University of Groningen

The person-specific interplay of melatonin, affect, and fatigue in the context of sleep and depression

Bouwman, Mara E. J.; Beltz, Adriene M.; Bos, Elisabeth H.; Oldehinkel, Albertine J.; de Jonge, Peter; Molenaar, Peter C. M.

Published in:
Personality and Individual Differences

DOI:
[10.1016/j.paid.2017.11.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2017.11.022)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2018

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Bouwman, M. E. J., Beltz, A. M., Bos, E. H., Oldehinkel, A. J., de Jonge, P., & Molenaar, P. C. M. (2018). The person-specific interplay of melatonin, affect, and fatigue in the context of sleep and depression. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 123, 163-170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2017.11.022>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

The person-specific interplay of melatonin, affect, and fatigue in the context of sleep and depression

Mara E.J. Bouwmans^{a,*}, Adriene M. Beltz^b, Elisabeth H. Bos^a, Albertine J. Oldehinkel^a, Peter de Jonge^a, Peter C.M. Molenaar^b

^a University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Interdisciplinary Center Psychopathology and Emotion Regulation, PO box 30.001, 9100 RB Groningen, The Netherlands

^b Department of Human Development and Family Studies, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Melatonin
Positive affect
Negative affect
Group Iterative Multiple Model Estimation
Individual differences
Major Depressive Disorder
Diary data

ABSTRACT

The aim of the present study was to reveal how positive affect (PA), negative affect (NA), fatigue, and melatonin are inter-related in individuals with and without MDD. We used a unique dataset with up to 90 measurements of 14 depressed and 15 pair-matched non-depressed participants and the novel network analysis approach Group Iterative Multiple Model Estimation (GIMME) to reveal how affect, fatigue, and melatonin were related across time at the group- and the person-specific level. Thereafter, we investigated how individual differences in the role of melatonin were related to sleep and depression severity.

PA and NA ($\beta = -0.47$), and PA and fatigue ($\beta = -0.44$) were related contemporaneously in the full sample. Substantial between-person differences were found. In 83% of the study participants, melatonin was related to either affect or fatigue. Those who did not have associations with melatonin in their networks had relatively greater depression severity, worse sleep quality, and lower energy expenditure.

This study revealed the possibilities of network mapping for dynamic person-specific psychological and biological data. The results underline not only the presence of large heterogeneity, but also show that despite this heterogeneity, meaningful generalizations can be made regarding the interplay of melatonin with affect and fatigue in depression.

1. Introduction

Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) is one of the most common and debilitating mental health disorders in the Western world (Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality, 2015). Depression has intricate ties with sleep, as up to 80% of patients who suffer from MDD also report sleep disturbances (insomnia, hypersomnia, or both) during a depressive episode (Soehner, Kaplan, & Harvey, 2014). Yet, little is known about the mechanisms underlying the link between MDD and sleep. In particular, it is unclear how depression-related factors, such as affect, and how sleep-related factors, such as fatigue and melatonin levels, are inter-related.

Previous studies have shown that associations among affect, fatigue and melatonin levels might play a role in the strong connection

between depression and sleep. Until now, however, it has remained unclear how these associations are dynamically inter-related to each other. Perhaps that is because most of these studies have come from a nomothetic perspective, creating an average model for a sample and assuming that the model equally represents all individuals in that sample. For instance, this was done in previous studies on the sleep-related factors fatigue and melatonin (Arendt, Borbely, Franey, & Wright, 1984; Terlo et al., 1997). In both studies, administration of melatonin significantly increased average fatigue scores across the sample ($p < 0.05$). Looking into the results in detail, however, indicated that the group-level results did not reflect the individuals in the sample. For example, in the paper of Arendt et al. (1984), 17% of respondents had levels of fatigue that did not change at all after melatonin intake, and in another 17% of respondents, the opposite response

Abbreviations: MDD, Major Depressive Disorder; GIMME, Group Iterative Multiple Model Estimation; MOOVD, Mood and Movement in Daily Life; CIDI, Composite International Diagnostic Interview; MCTQ, Munich Chronotype Questionnaire; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; HPA, hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal; LC-MS/MS, liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry; MSSD, mean squared successive difference; RMSEA, Root Mean Square Error of Approximation; SRMR, Standardized Root Mean Square Residual; CFI, Comparative Fit Index; NNFI, Non-Normed Fit Index

* Corresponding author at: University Medical Center Groningen, CC72, PO box 30.001, 9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands.

E-mail addresses: mara.bouwmans@maastrichtuniversity.nl (M.E.J. Bouwmans), abeltz@umich.edu (A.M. Beltz), elske.bos@umcg.nl (E.H. Bos), a.j.oldehinkel@umcg.nl (A.J. Oldehinkel), peter.de.jonge@rug.nl (P. de Jonge), pxm21@psu.edu (P.C.M. Molenaar).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2017.11.022>

Received 4 October 2016; Received in revised form 25 October 2017; Accepted 13 November 2017

Available online 20 November 2017

0191-8869/ © 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

occurred (i.e., fatigue decreased following melatonin administration). Even studies that have focused on inter-individual (i.e., between-person) variation have resulted in knowledge gaps because they are based on individual variation around an average model. For example, in an earlier study by Bouwmans, Bos, Hoenders, Oldehinkel, and de Jonge (2016), a mean-level change in sleep quality was associated with a subsequent mean-level change in affect in a sample of depressed and healthy respondents. But, when estimating the individual-level linear fit between sleep quality and affect for this sample, individual lines differed significantly from each other, resulting in participants with positive or negative associations between sleep quality and affect, but also participants with no association at all. Thus, research coming from a nomothetic perspective and even research focusing on inter-individual variation does not reflect the *individuals* in a sample, who are heterogeneous due to large variability in both biological and contextual influences on depression and sleep. Eventually this is problematic for the treatment of depression, which is done at the individual, person-specific level. Studies of intra-individual variation (i.e., within-person), focused on person-specific associations instead of group-level averages, might fill this knowledge gap due to the emphasis on person-specific processes.

