Mitochondrial dysfunction mediates neuronal cell response to DMMB photodynamic therapy
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ABSTRACT
Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is a process in which a photosensitizer (PS) is exposed to specific wavelengths to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) which act within nanometers. The low invasive nature and directed cytotoxicity of this approach render it attractive to the treatment of different conditions, including the ones that affect the central nervous system (CNS). The effect of PDT on healthy neurons is one main concern over its use in the CNS, since neuronal-like cells were shown to be particularly sensitive to certain PSs. Among available PSs, 1,9-dimethyl-methylene blue (DMMB) stands out as being resistant to reduction to its inactive leuco form and by being able to produce high levels of singlet-oxygen. In this study, we aimed to investigate DMMB photodamage mechanisms in the hippocampal cell line HT22. Our results demonstrate that DMMB-PDT decrease in cell viability was linked with an increase in cell death and overall ROS production. Besides, it resulted in a significant increase in mitochondrial ROS production and decreased mitochondria membrane potential. Furthermore, DMMB-PDT significantly increased the presence of acidic autolysosomes, which was accompanied by an increase in ATG1 and ATG8 homologue GaBarap1 expression, and decreased DRAM1 expression. Taken together our results indicated that mitochondrial and autophagic dysfunction underlie DMMB-PDT cytotoxicity in neuronal cells.

1. Introduction
Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is a promising approach for cancer therapy in which a photosensitizer (PS) is exposed to specific wavelengths to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) [1,2]. The resulting oxidant species damage biomolecules within nanometers range of the PS, making it possible to target specific subcellular compartments, depending on its affinity [3,4]. The low invasive nature and directed...
cytotoxicity of the system renders it attractive to the treatment of different conditions, ranging from macular degeneration to infections and tumors [5–7]. In the central nervous system (CNS), despite its challenging access routes, PDT has been applied to tumor treatment and fluorescence-guided surgical resection with beneficial results [8,9]. Nonetheless, attention must be given to PDT potential off-target effects on neurons, which have been shown to be sensitive and prone to cell death by different activated PS, such as porphinor sodium, Deuteroporphyrin IX and Hematoporphyrin derivatives, while being less sensitive to others, such as meta-tetrahydroxyphenyl chlorin [7,10–12].

Among the available PSs, the phenoanthrization chromophore methylene blue (MB) stands out by efficiently reaching the CNS by crossing the blood brain barrier [13,14]. In fact, without light activation, MB has neuroprotective properties. The MB phenothiazinium salt acts as an electron carrier that bypasses complex I/III blockage, transferring electrons from NADH to reduce cytochrome c. As a result, electron leakage and ROS production decrease while adenosine triphosphate (ATP) increases [15]. Moreover, MB was reported to reverse the Warburg effect and inhibit proliferation in glioblastoma cells by increasing O2 consumption and reducing lactate formation [16]. However, high doses are required for MB activity, leading to off target effects [17]. Following red light activation, MB is an efficient cytotoxic agent in vitro, acting through the increase of overall ROS production [4,18].

Interestingly, as an illustration of MB potential on neurodegenerative diseases, activated MB has been shown to induce the dissociation of Aβ42 aggregates through their oxidation in a Drosophila model [19]. Taken together, these data illustrate the potential of MB mediated-PDT for neuropathology treatment and foster the search of MB derivatives with optimized activity for various clinical applications.

Among them, MB’s methylated derivative 1,9-dimethyl-methylene blue (DMMB) stands out as more resistant to reduction to its inactive leuco form, and by being able to produce higher levels of singlet-oxygen when compared to MB [4,20]. Nevertheless, our group recently showed that DMMB, but not MB, induces mitochondrial damage and impaired mitophagy in keratinocytes [4]. DMMB’s higher phototoxicity might suggest that DMMB could be a more efficient PS when compared to MB, especially when considering its use in the CNS, since neurons are heavily dependent on mitochondrial metabolism [21].

