Adsorbate-Induced Modification of the Confining Barriers in a Quantum Box Array

Sylwia Nowakowska†, Federico Mazzola‡, Mariza N. Alberti*, Fei Song§, Tobias Voigt*, Jan Nowakowski#, Aneliia Wäckerlin†, Christian Wäckerlin§, Jérôme Wiss†, W. Bernd Schweizer*, Max Broszio*, Craig Polley§, Mats Leandersson§, Shadi Fatayer†, Toni Ivas†, Milos Baljozovic# S. Fatemeh Mousavi†, Aisha Ahsan†, Thomas Nijs†, Olha Popova†, Jun Zhang‡, Matthias Muntwiler†, Carlo Thilgen*, Meike Stöhr§, Igor A. Pasti◊, Natalia V. Skorodumova○, François Diederich*, Justin Wells‡*, Thomas A. Jung##

† Department of Physics, University of Basel, Klingelbergstrasse 82, 4056 Basel, Switzerland.
‡ Department of Physics, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Høgskoleringen 5, Realfagbygget D5-170, 7491 Trondheim, Norway, J. Wells justin.wells@ntnu.no
† Laboratoire de Chimie Organique, ETH Zürich, Vladimir-Prelog-Weg 3, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland, F.D. diederich@org.chem.ethz.ch
§ Zernike Institute for Advanced Materials, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands.
¶ Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 201204, P. R. China.
## Laboratory for Micro- and Nanotechnology, Paul Scherrer Institute, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland, T.A.J. thomas.jung@psi.ch.
ABSTRACT: Quantum devices depend on addressable elements, which can be modified separately and in their mutual interaction. Self-assembly at surfaces, e.g. formation of a porous (metal-) organic network, provides an ideal way to manufacture arrays of identical quantum boxes, arising in this case from the confinement of the electronic (Shockley) surface state within the pores. We show that the electronic quantum box state as well as the inter-box coupling can be modified locally to a varying extent by a selective choice of adsorbates, here C\textsubscript{60}, interacting with the barrier. In view of the wealth of differently acting adsorbates, this approach allows for engineering quantum states in on-surface network architectures.
Configurable quantum states are indispensable for the development of optoelectronic devices, including quantum-dot photovoltaics and light emitting devices.\textsuperscript{1–3} Being able to govern \textit{locally} the coupling between addressable quantum states, \textit{e.g.} arranged in an array, provides the next level of control, which is desired for the further advancement of quantum technologies.\textsuperscript{4} Towards higher level integration of quantum circuitry, \textit{i.e.} for performing operations between different quantum states, the reliable manufacturing of identical quantum units at large numbers and the reproducible control of their interaction is of utmost importance.

Individual adsorbates on metallic surfaces exhibiting a Shockley surface state are known to scatter this electronic state.\textsuperscript{5–7} Thus, with the help of artificially fabricated on-surface structures, the surface state can be confined and thereby, quantum units can be generated.\textsuperscript{8–23} A practical way to fabricate arrays consisting of hundreds of quantum units is provided by on-surface self-assembly, ultimately assuring high precision concerning the individual quantum unit, the periodicity of the array and the coupling with the surrounding units.\textsuperscript{8,23} Importantly, the coupling strength depends on the properties of the confining barrier, especially on its interaction with the surface. This dependence has been demonstrated, for example, by the comparison of the confinement strength in quantum systems featuring the same arrangement but being constructed from slightly different building blocks (\textit{i.e.} metal free \textit{vs} metalated porphyrin).\textsuperscript{11} Periodic 2D arrays of quantum units are best probed by two complementary techniques: \textit{spatially-resolved} and \textit{momentum-averaging} scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS) as well as \textit{spatially-averaging} and \textit{momentum-resolved} angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy.
(ARPES). STS accesses the local electronic structure of the quantum units and the barriers between them, whereas ARPES reveals how the overlap of the electronic wavefunctions of such units, \textit{i.e.} the coupling, gives rise to a well-defined band dispersing in energy and momentum. The dispersion of the bottom or top of a band can be approximated with a certain effective mass, thus giving information about the carrier velocity. These properties determine the carrier mobility and are, ultimately, of fundamental interest for quantum devices as well as their architectures. It is worthy to note that ARPES has been successfully used to probe quantum confinement in different materials\textsuperscript{24,25} and can even give an accurate description of many-body interactions.\textsuperscript{24,26}