The knowledge gap is further due, in part, to a lack of intensive longitudinal data collected from multiple levels of analysis (e.g., behavioral reports of affect and biological measures of melatonin secretion). Traditional longitudinal data consisting of three to 10 repeated measurements are not well-suited to this investigation because sleep dynamics can change from night to night or even within a day (Totterdell, Reynolds, Parkinson, & Briner, 1994), making intensive longitudinal data consisting of many relatively rapidly-collected measurements over several days ideal. Another reason for this knowledge gap is heterogeneity in the associations among depression and sleep. Given variability in the etiology, presentation, and treatment outcomes of depression (Van Loo, de Jonge, Romeijn, Kessler, & Schoevers, 2012), it is unlikely that links between depression-related factors and sleep biology are homogenous, and that analytic approaches that rely on group averages (e.g., regressions) or deviations thereof (e.g., multilevel models) will accurately reflect the psychological processes at the person-specific level (Molenaar, 2004). Thus, intensive longitudinal data and person-specific analyses are – in tandem – uniquely situated to provide novel insight into the heterogeneous dynamics that underlie MDD and sleep.

Several theories suggest that disturbed sleep and depressed mood are both a physiological response to a disruption in circadian rhythms (Kripke, Mullaney, Atkinson, & Wolf, 1978; Pandi-Perumal et al., 2009). The circadian rhythm is colloquially referred to as the biological clock. Light helps to keep the biological clock in sync with humans' external 24-hour cycle of day and night (Saper, Scammell, & Lu, 2005; Welsh, Takahashi, & Kay, 2010). Light sends signals to the pineal gland via the retina and the suprachiasmatic nucleus, and the pineal gland regulates the synthesis of melatonin (Pandi-Perumal et al., 2009; Tosini, Baba, Hwang, & Iuvone, 2012). The 24-hour cycle of melatonin synthesis is known to be responsible for the regulation of body temperature, metabolic activity, and sleep rhythm (Peuhkuri, Sihvola, & Korpela, 2012; Tan et al., 2003). Changes in sleep rhythm are closely connected to disruption or changes of melatonin synthesis (Cajochen, Krauchi, & Wirz-Justice, 2003) at group-level.

Mood-related processes are thought to be influenced by the circadian rhythm as well. A disrupted circadian rhythm has been suggested to change affect via a dysregulation of the neurotransmitter serotonin (Lewy, Emens, Jackman, & Yuhas, 2006; McClung, 2007). In the absence of light, serotonin is processed into melatonin within the pineal gland (Lanfume, Mongeau, & Hamon, 2013; Tosini et al., 2012). Exogenous administration of melatonin has been found to be effective in the treatment of mood disorders. Administration of slow-release melatonin decreased depression scores (Kayumov, Brown, Jindal, Buttoo, & Shapiro, 2001), and melatonin agonists showed a reduction in

depression scores (Millan, 2006; Quera-Salva et al., 2005; Roenneberg et al., 2007). Less clear is the association between endogenous melatonin levels and affect (Boyce & Barriball, 2010).

Fatigue, often present during MDD, is known to influence affect (Bouwmans et al., 2016), and has been thought to be associated with melatonin secretion too (Arendt et al., 1984; Lanfume et al., 2013; Ruger, Gordijn, Beersma, De Vries, & Daan, 2005). Earlier nomothetic studies show contradicting results: in one earlier study manipulated suppression of melatonin by light did not influence fatigue scores (Ruger et al., 2005), but in another study the administration of melatonin was associated with a significant increase in evening fatigue (Arendt et al., 1984). Up to now it is still unknown whether natural fluctuations in melatonin influence experienced fatigue, and vice versa.

The abovementioned paragraph shows that several uncertainties have remained about the associations among melatonin, affect, and fatigue in the context of MDD, and the role of sleep therein. Although the previous studies suggest that abnormalities in circadian rhythmicity are reflected by changes in affect (Boyce & Barriball, 2010; Kayumov et al., 2001; McClung, 2007; Millan, 2006; Quera-Salva et al., 2005) and fatigue (Arendt et al., 1984; Lanfume et al., 2013; Ruger et al., 2005), it remains unclear how endogenous melatonin is related to these changes on the person-specific level. Furthermore, it is not clear if and how depression and sleep are related to these person-specific associations among melatonin, affect, and fatigue.

The daily fluctuations in affect (Peeters, Berkhof, Delespaul, Rottenberg, & Nicolson, 2006), melatonin (Bouwmans et al., 2015), and fatigue (Adam, Hawkley, Kudielka, & Cacioppo, 2006; Dahlgren, Kecklund, Theorell, & Akerstedt, 2009), and individual differences therein, make it complex to investigate their associations over time. The recently developed approach Group Iterative Multiple Model Estimation (GIMME; (Gates & Molenaar, 2012)), can accurately model complex relations such as these, as established in large-scale simulations in which GIMME outperformed over 30 other network mapping approaches (e.g., in Smith et al., 2011). GIMME maps the covariation among variables, revealing a network that shows how variables are related across time (at the same and future measurement occasions) at the group- and the person-specific level. Although GIMME was developed to model connectivity among brain regions of interest (Gates & Molenaar, 2012), it has also been applied to behavioral data from clinical populations to reveal, for example, the inter-relations among facets of internalizing and externalizing behavior reported in the daily diaries of individuals with personality pathology (Beltz, Wright, Sprague, & Molenaar, 2016). The current use of GIMME to uncover the associations among dynamic psychological (e.g., affect) and biological (e.g., melatonin) variables fills a substantive gap in the literature concerning the person-specific temporal mechanisms underlying MDD and sleep disturbances. It does this not by delineating average predictors (or mediators or moderators) of sleep disturbance that are assumed to apply to all people, but rather by considering the temporal interplay among all variables and the ways in which they are both similar across people and unique to individuals. Thus, the current study used a unique data set, consisting of up to 90 measurements from each of nearly 30 participants, and a novel network analysis approach to unravel how affect, fatigue, and melatonin are related in individuals with and without MDD.

The first aim of the present study was to identify the person-specific role of melatonin in moment-to-moment changes of affect and fatigue in depressed patients and healthy controls. Based on earlier literature we expected that fatigue, affect, and melatonin would be associated with one another at multiple time scales. However, due to the many uncertainties in the literature, we did not have hypotheses about the directions and signs of these associations. Second, we investigated how individual differences in the role of melatonin were related to sleep and depression severity. Again, we expected associations based on earlier literature, but were unsure about the signs of these associations.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Participants

We used a subsample of data from the Mood and Movement in Daily Life (MOOVD) study, a study that was created to investigate dynamics between physical activity, mood, and physiological processes in patients with and without MDD. Participants monitored themselves for 30 consecutive days, 3 times a day, by completing electronic diary questions, wearing an accelerometer 24/7, and providing saliva samples at every assessment point. This resulted in a maximum of 90 measurements per participant.

