University of Groningen

The Minoan Santorini Eruption and its 14C Position in Archaeological Strata: Preliminary Comparison Between Ashkelon and Tell El-Dabca

Bruins, Hendrik J; van der Plicht, Johannes

Published in:
8th International Symposium, Edinburgh

DOI:
[10.1017/RDC.2017.88](https://doi.org/10.1017/RDC.2017.88)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2017

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Bruins, H. J., & van der Plicht, J. (2017). The Minoan Santorini Eruption and its 14C Position in Archaeological Strata: Preliminary Comparison Between Ashkelon and Tell El-Dabca. In *8th International Symposium, Edinburgh* (5 ed., Vol. 59, pp. 1295-1307) <https://doi.org/10.1017/RDC.2017.88>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

THE MINOAN SANTORINI ERUPTION AND ITS ¹⁴C POSITION IN ARCHAEOLOGICAL STRATA: PRELIMINARY COMPARISON BETWEEN ASHKELON AND TELL EL-DAB^a

Hendrik J Bruins^{1*} • Johannes van der Plicht^{2,3}

¹Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Jacob Blaustein Institutes for Desert Research, Sede Boker Campus 84990, Israel.

²University of Groningen, Center for Isotope Research, Groningen, The Netherlands.

³University of Leiden, Faculty of Archaeology, Leiden, The Netherlands.

ABSTRACT. The volcanic mega event of the Minoan Santorini eruption constitutes a time anchor in the 2nd millennium BCE that is inherently independent of archaeology and political history. It was a geological event. Yet the dimension of time in geology is not different than in archaeology or human history. Why then does archaeological dating usually place the Minoan Santorini eruption in the 18th Dynasty around 1500 BCE, whilst radiocarbon dating of the volcanic event at Akrotiri (Thera) yielded a calibrated age of 1646–1603 cal BCE, a difference of more than a century? The crux of the problem lies apparently in the correlation between archaeological strata and political history. We present radiocarbon dates of Ashkelon Phases 10 and 11 in comparison to Tell el-Dab^a and the Santorini eruption, based only on ¹⁴C dating. Tell el-Dab^a Phase D/2 is slightly older than the volcanic event. But Phase D/1 or Phase C/2-3 could have witnessed the eruption. Ashkelon Phase 11 has similar radiocarbon dates as Tell el-Dab^a Phases E/2, E/1 and D/3, all being significantly older than the Minoan eruption. It seems that the duration of Ashkelon Phase 10 includes the temporal occurrence of the Minoan Santorini eruption within the Second Intermediate Period.

KEYWORDS: Minoan Santorini eruption, ¹⁴C dating, archaeological dating, Egyptian history, Second Intermediate Period.

INTRODUCTION

The Minoan Santorini eruption, classified as “super-colossal” with a volcanic explosivity index (VEI) of 7, may have been the largest known volcanic eruption in the world during the Holocene (Johnston et al. 2014). It certainly was the largest eruption in the eastern Mediterranean region during this time period. The date for the latter eruption is controversial. Studies about cultural archaeological associations with Egypt are usually understood to suggest a link between the Minoan Eruption and the 18th Dynasty around 1500 BCE (Doumas 1983; Bietak 2003, 2013, 2015, 2016; MacGillivray 2009; Warren 2009; Wiener 2009). However, radiocarbon dates of organic materials related stratigraphically to the time of the eruption favor a calibrated age range in the second half of the 17th century BCE (Bronk Ramsey et al. 2004; Friedrich et al. 2006; Friedrich and Heinemeier 2009; Manning 2014; Manning et al. 2006, 2014; Bruins et al. 2008, 2009; Bruins 2010; Bruins and van der Plicht 2014).

The significance of the controversy is well expressed by Warren (2009:181): “Why is it important to fix the date of the Minoan eruption of Santorini? Essentially the answer is that in order to write the history of international relations of the later Middle and the Late Bronze Age in the eastern Mediterranean we need to establish whether, at the time of the Minoan eruption of Santorini, the Egypt which was linked to the Aegean, Cyprus and the Levantine region was that of late Dyn. XIII or earlier Second Intermediate Period on the one hand or that of the early New Kingdom (early Dyn. XVIII) on the other.”

One of the most important sites in Egypt in relation to the above controversy is Tell el-Dab^a, (Figure 1) excavated during many years by Bietak (1975, 2003, 2013, 2015, 2016). Seaborne pumice of the Minoan Santorini eruption has been found in phase C/2 in the Palace District ‘Ezbeḥ Helmi (Bietak 2003; Bichler et al. 2003; Bietak and Höflmayer 2007). Radiocarbon dates

*Corresponding author. Email: hjbruins@bgu.ac.il.

Figure 1 Location of the Santorini Volcano (Aegean Sea, Greece) and the archaeological sites of Tell el-Dab^a (Egypt) and Ashkelon (Israel), generated with Google Earth Pro ©.

on charcoal were not useful (Bruins 2007), but short-lived material (seeds) from Tell el-Dab^a gave important results, showing an offset of about 120 years between archaeo-historical ages and ¹⁴C dating (Kutschera et al. 2012). Concerning this enigma, Bruins (2010:1490) expressed a key question: “What is erroneous here—the ¹⁴C dates ... or the associations between the Tell el-Dab^a archaeological phases and dynastic history?” The rather comprehensive ¹⁴C dating investigations of Egyptian dynasties by Bronk Ramsey et al. (2010) and Dee (2013a, 2013b) do *not* show a systematic offset of 120 years between dynastic history and ¹⁴C dating. In fact, the ¹⁴C results *grosso modo* corroborate historical chronological compilations of ancient Egypt. Therefore, the problem seems to be the association between archaeological phases and political history. Indeed, alternative historical-archaeological associations or interpretations concerning Tell el-Dab^a have recently been developed by Höflmayer (2017, forthcoming), also in relation to new radiocarbon dates of other Middle Bronze Age sites in the Levant (Höflmayer et al. 2016a, 2016b).