Here, we use the self-assembly of porphyrin derivative on a Ag(111) surface to fabricate a 2D periodic array of coupled quantum units, and we demonstrate that the transmission probability of the confining barrier, hence the inter-unit coupling, can be modified by deposition of adsorbates. As a result, a perfect system is demonstrated to test various fundamental concepts related to quantum confinement and its use in quantum devices.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**The design of the building block.** To fabricate a 2D periodic array of quantum units, we designed a porphyrin building block 1 to self-assemble into a porous network \textit{via} C−H···F−C interactions, which have been reported for 3D crystals\textsuperscript{27–31} as well as 2D assemblies on surfaces.\textsuperscript{32–36} Specifically, 2',3',4',5',6'-pentafluorobiphenyl-4-yl and 3,5-dimethoxybiphenyl-4-yl substituents have been employed (Fig. 1a, for the synthesis see the Supporting Synthesis file) on the basis of earlier reports regarding 2D interactions between arenes and fluoroarenes\textsuperscript{32–36} and the experience that functionalized porphyrins with two different, oppositely positioned binding
groups are ideal building blocks for porous networks on crystal surfaces having (111) orientation, as reported for e.g. cyanophenyl\textsuperscript{37}, alkoxyphenyl\textsuperscript{38} and pyridine-derived\textsuperscript{39} substituents.

Figure 1. Quantum box array formed by deposition of Zn(II) porphyrin 1 on Ag(111). (a) Molecular structure of Zn(II) porphyrin 1. (b) STM image (100 nm × 100 nm) of two terraces covered by enantiomeric domains of a porous network of 1. Each domain consists of a single conformational enantiomer: “L” on the upper terrace and “R” on the lower terrace; for high resolution STM images see Fig. S1. (c) LEED data of the porous network of 1 on Ag(111) taken at room temperature. The pattern of the porous network of 1 was recorded at a beam energy of 5 eV, that of the Ag(111) substrate at 50 eV (lower inset). The reciprocal unit cell vectors $b_1L^*$ ($b_{1R}^*$) and $b_2L^*$ ($b_{2R}^*$) of “L” (“R”) domains are indicated by violet (coral) arrows. The reciprocal unit cell vectors of the Ag(111) substrate are indicated by green arrows (lines). The sets of spots for the “L” or “R” domains draw an angle of ±10° with the principle Ag(111) directions. The simulated LEED pattern is shown in the upper inset (cf. Fig. S3).

Structure of the porous porphyrin network. Zn(II) porphyrin 1 self-assembles in an extended hexagonal porous network on Ag(111) and is characterized by the presence of two mirror-image domains creating a (14 × 14)R ± 10° overlayer structure as evidenced by scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) and low energy electron diffraction (LEED) (Fig. 1). High resolution STM images reveal that each domain is homochiral, i.e. it exclusively consists of a single one out of...
two conformational enantiomers, labelled as either “L” or “R”, which occur upon adsorption of Zn(II) porphyrin 1 on the Ag(111) substrate and which are characterized by opposite torsion angles around the bond connecting the porphyrin macrocycle and the 3,5-dimethoxybiphenyl-4-yl substituents (Fig. S1). As intended, the network is held together primarily by C−H⋯F−C interactions (Fig. 2 and Fig. S1), although other interactions may also play a role. Noteworthy is the absence of any phenyl-pentafluorophenyl stacking interactions, which are observed in the 3D crystal structure of 1 (cf. Section 3.2 in Synthesis file) and typically in crystals of molecules with aryl and perfluoroaryl sub-units.40–44 The on-surface 2D network is mainly comprised of regular pores enclosed by six Zn(II) porphyrin molecules 1 (Fig. 2, Fig. S1), denoted hereafter as small. Between supra-molecular islands, a domain boundary in the form of a linear array of larger pores enclosed by eight molecules (Fig. 2) is observed (cf. Fig. S2). The latter pores are hereafter referred to as big.
Figure 2. $dl/dV$ characterization of the barrier regions between the quantum boxes. (1st row) STM image (11 nm × 11 nm) and corresponding molecular model showing the arrangement of the individual molecules. The barriers between three different arrangements of the quantum boxes were investigated, namely between: (a) two small boxes, (b) two big boxes and (c) a small and a big box. For each barrier region $dl/dV$ data were taken along a line through (2nd row) a porphyrin macrocycle and as indicated by the yellow and black arrows superimposed on the STM image and the molecular model, respectively (the arrows illustrate the starting and the final points of each $dl/dV$ line). (3rd row) Selected $dl/dV$ spectra taken at the positions marked by colored dots in the STM image, the molecular model and in the spatially resolved $dl/dV$ spectra. The green and orange numbers indicate the value of the QBS peak shift towards higher BE at the position of the porphyrin macrocycle and the pentafluorophenyl substituents, respectively. The close-up $dl/dV$ spectra of the QBS peak regions are shown in Fig. S5. Please note that the asymmetry between the starting and ending point of the $dl/dV$ line acquired across the porphyrin macrocycle in (b) is caused by the fact that the former was acquired closer to the
pore centre, which is dominated by the state at −5 meV, whereas the latter closer to the rim of the pore dominated by the state at −80 meV (cf. Fig. S4). For the $dI/dV$ data taken along a line through pentafluorophenyl substituents see Fig. S6.