Participants were included from January 2012 until May 2014 in the Northern part of the Netherlands, resulting in a total of 54 participants that completed the study. The subsample consisted of the 15 first depressed and 15 pair-matched non-depressed participants that completed the study. Depressed and non-depressed participants were pair-matched based on gender, age, smoking status, and body mass index to enable pair-wise comparison and in order to create comparable groups. Depressed patients were recruited in the patient population of the University Center of Psychiatry (UCP), University Medical Center Groningen (UMCG), Center for Integrative Psychiatry (CIP, Lentis), and Dimence Deventer. The non-depressed participants were recruited from the general population in the Northern part of the Netherlands with advertisements in public places and on social media.

Depressed participants were included if they reported a score > 14 on the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI; (Beck, Erbaugh, Ward, Mock, & Mendelsohn, 1961)), and if a current or recent (< 2 months) episode of DSM-IV Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) was classified, assessed with the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI; (WHO, 1997)). Non-depressed participants were included with BDI scores < 9 and if MDD assessed with the CIDI could not be classified. Depressed and non-depressed participants were excluded from participation in the case of: current or recent (< 2 years) episodes of psychotic or bipolar disorder according to CIDI assessment; visual or hearing impairments; pregnancy; and somatic disorders or medication use influencing the HPA-axes or the autonomic nervous system. Informed consent of the participants was obtained after the nature of the procedures had been fully explained. The research protocol was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of the UMCG. The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human research and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.

When interested in participating, individuals were supplied with information about the study, a written consent form, the BDI questionnaire, the Munich Chronotype Questionnaire (MCTQ; (Roenneberg et al., 2007)), and a health questionnaire. If BDI criteria were met, individuals were invited for an appointment to assess the CIDI. If individuals appeared eligible for participation, the second part of the appointment was used to clarify the study procedure.

2.2. Procedure

The first two days following the appointment were used to familiarize participants with the procedure for the following month. Participants complied to assess themselves 3 times a day for 30 consecutive days. Times of assessment were fixed every 6 h, and were based on the participants' chronotypes as assessed with the MCTQ. Assessments took place around 10:00 AM, 4:00 PM, and 10:00 PM for most of the participants. The PsyMate®, an electronic device, was used for diary assessments. The diary questions were about affect, sleep, activities, and cognitions. Thirty minutes prior to every assessment the PsyMate® produced a warning sound and message to stop food and drink intake (besides water), smoking, and brushing teeth until the assessment was completed. This was generated to prevent interference with the saliva collection. The warning sound was produced again at

the fixed time point, when participants had to complete the assessment. Completion of the assessment could be delayed with a maximum of 1 h in case of unexpected circumstances (e.g. appointment or work meeting). The assessment started with the message to start saliva collection by keeping a synthetic swab (Salivette®) in the mouth for at least 3 min while completing the assessment. During the clarification of the study procedure participants were instructed not to chew the Salivette®, and to store the Salivette® in their refrigerator immediately after, or in any case within 4 h after saliva collection. The assessment contained several check-up questions to control whether participants complied with the 30-min restrictions of food- and drink intake, smoking, and brushing their teeth. Participants were provided with a logbook to note abnormalities and/or protocol violations every day. Salivettes® were collected weekly by researchers and centrifuged the same day at the special chemistry lab (Laboratory Medicine, UMCG) before they were stored at -80°C until they were assayed.

2.3. Measures

2.3.1. Melatonin

The Salivettes® of the first 15 depressed and 15 pair-matched non-depressed participants were assayed in the chemistry lab of Laboratory Medicine (UMCG). All samples of one participant were assayed in the same batch with liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS), by means of online-solid phase extraction in combination with isotope dilution. LC-MS/MS is known to be analytically compatible and to perform well (de Jong, de Vries, & Kema, 2011). The quantification limit for melatonin was 5.0 pmol/L. Mean intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were below 9.0%.

2.3.2. Diary data

Positive affect (PA), negative affect (NA) as operationalized by Bylsma, Taylor-Clift, and Rottenberg (2011) and fatigue were assessed 3 times a day. The positive and negative affect scale both consisted of the mean score on 7 items (PA: talkative, enthusiastic, confident, cheerful, energetic, satisfied, and happy; NA: tense, anxious, distracted, restless, irritated, depressed, and guilty). All items were scored on a Likert scale from 1 'not' up to 7 'very'. The PA and NA scales have high internal consistency based on person-level reliability estimates in an earlier study (both > 0.90 in (Bylsma, Taylor-Clift, & Rottenberg, 2011)). The mean Cronbach's alpha coefficient was 0.86 for PA, and 0.67 for NA. Fatigue was assessed with one item during every assessment, and also scored on a Likert scale from 1 'not' up to 7 'very'. A single-item measurement of fatigue has been used before and showed to be a valid measurement to monitor daily fatigue (Van Hooff, Geurts, Kompier, & Taris, 2007).

2.3.3. Predictors of individual differences in the role of melatonin

Baseline and diary data of depression severity and perceived sleep were used to assess if and how individual differences in the role of melatonin in the network models (described below) could be explained by differences in depression- and sleep-related variables. Subjective sleep quality was assessed at baseline with the item 'I grade my night's rest' from 1 'bad' up to 10 'excellent' by means of the MCTQ. Subjective sleep quality and duration were monitored at every assessment during the diary study as well, with the item 'Did you sleep well?' with response categories ranging from 1 'not well' to 7 'very well', and the item 'How long did you sleep?' with response categories ' < 30 min'; '1/2–1 h'; '1–2 h'; '2–4 h'; '4–6 h'; '6–7 h'; '7–8 h'; '8–9 h'; '9–10 h'; '10–11 h'; '11–12 h'; ' > 12 h'. We used the mean squared successive difference (MSSD) as a measure of moment-to-moment stability, and calculated the average MSSD over the 90 sleep duration measurements for each participant. High MSSD scores represent instability, and low MSSD scores represent stability. Time spent outside was measured at baseline with the MCTQ item 'On average how much time do you spend outside every day (by daylight, not under a roof)?' answered in amount

of hours and minutes. Weekly exercise at baseline was assessed as ‘If you add all exercise during the week, on average how many time do you spend on exercise every week?’ answered in amount of minutes. Daily exercise during the diary study was assessed with an accelerometer. Caffeine intake was assessed with a question at baseline: ‘Do you drink coffee or other caffeine-containing drinks and if yes, how many cups/cans per day?’. Depression score was measured at baseline by means of the BDI. We examined medication use by asking if participants used medication, and if yes, what type of medication and what dosage.