A key archaeological site in southern Israel is Tel Ashkelon (Figure 1), where important excavations were conducted by Stager and his team since 1985 in the framework of the Leon Levy expedition (Stager et al. 2008). The Middle Bronze Age stratigraphic phases of Tell el-Dab^a and Ashkelon have been related to each other (Table 1) on the basis of ceramic studies (Bietak et al. 2008). This stratigraphic linkage between the two sites enables evaluation whether the radiocarbon dating offset found for Tell el-Dab^a (Bietak and Höflmayer 2007; Kutschera et al. 2012) is also present at Tel Ashkelon?

Entailed in the above question is the chrono-stratigraphic position at both sites of the Minoan Santorini eruption, according to ¹⁴C dating only (Bruins and van der Plicht 2003), which is the main focus in this preliminary study. The ¹⁴C dates presented and evaluated in this article include Ashkelon Phases 10 and 11, as well as the related Phases D/1 to E/2 of Tell el-Dab^a (Table 1). In addition, Phases C/2 and C/3 of Tell el-Dab^a are also considered in this study, because these immediately predate Phase D/1 in the stratigraphic archaeological sequence, while pumice from the Minoan Santorini eruption was found in Phase C/2 (Bietak 2003; Bichler et al. 2003). Using radiocarbon dating as the principal chronological tool is a legitimate methodological approach, carried out in countless archaeological and geological studies all over the world.

Table 1 Stratigraphic relationship between archaeological phases of Tell el-Dab^ca and Ashkelon, based on ceramics (Bietak et al. 2008). Also added are correlations with archaeological periods, Egyptian dynasties and archaeo-historical age assessments, partly based on Bietak, as published in Kutschera et al. (2012:Table 3).

Tell el-Dab ^c a phase	Correlation Egyptian dynasties	Archaeo-historical age BCE	Archaeo-logical period	Ashkelon phase
D/1	XVIII	1500–1530	MB II C	10
D/2	XVIII–XV	1530–1560	MB II C	10
D/3	XV	1560–1600	MB II B–C	10–11
E/1	XV	1600–1630	MB II B	11
E/2	XV–XIII	1630–1650	MB II B	11
E/3	XIII	1650–1680	MB II B	12
F	XIII	1680–1710	MB II A–B	12
G/1–3	XIII	1710–1750	MB II A	13, 13–14
G/4	XIII	1750–1780	MB II A	13–14, 14
H	XII	1780–1830	MB II A	14

¹⁴C DATES OF TELL EL-DAB^cA AND THE MINOAN SANTORINI ERUPTION

An important set of ¹⁴C dates of Tell el-Dab^ca, based on seeds, was published by Kutschera et al. (2012). We used their data to calculate the 1σ and 2σ calibrated age ranges with the newer IntCal13 calibration curve (Reimer et al. 2013), using OxCal 4.2. (Bronk Ramsey 2016), for the series of Phases from E/2 to C/2 (Table 2). We also added the calibrated median values, available in the OxCal program (Bronk Ramsey 2001, 2009, 2016) as a concise figure to represent the middle value of the calibrated age range (Table 2).

Based on short-lived organic material from the archaeological excavations at Akrotiri on Thera, the average uncalibrated ¹⁴C age for the Minoan Santorini eruption is 3350 ± 10 BP (Bronk Ramsey et al. 2004). Our investigations of tsunami layers at Palaikastro (Crete), caused by the Minoan Santorini eruption, yielded similar results on short-lived animal bones. Two ¹⁴C dates from a building destroyed by the tsunami gave a weighted average of 3350 ± 25 BP (Bruins et al. 2008). Three other ¹⁴C dates near Building 6, yielded a weighted average of 3352 ± 23 BP (Bruins et al. 2009). These average uncalibrated ages for the Minoan Santorini eruption from Thera and Crete are remarkably similar. Hence there is no volcanic reservoir effect on Thera, as explicated in more detail by Bruins and van der Plicht (2014). This conclusion has recently been confirmed by an independent investigation of modern vegetation on Thera by Fernandes et al. (2016).

Bayesian modeling by Manning et al. (2014) based on 25 radiocarbon measurements of short-lived samples from Akrotiri (Thera) resulted in a 2σ calibrated date of 1646–1603 BCE (95.4%) for the Minoan Santorini eruption. Concerning the famous olive tree branch from Thera, which has given a precise wiggle-matched calibrated date for the Santorini eruption (1627–1600 cal BCE), the uncalibrated ¹⁴C date for the outer rings 60–72 is 3331 ± 10 BP (Friedrich et al. 2006). Moreover, recalculation by Manning et al. (2014) with the more recent IntCal 13 calibration curve (Reimer et al. 2013) of the four dated olive branch segments from Thera (Friedrich et al. 2006), using sequence analysis *without* tree ring input yielded a very similar calibrated date of 1646–1609 BCE (93.5%), as compared to the above date of the 25 samples of Akrotiri (Manning et al. 2014). This time range for the Minoan Santorini

Table 2 Radiocarbon dates of Tell el-Dab^ca, based on Kutschera et al. (2012: Table 1a). Calibrated ages were calculated with OxCal 4.2 (Bronk Ramsey 2001, 2009, 2016), using the IntCal13 calibration curve (Reimer et al. 2013). The stratigraphic phases C/2 to E/2 are included and presented in relation to archaeo-historical age assessments, correlations with Egyptian Dynasties and archaeological periods, according to Bietak, as published in Kutschera et al. (2012: Table 3).