**Quantum confinement in the pores of the network.** On Ag(111) the Shockley surface state disperses across the Fermi level with its energy maximum just below the Fermi level, *i.e.* at the binding energy (BE) of 65 meV.$^{45}$ In the presence of the porous network, the surface state is shifted above the Fermi level, due to the confinement of the surface state electrons by the pores of the network, as evidenced by the characteristic peaks in our $dI/dV$ spectra (Fig. 2). Thus, each pore constitutes a quantum box (QB) embedded in a quantum array. The small pore features a quantum box state (QBS) peak at BE of −40 meV (Fig. 2a, red spectrum), whereas the big pore exhibits two peaks: one at −5 meV (Fig. 2b, violet spectrum), dominating in the centre of the pore and the second one at −80 meV (Fig. 2c, violet spectrum), located at the rim of the pore (the exact spatial distribution of those QBSs is shown in Fig. S4).

**Electronic inter-pore coupling.** To modify the transmission probability of the molecular barrier, first the properties of the unmodified barrier have to be understood. For this purpose, spatially resolved $dI/dV$ measurements were performed across the network backbone (Fig. 2). Specifically, the barrier regions of three different pore arrangements were investigated, *i.e.* between two small pores, between two big pores, and between a small and a big pore. The barrier between two small pores is characterized by the presence of a QBS peak, which is shifted towards higher BE by either ~5 meV at the position of the porphyrin macrocycle or by ~15 meV at the position of the pentafluorophenyl substituents (Fig. 2a, Fig. S5). At the barrier between two big pores, the shift amounts to ~5 meV at the position of both the porphyrin macrocycle and the pentafluorophenyl substituents (Fig. 2b, Fig. S5).
It is worth mentioning that the QBS shifts in the barriers of electronically coupled quantum systems towards higher BE are in accordance with the observations reported by Seufert et al.\textsuperscript{11} for two coupled quantum wells. The authors demonstrated that in such a system the bonding component $\Psi_n$ of the quantum state dominates in the barrier region over the antibonding component $\Psi_n^*$. Therefore the former mainly contributes to the $dI/dV$ signal measured above the barrier, causing the overall shift of the quantum state towards higher BE.

Importantly, first-principle density functional theory (DFT) calculations of a Zn(II) porphyrin I molecule adsorbed on Ag(111) reveal that there is a HOMO-LUMO gap centered around the Fermi level and that the charge distribution of Ag(111), especially in the first atomic layer, is significantly affected by the adsorption of Zn(II) porphyrin I (Fig. S7). Thus, the features close to the Fermi level detected in the $dI/dV$ spectra taken at the barriers are QBS-related. The penetration into the barrier, and hence also the electronic interaction of the neighbouring pores, is critically dependent on the interaction of the components of the barrier with the confined surface state. In our example, both the porphyrin macrocycle and the pentafluorophenyl substituents affect the confined surface state and thus, both influence the lateral coupling between neighbouring quantum states.

At the barrier connecting a small pore with a big pore, the quantum states of these pores are both detected with a very low intensity and without a measurable energy shift (Fig. 2c, Fig. S5). That means that they both penetrate the barrier. This demonstrates the lack of electronic coupling between these pores due to the energy level misalignment.

