A fully human anti-Ep-CAM scFv-beta-glucuronidase fusion protein for selective chemotherapy with a glucurononide prodrug
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Monoclonal antibodies against tumour-associated antigens could be useful to deliver enzymes selectively to the site of a tumour for activation of a non-toxic prodrug. A completely human fusion protein may be advantageous for repeated administration, as host immune responses may be avoided. We have constructed a fusion protein consisting of a human single chain Fv antibody, C28, against the epithelial cell adhesion molecule and the human enzyme beta-glucuronidase. The sequences encoding C28 and human enzyme beta-glucuronidase were joined by a sequence encoding a flexible linker, and were preceded by the IgGk signal sequence for secretion of the fusion protein. A CHO cell line was engineered to secrete C28-beta-glucuronidase fusion protein. Antibody specificity and enzyme activity were retained in the secreted fusion protein that had an apparent molecular mass of 100 kDa under denaturing conditions. The fusion protein was able to convert a non-toxic prodrug of doxorubicin, N-[4-doxorubicin-N-carbonyl(oxy)methyl]phenyl]-O-beta-glucuronyl carbamate to doxorubicin, resulting in cytotoxicity. A bystander effect was demonstrated, as doxorubicin was detected in all cells after N-[4-doxorubicin-N-carbonyl(oxy)methyl]phenyl]-O-beta-glucuronyl carbamate administration when only 10% of the cells expressed the fusion protein. This is the first fully human and functional fusion protein consisting of an scFv against epithelial cell adhesion molecule and human enzyme beta-glucuronidase for future use in tumour-specific activation of a non-toxic glucuronide prodrug.
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Treatment of solid tumours with conventional cytotoxic drugs lacks selectivity and thus results in toxic dose-limiting side effects. In addition, the survival of drug-resistant tumour cells will occur due to insufficient drug concentrations at the site of the tumour. Selectivity in cancer therapy could be conveyed by monomolecular antibodies against tumour-associated antigens. These antibodies have been used as carriers of cytotoxic agents, such as conventional cytotoxic drugs, radioisotopes, or toxins derived from plants or bacteria. Monoclonal antibodies can also be used for tumour-selective delivery of an enzyme that converts a relatively non-toxic prodrug into its toxic drug. This approach is called antibody-directed enzyme prodrug therapy (ADEPT) (Bagshawe, 1987; Senter et al, 1988). The idea of using antibodies to localize enzymes to the tumour was originally conceived by Philpott et al (1973). The selective activation of the prodrug at the site of the tumour should enhance the drug concentration in the tumour and result in a better anti-tumour effect and a reduction of systemic toxicity.

The occurrence of an immune response hampers repeated administration of a non-human enzyme immunonjugate, as prolonged treatment may prove necessary for optimal efficacy in solid tumours. In the clinical trial reported by Sharma et al (1992) all patients developed detectable antibodies against the murine antibody fragment and against the bacterial enzyme within 10 days after a single dose of antibody-enzyme conjugate. Therefore, it is desirable to use human proteins, as is also underlined by the recent clinical progress with engineered human antibodies (McLaughlin et al, 1998; Pegram et al, 1998; Vincenti et al, 1998).