Tell el-Dab ^c a phase	¹⁴ C lab nr	¹⁴ C date BP	Calibrated age cal BCE	Median cal BCE	Archaeo-historical age BCE	Correlation Egyptian dynasties	Archaeological period
C/2	VERA-3031	3414 ± 35	1752–1662 (1σ) 1872–1625 (2σ)	1714	1410–1460	XVIII	LB I
C/2-3	*VERA-3724	3320 ± 29	1637–1534 (1σ) 1683–1521 (2σ)	1595	1410–1500	XVIII	LB I –MB II C
C/2-3	*OxA-15959	3296 ± 31	1614–1531 (1σ) 1643–1501 (2σ)	1572	1410–1500	XVIII	LB I –MB II C
C/2-3	*OxA-15957	3322 ± 31	1640–1534 (1σ) 1686–1521 (2σ)	1600	1410–1500	XVIII	LB I –MB II C
C/2-3	*W. Mean	3313 ± 17	1624–1546 (1σ) 1635–1529 (2σ)	1578	1410–1500	XVIII	LB I –MB II C
C/2-3	VERA-3725	3336 ± 29	1681–1561 (1σ) 1691–1529 (2σ)	1625	1410–1500	XVIII	LB I –MB II C
D/1	VERA-3032	3314 ± 36	1631–1531 (1σ) 1684–1507 (2σ)	1587	1500–1530	XVIII	MB II C
D/2	VERA-3616	3337 ± 44	1685–1545 (1σ) 1738–1511 (2σ)	1623	1530–1560	XVIII – XV	MB II C
D/2	VERA-2628	3359 ± 34	1691–1615 (1σ) 1743–1534 (2σ)	1652	1530–1560	XVIII – XV	MB II C
D/2	VERA-2627	3390 ± 34	1737–1641 (1σ) 1858–1612 (2σ)	1685	1530–1560	XVIII – XV	MB II C
D/2	VERA-3622	3394 ± 36	1741–1641 (1σ) 1867–1612 (2σ)	1694	1530–1560	XVIII – XV	MB II C
D/2	*VERA-3621	3354 ± 26	1683–1621 (1σ) 1738–1546 (2σ)	1648	1530–1560	XVIII – XV	MB II C
D/2	*OxA-15953	3392 ± 31	1738–1644 (1σ) 1756–1616 (2σ)	1690	1530–1560	XVIII – XV	MB II C

D/2	*OxA-15901	3479 ± 33	1877–1750 (1σ) 1890–1695 (2σ)	1806	1530–1560	XVIII – XV	MB II C
D/2	*W. Mean	3399 ± 37	1743–1644 (1σ) 1871–1613 (2σ)	1700	1530–1560	XVIII – XV	MB II C
D/3-D/2	VERA-3645	3351 ± 38	1691–1565 (1σ) 1741–1530 (2σ)	1639	1530–1600	XVIII – XV	MB II C –MB II B
D/3	VERA-3620	3377 ± 33	1731–1630 (1σ) 1751–1564 (2σ)	1671	1560–1600	XV	MB II C –MB II B
D/3	VERA-3033	3480 ± 28	1877–1752 (1σ) 1886–1699 (2σ)	1809	1560–1600	XV	MB II C –MB II B
E/1	*VERA-3618	3436 ± 35	1867–1687 (1σ) 1879–1658 (2σ)	1753	1600–1630	XV	MB II B
E/1	*OxA-15949	3437 ± 30	1860–1690 (1σ) 1878–1662 (2σ)	1752	1600–1630	XV	MB II B
E/1	*OxA-15948	3511 ± 32	1889–1773 (1σ) 1924–1747 (2σ)	1831	1600–1630	XV	MB II B
E/1	*W. Mean	3462 ± 25	1873–1700 (1σ) 1880–1694 (2σ)	1790	1600–1630	XV	MB II B
E/2	VERA-3637	3415 ± 26	1747–1685 (1σ) 1863–1638 (2σ)	1716	1630–1650	XV – XIII	MB II B

Figure 2 The IntCal13 calibration curve (Reimer et al. 2013) in OxCal (Bronk Ramsey 2016). Upon this time framework we show the graphic location of the 2σ calibrated ages of the Minoan Santorini eruption (Manning et al. 2014), of Tell el-Dab^a (TD) Phase D/2 and Phase C/2-3, based on dates by Kutschera et al. (2012), and of Ashkelon Phase 11 (Grid 2, Square 66) and Ashkelon Phase 10 (Grid 50, Square 49). The related uncalibrated radiocarbon dates BP are indicated along the Y-axis.

eruption, based on the above two data sets from Thera (Manning et al. 2014) is indicated on the calibration curve (Figure 2).

The ^{14}C results from Thera and Crete give an idea of the age of the Santorini eruption in both uncalibrated radiocarbon years BP and calibrated ages cal BCE. Concerning the chronostratigraphic position of the Minoan eruption at Tell el-Dab^a, we follow two lines of reasoning. First we compare apples (archaeological dates) with oranges (^{14}C dates). Archaeological age assessments by Bietak (2003, 2013, 2015) give an age of about 1590–1620 BCE for Tell el-Dab^a Phase E/1 and 1620–1650 for Phase E/2. Therefore, these two strata appear to have a rather similar time dimension as the calibrated sequenced ^{14}C ages for the Minoan Santorini eruption (Friedrich et al. 2006; Manning et al. 2014).

However, comparing oranges with oranges, the weighted mean ^{14}C age for Phase E/1 (3462 ± 25 BP) has a calibrated 1σ range of 1873–1700 cal BCE (Table 2), which is about 250 to 80 years older than the ^{14}C age for the Minoan eruption. Phase E/2 has only one ^{14}C date (3415 ± 26 BP), being somewhat younger, with a calibrated 1σ range of 1747–1685 (Table 2), about 120 to 60 years older than the eruption. Evidently, Phases E/2 and E/1 are significantly older than the Minoan eruption, according to ^{14}C dating.

Examining ^{14}C results of Tell el-Dab^a in Table 2, it is clear that Phases C/2-3 and D/1 have rather comparable or slightly younger ^{14}C dates as the Minoan Santorini eruption.