In light of the potential DMMB applicability on neurodegenerative diseases and CNS tumors, here we investigated DMMB-PDT photo-damage mechanisms in the hippocampal cell line HT22 to better assess its applicability to the CNS.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell culture

Primary cortical neurons were prepared from embryonic (E13–14) C57BL/6 mice (mixed gender) under sterile conditions. The cortices from embryos were collected and treated with 0.2 mg/mL trypsin at 37 °C for 15 min. After DNase and trypsin inhibitor treatment, the cell pellet was obtained through centrifugation. Neurobasal medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Landsmeer, The Netherlands), 1 % fetal bovine serum (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Eindhoven, the Netherlands), and 100 U/mL penicillin-streptomycin. Cultures were resuspended in the culture medium and all cells were collected, stained and incubated with Annexin V-FITC and PI according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Fluorescence was measured at 518 nm for FITC staining, and 617 nm for PI staining in the CytoFLEX benchtop flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter Life Sciences, Indianapolis, US). Minimum of 3 independent experiments were performed, and within an experiment 3 × 104 events were recorded for each technical replicate (at least three). Analysis was performed using FlowJo v9.0 (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, US).

2.2. DMMB-mediated photosensitization protocol

HT22 cells, 2.104 cells/cm2, were incubated with 0, 10, 25, or 50 nM DMMB (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) for 6 h at 37 °C and 5 % CO2. Next, the medium was replaced by phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and the cells were irradiated with a LED (BioLambda, São Paulo, BR) at 660 nm emission wavelength for 20 min, 10 J/cm2. After irradiation, PBS was replaced by culture medium and the cells were maintained at 37 °C and 5 % CO2 for additional 18 h. Control plates with DMMB were handled similarly and kept in the dark, instead of being irradiated.

2.3. Cytotoxicity assay

After the photosensitization protocol, cell viability was measured through 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT; Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) reduction assay in a 96 well plate. Cells were incubated with 0.5 mg/mL MTT for 1 h at 37 °C and 5 % CO2. The MTT solution was then removed, and 100 μL dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) was added to each well. Cells were incubated for 1 h under mild shaking at 37 °C. The absorbance of each well was determined at 570 nm with reference background absorbance at 630 nm using a Synergy H1 Multi-Mode reader (Biotek, Winooski, US). Absorption values were normalized to the average of the untreated control and converted into percentages.

2.4. Cell death measurement

After the photosensitization protocol, i.e. 18 h after irradiation, the percentage of cell death was determined by flow cytometry with the Annexin V-FITC and propidium iodide (PI) double staining kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Landsmeer, The Netherlands) [22]. Conditioned media and all cells were collected, stained and incubated with Annexin V-FITC and PI according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Fluorescence was measured at 518 nm for FITC staining, and 617 nm for PI staining in the CytoFLEX benchtop flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter Life Sciences, Indianapolis, US). Minimum of 3 independent experiments were performed, and within an experiment 3 × 104 events were recorded for each technical replicate (at least three). Analysis was performed using FlowJo v9.0 (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, US).

2.5. Intracellular ROS measurement

After the photosensitization protocol, i.e. 18 h after irradiation, intracellular ROS was determined through staining with CM-H2DCFDA (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Landsmeer, The Netherlands). Conditioned media, washes and trypsin detached cells were collected, stained with 4 μM CM-H2DCFDA and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C. Fluorescence was excited at 492/5 nm and measured at 517/10 nm in the CytoFLEX benchtop flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter Life Sciences, Indianapolis, US). Minimum of 3 independent experiments were performed, and within an experiment 3 × 104 events were recorded for each technical replicate (at least three). Analysis was performed using FlowJo v9.0 (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, US).

2.6. Mitochondrial ROS measurement

Mitochondrial ROS after the photosensitization protocol, i.e. 18 h after irradiation, were measured by MitoSOX dye (Invitrogen, Oregon, US) staining [23]. Cells were incubated with 1.25 μM MitoSOX for 30 min at 37 °C. Next, cells were collected and fluorescence was excited at
488 nm and measured at 690/50 nm in the CytoFLEX benchtop flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter Life Sciences, Indianapolis, US). Minimum of 3 independent experiments were performed, and within an experiment $3 \times 10^4$ events were recorded for each technical replicate (at least three). Analysis was performed using FlowJo v9.0 (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, US).

2.7. Mitochondrial membrane potential measurement

After the photosensitization protocol, i.e. 18 h after irradiation, loss of mitochondrial membrane potential ($\Delta \Psi m$) was measured by flow cytometry upon staining with tetramethylrhodamine-ethyl ester dye (TMRE; Invitrogen, Oregon, US) [24]. Conditioned media, washes and trypsin detached cells were collected and stained for 20 min with 0.2 μM TMRE at 37°C. Fluorescence was measured at 690/50 nm in the CytoFLEX benchtop flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter Life Sciences). Minimum of 3 independent experiments were performed, and within an experiment $3 \times 10^4$ events were recorded for each technical replicate (at least three). Analysis was performed using FlowJo v9.0 (Becton, Dickinson and Company).

