University of Groningen

Social Status of Adolescents With an Early Onset of Externalizing Behavior

Franken, Aart; Harakeh, Zeena; Veenstra, Rene; Vollebergh, Wilma; Dijkstra, Jan Kornelis

Published in:
Journal of Early Adolescence

DOI:
[10.1177/0272431616636478](https://doi.org/10.1177/0272431616636478)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2017

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Franken, A., Harakeh, Z., Veenstra, R., Vollebergh, W., & Dijkstra, J. K. (2017). Social Status of Adolescents With an Early Onset of Externalizing Behavior: The SNARE Study. *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 37(8), 1037-1053. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0272431616636478>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Social Status of Adolescents With an Early Onset of Externalizing Behavior: The SNARE Study

Journal of Early Adolescence

2017, Vol. 37(8) 1037–1053

© The Author(s) 2016

Reprints and permissions:

sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav

DOI: 10.1177/0272431616636478

journals.sagepub.com/home/jea

**Aart Franken¹, Zeena Harakeh¹,
Rene Veenstra², Wilma Vollebergh¹,
and Jan Kornelis Dijkstra²**

Abstract

This study investigated the social status (i.e., popularity, likeability, and friendships) of adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior (i.e., alcohol use, tobacco use, and antisocial behavior). Building on Moffitt's dual-taxonomy model, it was hypothesized that early onset adolescents were more popular, but not necessarily more liked or with more friends. Hypotheses were tested using data from the Social Network Analysis of Risk Behaviors in Early Adolescence (SNARE) study ($N = 1,100$, 50% boys, $\bar{X}_{\text{age}} = 12.7$, $SD = 0.47$ years). Findings indicated that adolescents with an early onset of one or more externalizing behaviors were more popular, less liked, and had as many friends as their peers. These findings suggest that early onset adolescents potentially function as role models.

Keywords

alcohol use, antisocial behavior, tobacco use, popularity, early adolescence, social status

¹Utrecht University, Department of Interdisciplinary Social Science, Utrecht Centre of Child and Adolescent Studies, The Netherlands

²University of Groningen, Department of Sociology, Interuniversity Center for Social Science Theory and Methodology, The Netherlands

Corresponding Author:

Aart Franken, Utrecht University, Heidelberglaan 1, Utrecht 3584 CS, The Netherlands.

Email: A.Franken@uu.nl

During adolescence, there is an increase in externalizing behaviors, such as alcohol use, tobacco use, and antisocial behavior (e.g., Currie et al., 2012; Jennings & Reingle, 2012). According to the dual-taxonomy model (Moffitt, 1993), the “maturity gap” is important for understanding this adolescent onset of externalizing behavior. Adolescents experience this gap when they feel biologically mature, although they do not yet have the same rights and responsibilities in society as adults. Externalizing behavior thus gives them an opportunity to be seen as mature, autonomous, and adult-like in their peer group. Those adolescents who engage in externalizing behavior at an early age (i.e., having an early onset) gain high status among their peers as they show how to deal successfully with the maturity gap. Consequently, these early adolescents are expected to become important role models to their peers, evoking the imitation and mimicry of their externalizing behaviors (Moffitt, 1993, 2007; Moffitt & Caspi, 2001). This idea is a crucial part of the dual-taxonomy model of Moffitt (1993), as it explains the spread of externalizing behaviors among adolescents, namely, from those with an early onset to their peers.

Surprisingly, only a few studies explicitly tested an important part of this hypothesis, whether an early onset of externalizing behavior is related to a high status in the peer group. Two studies investigated the social status of adolescents with a profile of life course-persistent, and likely an early onset of, externalizing behaviors such as delinquency (Rulison, Kreager, & Osgood, 2014) or aggression (Young, 2013), using the number of friendships as an indicator for social status. Both studies showed that adolescents with a stable delinquency or aggression level had fewer friends than their peers.