2.4. Data analysis plan

The average amount of missing melatonin data was 5.4% for the depressed participants and 8.2% for the controls. Depressed participants had on average 7.1% missing diary data and controls 7.2% missing diary data. We imputed missing data per participant by means of the multiple imputation approach Amelia II, available as R package (Honaker, King, & Blackwell, 2011). With Amelia II it is possible to take the dynamic structure of a dataset into account. Fifty imputations were estimated for every dataset, and we used the average as the final value that was imputed in the original dataset. One depressed participant had to be excluded from analyses because of highly collinear variables. The final sample thus consisted of 14 depressed and 15 non-depressed participants.

2.4.1. The moment-to-moment interplay among melatonin, affect, and fatigue

The moment-to-moment interplay among melatonin, PA, NA, and fatigue was estimated by means of GIMME (Gates & Molenaar, 2012), which is implemented in MATLAB® (Mathworks, r2014a) and LISREL (Jöreskog & Sörbom, 1992). GIMME was used to specify unified structural equation models, which explain the covariation among variables using lagged (at the next measurement occasion) and contemporaneous (at the same measurement occasion) directed relations, at the group- and the individual level in an iterative approach (Gates, Molenaar, Hillary, Ram, & Rovine, 2010). The direction of a contemporaneous association should be interpreted in the same way predictors are interpreted in cross-sectional path models, such as structural equation models. The reason that the contemporaneous association is directed towards one or another variable, is that when multiple parameters are modeled, X can explain a larger part of the variance in Y, than Y can explain in X, because other parameters also explain a certain amount of the variance in X and Y. Conceptually, these contemporaneous effects occur more quickly than the measurement interval, and in this study, represent relations occurring in the 6 h between measurement occasions.

GIMME is uniquely suited to model these intensive longitudinal data from a sample of heterogeneous individuals. GIMME is a person-specific analysis technique ideal for modeling non-ergodic psychological processes, that is, processes such as moment-to-moment fluctuations in affect and characteristics of sleep that vary across people or time and for which sample averages may not be representative of individuals (Molenaar, 2004). Compared to multilevel approaches that consider inter-individual differences as deviations from a group average, GIMME models all effects at the person-specific level, adding group-level effects to person-specific models only if they are meaningful for a certain percentage (usually 75%) of the sample. Thus, GIMME determines group-level effects from person-specific models emphasizing heterogeneity, whereas multilevel models determine person-specific effects from group averages emphasizing homogeneity. Compared to many other intensive longitudinal analysis approaches that generate person-specific models that focus on a single time scale (e.g., vector autoregressive models estimate lagged effects and structural equation models estimate contemporaneous effects), GIMME models data at multiple time scales (i.e., both lagged and contemporaneous effects). Because of this and its incorporation of both group- and person-specific

effects, GIMME has outperformed other network analysis approaches in large-scale simulations (Gates & Molenaar, 2012; Smith et al., 2011).

GIMME creates sparse networks (i.e., not all connections among variables are estimated) via a data-driven procedure. First, connections among melatonin, PA, NA, and fatigue at the level of the group were freed if they significantly improved model fit based on Lagrange Multiplier equivalents (Sorbom, 1989) for at least 75% of the sample. Second, the estimated group model was optimized by dropping connections that were no longer significant for > 75% of the group because of other freed connections. Third, models were estimated at the level of the person, starting by freeing the connections that were significant in the group model. Then again, connections that significantly improved model fit based on Lagrange Multiplier equivalents were freed for each person. Fourth, freed connections that were no longer significant were dropped from the individual's model, and a confirmatory model was fit. Fit indices were checked after optimal model identification. Minimal requirements were $RMSEA \leq 0.05$, $SRMR \leq 0.05$, $CFI \geq 0.95$, and $NNFI \geq 0.95$ ($RMSEA$ = Root Mean Square Error of Approximation; $SRMR$ = Standardized Root Mean Square Residual; CFI = Comparative Fit Index; $NNFI$ = Non-Normed Fit Index). Two out of four requirements should be met (Brown, 2006). Sleep could not be included in the GIMME model estimation. The reason is that the measurement of sleep did not align with the measurement of the other study variables, as sleep only occurred once – not thrice – a day. We therefore decided to incorporate the sleep measures in the group-level comparison in order to test possible associations with sleep.

2.4.2. Predictors of individual differences in the role of melatonin

Independent *t*-tests or Chi-square tests were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics 22 (IBM, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) to compare participants that showed associations of melatonin with PA, NA, or fatigue in their final models with participants that did not show associations of melatonin with the other variables in their final models, to find out whether inter-individual differences in the role of melatonin might be related to depression status, sleep duration and sleep quality at baseline and during the diary study, time spent outside measured at baseline, weekly exercise at baseline and daily exercise during the diary study, amount of daily caffeine at baseline, depression score at baseline, and medication use at baseline. Because GIMME creates sparse, person-specific networks, multiple significance tests of associations with melatonin were not used to create the groups; the groups were obviously based upon network features that improved model fit (shown to be accurate in large-scale simulations; Gates & Molenaar, 2012). The significance level for the group comparisons was $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. Descriptive statistics

In total 15 healthy controls and 14 pair-matched depressed patients were included in the analyses. Participants were on average 36 years old ($SD = 9$), and 76% of the sample was female, reflecting the gender difference in depression. The groups did not show differences in baseline characteristics except for BDI scores, medication use, and sleep quality. BDI scores differed significantly between healthy controls ($BDI = 2.2$, $SD = 3.1$) and depressed patients ($BDI = 30.4$, $SD = 10.0$). Only 20% of non-depressed participants reported baseline medication use, in contrast to 64.3% of the depressed participants. The depressed participants reported significantly lower sleep quality but not sleep duration ($p < 0.01$). More details and the means and standard deviations for depressed patients and controls can be found in Table 1.

Table 1
Demographic and clinical characteristics.