2.8. Lipid peroxidation assessment

Lipid peroxidation after the photosensitization protocol i.e. 18 h after irradiation, was measured with the lipid peroxidation sensor BODIPY 581/591 C11 (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany). Conditioned media, washes and trypsin detached cells were collected and incubated with 2 μM staining BODIPY dye for 60 min at 37°C. Fluorescence was excited at 500–650 nm and measured at 510–665 nm in the CytoFLEX benchtop flow cytometer. Minimum of 3 independent experiments were performed, and within an experiment $3 \times 10^4$ events were recorded for each technical replicate (at least three). Analysis was performed using FlowJo v9.0 (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Indianapolis, US).

2.9. Autophagic flux assessment

Prior to the photosensitization protocol, i.e. 18 h after irradiation, HT-22 cells, at a density of 2.10 × 10^4 cells/cm^2, were transfected either with the mCherry-GFP-LC3B tandem vector or its control mCherry-GFP-LC3B G120A (pDEST-CMV mCherry-GFP-LC3B WT, Addgene plasmid # 122320; pDEST-CMV mCherry-GFP-LC3B G120A, Addgene plasmid # 122325, Addgene, Watertown, US). Both vectors were generated and made available by Robin Ketteler [25]. Transfection was performed with Attractene transfection reagent (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Upon transfection with the mCherry-GFP-LC3B tandem vector, autophagosomes present both mCherry and GFP signals, while autolysosomes display only mCherry due to GFP sensibility to lower pH. For quantification, fluorescence was excited at 540–590 nm and 400–430 nm and measured at 550–650 nm and 508/520 nm for in the Guava easyCyte flow cytometer (Lumineox/Diasorin, Austin, US). Minimum of 3 independent experiments were performed, and within an experiment $3 \times 10^4$ events were recorded for each technical replicate (at least three), minimum of 3 analysis was performed using FlowJo v9.0 (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Indianapolis, US).

2.10. mRNA expression profile

Autophagy related gene expression after photosensitization, i.e., 18 h after irradiation, was measured by qRT-PCR. RNA extraction was performed by organic extraction using the TRIzol reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Next, 0.33 μg of total RNA was used for cDNA synthesis using the reverse transcription system (Promega, Madison, US) according to the manufacturer's instructions. All qRT-PCR reactions were performed using the FastStart Universal SYBR Green Master (Sigma-Aldrich) protocol in the Illumina Eco Real-Time PCR System (Illumina, San Diego, US). The expression of ATG1 (forward primer: CTG TGC AAA TGG TAC AAT CAG, reverse primer: GGG CTT TGT GAT ATC TCGG), GabaRap1 (forward primer: GGA CGC CTT ATT CTT CTT TGT C; reverse primer: TCG TGG TTG TCC TCA TAC AG), and DRAF1 (forward primer: ATC ATC TCC TAC GTG GTC G; reverse primer TGT TCC TGT GTC ACT GGT C) were normalized by the expression of the housekeeping genes RPL13A (forward primer: AGA AGC AGA TCT TGA GGT TAC GG; reverse primer: GTT CAC ACC AGG AGT CCG TT) and B2M (forward primer: ACC GTC TAC TGG GAT CGA GA; reverse primer: TGC TAT TTC TTT CTG CGT GCA T), according to [26].

2.11. Statistical analysis

Statistical significance was evaluated using the unpaired ANOVA followed by Tukey's post-hoc test for multiple comparisons using GraphPad Prism software v.8 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Data is expressed as mean ± SD. P values indicating statistical significance differences between mean values are represented as follows: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001.