A suitable candidate for a human prodrug-converting enzyme could be human beta-glucuronidase (GUSh). GUSh is localised intracellularly in microsomes and lysosomes and its activity is not detectable in human blood. This enzyme is able to convert a hydrophilic prodrug of doxorubicin, N-[4-doxorubicin-N-carbonyl(oxy)methyl]phenyl]-O-beta-glucuronyl carbamate (DOX-GA3), which is not able to cross cell membranes (Houba et al, 1996). Therefore, DOX-GA3 is not available for conversion by the endogenous enzyme under normal circumstances. An additional advantage of this human enzyme is the fact that, in larger tumours, the glucuronyl-doxorubicin prodrug has an inhibiting effect on tumour growth on its own (Bosslet et al, 1995; Houba et al, 2001a). The success of the glucuronyl-doxorubicin prodrug is ascribed to release of the enzyme from necrotic tumour cells and macrophages in larger tumours (Bosslet et al, 1998). A potential limitation of glucuronide prodrugs in monotherapy is that they are not activated homogeneously throughout the tumour tissue, but only at the site of necrosis and inflammatory cell infiltration. Furthermore, small tumour lesions do not yet contain necrotic areas. The efficacy of prodrug monotherapy could be increased by exogenous administration of targeted beta-glucuronidase.
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Previous studies with monoclonal antibodies against the pancarcinoma antigen, epithelial cell adhesion molecule (Ep-CAM), have shown tumour selectivity (De Bree et al, 1994; Riethmüller et al, 1994). We have already demonstrated that chemical conjugates consisting of the anti-Ep-CAM monoclonal antibody 323/ A3 and GUS specifically localised into the Ep-CAM expressing tumour in nude mice bearing human ovarian cancer xenografts (Houha et al, 2001b). Administration of the conjugate and DOX-GA3 in this model resulted in an enhanced inhibition of tumour growth as compared to that obtained with DOX-GA3 alone. The production of chemical conjugates, however, is very laborious. The production of genetic fusion proteins is obviously an attractive alternative. We have previously shown that fully functional scFv-GUS fusion proteins can be produced in mammalian cells (Haisma et al, 1998a,b). Thus far, the scFvs used were from murine origin. Genetic fusion of GUS to a human scFv would result in a fully human, and as a result in a non-immunogenic, fusion protein.

In the present study, we constructed a fusion protein consisting of the genes encoding the human scFv against Ep-CAM (C28) and GUS. The fusion protein was expressed in eukaryotic cells, because GUS requires N-linked glycosylation for activity (Tabas and Kornfeld, 1980). The construct contained a signal sequence at the 5' end for secretion and a myc- and 6His-tag at the 3' end for easy detection and purification. The enzymatic activity, antibody-binding specificity, and prodrug activation of the secreted fusion protein were analysed. We demonstrate that mammalian cells transduced with the C28-GUSh construct secrete fully functional fusion protein. Therefore, this fully human construct is a candidate for tumour-specific activation of the prodrug DOX-GA3.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

Two DNA polymerase, PCR buffer and dNTPs were obtained from Roche Biochemicals (Almere, The Netherlands). Restriction enzymes were purchased from New England Biolabs (Beverly, MA, USA) and Life Technologies (Breda, The Netherlands). The kits used for DNA isolation, purification, and extraction from agarose gel, were from Qiagen (Hilden, Germany). The substrate 4-methylumbelliferyl-β-D-glucuronide trihydrate (4-MuGlu) substrate was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands).

Doxorubicin was derived from Pharmacia and Upjohn (Woerden, The Netherlands). The prodrg DOX-GA3 was synthesised as described (Leenders et al, 1999).

**Cell lines**

The COS-7 and CHO cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD, USA) and were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 5% heat-inactivated FCS (Life Technologies) and antibiotics in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2 at 37°C. The human ovarian cancer cell line OVCAR-3 (Hamilton et al, 1983) was grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS and antibiotics. The human glioma-derived cell line U118 MG (glioblastoma multiforme) was obtained from Dr JT Douglas (Gene Therapy Centre, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA) and grown under the same conditions.

**Construction of pC28-GUSh**

The anti-Ep-CAM scFv C28 was derived from scFv UBS-54, which was isolated from a semi-synthetic phage antibody display library in a PHEN vector (Huls et al, 1999). An SfiI/NotI fragment encoding the scFv C28 was isolated from the PHEN vector and cloned into the eukaryotic expression vector, pSTCF (Arafat et al, 2000), containing a secretion signal and a myc- and 6His-tag. The pSTCF vector was digested with the same enzymes. A flexible (Gly,Ser)2 linker was introduced downstream of C28. For this purpose, two overlapping primers with 5' phosphorylation were designed (Table 1). Hybridization of the primers resulted in a 5’ end that was compatible with NotI and a 3’ end compatible with Apal. The primers were designed in such a way that the NotI site at the 5’ end of the linker disappeared upon ligation into the vector. A new NotI site was introduced at the 3’ end of the sequence encoding the linker to allow insertion of GUSh downstream of the (Gly,Ser)2 linker.