Mean (SD)	Total (N = 29)	Controls (N = 15)	Depressed (N = 14)
<i>Baseline measures</i>			
Age, years	35.8 (9.4)	35.1 (8.4)	36.5 (10.6)
Female, n	22 (75.9%)	11 (73.3%)	11 (78.6%)
Smoker, n	5 (17.2%)	3 (20.0%)	2 (14.3%)
BMI, kg/m ²	22.9 (3.8)	22.5 (2.7)	23.5 (4.7)
Sleep quality working days, 1–7	6.07 (1.77)	7.27 (0.80)	4.79 (1.63)***
Sleep quality free days, 1–7	6.43 (1.71)	7.47 (0.99)	5.23 (1.59)***
Sleep duration working days, min	451 (79)	453 (57)	450 (99)
Sleep duration free days, min	481 (92)	488 (78)	473 (109)
Time outside working days, min	84 (50)	86 (53)	82 (47)
Time outside free days, min	146 (165)	167 (224)	125 (74)
Weekly exercise, min	109 (102)	116 (108)	102 (100)
Daily caffeine intake	2.43 (2.48)	2.97 (2.57)	1.81 (2.32)
BDI score baseline	15.8 (16.0)	2.2 (3.1)	30.4 (10.0)***
BDI score follow-up	13.0 (14.5)	3.6 (4.3)	23.1 (15.0)***
Medication use, n	12 (41.4%)	3 (20.0%)	9 (64.3%)*
<i>Diary measures</i>			
Sleep quality, 1–7	4.91 (0.96)	5.36 (0.83)	4.44 (0.88)**
Sleep duration, 1–12	5.83 (0.83)	5.85 (0.58)	5.81 (1.06)
Sleep stability MSSD	1.69 (2.18)	1.15 (1.08)	2.27 (2.88)
Energy expenditure, kcal	248 (84)	256 (83)	240 (88)

Note. SD = standard deviation of the mean; N = number of participants; BMI = body mass index; BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; MSSD = mean squared successive difference; if not otherwise specified, mean and standard deviation (in parentheses) are given.

*** $p < 0.05$.

** $p < 0.01$.

* $p < 0.001$.

3.2. The person-specific moment-to-moment interplay among melatonin, affect, and fatigue

The final model (containing group- and person-specific relations) showed good fit for all individuals, with average fit indices of RMSEA = 0.02, SRMR = 0.06, CFI = 0.99, and NNFI = 1.00. At the group level, significant contemporaneous associations were found between PA and NA ($\beta = -0.47$) and between PA and fatigue ($\beta = -0.44$). This means that an increase in PA was associated with a decrease in NA and fatigue at the same measurement occasion. This group-level model with corresponding average β 's is visualized in Fig. 1.

For each individual, 12 possible associations existed between melatonin and affect or fatigue. Because of substantial interindividual differences in the presence, strength and direction of each of these associations, the associations were not found significant at the level of the group. Still, melatonin was associated with affect or fatigue in 83% of person-specific models, summarized in Fig. 2. Momentary increases in melatonin were significantly associated with a same-time decrease in PA in 3 participants, a same-time decrease in NA in 5 participants, and a same-time increase in fatigue in 5 participants. Next to these contemporaneous associations we found that an increase in melatonin predicted a decrease in PA in 6 participants at the next moment (i.e., measurement occasion), a next-moment decrease in NA in 3 participants, and a next-moment decrease in fatigue in 5 participants.

Momentary changes in PA, NA, and fatigue, were associated with same-time changes in melatonin in respectively 3, 4, and 5 participants. Again, lagged associations were found next to the contemporaneous ones. Changes in PA predicted next-moment changes in melatonin in 6 participants, and changes in NA predicted next-moment changes in melatonin in 1 participant. Changes in fatigue predicted changes in

Fig. 1. Dynamic interplay at the level of the group.

Note. No lagged group-level relations were found, therefore only contemporaneous associations estimated for all participants are shown; m = melatonin; pa = positive affect; na = negative affect; f = fatigue; beta coefficients represent the mean value of the association averaged over all 29 participants.

melatonin in 4 participants.

3.3. Predictors of individual differences in the role of melatonin

For illustrative purposes, Fig. 3 shows a random selection of the final models and estimated β -coefficients of 4 participants per melatonin group. We compared characteristics of the participants without melatonin associations in their final models ($n = 5$) to participants with melatonin associations in their final models ($n = 24$). 41.7% of participants in the “melatonin group” was depressed, in contrast to 80% of participants in the “non-melatonin group” ($p = 0.12$). We found that participants without melatonin associations reported higher BDI scores at baseline and follow-up compared to participants with melatonin associations. Further investigation showed that the depressed patients in the group without melatonin associations showed worse BDI scores than the other depressed patients at both baseline (mean 38.5, SD = 12.7 versus mean 27.1, SD = 7.0) and follow-up (mean 40.8, SD = 8.0 versus mean 16.0, SD = 10.4). Sleep quality at both working and free days as measured at baseline was significantly lower for participants without melatonin associations. The match variables age, gender, smoking status, and BMI did not differ between melatonin groups. Medication use, sleep duration, time spent outside, weekly amount of exercise and daily amount of caffeine did not differ between groups either. We found that the average reported sleep quality during the diary study was lower in participants without melatonin associations. Sleep duration and stability during the diary study did not differ between the groups. Last, energy expenditure during the diary study was significantly lower in the participant group with no melatonin associations in their final models. Details of the results are shown in Table 2.

Participants without melatonin associations were mostly depressed patients (4 out of 5). We checked baseline characteristics of the participants in this subgroup to see whether they were characterized by salient features, and this revealed that the healthy control in this group was a frequent cannabis user, reporting cannabis use at least twice a week (i.e., over 100 times a year), next to the daily consumption of on average 17 cigarettes. No other characteristic aspects could be found.

4. Discussion

The application of GIMME to the diaries of participants with and without depression and sleep disturbances revealed dynamic associations between endogenous melatonin levels, affect, and fatigue. At the

Fig. 2. Dynamic interplay among melatonin, affect, and fatigue – a summary of person-specific models.
Note. Thick black lines represent group-level connections; solid lines represent contemporaneous connections; dashed lines represent lagged connections; thickness of the coloured lines represents the number of individuals with a specific connection. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Fig. 3. Person-specific final models – a random selection.
Note. Beta coefficients are given; ID1 to ID4 represent persons without melatonin associations, ID5 to ID8 represent persons with melatonin associations; gender (F = female, M = male), age, and depression status (MDD = Major Depressive Disorder; HC = healthy control) are given below ID number; black lines represent group-level connections; solid lines represent contemporaneous connections; dashed lines represent lagged connections.

level of the group (i.e., for > 75% of the sample), PA was associated with NA and with fatigue, while there were no associations with melatonin. However, melatonin was found to be differentially associated with affect or fatigue in 83% of person-specific models. These results underline the importance of GIMME, as it detected heterogeneity in melatonin relations as well as affective patterns common to all participants.