These findings seemingly contradict the idea that an early onset of externalizing behavior is associated with high status among peers. However, whereas these two previous studies focused on number of friends as indicator of social status, popularity, sometimes referred to as perceived popularity, is a different conceptualization of social status (Cillessen & Rose, 2005; Gifford-Smith & Brownell, 2003; LaFontana & Cillessen, 1998; Mayeux, Houser, & Dyches, 2011; Parkhurst & Hopmeyer, 1998). Whereas being well liked or having many friends is mainly associated with prosocial characteristics such as being kind and trustworthy (Parkhurst & Hopmeyer, 1998), popularity has been linked to different forms of externalizing behaviors such as aggression, alcohol use, tobacco use, and norm-breaking behavior (e.g., Dijkstra, Lindenberg, Verhulst, Ormel, & Veenstra, 2009; Hawke & Rieger, 2013; Mayeux, Sandstrom, & Cillessen, 2008). Thus, although popularity is generally associated with externalizing behavior, acceptance is not (see also, Agan et al., 2014; Cillessen & Rose, 2005; Mayeux et al., 2011). Moreover, popular adolescents are considered attractive for affiliation (Dijkstra,

Cillessen, & Borch, 2013), dominant, powerful, and influential (Lease, Kennedy, & Axelrod, 2002). In this respect, popularity seems to better reflect the idea of role models as formulated by Moffitt (1993) than the number of friends.

We extend research on the association between externalizing behavior and social status in two ways. First, to date, studies investigating social status and externalizing behavior mainly focused on middle adolescence without differentiating between adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behaviors and their peers with an adolescent onset of externalizing behaviors. Although Cillessen and Mayeux (2004) examined popularity during late childhood and early adolescence, they only focused on relational and physical aggression, which only represents a small part of adolescents' externalizing behaviors. Hence, examining an early onset of a broader range of externalizing behaviors might provide a more detailed picture of its link with popularity.

Second, originally Moffitt (1993) did not explicitly differentiate in the conceptualization of social status between being liked, having many friends, and being popular. However, the characterization of the status of adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior seems to reflect popularity, rather than having many friends or being well liked, as adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior are argued to obtain an influential position in the peer system. Indeed, later Moffitt (2007) called for studies to investigate the popularity of adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior. Moreover, Moffitt (1993) explicitly mentioned that liking is not needed to successfully copy behavior: "What is contended is that adolescents-limited youths should regard life-course persistent youths as models, and life-course persistent teens should regard themselves as magnets for other teens. Neither perception need involve reciprocal liking between individuals" (p. 688).

This study aimed to investigate the hypothesis of Moffitt (1993) that adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior are considered popular in early adolescence. In so doing, we focused on three types of social status: popularity, likeability, and friendships. This allowed comparing current findings with aforementioned studies. Second, we identified externalizing behavior among adolescents who just left elementary school when they entered a new and larger social network at secondary school in the Netherlands (when they are around 12.5 years old). Entering secondary school exposes adolescents to a larger peer group with less adult supervision as well as older peers, thus increasing the likelihood for adolescents to experience the maturity gap. Therefore, the entry to secondary school and the ages between 11 and 13 are important for starting adolescent onset externalizing behavior (Moffitt, 1993).

Hence, identifying externalizing behavior at the very start of secondary school allows studying early adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior. Moreover, it allows studying whether early adolescents who become interested in externalizing behavior perceive their more experienced peers as being more popular; presumably, these more experienced peers become role models. To this end, several types of externalizing behavior were taken into consideration, that is, alcohol use, tobacco use, and antisocial behavior. Having an onset of multiple behaviors might signal being more experienced in externalizing behavior and thus increases the chance of being popular during early adolescence. Specifically, the following hypotheses will be tested: Adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior will be more popular, but not necessarily (Hypothesis 1) more liked (Hypothesis 2) or with more friends (Hypothesis 3). These effects were expected to be especially strong for adolescents who have an early onset of several externalizing behaviors. In addition, we investigated possible gender differences to assess if, in line with the expectations of Moffitt and Caspi (2001), findings will be similar for boys and girls.

Method

Participants and Procedure

Data were derived from the SNARE (Social Network Analysis of Risk Behaviors in Early Adolescence) study. SNARE is an ongoing prospective cohort study involving two schools in two regions of the Netherlands (see also Dijkstra et al., 2015; Franken et al., 2016). Participants were recruited in their first or second grade of school (i.e., similar to seventh-eighth grades in the United States) in Year 1. In Year 2, a second cohort was added, including students in first grade at the same schools. A passive consent procedure was used; students or their parents were asked to send a reply card or email within 2 weeks, if they wished to refrain from participation. In total, 1,826 students were approached for this study, of which 40 students (2.2%) refused to participate. A total of 1,786 students participated in SNARE ($\bar{X}$ age Time 1 = 12.9 years, $SD = 0.70$, 50.1% male, 83.9% Dutch). The study was approved by the institutional review board (IRB) of one of the participating universities.