The cDNA encoding GUSh was cloned into the new NotI site at the 3’ end of the linker. Therefore, the NotI site present within the cDNA encoding GUSh was eliminated first. To this end, the cDNA encoding GUSh (Oshima et al, 1987) was recloned into pcDNA3 (Invitrogen, Groningen, The Netherlands). A primer, GUSh NotI mut, was designed with one silent mismatch within the sequence encoding the NotI site and overlying the KpnI site just 5’ of the NotI site (Table 1). A PCR was performed using this primer and a primer that anneals at the BGH polyadenylation site of pcDNA3/GUSh. The PCR product was digested with KpnI and SacII. This fragment containing the mutated NotI site was used to replace the KpnI/SacII fragment in pcDNA3/GUSh.

The oligonucleotide pair GUSh NotI 5’ and GUSh NotI 3’ was then used to amplify the cDNA encoding mature GUSh (Table 1). Both primers contained a NotI site at the 5’ end. The PCR fragment was cut with NotI, and inserted into the NotI site of the vector containing C28 with (Gly,Ser)2 linker to obtain pC28-GUSh.

**Expression and purification of C28-GUSh fusion protein**

To obtain stable transfectants, the pc28-GUSh was transfected into CHO cells by Lipofectamine Plus reagent (Life Technologies). Cells were grown in complete culture medium for 48 h. Transfected cells were selected by limiting dilution in medium containing 800 μg ml−1 zeocin (Invitrogen). Resistant clones were grown in medium supplemented with 400 μg ml−1 zeocin and screened for β-glucuronidase activity in culture medium as described below.

**β-Glucuronidase activity**

Supernatants of stably transfected CHO clones were analysed for β-glucuronidase activity. To this end, 10 μl supernatant was suspended in 100 μl 1 mM 4-MuGlu in sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.2) with 0.1% BSA and incubated for 1 h at 37°C. The reaction was terminated by the addition of 1 ml 0.1 M glycine/NaOH pH 10.6 to 50 μl reaction mix. The fluorescent product, 4-methylumbelliferone, was measured using an excitation wavelength of 370 nm and an emission wavelength of 450 nm on the Fluorescence Spectrometer 3000 (Perkin Elmer). The stable CHO clone with the highest amounts of β-glucuronidase activity in the supernatant, CHO/C28-GUSh, was selected for further experiments.

**Table 1** Primers for introduction of a (Gly,Ser) linker and cloning of GUS into the expression construct

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence (5’ → 3’)</th>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linker forward</td>
<td>gcggccgcggagctccgagcctgccgcgcctgagctgcggccgcggcc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linker reversed</td>
<td>gcggccgcggagctccgagcctgccgcgcctgagctgcggccgcggcc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGH</td>
<td>tagagcccagctggag</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUSh NotI mut</td>
<td>aggagccagccagcctgcc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUSh NotI 5’</td>
<td>gcggccggcgcgccgtcggcgccgccccgcctgcgcgc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUSh NotI 3’</td>
<td>ttggaggccggccagctgccggtgcc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sequences encoding restriction sites within the primers are presented in italic.*
Western blot analysis

Western blot analysis was used to assess the size of the fusion protein. Supernatant from CHO/C28-GUSh (15 μl) was dissolved in sample buffer (Laemmli, 1970) with 2-mercaptoethanol and boiled at 95°C for 5 min. Samples were subjected to electrophoresis through a 10% sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel under denaturing conditions. Protein bands were subsequently electro-blotted onto PVDF protein membrane (Bio-Rad, Veenendaal, The Netherlands). Recombinant C28-GUSh fusion protein was detected using anti-myc antibody 9E10 (Chan et al, 1987), and horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse IgG (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). Blots were developed with Lumilight Plus (Roche).

FACS analysis

Binding of C28-GUSh to OVCAR-3 cells was determined by FACS analysis. OVCAR-3 cells were trypsinized for 5 min at 37°C, washed with DMEM, counted and resuspended in PBS. A total of 0.5 × 10^6 cells were incubated with 50 μl supernatant of CHO/C28-GUSh or normal CHO cells on ice for 1 h and washed three times with PBS. Then, cells were incubated with the mouse anti-myc antibody 9E10 (Chan et al, 1987) in PBS/0.1% BSA, washed three times with PBS, and stained with fluorescein-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse IgG (Dako). Stained cells were fixed with 1% formaldehyde in PBS and analysed on a FACScan flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, Erembodegem-Aalst, Belgium).