The finding that endogenous melatonin secretion was associated with changes in affect and fatigue, such that changes in melatonin secretion, a proxy for dynamics in circadian rhythmicity, were associated with changes in affect and fatigue is novel. We also found associations the other way around, such that changes in affect and fatigue predicted changes in melatonin levels. This set of findings might point towards

the presence of a feedback loop between psychological and physiological phenomena for some people, and not a one-way association in which melatonin fluctuations are responsible for subsequent changes in affect and fatigue. Another explanation is that an external unmeasured factor was responsible for the associations. Either way, this opens new avenues for research.

The final person-specific models of five participants did not show associations between endogenous melatonin levels and other variables. Some provocative characteristics were found between the “non-melatonin group” and the “melatonin group” in spite of the small group size of the “non-melatonin group” (N = 5). The majority of these participants were suffering from MDD (n = 4), and the healthy control was a frequent cannabis user. It was recently shown that chronic marijuana

Table 2
Between-participant comparisons based on presence or absence of melatonin connections.

	No connection with melatonin (n = 5)	Connections with melatonin (n = 24)	Group comparison		
<i>Baseline measures</i>			<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>	η^2
Age, years	35.8 (10.5)	35.8 (9.4)	0.01	0.99	< 0.01
BMI, kg/m ²	22.7 (3.6)	23.0 (3.9)	− 0.16	0.88	< 0.01
Sleep quality working days, 1–7	4.0 (1.9)	6.5 (1.4)	− 3.36	0.002	0.29
Sleep quality free days, 1–7	4.8 (1.9)	6.8 (1.5)	− 2.59	0.016	0.20
Sleep duration working days, min	464 (74)	449 (81)	0.38	0.70	0.01
Sleep duration free days, min	491 (112)	479 (89)	0.26	0.78	< 0.01
Time outside working days, min	80 (74)	85 (45)	− 0.20	0.84	< 0.01
Time outside free days, min	92 (77)	158 (178)	− 0.80	0.43	0.02
Weekly exercise, min	78 (110)	114 (102)	− 0.66	0.52	0.02
Daily caffeine intake	1 (1.4)	2.7 (2.6)	− 1.26	0.22	0.06
BDI score baseline	30.8 (20.4)	12.7 (13.4)	2.51	0.018	0.19
BDI score follow-up	32.8 (19.1)	8.9 (9.5)	2.73	0.047	0.22
			χ^2	<i>p</i>	Cramer's V
CIDI depression baseline	80.0	41.7	2.44	0.12	0.29
Female, %	80.0	75.0	0.06	0.81	0.44
Smoker, %	40.0	12.5	2.19	0.14	0.28
Medication use, %	13.8	27.6	2.04	0.15	− 0.36
<i>Diary measures</i>			<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>	η^2
Sleep quality, 1–7	4.0 (1.0)	5.1 (0.8)	− 2.66	0.013	0.21
Sleep duration, 1–12	5.9 (1.3)	5.8 (0.7)	0.08	0.94	< 0.01
Sleep stability MSSD	2.8 (2.5)	1.5 (2.1)	1.21	0.24	0.05
Energy expenditure, kcal	176 (18)	263 (85)	− 4.55	< 0.001	0.43

Note. N = number of participants; BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; if not otherwise specified, mean and standard deviation (in parentheses) are given.

use is associated with a more robust synchrony of the circadian rhythm with the 24-hour cycle compared to non-users (Whitehurst, Fogler, Hall, Hartmann, & Dyche, 2015). This synchronizing effect of marijuana might have overruled the effect of melatonin, and therefore be responsible for a disconnection between melatonin secretion and its psychophysiological effect.

The depressed patients in the subgroup without melatonin associations reported the highest depression scores of all participants at baseline and at follow-up, suggesting that the depression was most severe in this subgroup. Arguably, particularly severe depression is associated with circadian rhythm disturbances, although the direction of this association remains unclear. The depression and affect or fatigue levels that accompany depression could be caused by circadian rhythm disruptions, the disruptions could be an effect of the severe depression and corresponding alterations in affect or fatigue, or a feedback loop might exist between the two processes.

Some considerations regarding the analyses should be mentioned. A drawback of an exploratory study like this one is that sample sizes are often small. The small sample size of the present study calls for a careful interpretation of the results, especially with regard to the subgroup analyses. Ideally the study should be replicated before further investigation of the present results will take place. Further, the overlap between our outcome measure 'fatigue' and the item 'energetic' within the PA scale, and between our outcome measure 'depression' and the item 'depressed' in the NA scale, might raise some concerns about artificial redundancy. Since both items (energetic and depressed) account for only 14% of the variation of the PA/NA scale, it is unlikely that these items have a significant influence on the findings in this study. Third, although sleep is known to be associated with affect (Sonnentag, Binnewies, & Mojza, 2008), fatigue (Fung, Nguyen, Moineddin, Colantonio, & Wiseman-Hakes, 2014), and melatonin secretion (Cajochen et al., 2003), we did not include sleep in the GIMME model estimation. Future studies should measure participants for a longer time period and with a time interval of one day instead of 6 h in order to incorporate daily fluctuations of sleep within the models. The disadvantage of a larger time interval between measurements is that within-day melatonin fluctuations cannot be detected anymore. A fourth limitation is the fact that GIMME only included 1 lag. Arguably, this could have resulted in loss of information. However, a posteriori

model validation showed that a lag of 1 is generally sufficient when the measurement occasions are relatively infrequent, as in hours versus seconds (Beltz & Molenaar, 2015). Besides, the half-life of melatonin is estimated to be around 30 min (Ito et al., 2013), and we argued that recently synthesized melatonin will not have an impact on affect or fatigue ≥ 2 lags after secretion. A fifth difficulty with regard to the present study is that the contemporaneous associations estimated by means of GIMME are pointed in a direction. The directed contemporaneous relations may not be intuitive, because the measurement of the parameters between which a direction was estimated occurred at the same point in time. Statistically the direction can be interpreted as a difference in explained variance between the parameters. The advanced GIMME-MS was recently developed to verify the direction of these contemporaneous relationships. The utilization of GIMME-MS to verify these associations is a key area of future work in this perspective. This point and how to interpret GIMME in clinical data was further elaborated on in a recent publication of Beltz and Molenaar (2016).