It is, therefore, striking that pumice from this eruption has been found in Phase C/2 (Bietak 2003), as highlighted also in other studies (Bruins 2010; Höflmayer 2017). The weighted mean of a number of samples from Phase C/2-3, 3313 ± 17 BP (Table 2, Kutschera et al. 2012), and the related calibrated 2σ age range, 1635–1529 cal BCE, have been placed on the IntCal13 calibration curve (Figure 2) for comparison with the ¹⁴C age of the Minoan Santorini eruption. There is indeed considerable overlap with the calibrated age range of the eruption, though part of the Phase C/2-3 age range is somewhat younger than the eruption.

Concerning Phase D/2, we have calculated the weighted average of all seven ¹⁴C dates (Table 2), resulting in a date of 3387 ± 12 BP. The calibrated 2σ age range is 1740–1637 cal BCE. These results are graphically placed in Figure 2, showing that Phase D/2 is somewhat older than the eruption, though the youngest part of its 2σ age range slightly overlaps with the age range for the eruption. Therefore, in chronostratigraphic terms, based on ¹⁴C dating, the Minoan Santorini eruption appears to have occurred, within the field stratigraphic sequence of Tell el-Dab^a, after Phase D/2 or in its youngest part, or in Phase D/1, or in the older part of Phase C/2-3 (Figure 2).

¹⁴C DATES OF ASHKELON IN RELATION TO TELL EL-DAB^a AND THE MINOAN SANTORINI ERUPTION

In this article we present a number of radiocarbon dates of Tel Ashkelon from Phases 10 and 11 (Table 3), in relation to the ¹⁴C date of the Minoan Santorini eruption. Since only two phases of Ashkelon are included in this study, we consider it premature to present here a sequence model. However, this will be done in another investigation, after additional ¹⁴C dates have been measured of Ashkelon. Then the Middle Bronze Age Phases 14, 13, 12, 11 and 10 (Table 1) will all be incorporated in a Bayesian sequence model.

Ashkelon Phase 10

The duration of Ashkelon Phase 10 is not clear. This phase is mainly associated with the last period of the Middle Bronze Age (MB II C or MB III in other classification systems). The matter is well expressed by the excavators, Voss and Stager (forthcoming), concerning the northern part of Tel Ashkelon: “The Phase 10 construction belongs to the last part of the Middle Bronze Age but its exact date and duration are difficult to determine on the basis of the material that is preserved. The centuries of erosion that followed, when the city’s fortifications were neglected during the Late Bronze Age and the first part of the Iron Age I, resulted in the loss of the hypothesized Phase 10 glacis, which we were not able to detect. The erosion of the rampart came to an end in the later part of the Iron Age I, after the arrival of the Philistines, when a new rampart was built on the North Slope of Ashkelon in Phase 9” (Voss and Stager, forthcoming). The above quotation makes it clear that a long time period exists between Phase 10 and Phase 9, as the former is considered primarily Middle Bronze Age IIC and the latter primarily Iron Age.

Concerning Tell el-Dab^a, Bietak (2003, 2015) places the transition between the Middle Bronze Age (MB) and the Late Bronze Age (LB) in the time range of about 1530 to 1480 BCE. A treatment of this issue is beyond the scope of the present article, but the above time boundary will be used in the evaluation of the ¹⁴C dates presented here from Ashkelon. Indeed the Ashkelon excavators place Phase 10, according to archaeological considerations, in the time period 1580–1480 BCE.

Table 3 Radiocarbon dates of Tel Ashkelon for stratigraphic phases 10, 11 and 12. Calibrated ages were calculated with OxCal 4.2 (Bronk Ramsey 2001, 2009, 2016), using the IntCal13 calibration curve (Reimer et al. 2013). Archaeo-historical age assessments are based on Bietak et al. (2008: Figure 9). Correlations with archaeological periods and Egyptian Dynasties are based on comments by Daniel Master (personal communication) and Ross Voss (personal communication), and partly on Bietak et al. (2008: Figure 9) and Bietak, as published in Kutschera et al. (2012: Table 3).

Ashkelon phase	Archaeological sample #	Stratigraphic context & dated material	¹⁴ C lab nr	δ ¹³ C (‰)	¹⁴ C date BP	Calibrated age cal BCE	Median cal BCE	Archaeo-historical age BCE	Archaeo-logical period	Correlation Egyptian dynasties
10	Grid 50, Sq 49, F 521, B 40	Stone-lined installation, charred olive pit	*GrA-40885	−23.89	3275 ± 35	1610–1509 (1σ) 1631–1455 (2σ)	1556	1580–1480	LB pottery	XVIII
10	Grid 50, Sq 49, F 521, B 40	Stone-lined installation, charred olive pit	*GrA-40886	−23.90	3225 ± 35	1527–1448 (1σ) 1610–1427 (2σ)	1499	1580–1480	LB pottery	XVIII
10	Grid 50, Sq 49, F 521, B 40	Stone-lined installation, charred olive pit	GrA-40889	−23.89	3355 ± 35	1691–1612 (1σ) 1741–1533 (2σ)	1645	1580–1480	LB pottery	XVIII
10	Grid 50, Sq 49, F521, B 40	Stone-lined installation, charred olive pit	*Weighted mean		3250 ± 25	1603–1464 (1σ) 1611–1453 (2σ)	1522	1580–1480	LB pottery	XVIII
10	Grid 2, Sq 101, L 139, B 175	Bin fill, sheep/goat	GrA-34459	−18.34	3310 ± 60	1658–1512 (1σ) 1741–1451 (2σ)	1593	1580–1480	Latest MB II C	XV–XVIII
11	Grid 2, Sq 101, L 146, B 228	Destruction debris; sheep, calcaneus	GrA-34267	−19.48	3390 ± 35	1737–1641 (1σ) 1862–1612 (2σ)	1688	1660–1580	MB II B	Early XV
11	Grid 2, Sq 66, L 178, B 8	Burial, charred grape pips	*GrA-40728	−26.31	3400 ± 30	1742–1660 (1σ) 1767–1623 (2σ)	1699	1660–1580	MB II B	Early XV
11	Grid 2, Sq 66, L178, B 8	Burial, charred grape pips	*GrA-40730	−24.65	3440 ± 30	1862–1691 (1σ) 1878–1664 (2σ)	1757	1660–1580	MB II B	Early XV
11	Grid 2, Sq 66, L178, B 8	Burial, charred grape pips	*GrA-40731	−23.73	3430 ± 30	1858–1686 (1σ) 1876–1643 (2σ)	1741	1660–1580	MB II B	Early XV
11	Grid 2, Sq 66, L178, B 8	Burial, charred grape pips	*Weighted mean		3425 ± 15	1746–1692 (1σ) 1770–1683 (2σ)	1722	1660–1580	MB II B	Early XV