For the current study, only participants from the first grade were included in order to assess a valid indication of early onset externalizing behavior at the beginning of secondary school. Participants who attended the pre-assessment and the first wave of data collection were included, resulting in a sample of 1,100 first-grade students in secondary school (50% boys), aged 11.1 to 15.6 years ($\bar{X} = 12.7$, $SD = 0.47$ years). A total of 97% of participants

were born in the Netherlands (as were 87% of their fathers and 88% of their mothers). The pre-assessment took place in the first weeks of the school year (September), and the first assessment took place in October (Time 1). During the pre-assessment and the first assessment, participants completed self-reported study questionnaires on the computer while a teacher and research assistant were present. During the first assessment, peer nominations were also completed by participants, using CS socio software (www.sociometric-study.com). Participants were presented with a roster including all the names of their classmates, in alphabetical order but starting with a random name. Participants were allowed to nominate same and cross-gender peers.

Measures

Self-reported externalizing behaviors (pre-assessment, Time 1). At the pre-assessment and Time 1, participants reported their engagement in three forms of externalizing behavior: alcohol use, tobacco use, and antisocial behavior. At pre-assessment, participants were asked if they ever engaged in these behaviors, at Time 1, participants were asked if they engaged in these behaviors since the pre-assessment. For *alcohol use*, participants used a 13-point scale (ranging from 0 to over 40 times) to report on how many occasions they consumed at least a glass of alcohol (Light, Greenan, Rusby, Nies, & Snijders, 2013; Wallace et al., 2002). For *tobacco use*, participants used a 7-point scale (ranging from never to more than 20) to indicate how many cigarettes they smoked (e.g., Monshouwer et al., 2011). *Antisocial behavior* was measured with 17 items by asking participants how often (between 0 to 12 or more times) they had been involved in 17 types of antisocial behavior; including stealing, vandalism, burglary, violence, weapon carrying, threatening to use a weapon, truancy, contact with the police, and fare evasion in public transport (e.g., Nijhof, Scholte, Overbeek, & Engels, 2010; Van der Laan, Veenstra, Bogaerts, Verhulst, & Ormel, 2010).

To obtain an “onset score” at Time 1, the scores of the pre-assessment and Time 1 were combined per behavior. Furthermore, based on recommendations of Farrington and Loeber (2000) and because data using continuous measures of externalizing behavior frequency were highly skewed (see Table 1), all externalizing behavior data were recoded as binary, indicating *no onset at all* (0) or *any onset of alcohol use, tobacco use, or antisocial behavior* (1). As externalizing behaviors are known to cluster together during early adolescence (e.g., Monshouwer et al., 2012), an exploratory factor analysis (using maximum likelihood estimations and oblique rotation) tested if the externalizing behaviors loaded on a single factor. The variables loaded on one factor, explaining 55.3% of the variance, with an eigenvalue greater than 1. Therefore (see

Table 1. Frequency Onset of Externalizing Behavior.

	N of participants	
	No onset	Onset
Antisocial behavior	459	630
Alcohol use	803	271
Tobacco use	950	117
At least 1 externalizing behavior	431	693
At least 2 externalizing behaviors	846	278
Three externalizing behaviors	1,037	87

Tabachnick & Fidell, 2007), a composite variable, representing the number of different externalizing behaviors participants engaged in (i.e., alcohol, tobacco use, antisocial behavior), was computed; resulting in scores between 0 (*no onset of externalizing behaviors*) and 3 (*an onset of all externalizing behaviors*). The binary variables on antisocial behavior, alcohol use, and tobacco use were added, and participants could thus have a score between 0 (*no onset of externalizing behavior*) and 3 (*an onset of all externalizing behaviors*).

Social status (Time 1). At Time 1, *popularity* was assessed by asking “who are most popular,” *likeability* was assessed by asking “who do you like most,” and *number of friends* by asking “which of your classmates are your best friends.” Received scores were summed and divided by the total number of possible nominators (i.e., classmates), to obtain a proportional score which allowed comparing social status between classrooms of different sizes. Therefore, participants could obtain a score between 0 (*no nominations*) and 1 (*nominated by all classmates*). Afterward, these scores were *z* standardized.

