



University of Groningen

Governing environmental conflicts in China

Li, Yanwei; Koppenjan, Joop; Verweij, Stefan

Published in: **Public Administration**

DOI:

10.1111/padm.12263

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Final author's version (accepted by publisher, after peer review)

Publication date:

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

Li, Y., Koppenjan, J., & Verweij, S. (2016). Governing environmental conflicts in China: Under what conditions do local governments compromise? *Public Administration*, *94*(3), 806-822. https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.12263

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Download date: 20-10-2021

Governing Environmental Conflicts in China: Under what Conditions do Local Governments Compromise?

Yanwei Li, Joop Koppenjan & Stefan Verweij

This is the abstract of the article that is published by the journal. The final, full article has been published in *Public Administration* by Wiley-Blackwell, all rights reserved.

Li, Y., Koppenjan, J.F.M. & Verweij, S. (2016). Governing Environmental Conflicts in China: Under what Conditions do Local Governments Compromise? <u>Public Administration: An International Quarterly</u>, doi: 10.1111/padm.12263.

Abstract

In recent years, governing environmental conflicts concerning the planning, construction, and operation of urban facilities has increasingly become a challenge for Chinese local governments. Chinese governments seek adequate responses to deal with these conflicts, for instance by ignoring criticism and sticking to initial decisions, by suppressing protests, or by compromising. In this article, by analyzing 10 cases of conflict in China using crisp-set qualitative comparative analysis (csQCA), we aim to investigate which combinations of diverse conditions lead to changes in local governments' decisions. Four contextualized paths to explain both the presence and the absence of these changes are identified. These findings increase our understanding of the mechanisms underlying the governance of environmental conflicts in China and may inform Chinese governments and non-state actors who are seeking ways to deal adequately with them.

References

- Amy, D. 1987. *The Politics of Environmental Mediation*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Ansfield, J. 2013. 'Alchemy of a Protest: The Case of Xiamen PX', in S. Geall (ed.), *China and the Environment: The Green Revolution*. London: Zed Books, pp. 136–202.
- Cai, Y. 2004. 'Managed Participation in China', *Political Science Quarterly*, 119, 3, 425–51.
- Cai, Y. 2008a. 'Local Governments and the Suppression of Popular Resistance in China', *The China Quarterly*, 193, 24–42.
- Cai, Y. 2008b. 'Power Structure and Regime Resilience: Contentious Politics in China', *British Journal of Political Science*, 38, 3, 411–32.
- Cai, Y. 2010. *Collective Resistance in China: Why Popular Protests Succeed or Fail.* Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
- Charron, N. and V. Lapuente. 2011. 'Which Dictators Produce Quality of Government?', Studies in Comparative International Development, 46, 4, 397–423.
- Cronqvist, L. 2011. Tosmana: Tool for Small-n Analysis. Trier: University of Trier.
- Deng, Y.H. and G.B. Yang. 2013. 'Pollution and Protest in China: Environmental Mobilization in Context', *The China Quarterly*, 214, 321–36.
- Fischer, F. and J. Forester (eds.). 1993. *The Argumentative Turn in Policy Analysis and Planning*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Glasbergen, P. (ed.). 1995. *Managing Environmental Conflicts: Network Management as an Alternative*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Hou, Y. and T. Zhang. 2009. 'Developing Fears: Environmental Conflicts and Pollution Accidents in China', *The Newsletter: Encouraging Knowledge and Enhancing the Study of Asia*, 50, 12–13.
- Johnson, T.R. 2016. 'Regulatory Dynamism of Environmental Mobilization in Urban China', *Regulation & Governance*, 10, 1, 14–28. doi: 10.1111/rego.12068.
- Kemberling, M. and T. Roberts. 2009. 'When Time is on Their Side: Determinants of Outcomes in New Siting and Existing Contamination Cases in Louisiana', *Environmental Politics*, 18, 6, 851–68.
- Koppenjan, J.F.M. and E.H. Klijn. 2004. *Managing Uncertainties in Networks: A Network Approach to Problem Solving and Decision Making*. London: Routledge.
- Kraft, M.E. and B.B. Clary. 1991. 'Citizen Participation and the NIMBY Syndrome: Public Response to Radioactive Waste Disposal', *Western Political Quarterly*, 44, 2, 299–328.
- Lang, G. and Y. Xu. 2013. 'Anti-Incinerator Campaigns and the Evolution of Protest Politics in China', *Environmental Politics*, 22, 5, 832–48.
- Li, L. and K.J. O'Brien. 1996. 'Villagers and Popular Resistance in Contemporary China', *Modern China*, 22, 1, 28–61.
- Li, Y.W. 2016. 'Governing Environmental Conflicts: Government Responses to Protests to Incinerators and PX Plants.' PhD thesis, Erasmus University Rotterdam.
- Li, Y.W., V. Homburg, M. de Jong and J. Koppenjan. 2015. 'Government Responses to Environmental Conflicts in Urban China: The Case of the Panyu Waste Incineration Power Plant in Guangzhou', *Journal of Cleaner Production*. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.10.123.