Olive pits were found in a stone-lined installation (Table 3) in Grid 50, Square 49 (Feature 521, Basket 40), which was assigned by the excavators to Ashkelon Phase 10. This excavation area is situated in the southwestern part of Tel Ashkelon. The associated pottery has been related by the excavators to the Late Bronze Age. The three ¹⁴C dates from the olive pits (Table 3) show two results that are quite similar (GrA-40885, 3275 ± 35 BP and GrA-40886, 3225 ± 35 BP), well within 2σ standard deviations from each other. However, a third date (GrA-40889, 3355 ± 35 BP) is significantly older. Indeed, the weighted average of the three dates (3285 ± 20 BP) is not quite acceptable by the chi-square test ($T = 7.0$, 5% = 6.0). Removing the latter outlier, the weighted average of the two remaining dates is 3250 ± 25 BP, which is accepted by the chi-square test ($T = 1.0$, 5% = 3.8). The calibrated 2σ age range, using OxCal (Bronk Ramsey 2001) and the IntCal13 calibration curve (Reimer et al. 2013) is 1611–1453 cal BCE, while the median value is 1522 cal BCE (Table 3).

Comparing this result with the archaeological time period given to Ashkelon Phase 10 by the excavators, 1580–1480 BCE, it is clear that the calibrated ¹⁴C age range covers the former completely. Therefore, archaeological dating and radiocarbon dating appear to be quite similar for the Phase 10 stone-lined installation in Grid 50. Comparing the olive pit calibrated date with the Minoan Santorini eruption, it can be concluded that this part of Ashkelon Phase 10 is younger than the eruption (Figure 2), though there is very slight overlap in the 1611–1600 time range.

Regarding archaeological periodization, the related pottery of the stone-lined installation was classified as LB. Taking the MB-LB boundary time interval 1530 to 1480 BCE suggested by Bietak (2003, 2015), the above calibrated ¹⁴C date of Ashkelon Phase 10 can indeed be either MB or LB. Yet the relative MB time coverage of the ¹⁴C date (1611–1453 cal BCE) is larger than the respective LB coverage. Ashkelon Phase 10 has been stratigraphically related (Table 1) to Tell el-Dab^ca Phases D/1, D/2 and partly D/3 on the basis of ceramic comparison (Bietak et al. (2008)). The above ¹⁴C results of Ashkelon Phase 10 from Grid 50 show similarity with ¹⁴C dates of Tell el-Dab^ca Phase D/1 (Table 2). The ¹⁴C dates for D/2 and D/3 tend to be older (Table 2). Phases D/1, D/2 and D/3 are all considered to belong to MB IIC (Bietak 2003, 2015), i.e. the youngest part of the Middle Bronze Age.

Another sample of Ashkelon Phase 10 is derived from the northern part of the tell (Grid 2, Square 101). It is a bone (vertebra) of a mature sheep or goat found in a bin fill (Layer 139, Basket 175). The related pottery of this bin fill is classified by the excavators as a mixture of Middle Bronze Age and Iron I ceramics. Such a classification fits with the above quotation from Voss and Stager (forthcoming) that after Phase 10, attributed largely to MB IIC, the next Phase 9 is Iron Age. Though the archaeological context of the bone sample is not ideal, the ¹⁴C dating result (GrA-34459, 3310 ± 60 BP) is definitely not Iron Age, having a 2σ calibrated age range of 1741–1451 cal BCE, with a median value of 1593 cal BCE. The amount of collagen in the bone was not sufficient for a more precise date, as the standard deviation is 60 radiocarbon years. The calibrated age range is, as a result, very wide covering about 300 years, including mainly MB, a bit of LB, and also the ¹⁴C time range of the Minoan Santorini eruption.

Ashkelon Phase 11

The excavators have related Phase 11 to the MB II B archaeological period, associated in political-historical terms with the Early Fifteenth Dynasty (Early Hyksos Dynasty), dated to 1660–1580 BCE. The four ¹⁴C dates of Ashkelon Phase 11 are all very coherent. A calcaneus bone of a sheep from destruction debris in Grid 2 (Square 101, Layer 146, Basket 228) yielded a rather precise date (Table 3) of 3390 ± 35 BP (GrA-34267). The 1σ calibrated age range is

1737–1641 cal BCE and the 2σ age range is 1862–1612 cal BCE, while the median calibrated value is 1688 cal BCE. Also from Phase 11 in Grid 2 (Square 66, Layer 178, Basket 8) is a burial with charred grape pips. These seeds were measured by three separate AMS determinations, which gave very similar results (GrA-40728, 3400 ± 30 BP; GrA-40730, 3440 ± 30 BP; GrA-40731, 3430 ± 30 BP). Hence the weighted average of the three dates, 3425 ± 15 BP, can be regarded as an accurate and precise time measurement. The 2σ calibrated age range is 1770–1683 cal BCE, while the median value is 1722 cal BCE (Table 3).