Analysis Strategy

To get an overview of the variables, descriptive statistics were calculated. First, the mean, standard deviation, and the bivariate correlations between the main study variables were calculated. Second, to test our hypotheses, externalizing behavior was entered as a dummy-coded contrast in order to assess if there is an additional impact of having an onset of multiple externalizing behaviors compared with having an onset of less of these behaviors. The first dummy-coded variable was coded 0 when participants had no onset of externalizing behavior and 1 if they had an onset of at least 1 externalizing behavior. The second variable was coded 0 if participants had an onset of at most 1 type of externalizing behavior and 1 if participants had an onset of at least two

externalizing behaviors. The last variable was coded 0 if participants had an onset maximum of two externalizing behaviors and 1 if they had an onset of all three externalizing behaviors. Using this method, each group is compared with the previous group. Hence, regression coefficients are additive. For example, the last effect of having an onset of three externalizing behaviors can be calculated by combining this effect with the effects of having at an onset of at least one and at least two externalizing behaviors (see also, Dijkstra, Cillessen, Lindenberg, & Veenstra, 2010; Kalmijn, 1999). Multiple linear regression analyses were run to analyze the association between the onset of externalizing behaviors (alcohol use, tobacco use, and antisocial behavior) and social status (popularity, likeability, friendships), while controlling for gender (girls were coded as 0, boys as 1) and the other status types. Therefore, it was possible to disentangle the different status types. For example, controlling for likeability and friendship in the prediction of popularity assured that the possible association between externalizing behavior and popularity was not explained by an association between externalizing behaviors and being liked or having friends. Rather than identifying a group with a profile of early onset of externalizing behavior, adolescents who had an onset of at least one, at least two, or three externalizing behaviors were compared.

Three analyses were run, one per item of social status (popularity, likeability, and friendships) as the dependent variable. Analyses were run in two steps. First, the main effects of the externalizing behaviors were assessed, while controlling for gender and other types of social status. In the second step, interaction effects between an early onset of externalizing behavior and gender were added.

Results

Descriptive Statistics

First, the mean scores and bivariate correlations between the main study variables were calculated (Table 2). The different social status types correlated positively and moderately (between .32 and .53). Furthermore, whereas popularity and the number of friends were positively correlated with externalizing behaviors, likeability was negatively correlated with these behaviors.

Externalizing Behavior and Social Status

The association between externalizing behavior and social status was examined for each of the three social status types: popularity, likeability, and the number of friends (see Table 3). In the first step of the analyses, social status

Table 2. Means (SD) of, and Correlations Between, the Main Study Variables.

	$\bar{X}$ (SD)	Correlations					
		1	2	3	4	5	6
1. Popularity	0.14 (0.15)	1.00					
2. Likeability	0.39 (0.15)	.32**	1.00				
3. Friendships	0.25 (0.13)	.48**	.53**	1.00			
4. Antisocial behavior	0.58 (0.49)	.19**	-.10**	.08**	1.00		
5. Alcohol use	0.25 (0.43)	.20**	-.06*	.09**	.33**	1.00	
6. Tobacco use	0.11 (0.31)	.17**	-0.02	.05	.26**	.39**	1.00

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$.

was predicted by the amount of externalizing behavior (engagement in more than one, more than two, or three externalizing behaviors), while controlling for gender and the other types of social status. Having an early onset of at least one externalizing behavior was significantly and positively associated with popularity ($B = 0.09$, $t = 2.97$, $p \leq .01$), negatively associated with likeability ($B = -0.07$, $t = -10.66$, $p = .02$), and not associated with friendships ($B = 0.03$, $t = 1.27$, $p = .20$). Furthermore, there was an additive effect of having an onset of multiple externalizing behaviors for popularity and likeability. Participants who had an onset of at least two externalizing behaviors were more popular ($B = 0.07$, $t = 2.25$, $p = .03$) than their peers with an onset of less externalizing behaviors, and those with an onset of three externalizing behaviors were in turn even more popular ($B = 0.12$, $t = 3.90$, $p < .01$). Furthermore, participants who had an onset at least two externalizing behaviors were less liked than their peers with an onset of at least one or no externalizing behaviors ($B = -0.08$, $t = 2.50$, $p = .01$).

The analyses investigating possible gender differences showed that the only differences between boys and girls were in the association between likeability and externalizing behavior. The interaction between externalizing behavior and gender ($B = 0.037$, $SE = 0.017$, $p < .048$) indicated that the negative association between having an onset of at least one externalizing behavior and likeability was stronger for girls than for boys (see Figure 1). Simple slope analyses indicated that for girls, the level of engagement in externalizing behavior was negatively associated with being liked ($B = -0.24$; $p \leq .01$), whereas for boys, this was not the case ($B = -0.02$; $p = .49$). Specifically, girls who had no experience with externalizing behavior were liked more than girls who had any experience in externalizing behavior.

Table 3. Results From Multiple Linear Regressions Predicting Popularity, Likeability, and Friendship From Externalizing Behaviors.