Cell-associated β-glucuronidase activity

The C28-GUSh fusion protein in supernatant from CHO/C28-GUSh was incubated with OVCAR-3 cells for 1 h at 4°C to demonstrate cell-associated enzymatic activity. Specificity was determined by preincubation with the anti-Ep-CAM antibody 323/A3 (10 μg ml⁻¹) to prevent binding of the fusion protein. Unbound material was separated from the cells by centrifugation. The cell pellet was washed with PBS containing 0.1% BSA and was suspended in 150 μl 1 ml M 4-MuGlu in buffer (pH 4.2) for measurement of β-glucuronidase activity as described above. The reaction was stopped after 30 min and fluorescence was measured.

Quality control of the fusion protein

In order to determine the binding and enzymic activity of the fusion protein as compared to the antibody and the enzyme alone, we transiently transfected COS-7 cells with pSTCF/C28, pcDNA3.1-mycHis/GUSh or pC28GUSH. Cell lysates of transfected cells were analyzed on Western blot by anti-myc staining as described above. We transiently transfected COS-7 cells with pSTCF/C28, pcDNA3.1-mycHis/GUSh or pC28GUSH. Cell lysates of transfected cells were analyzed on Western blot by anti-myc staining as described above. We transiently transfected COS-7 cells with pSTCF/C28, pcDNA3.1-mycHis/GUSh or pC28GUSH. Cell lysates of transfected cells were analyzed on Western blot by anti-myc staining as described above.

In vitro antiproliferative effects

The in vitro antiproliferative effects of the prodrgu DOX-GA3 on OVCAR-3 cells incubated with C28-GUSh fusion protein were determined as previously described (Haisma et al, 1998b). In a separate sample, preincubation with an excess of anti-Ep-CAM antibody 323/A3 (10 μg ml⁻¹) was done to evaluate binding specificity of C28-GUSh. After 96 h the wells were incubated with cell proliferation reagent WST-1 (Roche) for 1 h at 37°C. The absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 450 nm. The antiproliferative effects were determined and expressed as IC₅₀ values, the concentrations that give 50% growth inhibition compared with control cell growth.

Bystander effect

CHO/C28-GUSh cells were plated together with Ep-CAM positive OVCAR-3 cells, or as a negative control with the Ep-CAM negative cell line U118, at a ratio of 1:10 in a six-well plate (2 × 10⁵ cells per well), and were grown for 72 h. Then, cells were washed three times with culture medium to remove unbound fusion protein and were incubated with 10 μM DOX-GA3 for 8 h. Cells were fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton. Expression of C28-GUSh was detected by indirect immunofluorescence with mouse anti-myc antibody 9E10 (Chan et al, 1987) and fluorescein-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse secondary antibody (Dako) using confocal laser scan microscopy. Converted prodrgu was seen as red autofluorescence of the nuclei, due to DNA-intercalating doxorubicin, with an emission maximum of 600 nm.

RESULTS

Construction of C28-GUSh fusion protein

The plasmid pC28-GUSh was constructed as shown in Figure 1. The cDNA encoding the anti-Ep-CAM scFv C28 was isolated from a pHEN vector and inserted into the pSTCF vector (Arafat et al, 2000). The cDNA encoding GUSh was inserted in frame with the scFv separated by a 15-amino-acid linker segment containing (Gly4Ser)2 flanked on both sides by three alanine residues, encoded as described above.

Expression and characterisation of the fusion protein

A stable CHO cell line expressing C28-GUSh fusion protein was constructed. Clones were screened for β-glucuronidase activity in
supernatant. The clone with the highest activity was chosen for further evaluation and was called CHO/C28-GUSh. Secreted fusion protein present in the supernatant of these cells was analysed by SDS–PAGE and Western blotting with an anti-myc antibody. The fusion protein monomers migrated with an apparent molecular weight of about 100 kDa (Figure 2). The apparent molecular mass under non-denaturing conditions as determined by size exclusion chromatography was approximately 403 kDa (data not shown), which is in agreement with GUS being active as a tetramer (Brot et al, 1978).