The partial confinement of the Shockley surface state in the pores of the Zn(II) porphyrin I network on the Ag(111) surface is also suggested in ARPES data. In Figure 3a ARPES data collected for a sample partially covered with the network domains is shown. The electronic
structure of this system is characterized by two states: (1) the Ag(111) Shockley state (Fig. 3d), originating from the bare surface, which crosses the Fermi level and reaches its maximum dispersion at $BE \sim 65$ meV, and (2) a state dispersing above the Fermi level, originating from the network, which we attribute to the partially confined state, resulting from the coupling between the electronic states of the QBs embedded in the array$^8$ (for better visualization, the ARPES spectrum was integrated over a small $k$-range around the band centre Fig. 3b, and the energy distribution curves – EDCs - have been extracted Fig. 3c). Since the band maximum of the QBS is well above the Fermi level, it is only occupied by the small tail of the thermally broadened Fermi-Dirac distribution reaching above the Fermi level (note that the ARPES data have been collected at room temperature). This makes the photoemission intensity of the QBS in this system very much weaker than that of the Ag(111) Shockley state. One would expect the $(14 \times 14)R \pm 10^\circ$ overlayer super-structure to give rise to a reduced Brillouin Zone with boundaries at $k = \pm 0.08$ Å$^{-1}$, but since the QBS energy at that $k$ value amounts to $\sim 200$ meV above the Fermi level, the thermal occupancy of the band results in such a low photoemission intensity that the presence or absence of this periodicity cannot be confirmed in the presented ARPES data.
Figure 3. Synchrotron-based ARPES measurements of a 2D periodic array of quantum units formed by a Zn(II) porphyrin 1 porous network on Ag(111). (a) ARPES spectrum for a Ag(111) surface partially covered with the Zn(II) porphyrin 1 network: two electronic states are revealed, the Ag(111) Shockley surface state dispersing across the Fermi level and the quantum box state dispersing above the Fermi level. The bottom of both states is fitted by two parabolic dispersions (black lines) and an effective mass is extracted (the fitted points with error bars are marked in red). The parabolas marked by dotted lines indicate the expected periodicity of the QBS. (b) Intensity profile for the spectra in (a) (red curve) obtained by integrating the spectra over the momentum ranges illustrated by the red area in (a). The black line and arrows serve as guides for the eyes. (c) The energy distribution curves (EDCs) at various $k$ values of the data presented in (a). (d) The reference ARPES data for clean Ag(111) surface. The ARPES measurements were carried out at room temperature and at a photon energy of 21 eV. The data were normalized with a Fermi function with a constant offset added to increase the visibility of the state above the Fermi level (see the Materials and methods section for details about the ARPES data analysis including fitting of EDCs and extracting effective mass). STM data acquired at room temperature for an analogue sample is presented in Fig. S8.

Another feature of the network, which also has to be taken into account, is that the vast majority of the pores is small, as the big pores are observed only at the domain boundaries (cf. Fig. S2), meaning that mainly the QBS of the former will contribute to the spatially-averaged ARPES signal. The QBS, however, is detected slightly further away from the Fermi level as by STS (cf. Fig. 2a and Fig. 3). This difference presumably arises from the temperature dependence of the energy of the QBS: the higher the temperature the more the confined state shifts towards lower BE\textsuperscript{46}, the Shockley surface state\textsuperscript{47} shifts likewise (note that the STS data were acquired at 4.2 K, whereas the ARPES data at room temperature). Additionally an uncertainty in Fermi level determination of ±10 meV needs to be taken into account.

In order to extract the effective mass ($m^*$) of the system, we have fitted the bottom of both ARPES spectra of Fig. 3a with parabolas (for details see the Materials and methods section), and extracted $m^* = 0.40 \pm 0.08 \ m_e$ for the Ag(111) Shockley surface state in agreement with literature\textsuperscript{45}, and $m^* = 0.38 \pm 0.11 \ m_e$ for the QBS. Thus, we have no evidence for a significant
change of the effective mass of the surface state upon the network formation. This is in agreement with Ref.\textsuperscript{48}, in which the effective mass of the surface state upon metal-organic network formation on Cu(111) changed from \( m^* = 0.43 \pm 0.01 \, m_e \) to \( m^* = 0.57 \pm 0.02 \, m_e \) (state below the Fermi level). In our study, due to the intrinsically reduced intensity above the Fermi level, the uncertainty in determining \( m^* \) is significantly higher (\( \pm 0.11 \, m_e \)), therefore we cannot exclude a small change in \( m^* \) for our QBS, \textit{i.e.} comparable to the one reported in Ref.\textsuperscript{47}.

**Modification of the inter-pore coupling by adsorbates** Next we investigated the possibility of a modification of the barriers via their interaction with adsorbates. For that purpose we chose two adsorbates exhibiting characteristically different electronic properties: a closed-shell noble gas Xe and a strong electron acceptor, fullerene C\textsubscript{60}.

