtained from the χ^2 -fit is 0.376 which is not much different from the quadrupole deformation of the ground state of 0.355. A χ^2 -search on the two parameters $|\beta - \beta_2|$ and β_0 forcing the latter to be positive resulted in fits which are worse by factors of 2.0 and 3.5 in χ^2 for the 0_{β}^{+} and 2_{β}^{+} data in comparison with those obtained with β_0 negative. Qualitatively the fits were also worse in the sense that they were out of phase with the data for both the 0_{β}^{+} and 2_{β}^{+} state in the angular region of the 2nd and 3rd maxima. The absolute values of the parameters were, however, similar in both cases.

The good fits to the data of the 0_{β}^{+} and 2_{β}^{+} states both in magnitude and shape obtained from the same CC calculation (solid curves in fig. 2) attest to the correctness of the suggested coupling scheme. This calculation indicates moreover that the coupling of the breathing mode into the 0_{β}^{+} vibrational state is signifi-

cant. The isoscalar monopole matrix element obtained using the admixed monopole transition density and following Bernstein procedure [17] is 7.3 fm^2 in reasonable agreement with the result of $6.33 \pm 0.29 \text{ fm}^2$ obtained from electron scattering [18].

We have similarly analyzed the 800 MeV inelastic scattering data of ref. [12] using the reported [12] optical model parameters. Here the value of the deformation parameter β_2^{β} was fixed to 0.637 by assuming the same scaling to the deformation parameter of the ground state ($\beta_2 = 0.601$; ref. [12] as was obtained from the analysis of the $^{24}\text{Mg}(\alpha, \alpha')^{24}\text{Mg}$ data. The χ^2 -search resulted in a rather good fit to the 0_{β}^{+} differential cross section (not shown here), with $|\beta - \beta_2| = 0.122$ and $\beta_0 = -0.051$ leading to a monopole matrix element, again using Bernstein procedure [17], of 5.9 fm^2 .

In summary, the amount of coupling of the monopole breathing mode into the 0_{β}^{+} β -vibrational state in ^{24}Mg turns out to be rather substantial in disagreement with the claim of Morsch and Decowski [8] who found that the angular distribution of the 0_{β}^{+} state at 6.43 MeV could be fitted with a form factor that corresponds to a monopole surface oscillation (β -vibration). The appreciable admixture of the breathing mode monopole form factor to the low-lying β -vibration indicates either that the monopole resonance is not very high in excitation energy or that the coupling matrix element to the 0_{β}^{+} state is large. A systematic study of these 0^{+} β -vibrational states across the sd-shell nuclei using the above procedure may shed some light on the location and strength of the breathing mode which has escaped detection by direct means of inelastic scattering of electrons and hadrons in these nuclei up to the present.

This work has been performed as part of the research program of the Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM) with financial support from the Nederlandse Organisatie voor Zuiver Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (ZWO).

References

- [1] K. van der Borg, M.N. Harakeh and A. van der Woude, Nucl. Phys. A365 (1981) 243, and references therein; M.N. Harakeh et al., Nucl. Phys. A265 (1976) 189.
- [2] T. Yamagata et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 40 (1978) 1628.
- [3] D. Lebrun, M. Buenerd, P. Martin, P. de Saintignon and G. Perrin, Phys. Lett. 97B (1980) 358; P. Martin et al., Proc. 1980 RCNP Intern. Symp. on Highly excited states in nuclear reactions (Osaka, 1980) p. 131.
- [4] A. Willis et al., Proc. 1980 RCNP Intern. Symp. on Highly excited states in nuclear reactions (Osaka, 1980) p. 189.
- [5] D.H. Youngblood, Proc. 1980 RCNP Intern. Symp. on Highly excited states in nuclear reactions (Osaka, 1980) p. 111.
- [6] A. Bohr and B.R. Mottelson, Nuclear structure, Vol. 2 (Benjamin, Reading, MA, 1975) p. 442; H.P. Morsch, Phys. Lett. 61B (1976) 15.
- [7] B. Castel and C.R. Satchler, Phys. Lett. 80B (1978) 13.
- [8] H.P. Morsch and P. Decowski, Phys. Lett. 82B (1979) 1.
- [9] J. van Driel, M.N. Harakeh, R. Kamermans and R.J. de Meijer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 46 (1981) 525.
- [10] B. Ziegliniski, G.M. Crawley, H. Nann and J.A. Nolen Jr., Phys. Rev. C17 (1978) 872.
- [11] R.M. Lombard, J.L. Escudié and M. Soyeur, Phys. Rev. C18 (1978) 42.
- [12] G.S. Blanpied et al., Phys. Rev. C20 (1979) 1490; G.S. Blanpied et al., Phys. Rev. C25 (1982) 422.
- [13] H.P. Morsch and P.J. Ellis, Phys. Lett. 64B (1976) 386.
- [14] K. van der Borg, M.N. Harakeh and B.S. Nilsson, Nucl. Phys. A325 (1979) 31.
- [15] P.D. Kunz, Program CHUCK, unpublished.
- [16] G.R. Satchler, Part. Nucl. 5 (1973) 105.
- [17] A.M. Bernstein, in: Advances in nuclear physics, Vol. III, eds. H. Baranger and E. Vogt (Plenum, New York, 1969) p. 325.
- [18] P. Strehl, Z. Phys. 234 (1970) 416.