	Popularity			Likeability			Friendships		
	B	t		B	t		B	t	
Adjusted R ² (SE)	.29 (0.13)			.38 (0.12)			.41 (0.10)		
Popularity									
Likeability	0.15**	4.64	0.15**	0.13**	4.64	0.13**	0.32**	12.08	0.32**
Friendships	0.38**	12.08	0.38**	0.49**	17.91	0.49**	0.47**	17.91	0.47**
Sex (0 = girls, 1 = boys)	0.06*	2.18	0.02	0.54	-0.26**	-0.32**	-7.76	0.12**	0.14**
At least 1 externalizing behavior	0.09**	2.97	0.07	1.68	-0.07*	-0.12**	-3.14	0.03	0.06
At least 2 externalizing behaviors	0.07*	2.25	0.09	1.68	-0.08*	-0.03	-0.59	0.04	-0.02
Three externalizing behaviors	0.12**	3.90	0.07	1.36	0.02	0.70	0.03	-0.04	0.00
At least 1 Externalizing Behavior x Gender			0.05	0.83		0.11*	1.98		-0.05
At least 2 Externalizing Behaviors x Gender			-0.02	-0.37		-0.07	-1.27		0.09
Three Externalizing Behaviors x Gender			0.06	1.21		0.02	0.51		-0.05

Note. Effects of having an early onset of multiple behaviors are additive.

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$.

Figure 1. The interaction between gender (girls = 0, boys = 1) and externalizing behavior (no onset of externalizing behavior vs. an onset of at least one externalizing behavior).

Discussion

The main aim of this study was to investigate the social status of adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior. In contrast to earlier studies focusing on the adolescents' number of friends (Rulison et al., 2014; Young, 2013), this study focused on different status types. The findings showed that compared with their peers, adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior are (a) more popular, (b) less liked, (c) have a similar number of friends, and that these findings are stronger for adolescents with an early onset of multiple externalizing behaviors for popularity and likeability. Therefore, we found strong support that adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior are perceived as popular by their peers. In general, the

association between externalizing behavior and status was similar for boys and girls. However, girls who engage in externalizing behavior were less liked compared with their peers who did not engage in this behavior, for boys such difference did not exist.

Current findings show the importance of assessing multiple social status types when looking at the social status of adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior; as externalizing behavior was differently associated with popularity, likeability, and friendship. Moreover, although Moffitt (1993) focused on antisocial behavior, the findings suggest that adolescents who engage in multiple adult-like behaviors such as alcohol and tobacco use, might be perceived as more adult-like and therefore popular. These more experienced adolescents who have an early onset of multiple externalizing behaviors might also more likely be characterized by a profile of early onset externalizing behavior.

We found no differences in the number of friends between adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior and their peers. This finding is in contrast with earlier studies, showing that adolescents with a stable engagement in aggression or delinquency, which might be seen as indicative for an early onset of externalizing behavior, had fewer friends than their peers (Rulison et al., 2014; Young, 2013). Both previous studies investigated friendships in networks where participants already knew one another for a longer period of time, possibly differences in the number of friends of adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior and their peers only exist after their peers know them better; as we assessed status in a new social network when entering secondary school. Alternatively, it might be that especially delinquency and aggression are associated with having fewer friends, rather than smoking and alcohol use; as smoking and alcohol use are more social behaviors.

Looking at gender differences, the association between social status and externalizing behavior only differed between boys and girls for likeability. While for girls the level of externalizing behavior negatively affected their likeability, for boys, externalizing behavior did not alter their likeability. One possible explanation might be that externalizing behaviors are perceived to be more normative for boys than for girls; especially, substance use may be appreciated differently by male and female peers (Mayeux, 2011). This lack of gender differences in popularity or adolescents' number of friends is in line with the expectations of Moffitt and Caspi (2001) who expected that the mechanisms underlying the spread of externalizing behavior are similar for boys and girls. Thus, it seems that for girls, more than for boys, externalizing behavior also comes with disadvantages such as being less liked, next to the advantages such as being popular.

Strengths and Limitations

The current study has several strengths. The main strength is that we managed to show how the status of adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior is perceived by their peers; using peer nominations of age-mates in the same classes at school. Furthermore, popularity, likeability, and friendships were assessed; thus, comparing these three types of social status was possible. Moreover, assessing an early onset of externalizing behavior among participants who just left elementary education allowed identifying them before their peers experienced an adolescent onset of such behaviors. Also, additive effects of having an onset of multiple externalizing behaviors using dummy-coded contrast variables were investigated, based on three types of externalizing behavior (alcohol use, tobacco use, and antisocial behavior). Assessing the additive effects of having an onset of multiple externalizing behaviors allowed studying the additional effect of having more experience in externalizing behavior. Finally, we used self-reported indicators for externalizing behavior and peer reported indicators for social status, therefore, preventing rater bias, which occurs when participants identify both their friends and the externalizing behavior of those friends (see Meldrum, Young, & Weerman, 2009).