The binding of the fusion protein in CHO/C28-GUSh supernatant to Ep-CAM expressing OVCAR-3 cells was demonstrated by FACS analysis (Figure 3A). Supernatant from untransfected CHO cells served as negative control. Binding of functional fusion protein was confirmed by an assay for cell-associated enzyme activity (Figure 3B). There was indeed β-glucuronidase activity associated with cells incubated with CHO/C28-GUSh supernatant, and not with cells incubated with supernatant from untransfected CHO cells. This activity was specifically bound to Ep-CAM, because incubation with an excess of the anti-Ep-CAM antibody 323/A3 together with CHO/C28-GUSh supernatant resulted in a significantly reduced level of β-glucuronidase activity bound to OVCAR-3 cells.

In order to determine the binding and enzyme activity of the fusion protein as compared to the antibody and the enzyme alone, we performed, respectively, a FACS analysis and an enzyme activity assay with comparable amounts of protein. The binding of C28GUSh to OVCAR-3 cells was at least 50% of that from a comparable amount of C28 alone. Furthermore, the enzyme activity of C28GUSh was also at least 50% of the activity of GUS alone (data not shown).
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**Figure 2** Western blot analysis of the C28-GUSh fusion protein. The molecular weight of C28-GUSh was determined by SDS–PAGE/Western blot using an anti-myc antibody 9E10 (Chan et al, 1987). The sizes of the molecular mass markers (Kaledioscope prestained standards, Borard) are indicated on the left. Lane 1: supernatant of the stable CHO/C28GUSh cell line. Lane 2: supernatant of untransfected CHO cells. The apparent mass of the full length C28-GUSh fusion protein is approximately 100 kDa, as expected.

### DISCUSSION

We have constructed an expression plasmid for the production of a single-chain antibody-enzyme fusion protein composed of the human anti-Ep-CAM scFv antibody C28 and the human lysosomal enzyme GUS. The C28-GUSh fusion protein was expressed by eukaryotic CHO cells containing the expression construct and showed membrane staining by bound C28-GUSh (Figure 5A, green fluorescence), whereas the membranes of the Ep-CAM negative U118 cells mixed with CHO/C28-GUSh cells did not contain staining (Figure 5B). All nuclei of OVCAR-3 cells mixed with CHO/C28-GUSh cells were strongly positive for doxorubicin (Figure 5A, red fluorescence). This is the result of prodrug conversion by membrane-bound fusion protein, as no nuclei stained positive for doxorubicin in the wells containing U118 cells mixed with CHO/C28-GUSh cells (Figure 5B). Furthermore, untransfected CHO cells mixed with OVCAR-3 cells did not show any membrane staining and prodrug conversion (data not shown). The cytosol of C28-GUSh expressing CHO cells stained strongly positive for myc-tagged fusion protein. Due to the high levels of C28-GUSh in CHO cells, cytosolic fluorescence detected with the anti-myc antibody interfered with doxorubicin autofluorescence resulting in yellow staining instead of green.

Prodrug activation and anti proliferative effects

OVCAR-3 cells were used to determine the specific activation of the prodrug DOX-GA3 by C28-GUSh to doxorubicin. Under the experimental conditions used, the IC50 value for doxorubicin in OVCAR-3 cells was 5 μM, which confirmed the 2.5 μM value calculated in a previous experiment (Houba et al, 2001a). This relatively high IC50 value could probably be explained by the use of U-bottom wells, in which the cells do not grow as a monolayer, but more like a spheroid. Therefore, not all cells are in direct contact with the drug. This might cause the relatively high IC50 value of doxorubicin in our system.

The prodrug alone was relatively non-toxic with an IC50 value of approximately 60 μM. To determine the effects of prodrug completely hydrolysed by the enzyme, an excess of β-glucuronidase (0.25 U ml⁻¹) was used. Indeed, the IC50 value was the same as the IC50 value for doxorubicin, namely about 5 μM. In other words, the prodrug was completely converted to doxorubicin. Similarly, preincubation of OVCAR-3 cells with C28-GUSh increased the antiproliferative effects of the prodrug as the IC50 value decreased from 60 to 10 μM as a result from activation (Figure 4). This effect could be inhibited almost completely by preincubation with the anti-Ep-CAM antibody 323/A3.