3. Results

3.1. DMMB photosensitization mediates cell death in mouse hippocampal cell line

To address neuronal cell susceptibility to DMMB-PDT, HT22 cells were exposed to DMMB in a concentration range of 10 to 50 nM for 6 h prior to photoexcitation and the metabolic state of the cells was analyzed by an MTT assay. HT22 cells represent a well-established model to study neuronal toxicity in vitro [27]. After irradiation, cells were maintained in DMMB-free medium for 18 h (Fig. 1a). The evaluation of cell metabolism after the photosensitization protocol by the MTT assays revealed that activated DMMB significantly decreases the reduction of the tetrazolium salt (MTT) to formazan crystals by metabolically active HT22 cells. HT22 cell displays an IC50 of 22 ± 5 nM (Fig. 1a). To further evaluate the effects of DMMB on mouse primary cortical neurons, we have performed similar photosensitization experiments and observed that DMMB also reduced cellular metabolic activity (IC50 of 47 ± 7 nM) (Fig. 1b). This reduction is comparable to the glioblastoma cells U87 MG, IC50 of 26 ± 4 nM, and U251, IC50 of 37 ± 2 nM (Supplementary fig. 1). It is important to notice that there was no cytotoxicity upon application of the DMMB without photosensitization at any tested cells (HT22 cells, U87 MG cells, U251 cells or primary cortical neurons), when the cells were kept in the dark at room temperature (Fig. 1, Supplementary fig. 1).

To further characterize the effects of DMMB-PDT-treated cells on cell death pathways, HT22 cells were analyzed with Annexin V and PI co-staining (Fig. 2a). The Annexin V+/PI+ population was shown to be significantly increased at a concentration of 50 nM DMMB submitted to photoexcitation (termed as activated DMMB) (control: 10 ± 5 %, DMMB 50 nM: 66 ± 20 %, n = 3, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test, **p < 0.01 compared to untreated control), indicating that not only the reduction of the metabolic rate, as detected by an MTT assay, but also the nuclear damage was induced by the DMMB-PDT challenge.

To further investigate the cell death pathways and whether ROS production occurs during DMMB-induced cell death in neuronal cells, we measured the formation of cellular ROS and lipid peroxidation in cells challenged with DMMB in the presence and absence of the photosensitization 18 h after irradiation. Concomitantly with the previously demonstrated cell death, there was dose-dependent increase in overall oxidative species (Fig. 2b), as detected by the oxidation of bis-Chlorodihydrofluorescein (DCFH2) to the fluorescent dichloro-fluorescein (DCF) (control: 14 ± 3 %, DMMB 25 nM: 54 ± 7 %, n = 3, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test, *p < 0.05 compared to untreated control; DMMB 50 nM: 62 ± 20 %, n = 3, one-way ANOVA...
3.2. DMMB photoinduced mitochondrial damage

Previous findings reported that DMMB-PDT could lead to mitochondrial damage in keratinocytes and melanoma cells [4]. Considering the importance of mitochondrial metabolism, especially for neurons and neuronal-like cells, we assessed the integrity of this organelle by investigating the function of mitochondria following DMMB-PDT challenge.

To evaluate mitochondrial status in response to activated DMMB, we focused on the mitochondrial superoxide production and mitochondrial membrane potential 18 h after irradiation. The oxidation of the fluorescent dye Mitosox, an indicator of mitochondrial superoxide levels, was measured by flow cytometry (Fig. 4a). Exposure to activated DMMB induced a dose-dependent significant increase in mitochondrial superoxide production (control: 10 ± 4 %, DMMB 25 nM: 38 ± 9 %, n = 3, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test, **p < 0.01 compared to untreated control; DMMB 50 nM: 50 ± 3 %, n = 3, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test, ***p < 0.001 compared to untreated control). Next, we measured the uptake of the cationic fluorescent dye TMRE by active mitochondria to assess mitochondrial membrane potential (ΔΨm) (Fig. 4b). DMMB photoexcitation led to a significant decrease in ΔΨm in a dose-dependent fashion (control: 28 ± 2 %, DMMB 50 nM: 51 ± 7 %, n = 3, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test, *p < 0.01 compared to untreated control). Our data on ΔΨm are inversely correlated with the measurements of mitochondrial superoxide levels and mitochondrial fragmentation in the presence of DMMB-induced PDT and neuronal cell death, suggesting increased ROS production as a result of damaged mitochondria.