STM images acquired after Xe exposure revealed that two Xe atoms can adsorb on top of one porphyrin macrocycle (Fig. 4a). Besides, single Xe atoms adsorb: i) on the porphyrin macrocycle, ii) between three pentafluorophenyl substituents and iii) between metoxy, pentafluorophenyl and phenyl groups (Fig. S\textsuperscript{9}). Significantly increased Xe exposure resulted in the decoration of the network backbone and adsorption at the rim of the pores. No Xe was found to be adsorbed at the centre of the pores (Fig. S\textsuperscript{10}) pointing at the repulsive interaction between Xe and the QBS (having its maximum at the centre of the pore), similar to the case of Xe adsorbed in the pores of the Cu-coordinated 3deh-DPDI network on Cu(111).\textsuperscript{49} As revealed by \( dI/dV \) measurements (Fig. 4a), the two Xe atoms adsorbed on the porphyrin macrocycle have almost no influence on the transmission probability of the barrier (red and green \( dI/dV \) spectra in Fig. 4a vs red and green \( dI/dV \) spectra in Fig. 2a).
Figure 4. Modification of barrier regions between the quantum boxes by adsorbates – STM/STS studies. (a) A barrier with two Xe atoms; (b) a barrier with a single C\(_{60}\) molecule; (c) a barrier with two C\(_{60}\) molecules. (1\(^{st}\) row) Molecular models. (2\(^{nd}\) row) Corresponding STM images (10 nm x 8 nm). (3\(^{rd}\) row) Spatially resolved $dI/dV$ traces acquired along the yellow lines superimposed on the STM images. (4\(^{th}\) row) Selected $dI/dV$ spectra taken at the positions indicated by the colored dots in the STM images and in the $dI/dV$ traces. The molecular models overlaid on the STM pictures are presented in Fig. S9b and Fig. S11, whereas the simulated STM images for the case (a) and (b) in Fig. S12.
In contrast, a single $C_{60}$ molecule adsorbed in the pore between two Zn(II) porphyrin molecules 1 (Fig. 4b) reduces the barrier transmission probability (blue $dI/dV$ spectrum in Fig.4b, spatially resolved $dI/dV$ traces in Fig. 4b vs in Fig. 2a 2nd row). An even larger reduction of the transmission probability is caused by two $C_{60}$ molecules adsorbed in the pore close to the phenyl groups of three Zn(II) porphyrin molecules 1 (orange $dI/dV$ spectrum in Fig. 4c vs blue $dI/dV$ spectrum in Fig. 4b).

The presence of $C_{60}$ molecule(s) in a pore additionally shifts the QBS to lower BE: to $\sim -55$ meV in case of a single $C_{60}$ and to $\sim -65$ meV in case of two $C_{60}$ molecules. Importantly, the reduction of the coupling between the pores is not caused by energy level misalignment, as there is still an overlap between the quantum states of an empty pore and a pore filled with one $C_{60}$ or two $C_{60}$ molecules. Furthermore $C_{60}$ molecules do not locally destroy the QBS on the rim of the pore and thus the inter-pore coupling, as evidenced by the presence of the modified QBS in the blue $dI/dV$ spectrum in Fig. 4b and the magenta $dI/dV$ spectrum in Fig. S13. Thus, our results demonstrate that the adsorption of $C_{60}$ causes both: the modification of the barrier transmission probability and changes in the quantum box state.

Interestingly, the QBS peak is detected at the same energy at the position of the Zn(II) porphyrin 1 macrocycle for all three adsorbate cases (cf. gray dashed line in and green $dI/dV$ spectra in Fig. 4), as for the reference case (cf. $dI/dV$ spectra Fig. 2a). This issue will be discussed in the following section.

**Modification of the confining barrier by adsorbates – DFT insights.** In order to understand the effect of the adsorbates on the confining barriers, we have analyzed the interactions between the Zn(II) porphyrin 1 adsorbed on Ag(111) and Xe/$C_{60}$ using DFT. While the reproduction of
the entire pore structure is computationally prohibitive, this approach captures the essence of physics governing the interactions between the confining barriers and the adsorbates.

Our calculations have shown that two Xe atoms can bind on top of the N atoms of the Zn(II) porphyrin 1 macrocycle, as observed experimentally (cf. Fig. 4a). In this case, the calculated adsorption energy amounts to only −0.18 eV and no chemical bond is formed (cf. Fig. S14a,c), suggesting the presence of weak van der Waals interactions. Notably, the two Xe atoms induce an almost negligible and very localized charge redistribution in Zn(II) porphyrin 1 (less than 0.01 e per Xe atom), while the Ag(111) substrate stays unaffected (Fig. 5a, Fig. S15a). Thus the confining barrier remains almost unchanged, which explains why its transmission probability is not detectably modified.
Figure 5. Modification of the electronic properties of the confining barrier by adsorbates – DFT calculations. (a) A barrier with two Xe atoms; (b) a barrier with a single C_{60}. (1st row) Optimized structures. (2nd row) and (3rd row) 2D charge difference cuts taken along plane 1 (red) and 2 (blue), respectively, as marked in the optimized structures. The values are given in [e Å^{-3}]. The charge difference was calculated in the following way: $\Delta \rho_q = \rho_q[\text{adsorbate + Zn(II) porphyrin 1 + Ag(111)}] - \rho_q[Zn(II) porphyrin 1 + Ag(111)] - \rho_q[\text{adsorbate}]$ (where adsorbate stands either for two Xe atoms or for one C_{60}). In this way, the charge redistribution induced by
porphyrin adsorption on Ag(111) is included and the visible changes are only representing the influence of adsorbates.