Our study holds some implications for future research. We found that participants in whom melatonin was not associated with affect or fatigue showed some remarkable characteristics such as severe depression scores. It would be of particular interest to figure out what mechanisms might be responsible for these characteristics. We believe this group is definitely interesting to give some further attention because this may explain why melatonin fluctuations are not associated with affect or fatigue and what implications this has for depression course in these participants. This study was among the first in which the potential GIMME analyses for repeated psychophysiological diary data were shown, opening up new area for research. Moreover, the present study holds some content-related implications about the dynamics of melatonin with behavioral data. Significant heterogeneity existed in the contributions of melatonin to depression-related sleep, and it was the marriage of the unique time-series data and GIMME's combined sample- and person-centered approach that revealed this important finding.

Acknowledgements

MEJB and AMB conducted the analyses and drafted the first version of the manuscript. EHB, AJO, PDJ, PCMM all provided substantial intellectual contributions to various versions of the manuscript. All

authors have approved the final article before submission.

Role of the funding source

This work was supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO-ZonMW), by a VICI grant entitled Deconstructing Depression (no: 91812607) received by Prof. Dr. Peter de Jonge. This work was supported by a travel grant by the Graduate School of Medical Sciences of the University Medical Center Groningen received by Mara E.J. Bouwmans, MSc.

Conflict of interest

None.