3.3. DMMB photoinduced autophagy flux impairment

We have previously demonstrated that activated DMMB impairs autophagy in keratinocytes and melanoma cells [4]. LC3 (microtubule-associated protein 1 (MAP1) light chain 3) is a classical autophagy marker that after post-translational processing is incorporated into autophagosomes. To investigate the effect of DMMB-PDT in the autophagic flux in neuronal cells, we made use of the tandem-tagged mCherry-EGFP-LC3B [25], which indirectly reports LC3 subcellular localization by measuring degradation of EGFP by acidic lysosomal environment upon autophagolysosome formation (Fig. 5a). Exposure to activated DMMB induced a significant increase of acidic autophagosomes, indicating the DMMB may increase autophagic flux in neuronal cells (control: 19 ± 2 %, DMMB 25 nM: 26 ± 2 %, n = 3, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test, ***p < 0.01 compared to untreated control; DMMB 50 nM: 26 ± 0.5 %, n = 3, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test, **p < 0.01 compared to untreated control) (Fig. 5b). This increase in the number of acidic autophagolysosomes is accompanied by an increase in the colocalization of mitochondrial and lysosomes (Supplementary fig. 2). Noteworthy, the inhibition of the ULK-complex, involved in autophagosome formation, partially protects the cells against DMMB-PDT induced cell death (Supplementary fig. 2b). While the inhibition of lysosomal fusion to autophagosomes does not affect cell death at all (Supplementary fig. 3a). In line with previous research from our group [4], our results suggest that the damage is parallelly inflicted in mitochondrial and lysosomal membranes.

To evaluate key steps during autophagy, such as phagophore assembly, mitochondrial commitment to mitophagy, and lysosomal acidification, we analyzed gene expression of Autophagy Related 1 (ATG1), GaBarap1 (GABA Type A Receptor-Associated Protein), and Damage-regulated autophagy modifier 1 (DRAM) – autophagy related genes, respectively. Our data showed that 50 nM activated DMMB significantly upregulated ATG1 (control: 1 ± 0.1; DMMB 50 nM: 1.8 ± 0.5, n = 3, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test, ***p < 0.001 compared to untreated control) (Fig. 4c). In addition, ATG8 homologue GaBarap1 expression was also significantly upregulated upon

Fig. 1. DMMB photosensitization in different cell models. Cells were treated with DMMB (0, 10, 25 and 50 nM, 6 h) and irradiated with 660 nm light (10 J/cm²), or kept in the dark, for 20 min. Cytotoxicity was evaluated by an MTT assay: (a) HT22 cells, (b) primary neurons. Data are presented as mean ± SD, n = 3–5, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 compared to control. Followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test, *p < 0.01 compared to untreated control (Fig. 2b). In a similar fashion, lipid peroxidation status was determined by the measurement of the oxidation of polyunsaturated butadienyl portion of BODIPY 581/591 C11, which resulted in a shift of the fluorescence emission peak from 590 to 510 nm (Fig. 2c), showing a dose-dependent increase (control: 28 ± 7; DMMB 50 nM: 68.8 ± 0.5 %, n = 3, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test, *p < 0.05 compared to untreated control). Co-treatment with the superoxide dismutase (SOD) mimic MnTBAP (Fig. 3a), which inhibits intracellular ROS generation, partially protected the cells from DMMB-PDT cytotoxicity. The pattern of protection by MnTBAP was the same regardless of the removal or not of the compound after irradiation, suggesting that MnTBAP acts upon DMMB-PDT direct ROS formation. Additionally, we employed a mitochondrially-targeted antioxidant (MitoQ) to interrogate whether targeting ROS generation in mitochondria would prevent cell death (Fig. 3g). Our results show that MitoQ had no influence on DMMB-PDT-induced cell death (Fig. 3g). This increase in the number of acidic autophagolysosomes is accompanied by an increase in the colocalization of mitochondrial and lysosomes (Supplementary fig. 2). Noteworthy, the inhibition of the ULK-complex, involved in autophagosome formation, partially protects the cells against DMMB-PDT induced cell death (Supplementary fig. 2b). While the inhibition of lysosomal fusion to autophagosomes does not affect cell death at all (Supplementary fig. 3a). In line with previous research from our group [4], our results suggest that the damage is parallelly inflicted in mitochondrial and lysosomal membranes.