This study also has some limitations. First, the study design did not allow participants to be followed from childhood until adulthood. This would be ideal to identify those adolescents with an early onset, or even life-course persistent profile, of externalizing behavior. However, we asked participants about their lifetime engagement in externalizing behavior just after leaving primary school. Thus, we feel fairly confident that the analyses in this study can be seen as an adequate test of the hypothesis of Moffitt about the social status of adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior. Second, as our design was cross-sectional, we could not investigate changes over time. Therefore, we do not know to what extent the image of adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior is specific for the age studied or will change over time; in particular, whether the popular image of this group will decline after adolescence. Moreover, we do not know how early externalizing behavior becomes associated with popularity. According to Moffitt (1993), adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior become popular as their peers become interested in this behavior. However, popular adolescents might also befriend peers with an early onset of externalizing behavior which in turn might increase the popularity of adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior through contagion processes (see Dijkstra et al., 2013; Marks, Cillessen, & Crick, 2012). In addition, future studies should investigate whether these adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior become influential in the spread of externalizing behavior. Finally, longitudinal studies would allow for making

the distinction between the adolescent onset group and non-involved adolescents (i.e., abstainers). This would allow testing whether it is the adolescent onset group that is most sensitive to the early onset adolescents and is most likely to assign them with a popular status. Future longitudinal studies should fill this gap.

Conclusion

Current findings indicate that adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior are perceived as popular by their peers, while they are less liked. Thus, these findings support the claim of Moffitt (1993) that adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior may become popular among their peers. Furthermore, findings expand on recent studies which investigated friendship as an indicator of social status (Rulison et al., 2014; Young, 2013) by comparing friendships with popularity and likeability and showing the importance of comparing these types of social status. Future research should investigate whether adolescents with an early onset of externalizing behavior do indeed influence the development of externalizing behavior among their peers and, thus, could be seen as key agents in the spread of such behavior at this age. Especially, peer-led interventions such as the ASSIST (A Stop Smoking in Schools Trial) program (Starkey, Audrey, Holliday, Moore, & Campbell, 2009), where the most influential adolescents are trained in verbal communication skills and selected to spread smoke-free and health-promoting messages among their peers, should consider that some of the selected adolescent role models might have an early onset of other types of externalizing behavior such as alcohol use or antisocial behavior and might currently engage in several externalizing behaviors. Teaching such adolescents verbal communication skills, without helping them change their externalizing behavior, might actually make them more influential in the spread of their externalizing behavior among their peers. Also, for these intervention programs, it could be beneficial to investigate both the externalizing behavior and the popularity, likeability, and number of friends adolescents have—to find the most influential adolescents to spread their message to prevent adolescent externalizing behavior.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