Although the calcaneus bone date (GrA-34267) has minor overlap in its youngest part of the calibrated time range with the ^{14}C age of the Minoan Santorini eruption, the date of the grape pips is significantly older than the eruption. Therefore, it seems on the basis of these ^{14}C dates that Ashkelon Phase 11 predates the Minoan eruption (Figure 2). Concerning comparison with Tell el-Dab^ca, Phases D/3 (partly), E/1 and E/2 are related to Ashkelon Phase 11 on the basis of ceramics (Bietak et al. 2008). How do the ^{14}C dates of these interrelated phases of both archaeological sites correlate with each other? It is clear that the radiocarbon dates are indeed very similar (Tables 2 and 3)! Hence the ceramic association between these phases of Tell el-Dab^ca and Ashkelon is fully supported by their ^{14}C dates. It also proves that the time difference between archaeological dating and ^{14}C dating, established previously for Tell el-Dab^ca (Bietak and Höflmayer 2007; Kutschera et al. 2012), has now also been confirmed for Tel Ashkelon regarding Phase 11. The ^{14}C dates of both sites are about 100 years older than the archaeological age assessments for these phases.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The principal results of our investigation are displayed graphically in Figure 2. We used ^{14}C dating as the basic methodology to assess the time position of the Minoan Santorini eruption within the archaeological stratigraphy of Tell el-Dab^ca and Tel Ashkelon. The ^{14}C dates of various phases at Tell el-Dab^ca show that Phase D/2 is for most of its 2σ calibrated age range (weighted average 1740–1637 cal BCE, based on Kutschera et al. 2012) older than the volcanic eruption. Only the youngest edge of the age range of Phase D/2 just touches the eruption date, 1646–1603 cal BCE. The latter 2σ calibrated date for the Minoan eruption (Manning et al. 2014) is based on multiple short-lived samples from the archaeological excavations at Akrotiri.

Tell el-Dab^ca Phase D/1 is stratigraphically younger than Phase D/2 (Bietak, 2003, 2015). There is only one ^{14}C date available for Phase D/1 (Kutschera et al. 2012), which has a wide 2σ calibrated age range of 1684–1507 (Table 2) that also overlaps fully with the above 2σ calibrated date for the Minoan eruption.

The subsequent Phase C/2-3, stratigraphically younger than Phase D/1 (Bietak, 2003, 2015), has a number of ^{14}C dates (Kutschera et al. 2012). The weighted average of three dates (Table 2) gives a 2σ calibrated age of 1635–1529 cal BCE. This result overlaps in its older part with the volcanic eruption (Figure 2), although the central and younger part of the range is somewhat younger, as indicated also by the median calibrated value, 1578 cal BCE (Table 2).

Concerning Ashkelon, Phase 11 (Grid 2, Square 66), having a 2σ calibrated age of 1770–1683 cal BCE, is clearly older than the Minoan Santorini eruption. This Ashkelon phase has been associated by Bietak et al. (2008) on the basis of ceramics with Tell el-Dab^ca Phases D/3, E/1 and E/2. The latter phases have similar ^{14}C dates as Ashkelon Phase 11, which independently confirm the validity of the above ceramic correlation. However, the ^{14}C dates of these phases are about 100 years older than their archaeological age assessment. Ashkelon Phase 11,

therefore, shows the same age offset as previously found for Tell el-Dab^a (Bietak and Höflmayer 2007; Kutschera et al. 2012).

Ashkelon Phase 10 (Grid 50, Square 49) has a 2 σ calibrated age of 1611–1453 cal BCE. Hence it is younger than the Minoan eruption, though the oldest part of the range slightly overlaps with the youngest part of the calibrated age for the eruption (1646–1603 cal BCE, Manning et al. 2014). Since Ashkelon Phase 11 is clearly older than the eruption, it might well be that the Minoan eruption occurred during Phase 10. However, more ¹⁴C dates of this phase are required to substantiate this preliminary conclusion.

Since radiocarbon dating is not at odds with the investigated parts of Egyptian Dynastic history (Bronk Ramsey et al. 2010; Dee 2013a, 2013b), the crux of the problem, in our opinion, may be in the correlation between archaeological strata and political history during parts of the 2nd millennium BCE. Indeed, cultural change does not necessarily follow historical change in a coeval manner, as pointed out by Marée (2010) with respect to the Second Intermediate Period. The latter period is missing in the investigation by Bronk Ramsey et al. (2010), for obvious reasons. Ryholt (1997:1) succinctly summed up the problematic nature of this historical period in ancient Egypt: “The Second Intermediate Period, covering the time span between the Twelfth and the Eighteenth Dynasties (c. 1800–1550 B.C.) ... is an epoch on which research is still in its pioneer stages. It is not entirely clear how many kingdoms existed during the period, and those that are known are poorly defined insofar as both their territorial and chronological extent remains uncertain.” Indeed, King Khyan for example, linked with the archaeological stratigraphy of Tell el-Dab^a (Bietak 2003, 2013, 2015), has been placed in various historical chronological positions within the Second Intermediate Period (Ward 1984; Ryholt 1997; Moeller and Morouard 2011). A new study by Höflmayer (forthcoming) gives additional chronological options concerning Khyan that may have considerable effect on archaeo-historical dating. Radiocarbon dating places the Minoan Santorini eruption in the Second Intermediate Period (Bruins 2010).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Lawrence E. Stager of Harvard University, who has directed the Leon Levy expedition to Ashkelon since 1985, for allowing us to select organic samples for ¹⁴C dating. We sincerely thank Daniel M. Master, director of the field excavations since 2007, for checking the stratigraphic positions of the samples. Concerning the Middle Bronze Age, we are thankful to Ross Voss, who excavated at Ashkelon during many years since 1985, for evaluating and discussing stratigraphic aspects, also in relation to Tell el-Dab^a (Egypt). Last but not least, we express our gratitude to the technical staff at the Center for Isotope Research (University of Groningen) for pretreatment and AMS dating of the organic samples from Ashkelon.