In order to assess a potential bystander effect due to prodrug conversion by secreted and targeted GUSh, we mixed CHO/C28-GUSh with OVCAR-3 or U118 cells, representing, respectively, Ep-CAM positive and Ep-CAM negative cells. For this purpose, we made use of the strong autofluorescence of doxorubicin. DOX-GA3 is hydrophilic and cannot pass cell membranes. After cleavage of the prodrug, the generated hydrophobic doxorubicin can pass cell membranes and can be detected as red nuclear fluorescence within the cells. This experiment was carried out with 10% C28-GUSh expressing cells and 90% OVCAR-3 or U118 cells. Three days after plating, cells were washed to discard unbound fusion protein and prodrug was added for 8 h. The presence of C28-GUSh was visualised by indirect immunohistochemistry for myc-tagged protein.

OVCAR-3 cells showed membrane staining by bound C28-GUSh (Figure 5A, green fluorescence), whereas the membranes of the Ep-CAM negative U118 cells mixed with CHO/C28-GUSh cells did not contain staining (Figure 5B). All nuclei of OVCAR-3 cells mixed with CHO/C28-GUSh cells were strongly positive for doxorubicin (Figure 5A, red fluorescence). This is the result of prodrug conversion by membrane-bound fusion protein, as no nuclei stained positive for doxorubicin in the wells containing U118 cells mixed with CHO/C28-GUSh cells (Figure 5B). Furthermore, untransfected CHO cells mixed with OVCAR-3 cells did not show any membrane staining and prodrug conversion (data not shown). The cytosol of C28-GUSh expressing CHO cells stained strongly positive for myc-tagged fusion protein. Due to the high levels of C28-GUSh in CHO cells, cytosolic fluorescence detected with the anti-myc antibody interfered with doxorubicin autofluorescence resulting in yellow staining instead of green.
similar fusion protein consisting of a murine scFv antibody and \( \beta \)-glucuronidase (Haisma et al., 1998b). The C28-GUSh fusion protein is fully functional and could therefore be useful for selective activation of a relatively non-toxic prodrug at the site of the tumour.

A fully human fusion protein is less likely to elicit an immune response in patients than a fusion protein containing non-human peptides. The immune response in patients has been reported to limit ADEPT to only one cycle of treatment (Sharma et al., 1992). In that particular study, human anti-mouse antibodies (HAMA) and human anti-enzyme antibodies were detected in all patients treated with a mouse monoclonal antibody conjugated to the bacterial enzyme carboxypeptidase G2. The immunosuppressive agents, cyclosporin A or deoxyspergualin, can delay the host immune response allowing two or three cycles of treatment to be given (Dhingra et al., 1995; Bagshawe and Sharma, 1996). Cyclosporin A, however, leads to additional toxicity. Development of HAMA and human anti-enzyme antibodies might be prevented by using a human antibody and a human enzyme.

Previously, the functional characterisation of a fusion protein consisting of the humanized fragment of an anti-CEA monoclonal antibody and GUSh expressed in BHK cells has been reported (Bosslet et al., 1992). The use of a humanised antibody fragment in a fusion protein is not ideal. Although humanised antibodies are less immunogenic than murine antibodies, anti-idiotype antibodies can develop as was shown in patients as well as in Rhesus monkeys (Reimann et al., 1997; Richards et al., 1999). This may be due to the variable regions of humanised antibodies being still of murine origin.