- Agan, M., Buzila, A., Deutz, M., Edelsbrunner, P. A., Záliš, L., & Franken, A. (2014). Associations between risk behaviour and social status in European adolescents. *European Journal of Developmental Psychology, 12*, 189-203. doi:10.1080/17405629.2014.975790
- Cillessen, A. H. N., & Mayeux, L. (2004). From censure to reinforcement: Developmental changes in the association between aggression and social status. *Child Development, 75*, 147-163. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8624.2004.00660.x
- Cillessen, A. H. N., & Rose, A. J. (2005). Understanding popularity in the peer system. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 14*, 102-105. doi:10.1111/j.0963-7214.2005.00343.x
- Currie, C., Zanotti, C., Morgan, A., Currie, D., de Looze, M., Roberts, C., . . . Barnekow, V. (Eds.). (2012). *Social determinants of health and well-being among young people. Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children (HBSC) study: International report from the 2009/2010 survey*. Copenhagen, Denmark: WHO Regional Office for Europe.
- Dijkstra, J. K., Cillessen, A. H. N., & Borch, C. (2013). Popularity and adolescent friendship networks: Selection and influence dynamics. *Developmental Psychology, 49*, 1242-1252. doi:10.1037/a0030098
- Dijkstra, J. K., Cillessen, A. H. N., Lindenberg, S., & Veenstra, R. (2010). Basking in reflected glory and its limits: Why adolescents hang out with popular peers. *Journal of Research on Adolescence, 20*, 942-958. doi:10.1111/j.1532-7795.2010.00671.x
- Dijkstra, J. K., Kretschmer, T., Pattiselanno, K. L., Franken, A., Harakeh, Z., Vollebergh, W. A. M., & Veenstra, R. (2015). Explaining adolescents' delinquency and substance use: A test of the maturity gap. *Journal of Research on Crime & Delinquency, 52*, 747-767.
- Dijkstra, J. K., Lindenberg, S., Verhulst, F., Ormel, J., & Veenstra, R. (2009). The relation between popularity and aggressive, destructive, and norm-breaking behaviors: Moderating effects of athletic abilities, physical attractiveness, and prosociality. *Journal of Research on Adolescence, 19*, 401-413. doi:10.1111/j.1532-7795.2009.00594.x
- Farrington, D. P., & Loeber, R. (2000). Some benefits of dichotomization in psychiatric and criminological research. *Criminal Behaviour and Mental Health, 10*, 100-122. doi:10.1002/cbm.349
- Franken, A., Moffitt, T. E., Steglich, C. E. G., Dijkstra, J. K., Harakeh, Z., & Vollebergh, W. A. M. (2016). The role of self-control and early adolescents' friendships in the development of externalizing behavior: The SNARE study. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 45*, 1800-1811. doi:10.1007/s10964-015-0287-z
- Gifford-Smith, M. E., & Brownell, C. A. (2003). Childhood peer relationships: Social acceptance, friendships, and peer networks. *Journal of School Psychology, 41*, 235-284. doi:10.1016/S0022-4405(03)00048-7
- Hawke, S., & Rieger, E. (2013). Popularity, likeability, and risk-taking in middle adolescence. *Health, 5*, 41-52. doi:10.4236/health.2013.56A3007

- Jennings, W. G., & Reingle, J. M. (2012). On the number and shape of developmental/life-course violence, aggression, and delinquency trajectories: A state-of-the-art review. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 40, 472-489. doi:10.1016/j.jcrimjus.2012.07.001
- Kalmijn, M. (1999). *The uses and interpretation of dummy variables and interaction effect in OLS regression*. Unpublished manuscript, Department of Sociology, University of Groningen, The Netherlands.
- LaFontana, K. M., & Cillessen, A. H. N. (1998). The nature of children's stereotypes of popularity. *Social Development*, 7, 301-320. doi:10.1111/1467-9507.00069
- Lease, A. M., Kennedy, C. A., & Axelrod, J. L. (2002). Children's social constructions of popularity. *Social Development*, 11, 87-109. doi:10.1111/1467-9507.00188
- Light, J. M., Greenan, C. C., Rusby, J. C., Nies, K. M., & Snijders, T. A. B. (2013). Onset to first alcohol use in early adolescence: A network diffusion model. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 23, 487-499. doi:10.1111/jora.12064
- Marks, P. E. L., Cillessen, A. H. N., & Crick, N. R. (2012). Popularity contagion among adolescents. *Social Development*, 21, 501-521. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9507.2011.00647.x
- Mayeux, L. (2011). Effects of popularity and gender on peers' perceptions of prosocial, antisocial, and jealousy-eliciting behaviors. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly*, 57, 349-374. doi:10.1353/mpq.2011.0020
- Mayeux, L., Houser, J. J., & Dyches, K. D. (2011). Social acceptance and popularity: Two distinct forms of peer status. In A. H. N. Cillessen, D. Schwartz, & L. Mayeux (Eds.), *Popularity in the peer system* (pp. 79-102). New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
- Mayeux, L., Sandstrom, M. J., & Cillessen, A. H. N. (2008). Is being popular a risky proposition? *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 18, 49-74. doi:10.1111/j.1532-7795.2008.00550.x
- Meldrum, R. C., Young, J. T. N., & Weerman, F. M. (2009). Reconsidering the effect of self-control and delinquent peers: Implications of measurement for theoretical significance. *Journal of Research in Crime & Delinquency*, 46, 353-376. doi:10.1177/0022427809335171
- Moffitt, T. E. (1993). Adolescence-limited and life-course-persistent antisocial behavior: A developmental taxonomy. *Psychological Review*, 100, 674-701. doi:10.1037/0033-295X.100.4.674
- Moffitt, T. E. (2007). A review of research on the taxonomy of life-course persistent versus adolescence-limited antisocial behavior. In D. Flannery, A. Vazonsyi, & I. Waldman (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of violent behavior and aggression* (pp. 49-74). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Moffitt, T. E., & Caspi, A. (2001). Childhood predictors differentiate life-course persistent and adolescence-limited antisocial pathways among males and females. *Development and Psychopathology*, 13, 355-375. doi:10.1017/S0954579401002097
- Monshouwer, K., Harakeh, Z., Lugtig, P., Huizink, A., Creemers, H. E., Reijneveld, S., . . . Vollebergh, W. A. M. (2012). Predicting transitions in low and high levels of risk behavior from early to middle adolescence: The TRAILS study. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 40, 923-931. doi:10.1007/s10802-012-9624-9