To evaluate key steps during autophagy, such as phagophore assembly, mitochondrial commitment to mitophagy, and lysosomal acidification, we analyzed gene expression of Autophagy Related 1 (ATG1), GaBarap1 (GABA Type A Receptor-Associated Protein), and Damage-regulated autophagy modifier 1 (DRAM) – autophagy related genes, respectively. Our data showed that 50 nM activated DMMB significantly upregulated ATG1 (control: 1 ± 0.1; DMMB 50 nM: 1.8 ± 0.5, n = 3, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test, ***p < 0.001 compared to untreated control) (Fig. 4c). In addition, ATG8 homologue GaBarap1 expression was also significantly upregulated upon
activated DMMB exposure (control: 1 ± 0.2; DMMB 25 nM: 2.9 ± 0.8, n ≥ 3, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test, **p < 0.01 compared to untreated control; DMMB 50 nM: 2.8 ± 0.3, n ≥ 3, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test, **p < 0.01 compared to untreated control) (Fig. 4d). On the other hand, the highest concentration of activated DMMB tested led to a significant decrease in DRAM1 expression (control: 1.05 ± 0.06, DMMB 50 nM: not detected, n ≥ 3, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post-hoc test, ***p < 0.001 compared to untreated control) (Fig. 4e). Both ATG1 and GaBarap1 increased expression in response to activated DMMB challenge indicate that DMMB-PDT is able to induce autophagy in neuronal cells. While decrease in DRAM1 indicates a dysfunction in late stages of autophagosome clearance, which could lead to the accumulation of acidic autophagolysosomes. Next, we investigated the dependence of DMMB-PDT mediated cell death on ATG7, involved in mitophagy, and ATG13, involved in mTor dependent autophagosome formation (Supplementary Fig. 4). We observed that ATG7 siRNA is protective against DMMB-PDT, while ATG13 is not. These data indicate that DMMB-PDT might initiate neuronal cell death via mitochondrial dysfunction and an increased autophagy flux in conjunction with impairment in the late stages of autophagy.

4. Discussion

PDT-directed cytotoxicity has been shown to be a promising tool for the treatment of conditions such as brain tumors [8,9], the effect of PDT on healthy neurons is one main concern over its use in the CNS, since neuronal cells could be particularly sensitive to certain PSs [11]. This study provides insights into the effects of DMMB-PDT on neuronal-like HT22 cells. Previous studies have proposed that the efficiency of anti-cancer approaches, including PDT is higher in tumor cells with an increased autophagic index [30–35]. In a similar manner, several mitochondrial-targeting therapies have shown promising results in cancer, aging and neurodegeneration [36–38]. For instance, increasing mitochondrial fitness and mitochondrial resilience to stress with mitochondrial complex I inhibitors was shown to decrease glioma aggressiveness in vitro and improve overall survival of patients with grade III gliomas [39–41], as well as increasing neurogenesis and improved cognitive function [42]. Nevertheless, the addition of a mitochondria-targeting moiety to PSs has been proposed as a strategy to increase their potency by concentrating ROS production to this organelle and therefore increase cytotoxicity [43].

The phenothiazinium chromophore DMMB is a highly efficient PS
with an absorbance peak of 651 nm [30]. In fact, DMBB has been shown to more efficiently produce singlet-oxygen and have lower IC$_{50}$ in melanoma cells when compared to its counterpart MB [4,20]. We have previously described that these positively charged dyes accumulate in both mitochondria and lysosomes [4]. Additionally, DMBB is more resistant to reduction than MB and it was shown to localize in mitochondria of mammalian cells, inducing selective mitochondrial DNA damage [4,45]. Co-treatment with the superoxide dismutase (SOD) mimic MnTBAP partially protected the cells from DMBB-PDT cytotoxicity. This effect was not seen with the co-treatment with the mitochondrially-targeted antioxidant MitoQ, which suggests that direct DMBB-PDT intracellular ROS generation mediates organelle damage and induces cell death. MB-PDT was shown to induce necroptosis [46], remarkably the same is not observed here. In fact, the co-treatment of DMBB with necroptosis, ferroptosis or apoptosis inhibitors failed to protect the cells against DMBB-PDT cytotoxicity.

Our results demonstrate that DMBB-PDT-associated decrease in cell viability was linked to an increase in cellular ROS production and lipid peroxidation. These ROS measurements detect both DMBB-PDT and ROS produced by mitochondria. Moreover, DMBB-PDT treatment resulted in a significant increase in mitochondrial superoxide production and decrease in ΔΨm. Our results indicate that DMBB-PDT could mediate cell death by affecting mitochondrial function.