In agreement with the experiment (cf. Fig. 4b), single C\textsubscript{60} favors the adsorption on Ag(111) close to the Zn(II) porphyrin \textbf{1} substituents, while no chemical bond is directly formed between C\textsubscript{60} and Zn(II) porphyrin \textbf{1} (Fig. S14b,d). The presence of C\textsubscript{60} induces a significant charge redistribution in the Zn(II) porphyrin \textbf{1} substituents and in the pyrrole unit closest to C\textsubscript{60}, whereas the centre of the molecule remains almost unaffected (Fig. 5, Fig. 6c, Fig. S13b-16, Movie S1). Reversely, the presence of the Zn(II) porphyrin \textbf{1}, results in an energy gain of 0.65 eV for C\textsubscript{60}, when compared to the case of isolated C\textsubscript{60} on Ag(111). Furthermore, in this configuration the charge redistribution under the C\textsubscript{60} and under the substituents and the pyrrole is distributed across a larger area of the Ag(111) substrate compared to the case of one isolated C\textsubscript{60} adsorbed on Ag(111) and also compared to the case of one isolated Zn(II) porphyrin \textbf{1} on Ag(111) (cf. Fig. S16, Movie S1). Significant modifications of the confining barrier, in contrast to the case of the Xe adsorption, are in line with the changes of transmission probability observed experimentally in the case of C\textsubscript{60} adsorption.

**Figure 6 | Electronic landscape modification upon deposition of C\textsubscript{60}** (a) STM image of a pore with a single C\textsubscript{60} molecule adsorbed and (b) the corresponding work function map (size: 6 nm x 6 nm). The pyrrole unit and the substituents closest to the adsorbed C\textsubscript{60} are more electropositive (green arrow) than the corresponding groups of a Zn(II) porphyrin \textbf{1} surrounding an empty pore (yellow arrows). The reference work function map setting the $\Phi = 0$ on Ag(111) is
presented in Fig. S17. (c) Top view of 3D charge difference plot; the red isosurface denotes a positive charge density, whereas the blue isosurface denotes a negative charge density (isosurface value \( \pm 1.0 \times 10^{-4} \) e Å\(^{-3}\)).

Notably, the DFT results are in agreement with the experimentally obtained work function map displayed in Fig. 6b. It shows that the adsorption of a single \( \text{C}_{60} \) near the Zn(II) porphyrin 1 results in the pyrrole unit and the substituents close to the adsorbed \( \text{C}_{60} \) being more electropositive (green arrow) than the corresponding groups of a Zn(II) porphyrin 1 surrounding an empty pore (yellow arrows). Furthermore, the fact that the macrocycle center of Zn(II) porphyrin 1, as well as the Ag(111) underneath it, is scarcely affected by the adsorption of either two Xe atoms or by the adsorption of a single \( \text{C}_{60} \) (Fig. 5), agrees well with the observation of the QBS peak at the same energy (Fig. 4ab, green spectra) as in the reference case (Fig. 2a, green spectra). On the basis of Fig. S16c, which displays the charge difference plots for single \( \text{C}_{60} \) adsorbed near a phenyl of one Zn(II) porphyrin 1, we assume that this is also the case for the adsorption of two \( \text{C}_{60} \) near phenyl groups of three Zn(II) porphyrin 1 molecules (cf. Fig. 4c).

**CONCLUSION**

In summary, we created an on-surface 2D array of coupled quantum boxes by careful design of the molecular building block. Upon adsorption of Zn(II) porphyrin 1 on Ag(111), an extended porous molecular network is formed. The pores confine the Ag(111) surface state and, in particular, the surface state-derived quantum box state is shifted above the Fermi level. The quantum boxes are electronically coupled and, importantly, adsorbates, *i.e.* Xe and \( \text{C}_{60} \), show a distinct influence on the transmission probability of the barrier: while Xe does not detectably affect it, \( \text{C}_{60} \) reduces it significantly. This is confirmed by state-of-the-art DFT calculations,
which revealed that Xe has almost no influence on the electronic structure of the confining barrier, whereas C₆₀ induces a strong charge redistribution within the barrier.

Our results suggest that a wide range of quantum arrays formed from functional molecular building blocks can be fabricated, and, in combination with different adsorbates, provide a rich playground for the modification of the quantum box properties. Thus, the quantum states embedded in on-surface structures can be engineered for exploring physical phenomena, which could be implemented into future quantum devices.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Synthesis of Zn(II) porphyrin 1. The synthesis of Zn(II) porphyrin 1 is described in detail in the Supporting Synthesis file.