References

- Adam, E. K., Hawkley, L. C., Kudielka, B. M., & Cacioppo, J. T. (2006). Day-to-day dynamics of experience-cortisol associations in a population-based sample of older adults. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 103(45), 17058–17063. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0605031013>.
- Arendt, J., Borbely, A. A., Franey, C., & Wright, J. (1984). The effects of chronic, small doses of melatonin given in the late afternoon on fatigue in man - A preliminary study. *Neuroscience Letters*, 45(3), 317–321. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-3940\(84\)90245-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-3940(84)90245-3).
- Beck, A. T., Erbaugh, J., Ward, C. H., Mock, J., & Mendelsohn, M. (1961). An inventory for measuring depression. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 4(6), 561–571.
- Beltz, A. M., & Molenaar, P. C. M. (2015). A posteriori model validation for the temporal order of directed functional connectivity maps. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 9, 304. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2015.00304>.
- Beltz, A. M., & Molenaar, P. C. M. (2016). Dealing with multiple solutions in structural vector autoregressive models. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2016.1151333>.
- Beltz, A. M., Wright, A. G. C., Sprague, B. N., & Molenaar, P. C. M. (2016). Bridging the nomothetic and idiographic approaches to the analysis of clinical data. *Assessment*, 1–12. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1073191116648209>.
- Bouwmans, M. E. J., Bos, E. H., Booi, S. H., van Faassen, M., Oldehinkel, A. J., & de Jonge, P. (2015). Intra- and inter-individual variability of longitudinal daytime melatonin secretion patterns in depressed and non-depressed individuals. *Chronobiology International*, 32(3), 441–446. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/07420528.2014.973114>.
- Bouwmans, M. E. J., Bos, E. H., Hoenders, H. J. R., Oldehinkel, A. J., & de Jonge, P. (2016). Sleep quality predicts positive and negative affect but not vice versa. An electronic diary study in depressed and healthy individuals. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.09.046>.
- Boyce, P., & Barriball, E. (2010). Circadian rhythms and depression. *Australian Family Physician*, 39(5), 307–310.
- Brown, T. A. (2006). *Confirmatory factor analysis for applied research*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Bylsma, L. M., Taylor-Clift, A., & Rottenberg, J. (2011). Emotional reactivity to daily events in major and minor depression. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 120(1), 155–167. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0021662>.
- Cajochen, C., Krauchi, K., & Wirz-Justice, A. (2003). Role of melatonin in the regulation of human circadian rhythms and sleep. *Journal of Neuroendocrinology*, 15(4), 432–437. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2826.2003.00989.x>.
- Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality (2015). Behavioral health trends in the United States: Results from the 2014 National Survey on Drug Use and Health. *HHS publication no. SMA 15-4927, NSDUH series H-50*.
- Dahlgren, A., Kecklund, G., Theorell, T., & Åkerstedt, T. (2009). Day-to-day variation in saliva cortisol-Relation with sleep, stress and self-rated health. *Biological Psychology*, 82(2), 149–155. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2009.07.001>.
- de Jong, W. H. A., de Vries, E. G. E., & Kema, I. P. (2011). Current status and future developments of LC-MS/MS in clinical chemistry for quantification of biogenic amines. *Clinical Biochemistry*, 44(1), 95–103. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2010.07.006>.
- Fung, C. H. L., Nguyen, M., Moineddin, R., Colantonio, A., & Wiseman-Hakes, C. (2014). Reliability and validity of the Daily Cognitive-Communication and Sleep Profile: A new instrument for monitoring sleep, wakefulness and daytime function. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research*, 23(2), 217–228. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mpr.1422>.
- Gates, K. M., & Molenaar, P. C. M. (2012). Group search algorithm recovers effective connectivity maps for individuals in homogeneous and heterogeneous samples. *NeuroImage*, 63(1), 310–319. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.06.026>.
- Gates, K. M., Molenaar, P. C. M., Hillary, F. G., Ram, N., & Rovine, M. J. (2010). Automatic search for fMRI connectivity mapping: An alternative to Granger causality testing using formal equivalences among SEM path modeling, VAR, and unified SEM. *NeuroImage*, 50(3), 1118–1125. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.12.117>.
- Honaker, J., King, G., & Blackwell, M. (2011). *Amelia II: A program for missing data*. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 45(7), 1–47.
- Ito, Y., Iida, T., Yamamura, Y., Teramura, M., Nakagami, Y., Kawai, K., ... Terada, R. (2013). Relationships between salivary melatonin levels, quality of sleep, and stress in young Japanese females. *International Journal of Tryptophan Research: IJTR*, 6(Suppl. 1), 75–85. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4137/IJTR.S11760>.
- Jöreskog, K. G., & Sörbom, D. (1992). *LISREL VIII: A guide to the program and applications*. Mooresville: Scientific Software.
- Kayumov, L., Brown, G., Jindal, R., Buttoo, K., & Shapiro, C. M. (2001). A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover study of the effect of exogenous melatonin on delayed sleep phase syndrome. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 63(1), 40–48.
- Kripke, D. F., Mullaney, D. J., Atkinson, M., & Wolf, S. (1978). Circadian-rhythm disorders in manic-depressives. *Biological Psychiatry*, 13(3), 335–351.
- Lanfume, L., Mongeau, R., & Hamon, M. (2013). Biological rhythms and melatonin in mood disorders and their treatments. *Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 138(2), 176–184. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2013.01.005>.
- Lewy, A., Emens, J., Jackman, A., & Yuhas, K. (2006). Circadian uses of melatonin in humans. *Chronobiology International*, 23(1–2), 403–412. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07420520500545862>.
- McClung, C. A. (2007). Circadian genes, rhythms and the biology of mood disorders. *Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 114(2), 222–232. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2007.02.003>.
- Millan, M. J. (2006). Multi-target strategies for the improved treatment of depressive states: Conceptual foundations and neuronal substrates, drug discovery and therapeutic application. *Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 110(2), 135–370. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2005.11.006>.
- Molenaar, P. C. M. (2004). A manifesto on psychology as idiographic science: Bringing the person back into scientific psychology, this time forever. *Measurement*, 2(4), 201–218. http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/s15366359mea0204_1.
- Pandi-Perumal, S. R., Moscovich, A., Srinivasan, V., Spence, D. W., Cardinali, D. P., & Brown, G. M. (2009). Bidirectional communication between sleep and circadian rhythms and its implications for depression: Lessons from agomelatine. *Progress in Neurobiology*, 88(4), 264–271. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pneurobio.2009.04.007>.
- Peeters, F., Berkhof, J., Delespaul, P., Rottenberg, J., & Nicolson, N. A. (2006). Diurnal mood variation in major depressive disorder. *Emotion*, 6(3), 383–391. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/1528-3542.6.3.383>.
- Peuhkuri, K., Sihvola, N., & Korpela, R. (2012). Dietary factors and fluctuating levels of melatonin. *Food & Nutrition Research*, 56. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3402/fnr.v56i0.17252>.
- Quera-Salva, M. A., Vanier, B., Chapotot, F., Bohic, M., Moulin, C., Lofaso, F., & Guilleminault, C. (2005). Effect of agomelatine on the sleep EEG in patients with major depressive disorder (MMD). *European Neuropsychopharmacology*, 15, S435–S436.
- Roenneberg, T., Kuehne, T., Juda, M., Kantermann, T., Allebrandt, K., Gordijn, M., & Merrow, M. (2007). Epidemiology of the human circadian clock. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, 11(6), 429–438. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.smrv.2007.07.005>.
- Ruger, M., Gordijn, M. C. M., Beersma, D. G. M., De Vries, B., & Daan, S. (2005). Weak relationships between suppression of melatonin and suppression of sleepiness/fatigue in response to light exposure. *Journal of Sleep Research*, 14(3), 221–227. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2869.2005.00452.x>.
- Saper, C. B., Scammell, T. E., & Lu, J. (2005). Hypothalamic regulation of sleep and circadian rhythms. *Nature*, 437(7063), 1257–1263. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature04284>.
- Smith, S. M., Miller, K. L., Salimi-Khorshidi, G., Webster, M., Beckmann, C. F., Nichols, T. E., ... Woolrich, M. W. (2011). Network modelling methods for FMRI. *NeuroImage*, 54(2), 875–891.
- Soehner, A. M., Kaplan, K. A., & Harvey, A. G. (2014). Prevalence and clinical correlates of co-occurring insomnia and hypersomnia symptoms in depression. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 167, 93–97. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2014.05.060>.
- Sonnentag, S., Binnewies, C., & Mojza, E. J. (2008). "Did you have a nice evening?" A day-level study on recovery experiences, sleep, and affect. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 93(3), 674–684. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.93.3.674>.
- Sorborn, D. (1989). Model modification. *Psychometrika*, 54(3), 371–384. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02294623>.
- Tan, D. X., Manchester, L. C., Hardeland, R., Lopez-Burillo, S., Mayo, J. C., Sainz, R. M., & Reiter, R. J. (2003). Melatonin: A hormone, a tissue factor, an autocrine, a paracrine, and an antioxidant vitamin. *Journal of Pineal Research*, 34(1), 75–78. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-079X.2003.02111.x>.
- Terlo, L., Laudon, M., Tarasch, R., Schatz, T., Caine, Y. G., & Zisapel, N. (1997). Effects of low doses of melatonin on late afternoon napping and mood. *Biological Rhythm Research*, 28(1), 2–15.
- Tosini, G., Baba, K., Hwang, C. K., & Iuvone, P. M. (2012). Melatonin: An under-appreciated player in retinal physiology and pathophysiology. *Experimental Eye Research*, 103, 82–89. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.exer.2012.08.009>.
- Totterdell, P., Reynolds, S., Parkinson, B., & Briner, R. B. (1994). Associations of sleep with everyday mood, minor symptoms and social interaction experience. *Sleep*, 17(5), 466–475.
- Van Hooff, M. L. M., Geurts, S. A. E., Kompier, M. A. J., & Taris, T. W. (2007). "How fatigued do you currently feel?" convergent and discriminant validity of a single-item fatigue measure. *Journal of Occupational Health*, 49(3), 224–234. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1539/joh.49.224>.
- Van Loo, H. M., De Jonge, P., Romeijn, J. W., Kessler, R. C., & Schoevers, R. A. (2012). Data-driven subtypes of major depressive disorder: A systematic review. *BMC Medicine*, 10(1), 156.
- Welsh, D. K., Takahashi, J. S., & Kay, S. A. (2010). Suprachiasmatic nucleus: Cell autonomy and network properties. *Annual Review of Physiology*, 72, 551–577. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physiol-021909-135919>.
- Whitehurst, L. N., Fogler, K., Hall, K., Hartmann, M., & Dyche, J. (2015). The effects of chronic marijuana use on circadian entrainment. *Chronobiology International*, 32(4), 561–567. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/07420528.2015.1004078>.
- WHO (1997). *The Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI)*. Geneva: World Health Organization.