REFERENCES

- Bichler M, Exler M, Peltz C, Saminger S. 2003. Thera ashes. In: Bietak M, editor. *The Synchronisation of Civilisations in the Eastern Mediterranean in the Second Millennium B.C. – II*. Austrian Academy of Sciences, Vienna, pp. 11–21.
- Bietak M. 1975. Tell el-Dab^a II. Der Fundort im Rahmen einer archäologisch-geographischen Untersuchung über das ägyptische Ostdelta. Untersuchungen der Zweigstelle Kairo des Österreichischen Archäologischen Instituts, Bd. II. Denkschriften der Gesamtakademie der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften Bd. IV. Vienna.
- Bietak M. 2003. Science versus archaeology: problems and consequences of High Aegean Chronology. In: Bietak M, editor. *The Synchronisation of Civilisations in the Eastern Mediterranean in the Second Millennium B.C. – II*. Austrian Academy of Sciences, Vienna, pp. 23–33.
- Bietak M. 2013. Antagonisms in historical and radiocarbon chronology. In: Shortland AJ, Bronk Ramsey C, editors. *Radiocarbon and the*

- Chronologies of Ancient Egypt*. Oxbow Books, Oxford, 76–109.
- Bietak M. 2015. Recent Discussions about the Chronology of the Middle and the Late Bronze Ages in the Eastern Mediterranean: Part I. *Bibliotheca Orientalis* 72(3-4):317–35.
- Bietak M. 2016. Review of A Test of Time and A Test of Time Revisited: The Volcano of Thera and the Chronology and History of the Aegean and East Mediterranean in the mid-second Millennium BC. 2nd Edition, by Sturt W. Manning. *Bryn Mawr Classical Review* 518(2016.04.06). <http://bmcr.brynmawr.edu/2016/2016-04-06.html>.
- Bietak M, Höflmayer F. 2007. Introduction: high and low chronology. In: Bietak M, Czerny E, editors. *The Synchronisation of Civilisations in the Eastern Mediterranean in the Second Millennium B.C. – III*. Austrian Academy of Sciences, Vienna, pp. 13–23.
- Bietak M, Kopetzky K, Stager LE, Voss R. 2008. Synchronisation of Stratigraphies: Ashkelon and Tell el-Dab^a. *Egypt and the Levant* 18:49–60.
- Bronk Ramsey C. 2001. Development of the radiocarbon calibration program OxCal. *Radiocarbon* 43(2A):355–63.
- Bronk Ramsey C. 2009. Bayesian analysis of radiocarbon dates. *Radiocarbon* 51(1):337–60.
- Bronk Ramsey C. 2016. OxCal 4.2. <https://c14.arch.ox.ac.uk/oxcal.html>
- Bronk Ramsey C, Dee MW, Rowland JM, Higham TFG, Harris SA, Brock F, Quiles A, Wild EM, Marcus ES, Shortland AJ. 2010. Radiocarbon-based chronology for Dynastic Egypt. *Science* 328:1554–7.
- Bronk Ramsey C, Manning SW, Galimberti M. 2004. Dating the volcanic eruption at Thera. *Radiocarbon* 46:325–44.
- Bruins HJ. 2007. Charcoal radiocarbon dates of Tell el-Dab^a. In: Bietak M, Czerny E, editors. *The Synchronisation of Civilisations in the Eastern Mediterranean in the Second Millennium B.C. – III*. Austrian Academy of Sciences, Vienna, pp. 65–77.
- Bruins HJ. 2010. Dating Pharaonic Egypt. *Science* 328(5985):1489–90.
- Bruins HJ, MacGillivray JA, Synolakis CE, Benjamin C, Keller J, Kisch HJ, Klügel A, van der Plicht J. 2008. Geoarchaeological tsunami deposits at Palaikastro (Crete) and the Late Minoan IA eruption of Santorini. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 35:191–212.
- Bruins HJ, van der Plicht J. 2003. Assorting and synchronising archaeological and geological strata with radiocarbon: the Southern Levant in relation to Egypt and Thera. In: Bietak M, editor. *The Synchronisation of Civilisations in the Eastern Mediterranean in the 2nd millennium BC - II*. Austrian Academy of Sciences, Vienna, pp. 35–42.
- Bruins HJ, van der Plicht J. 2014. The Thera olive branch, Akrotiri (Thera) and Palaikastro (Crete): comparing radiocarbon results of the Santorini eruption. *Antiquity* 88:282–7.
- Bruins HJ, van der Plicht J, MacGillivray JA. 2009. The Minoan Santorini eruption and tsunami deposits in Crete (Palaikastro): Geological, archaeological, ¹⁴C dating and Egyptian chronology. *Radiocarbon* 51(2):397–411.
- Dee MW. 2013a. A radiocarbon-based chronology for the New Kingdom. In: Shortland AJ, Bronk Ramsey C, editors. *Radiocarbon and the Chronologies of Ancient Egypt*. Oxford: Oxbow Books. p 65–75.
- Dee MW. 2013b. A radiocarbon-based chronology for the Middle Kingdom. In: Shortland AJ, Bronk Ramsey C, editors. *Radiocarbon and the Chronologies of Ancient Egypt*. Oxford: Oxbow Books. p 174–81.
- Dee MW, Rowland JM, Higham TFG, Shortland AJ, Brock R, Harris SA, Bronk Ramsey C. 2012. Synchronising radiocarbon dating and the Egyptian historical chronology by improved sample selection. *Antiquity* 86: 868–83.
- Fernandes R, Dreves A, Klontza-Jaklova V, Cook G. 2016. Investigating potential radiocarbon offsets in Mediterranean plants: implications for the dating of the Thera eruption. Poster presented at the Edinburgh Conference on ¹⁴C & Archaeology.
- Friedrich WL, Heinemeier J. 2009. The Minoan eruption of Santorini radiocarbon dated to 1613 ± 13 BC. In: Warburton DA, editor. *Time's Up! Dating the Minoan eruption of Santorini*. Monographs of the Danish Institute at Athens, Volume 10, p 56–63.
- Friedrich WL, Kromer B, Friedrich M, Heinemeier J, Pfeiffer T, Talamo S. 2006. Santorini eruption radiocarbon dated to 1627–1600 B.C. *Science* 312:548.
- Höflmayer F. 2015. Carbone-14 Comparé: Middle Bronze Age I (IIA) Chronology, Tell el-Dab^a and Radiocarbon Data. In: Mynářová J, Onderka P, Pavúk P, editors. *There and Back Again - the Crossroads II*. Prague: Charles University. p 265–95.
- Höflmayer F. 2017. A radiocarbon chronology for the Middle Bronze Age Southern Levant. *Journal of Ancient Egyptian Interconnections* 13:20–33.
- Höflmayer F. forthcoming. An early date for Khayan and its implications for Eastern Mediterranean chronologies. In: Forstner-Müller I, Moeller N, editors. *The Hyksos Ruler Khayan and the Early Second Intermediate Period in Egypt: Problems and Priorities of Current Research*. Wien: Ergänzungsheft zu den Jahreshften des Österreichischen Archäologischen Institutes in Wien, 16.
- Höflmayer F, Kamlah J, Sader H, Dee MW, Kutschera W, Wild EM, Riehl S. 2016a. New evidence for Middle Bronze Age chronology and synchronisms in the Levant: Radiocarbon dates from Tell el-Burak, Tell el-Dab^a, and Tel Ifshar compared. *Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research (BASOR)* 375:53–76.
- Höflmayer F, Yasur-Landau A, Cline EH, Dee MW, Lorentzen B, Riehl S. 2016b. New radiocarbon dates from Tel Kabri support a high Middle Bronze Age chronology. *Radiocarbon* 58(3):599–613.