Sample preparation for STM/STS measurements The Ag(111) substrate was prepared by cycles of Ar⁺ sputtering at $E = 1000$ eV followed by annealing at 800 K. The Zn(II) porphyrin molecules 1 were deposited with the use of a 9-cell evaporator (Kentax GmbH, Germany) on the Ag(111) substrate held at room temperature (RT). The glass crucible with the Zn(II) porphyrin 1 inside was heated up to the sublimation temperature (~320 °C). The rate was controlled prior to sublimation with a quartz crystal microbalance (QCMB). In order to obtain a porous network, the molecular rate was lower than 0.4 Å/min. Otherwise, the formation of a close-packed assembly took place. The C₆₀ molecules (sublimation temperature ~350 °C) were deposited onto the sample with the porous network held at RT. Xe of purity 99.99% was dosed onto the sample placed in the STM operating at 4.2 K (Omicron Nanotechnology GmbH with Nanonis SPM control system) with the cryoshields open and the leak valve being in line-of-sight with the sample. The STM/STS measurements were performed after cooling the sample back to 4.2 K. In
the main text and Figures S1,S2,S4, S6, S9, S11, S13, S17 the STM/STS data for a sample after 10 Langmuir (1.3 × 10^{-7} mbar for 100 s) of Xe exposure are shown. Figure S10 presents the STM data taken after 100 Langmuir of Xe exposure, in which case the dosing time was increased 10 times by maintaining the same pressure as in the previous case. Both exposures resulted in an increase of the sample temperature to 8 K due to opening the cryoshield.

Note that all STM/STS data were acquired at 4.2 K, apart from Fig. S8 in which case the STM was operated at room temperature.

**STM/STS measurements and their analysis** For the STM measurements, the bias voltage is applied to the tip. The bias voltages in the manuscript and the Supporting Data File refer to a grounded tip, such that the voltage axis in the dI/dV data can be related to the binding energy (BE) of the ARPES data.

STM measurements were performed in constant current mode with Pt-Ir tips (90% Pt, 10% Ir), prepared by mechanical cutting followed by sputtering and controlled indentation in the bare Ag(111) substrate. The majority STM images was acquired with a single Xe atom functionalized tip (cf. Tab. S1), which increases the spatial resolution. The exact tunneling parameters for the STM images presented in the main text and Supporting Data File are displayed in Tab. S1. The STM data were processed with the WSxM software. For better comparability of the data, the color histograms of the STM images were adjusted. Low-pass filtering was used for noise reduction.

All dI/dV spectra were recorded with open-feedback loop and with Xe-functionalized tips. Control spectra were acquired with a metallic tip, and no difference was observed in accordance with Ref. The lock-in parameters were 513 Hz (frequency) and 8 mV (zero-to-peak amplitude).
The \( \frac{dI}{dV} \) data presented in Fig. 2, Fig. 4, Fig. S4, Fig. S6 were acquired along the line indicated in the corresponding STM images and are displayed in form of spatially resolved \( \frac{dI}{dV} \) traces. The amount of measurement points for the traces was adjusted in dependence on the measured barrier (from 40 to 80 points per line). The initial tip conditions when taking \( \frac{dI}{dV} \) spectra amounted to \(-200 \text{ mV}/150 \text{ pA}\), with the exception of the \( \frac{dI}{dV} \) data shown Fig. 4a, in which case \(-200 \text{ mV}/50 \text{ pA}\) was used. The reason for more delicate initial tip conditions when investigating Xe atoms adsorbed on a Zn(II) porphyrin 1 macrocycle is the relatively weak interaction strength, which led to desorption when harsher \( \frac{dI}{dV} \) parameters were applied. The \( \frac{dI}{dV} \) maps presented in Fig. S4 were extracted from a grid spectroscopy measurement, in which an area of 6 nm × 5 nm was mapped with a resolution of 30 points × 25 points. At each point, a \( \frac{dI}{dV} \) spectrum was measured (initial tip conditions \(-200 \text{ mV}/150 \text{ pA}\)). As described in detail in Ref. 52, the value of the initial voltage was chosen such, that no quantum box state or network backbone-related contribution was present (cf. Fig. S7a). Owing to this, a normalization procedure could be performed by setting the same \( \frac{dI}{dV} \) value at the setpoint energy for all \( \frac{dI}{dV} \) spectra. In this way, artefacts originating from local work function variations are eliminated.

**I(z) measurements and their analysis.** The work function maps presented in Fig. 6 and Fig. S17 were obtained with the use of methods developed by Vitali et al.\(^5\) The maps were extracted from a grid spectroscopy measurement, in which at each point I(z) curves were measured. From an exponential fit of the average of three I(z) curves, the work function was extracted for each point.

**LEED measurements and their analysis.** The LEED measurements were performed with the use of a V. G. Electrovac LTD 474 unit. The LEED data were taken at energies between 5 eV and 60 eV. For simulating the experimentally obtained patterns the software LEEDpat 2.1
(K. Hermann, M. A. van Hove, LEED pattern simulator LEEDpat Version 2.1, 2006) was used (cf. Fig. 1c, Fig. S3).