- Monshouwer, K., Huizink, A. C., Harakeh, Z., Raaijmakers, Q. A. W., Reijneveld, S. A., Oldehinkel, A. J., . . . Vollebergh, W. A. M. (2011). Prenatal smoking exposure and the risk of behavioral problems and substance use in adolescence: The TRAILS study. *European Addiction Research, 17*, 342-350. doi:10.1159/000334507
- Nijhof, K. S., Scholte, R. H. N., Overbeek, G., & Engels, R. C. M. E. (2010). Friends' and adolescents' delinquency: The moderating role of social status and reciprocity of friendships. *Criminal Justice and Behavior, 37*, 289-305. doi:10.1177/0093854809355776
- Parkhurst, J. T., & Hopmeyer, A. (1998). Sociometric popularity and peer-perceived popularity: Two distinct dimensions of peer status. *Journal of Early Adolescence, 18*, 125-144. doi:10.1177/0272431698018002001
- Rulison, K. L., Kreager, D. A., & Osgood, D. W. (2014). Delinquency and peer acceptance in adolescence: A within-person test of Moffitt's hypotheses. *Developmental Psychology, 50*, 2437-2448. doi:10.1037/a0037966
- Starkey, F., Audrey, S., Holliday, J., Moore, L., & Campbell, R. (2009). Identifying influential young people to undertake effective peer-led health promotion: The example of A Stop Smoking in Schools Trial (ASSIST). *Health Education Research, 24*, 977-988. doi:10.1093/her/cyp045
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2007). *Using multivariate statistics*. Boston, MA: Pearson.
- Van der Laan, A. M., Veenstra, R., Bogaerts, S., Verhulst, F. C., & Ormel, J. (2010). Serious, minor, and non-delinquents in early adolescence: The impact of cumulative risk and promotive factors. The TRAILS study. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 38*, 339-351. doi:10.1007/s10802-009-9368-3
- Wallace, J. M., Bachman, J. G., Patrick, M. O. M., Johnston, L. D., Schulenberg, J. E., & Cooper, S. M. (2002). Tobacco, alcohol, and illicit drug use: Racial and ethnic differences among U.S. high school seniors, 1976-2000. *Public Health Reports, 117*, 67-75.
- Young, J. T. N. (2013). "Role magnets?" An empirical investigation of popularity trajectories for life-course persistent individuals during adolescence. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 42*, 1-12. doi:10.1007/s10964-013-9946-0

Author Biographies

Aart Franken is a postdoctoral researcher at the department of Developmental Psychology at the Utrecht Centre for Child and Adolescent Studies at the University of Utrecht, the Netherlands. His research focuses on the ubiquitous development of risk behavior in early adolescence. Specifically, he investigates the importance of individual characteristics relating to peer influencing on the development of risk behavior. For more info see www.aartfranken.nl.

Zeena Harakeh, PhD, is assistant professor at the Interdisciplinary social science department, Utrecht University, the Netherlands. Her research focuses on the influence of environmental (parents, peers) and individual factors (personality,

neurocognitive functioning) on smoking and other substances among adolescents and young adults, using survey studies and experimental, observational studies.

Rene Veenstra, PhD, is professor at the Department of Sociology and the Interuniversity Center for Social Science Theory and Methodology (ICS) in Groningen, the Netherlands. He has published on bullying and victimization, prosocial and antisocial behavior, and social network analysis. He is associate editor of the *Journal of Research on Adolescence*.

Wilma Vollebergh is professor of youth studies at the faculty of social and behavioral sciences at Utrecht University. She is the director of research and program coordinator of Youth in Changing Cultural Contexts (YCCC). Her research focuses on developmental trajectories of mental health and substance (ab)use in adolescence and early adulthood.

Jan Kornelis Dijkstra is an assistant professor with the Department of Sociology at the University of Groningen in the Netherlands. His research interests include delinquency, risk behaviors, peer influence, peer relations, peer status, longitudinal social network analysis, and gene-environment processes.