- Lodge, M. and K. Wegrich. 2005. 'Control over Government: Institutional Isomorphism and Governance Dynamics in German Public Administration', *Policy Studies Journal*, 33, 2, 213–33.
- McAdam, D. 1983. 'Tactical Innovation and the Pace of Insurgency', *American Sociological Review*, 48, 6, 735–54.
- McAdam, D. and H.S. Boudet. 2012. Putting Social Movements in Their Place: Explaining Opposition to Energy Projects in the United States, 2000–2005. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mackie, J.L. 1980. *The Cement of the Universe: A Study of Causation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Marx, A., B. Rihoux and C. Ragin. 2014. 'The Origins, Development, and Application of Qualitative Comparative Analysis: The First 25 Years', *European Political Science Review*, 6, 1, 115–42.
- Mertha, A. 2009. "Fragmented Authoritarianism 2.0": Political Pluralization in the Chinese Policy Process', *The China Quarterly*, 200, 995–1012.
- O'Brien, K.J. and L. Li. 2006. Rightful Resistance in Rural China. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Pierre, J. and B.G. Peters (eds.). 2000. *Governance, Politics, and the State*. Basingstoke: Macmillan.
- Ragin, C.C. 1987. *The Comparative Method: Moving Beyond Qualitative and Quantitative Strategies*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Ragin, C.C. 2006. 'Set Relations in Social Research: Evaluating Their Consistency and Coverage', *Political Analysis*, 14, 3, 291–310.
- Ragin, C.C. 2008. *Redesigning Social Inquiry: Fuzzy Sets and Beyond*. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press.
- Ragin, C.C. and S. Davey. 2014. Fs/QCA. Irvine, CA: University of California.
- Rihoux, B., P. Álamos-Concha, D. Bol, A. Marx and I. Rezsöhazy. 2013. 'From Niche to Mainstream Method? A Comprehensive Mapping of QCA Applications in Journal Articles from 1984 to 2011', *Political Research Quarterly*, 66, 1, 175–84.
- Schneider, C.Q. and C. Wagemann. 2010. 'Standards of Good Practice in Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Fuzzy-Sets', *Comparative Sociology*, 9, 3, 397–418.
- Schneider, C.Q. and C. Wagemann. 2012. Set-Theoretic Methods for the Social Sciences: A Guide to Qualitative Comparative Analysis. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Shi, F. and Y. Cai. 2006. 'Disaggregating the State: Networks and Collective Resistance in Shanghai', *The China Quarterly*, 186, 314–32.
- Tarrow, S. 1994. *Power in Movement: Social Movements, Collective Action and Politics*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Thomann, E. 2015. 'Is Output Performance All about the Resources? A Fuzzy-Set Qualitative Comparative Analysis of Street-Level Bureaucrats in Switzerland', *Public Administration*, 93, 1, 177–94.
- Van Bueren, E.M., E.H. Klijn and J.F.M. Koppenjan. 2003. 'Dealing with Wicked Problems in Networks: Analyzing an Environmental Debate from a Network Perspective', *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 13, 2, 193–212.
- Van der Heijden, J. 2015. 'The Role of Government in Voluntary Environmental Programs: A Fuzzy Set Qualitative Comparative Analysis', *Public Administration*, 93, 3, 576–92.

- Van Rooij, B., R.E. Stern and K. Fürst. 2016. 'The Authoritarian Logic of Regulatory Pluralism: Understanding China's New Environmental Actors', *Regulation & Governance*, 10, 1, 3–13. doi:10.1111/rego.12074.
- Verweij, S., E.H. Klijn, J. Edelenbos and M.W. Van Buuren. 2013. 'What Makes Governance Networks Work? A Fuzzy Set Qualitative Comparative Analysis of 14 Dutch Spatial Planning Projects', *Public Administration*, 91, 4, 1035–55.
- Wolsink, M. 1994. 'Entanglement of Interests and Motives: Assumptions behind the NIMBY-Theory on Facility Siting', *Urban Studies*, 31, 6, 851–66.