**ARPES measurements and their analysis.** ARPES data were acquired at the beamline I3 of the synchrotron radiation source of MAX-III (Lund, Sweden). The Ag(111) crystal was prepared by consecutive cycles of Ar⁺ sputtering and annealing, similarly to the preparation used for the STM/STS measurements. The Zn(II) porphyrin molecules were deposited with the use of a home-made evaporator. The rate prior to deposition was checked by QCMB.

It is worth mentioning that collecting ARPES data from organic materials is a challenging task, as organic molecules can be destroyed by the incident UV light (beam-damage). A way to overcome this problem is to use a defocused light source and low photon energies for the experiment. Therefore beamline undulator was detuned in order to reduce the intensity of the photon beam, hence avoiding any radiation damage to the sample. The slits were set such that a light spot of 0.5 mm on the sample was obtained. Such a large light spot minimizes flux (and hence beam-damage), but causes a reduction in the angular resolution (and hence momentum resolution) of the ARPES measurement, estimated to be 0.04 1/Å for the measurements presented in Fig. 3 of the main text. A photon energy of 21 eV was sufficient to keep the molecules intact over the whole acquisition time amounting to ~1 h. Moreover, no signs of degradation in the ARPES data were observed, even after several hours of experimental time, demonstrating the full viability of this approach for studying the particular system presented here.

The ARPES measurements were carried out at normal emission and at room temperature. The choice of the temperature is extremely important, since it induces a broadening of the Fermi-Dirac distribution, resulting in a promotion of thermal electrons from states below to states above
the Fermi level. This allows the detection of electronic states even at energies above the Fermi level. However, the spectral intensity of such states is so weak that it is barely detectable by eye. In order to enhance the intensity of these states with respect to the intensities below the Fermi level, we normalized the data by a Fermi function at room temperature. In addition, since the Fermi function goes exponentially to zero for low BE values, in order to avoid numerical issues in dividing the spectral intensity by 'zero', we added a small constant to the Fermi function \((i.e. 0.03)\). Whilst the normalization allows an exceptionally good enhancement of the spectral features above the Fermi level, it artificially moves the centre of mass of the spectral intensity towards the Fermi level, resulting in an apparent small energy shift of the band minimum. Fig. S18 displays the raw data, the raw data with amplified intensity and the normalized data. In Fig. S19 raw and normalized ARPES data of bare Ag(111) is shown. In the such treated data, there is no additional state, which demonstrates the validity of the used normalization procedure.

From the ARPES data presented in Fig. 3a, EDCs have been extracted at different values of momentum \(k\), and each individual EDC has been fitted by a Lorentzian component convoluted with a Gaussian component, to account for the energy resolution of the instrument. From the fits, the peak positions are readily extracted, together with their corresponding uncertainties (red points and error bars in Fig. 3a). Those peak positions have been fitted with parabolas (Fig. 3a), from the curvature of which the effective masses were extracted.

**DFT calculations.** First-principle density functional theory calculations were performed using the Vienna \textit{ab initio} simulation code (VASP)\(^{54–57}\) with the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) and the projector augmented wave (PAW) method.\(^{58,59}\) The plane-wave cutoff energy was set to 400 eV. The adsorption of Zn(II) porphyrin \(\text{1, C}_{60}, \text{Xe}\) and their co-adsorption on the three layer FCC slabs of Ag (111) was modelled using \(10\times10\) supercell. The vacuum layer
thickness was about 17 Å. Atoms of the bottom layer of the Ag slab were kept fixed. All the other atoms were fully relaxed until the residual forces became less than 0.02 eV/Å. The structural optimization was performed using the Γ k-point. Gaussian smearing was applied to improve convergence. Additional calculations using larger supercell (the systems containing up to 800 atoms) showed that the adsorption energies of Zn(II) porphyrin I and C₆₀, as well as the charge redistribution, are almost unaffected by the size of the simulation cell. Our preliminary calculations showed that the dispersion interactions play very important role when considering the adsorption of Zn(II) porphyrin I on Ag(111). For this reason we included dispersion interactions using the semi-empirical model by Grimme (PBE+D2)⁶⁰,⁶¹ for all the systems analyzed here. Compared to GGA-PBE, which gave Ag lattice constant of 4.145 Å, PBE+D2 approach gave 4.121 Å, which better agrees with the experimental value (4.079 Å). The charge analysis was carried out using the Bader algorithm⁶² on a charge density grid by Henkelman et al.⁶³
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the Supporting Data file. All Supporting Figures referred to in the main text are assembled in this file (Fig. S1-S19, Tab. S1) (PDF)
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Supporting Movie S1: volume slice through the charge difference volumetric data of C60 adsorbed at two different positions with respect to Zn(II) porphyrin 1 on Ag(111) (GIF)
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