- Johnston EN, Sparks RSJ, Phillips JC, Carey S. 2014. Revised estimates for the volume of the Late Bronze Age Minoan eruption, Santorini, Greece. *Journal of the Geological Society, London* 171:583–90.
- Kutschera W, Bietak M, Wild EM, Bronk Ramsey C, Dee M, Golser R, Kopetzky K, Stadler P, Steirer P, Thanheiser U, Weninger F. 2012. The chronology of Tell el-Dab^a: a crucial meeting point of ^{14}C dating, archaeology and Egyptology in the 2nd millennium BC. *Radiocarbon* 54:407–22.
- MacGillivray JA. 2009. Thera, Hatsheput, and the Keftiu: Crisis and response in Egypt and the Aegean in the mid-second millennium BC. In: Warburton DA, editor. *Time's Up! Dating the Minoan eruption of Santorini*. Monographs of the Danish Institute at Athens. Volume 10, p 155–70.
- Manning SW. 2014. *A Test of Time and A Test of Time Revisited: The Volcano of Thera and the Chronology and History of the Aegean and East Mediterranean in the mid Second Millennium BC* (2nd edition) Oxford: Oxbow.
- Manning SW, Bronk Ramsay C, Kutschera W, Higham T, Kromer B, Steirer P, Wild EM. 2006. Chronology for the Aegean Late Bronze Age 1700–1400 B.C. *Science* 312:565–9.
- Manning SW, Höflmayer F, Moeller N, Dee MW, Bronk Ramsey C, Fleitmann D, Higham TFG, Kutschera W, Wild EM. 2014. Dating the Thera (Santorini) eruption: coherent archaeological and scientific evidence supporting a high chronology. *Antiquity* 88:1164–79.
- Marée M, editor. 2010. *The Second Intermediate Period (Thirteenth-Seventeenth Dynasties): Current Research, Future Prospects*. Leuven/Louvain: Peeters Publishers.
- Moeller N, Morouard G. 2011. Discussion of late Middle Kingdom and early Second Intermediate Period history and chronology in relation to the Khayan sealings from Tell Edfu. *Egypt and the Levant* 21:87–121.
- Reimer PJ, Bard E, Bayliss A, Beck JW, Blackwell PG, Bronk Ramsey C, Buck CE, Cheng H, Edwards RL, Friedrich M, Grootes PM, Guilderson TP, Haffidason H, Hajdas I, Hatté C, Heaton TJ, Hoffmann DL, Hogg AG, Hughen KA, Kaiser KF, Kromer B, Manning SW, Niu M, Reimer RW, Richards DA, Scott EM, Southon JR, Staff RA, Turney CSM, van der Plicht J. 2013. IntCal13 and Marine13 radiocarbon age calibration curves 0–50,000 years cal BP. *Radiocarbon* 55(4): 1869–87.
- Ryholt KSB. 1997. *The Political Situation in Egypt during the Second Intermediate Period, c. 1800–1550 B.C.* Copenhagen: Museum Tusculanum Press.
- Stager LE, Schloen JD, Master DM, editors. 2008. *Ashkelon 1: Introduction and Overview (1985–2006)*. Winona Lake IN: Eisenbrauns.
- Stager LE. forthcoming. Introduction: Ashkelon in the Middle Bronze Age. In: *Ashkelon 6*. Winona Lake IN: Eisenbrauns. p 3–23.
- Voss RJ, Stager LE. forthcoming. The North Tell of Ashkelon in the Bronze and Iron Ages. In: *Ashkelon 6*. Winona Lake IN: Eisenbrauns. p 24–103.
- Ward WA. 1984. Royal-Name Scarabs. In: Tufnell O. *Scarab Seals and Their Contribution to History in the Early Second Millennium B.C. Studies on Scarab Seals 2*. Warminster: Aris & Phillips. p 151–92.
- Warren P. 2009. The date of the Late Bronze Age eruption of Santorini. In: Warburton DA, editor. *Time's Up! Dating the Minoan Eruption of Santorini*. Monographs of the Danish Institute at Athens, Volume 10, p 181–6.
- Wiener MH. 2009. The state of the debate about the date of the Thera eruption. In Warburton DA, editor. *Time's Up! Dating the Minoan Eruption of Santorini*. Monographs of the Danish Institute at Athens, Volume 10, p 197–206.