---

**Figure 3** (A) Binding of the secreted C28-GUSh fusion protein to OVCAR-3 cells as measured by FACS. Ep-CAM positive OVCAR-3 cells were incubated with supernatant of the CHO/C28-GUSh cell line (solid line). OVCAR-3 cells exposed to supernatant of untransfected CHO cells served as a negative control (dotted line). Binding was visualised with mouse anti-myc antibody and fluorescein-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse IgG. (B) OVCAR-3 cells incubated with supernatant derived from CHO/C28-GUSh (lane 2) or untransfected CHO cells (lane 1) were analysed for cell-associated enzyme activity. Binding specificity was tested by incubating OVCAR-3 cells with supernatant of CHO/C28-GUSh together with an excess of the anti-Ep-CAM antibody, 323/A3 (lane 3). Unbound material was separated from the cells by centrifugation. The pelleted cells were incubated with 1 mM 4-MuGlu as a substrate and fluorescence was measured after 30 min.
As an alternative to ADEPT, gene-directed enzyme prodrug therapy (GDEPT) is currently under study. In the GDEPT approach, the gene encoding the enzyme is delivered selectively to tumour cells. The advantage of GDEPT is that the enzyme is continuously produced by the transduced cells, resulting in accumulation of the enzyme in the tumour. It has recently been shown that GDEPT using tumour cells expressing a secreted form of GUS is effective in induction of tumour cell killing in vitro and in vivo (Weyel et al, 2000). The presence of approximately 7% transduced cells was sufficient to obtain a therapeutic effect in a mouse model. A potential disadvantage is, however, that untargeted GUS may leak from the tumour, resulting in conversion of prodrug outside of the tumour area. In fact, leakage of GUS from the site of production has been demonstrated (Stein et al, 2000).

Figure 4  Antiproliferative effects of doxorubicin, DOX-GA3, and activated DOX-GA3. Cells were incubated with supernatant of CHO/C28-GUSh without or with preincubation with an anti-Ep-CAM antibody as blocking agent or PBS. Cells were then seeded in 96-well plates and exposed to drug or prodrug in triplo. As a control, cells were grown in the presence of 0.25 U ml⁻¹ GUSh and prodrug. After 72 h, cell growth was measured with a protein dye, WST-1, and the IC₅₀ values were determined.

Figure 5  Tumour-specific prodrug conversion by C28GUSh. CHO/C28-GUSh cells were plated after mixture with Ep-CAM positive OVCAR-3 cells (A) or Ep-CAM negative U118 cells (B), as a negative control, at a ratio of 1:10. After 3 days of growth, medium was aspirated and cells were washed and subsequently incubated with medium containing 10 µM DOX-GA3 for 8 h. Thereafter, cells were fixed and C28-GUSh expressing cells and cells which had bound C28-GUSh were detected by indirect immunofluorescence using an anti-myc antibody and a fluorescein conjugated secondary antibody (green staining). Prodrug conversion is visualised as the red nuclear staining of doxorubicin within the cells.
The aim of that study was correction of mucopolysaccharidosis type VII, a lysosomal storage disease caused by a deficiency in functional GUS. For systemic correction of GUS deficiency, diffusion of the enzyme from the liver is necessary. Indeed, after intravenous delivery of an adenosivirus expressing GUS resulting in transduction of mainly liver cells, the investigators found corrective levels of GUS activity in blood and uptake at sites that are poorly transduced, such as kidneys and lungs.

In GDEPT, diffusion of the enzyme from the tumour should be prevented at all costs, as it will negatively affect tumour selectivity. The solution to this problem could be secretion of a targeted form or a surface tethered form of GUS by transduced tumour cells, as it might result in retention of the enzyme within the tumour.

Recently, a surface tethered form of GUS has been constructed by fusion of the transmembrane domain of the human PDGFR receptor to a C-terminally truncated form of GUS (Heine et al, 2001). This GDEPT system has been shown to produce a potent anti-tumour effect in vivo. We hypothesise that secretion of a targeted form of GUS may result in a more pronounced bystander effect, as the enzyme will diffuse within the tumour and bind to neighboring antigen-positive cells. The C28-GUS construct is a possible candidate for this purpose. In view of this, we have shown in vitro that 10% of C28-GUS expressing cells suffice to achieve uptake of doxorubicin into all cells in the population. Moreover, that 10% of C28-GUSh expressing cells suffice to achieve uptake of doxorubicin into all cells in the population. Moreover, the secreted fusion protein C28-GUS could be cell specifically to untransduced, Ep-CAM positive cells. This is very important for future in vivo application, as not all cells in the tumour will be transduced with the fusion construct.

In summary, we have engineered a fully human and functional fusion protein for future application in selective chemotherapy. This construct could be produced on a large-scale for ADEPT. In addition, it could be used for cancer gene therapy. In the latter case, the fusion protein would be produced locally within the tumour by infected tumour cells, and bind to the relevant antigen. The secretion of a targeted enzyme within the tumour should ensure a high therapeutic index for a clinically important cytotoxic drug when activated specifically at the site of the tumour.
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