Previous data from our group showed that in keratinocytes DMBB-PDT impairs autophagy more effectively than MB-PDT [4]. To assess whether autophagy impairment is also taking place in neurons we used the tandem-tagged mCherry-EGFP-LC3B system. LC3 (microtubule-associated protein 1 (MAP1) light chain 3) is a classical autophagy

---

**Fig. 3.** Effects of inhibitors of ferroptosis, apoptosis, necroptosis or mitochondrial ROS generation on DMBB-induced cell death. HT22 cells were treated with DMBB (50 nM, 6 h), and co-treated with different pharmacological modulators of cell death pathways. After 6 h, cells were irradiated with 660 nm light (11 J/cm$^2$) for 20 min. (a) MnTBAP, (b) Fer (Ferrostatin-1), (c) Lip (Liproxstatin-1), (d) DFO (Deferoxamine), (e) NAC (N-acetyl-L-cysteine), (f) PD (PD-146176), QVD (pan-caspase inhibitor); and (g) MitoQ (Mitoquine mesylate), Nec (necrostatin). Representative plots from 3 independent experiments. Data are presented as mean ± SD, n = 8 ***p < 0.001; ****p < 0.001.
marker that after post-translational processing is incorporated into autophagosomes. This system makes use of EGFP higher susceptibility to pH when compared to mCherry to monitor autophagy status. The pH drops to around 4.8 [45] in response to autophagosomes fusion to lysosomes that forms autolysosomes and leads to the degradation of EGFP, while mCherry remains stable. Our results showed a significant increase in the presence of acidic autophagolysosomes accompanied by an increase in damaged mitochondria and lysosome colocalization, suggesting their accumulation in response to DMMB-PDT. Furthermore, blocking autophagosome formation through the inhibition of the ULK-complex [47-49], partially protects the cells against DMMB-PDT induced cell death. To further evaluate autophagy involvement in DMMB-PDT, we downregulated ATG7 prior to DMMB-PDT. ATG7 is a central player for autophagy induction by acting like an E1 enzyme for ubiquitin-like proteins such as ATG12 and ATG8, making it a crucial regulator of autophagosome assembly [47]. We observed that DMMB-PDT-cell death is dependent on ATG7, with its downregulation being partially protecting. Additionally, ATG7 deficiencies are implicated in mitochondrial quality control defects [49], further supporting the role of mitophagy in DMMB-PDT-cell death. Our results suggest that inhibition of autophagosome assembly might extenuate the downstream lysosomal-dysfunction that triggers cell death.

To better characterize the mechanism taking place, we analyzed the expression of the phagosome formation and maturation markers ATG1 and the ATG8 homologue GaBarap1 [50-53]. ATG1 expression is an early marker of autophagy activation, as it is involved in the organization of the initial phagophore at the phagophore assembly site [50]. In turn, GaBarap1 plays a key role during formation and maturation of the autophagosome. ATG8 proteins conjugate to specific adaptors during selective autophagy, such as mitophagy, and guide the vesicles containing the cargo through microtubules to the autophagosomes [51]. The increased expression of these genes suggests that autophagy is promoted by DMMB-PDT.

To further explore the autophagic flux triggered by DMMB, DRAM1 expression was determined. DRAM1 protein is a key player in the clearance of autophagosomes by promoting lysosome acidification and activation of lysosomal enzymes, being involved in autophagosome clearance [53]. Moreover, DRAM1 expression is induced by p53 and increases apoptosis by preventing BAX degradation [54,55]. Here, we observed a significant decrease in the expression of DRAM1 by DMMB-PDT in HT22 cells, which suggests an autophagy dysfunction in late stages of the process.

Our results are in agreement with our previous report which demonstrates that DMMB-PDT autophagy-related cell death in Hek293T cells is independent of p53 status [44]. This is particularly relevant for CNS tumors, since the p53-ARF-MDM2 pathway is found deregulated in 84 % of GBM patients [56]. Nevertheless, DRAM1 knockdown in mycobacteria-infected macrophages was shown to lead to premature cell death in a mechanism dependent on Caspase a (Caspae) and Gasdermin eb (Gsmdeb), which suggests pyroptosis is responsible for the cell demise [57]. Autophagy impairment has been correlated with pyroptosis activation in different models [57–61], suggesting that the autophagy-pyroptosis crosstalk might be involved in DMMB-PDT cell death. Taken together our results indicate that DMMB-PDT acts by damaging mitochondria and by possibly impairing autophagy.
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