Adaptive learning for complex-valued data
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Abstract. In this paper we propose a variant of the Generalized Matrix Learning Vector Quantization (GMLVQ) for dissimilarity learning on complex-valued data. Complex features can be encountered in various data domains, e.g. Fourier transformed mass spectrometry or image analysis data. Current approaches deal with complex inputs by ignoring the imaginary parts or concatenating real and imaginary parts in one real valued vector. In this contribution we propose a prototype based classification method, which allows to deal with complex-valued data directly. The algorithm is tested on a benchmark data set and for leaf recognition using Zernike moments. We observe that the complex version converges much faster than the original GMLVQ evaluated on the real parts only. The complex version has fewer free parameters than using a concatenated vector and is thus computationally more efficient than original GMLVQ.

1 Introduction

Machine learning methods which are able to deal with complex-valued (cv) data attracted substantial interest recently [6, 7, 3, 4]. Complex-valued signals occur in many disciplines and are of fundamental importance. Many modern life science measurement systems generate cv-data, like, e.g. fMRI or NMR spectra. In many applications the imaginary part of the data is of significant importance.

Up to date most cv data is processed by either ignoring the complex nature of the signal, by considering real and imaginary part independently or by normalizing the data to remove the imaginary part [6, 5, 3].

Data are often converted to a real-valued representation for subsequent analysis. This however may lead to substantial errors or limits performance significantly [8]. In this contribution we propose an extension of Generalized Matrix Learning Vector Quantization (GMLVQ) for complex-valued data, taking real and imaginary parts of the signal into account explicitly. The method is detailed and evaluated on cv-benchmark data set and a real world data set using shape descriptors.
2 Methods

2.1 Complex-valued features

A widely used shape-descriptor\textsuperscript{1} of a two-dimensional object is given by the Zernike-Moments [10]. Zernike-Moments are complex-valued moments based on radial Zernike-polynomials ($V_{pq}$):

$$Z_{pq} = \frac{p+1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta) r \, dr \, d\theta \quad \text{with} \quad (1)$$

$$V_{pq}(r, \theta) = R_{pq}(r) e^{ij\theta}, \quad R_{pq}(r) = \frac{(p-|q|)}{2} \sum_{s=0}^{p-|q|} \left( \frac{(-1)^s}{s!(p+|q|)!} \right) \frac{(p-s)!}{(p+|q|)!},$$

\[ p = 0, \ldots, \infty, |q| \leq p \text{ and } p-|q| \text{ even.} \]

Zernike moments constitute an orthogonal basis for the set of complex functions and can be adapted to be rotation, scale and translation invariant. Note that there are $\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2)$ linear independent polynomials of degree $\leq n$, since $p - |q|$ is even. The Zernike-Moments of an image function $f$ of order $p$ and repetition $q$ is the orthogonal projection to $V_{pq}$.

For discrete data, using a coefficient representation ($B_{pqk}$) as given in [2], one obtains:

$$Z_{pq} = \frac{(p+1)}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{p} \sum_{l=0}^{q} \sum_{m=0}^{q} (-i)^m \binom{l}{m} \binom{q}{m} B_{pqk} m_{k-2j-q-m, 2j+q-m}, \quad l = \frac{k-q}{2}$$

and $m_{k-2j-q-m, 2j+q-m}$ are the regular normalized moments [5]. The original image can be approximately reconstructed from a large set of moments as

$$f(x, y) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{p=0}^{N} \sum_{q=0}^{N} Z_{pq} V_{pq}(x, y)$$

where the second sum is over all $|q| \leq p$ with $p - |q|$ even. A sample of the obtained reconstructions using different parameters is shown in Fig. 1.

2.2 Complex-valued Learning Vector Quantization

We extend the concept of GMLVQ [9, 1] to be used on cv-data. GMLVQ is a prototype-based classification algorithm using the concept of adaptive similarity learning. The aim is to place the labeled prototypes $w^i$ such that a high classification accuracy is achieved. We propose a variant designed for complex valued training data $x^i \in \mathbb{C}^N$ and prototypes $w^j \in \mathbb{C}^N$ accompanied with labels $y^i$ and

\[ \text{For an overview about the topic see [5].} \]
\( c(w^i) \) in the following referred to as Complex GMLVQ (CGMLVQ). The cost function is defined by
\[
E = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c^i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{d^J - d^K}{d^J + d^K},
\]
with \( d^J \) denoting the distance to the closest prototype with the same class label \( c(w^i) = y_i \) as \( x^i \) and \( d^K \) the distance of the closest wrong prototype \( w^K \).

In the original GMLVQ formulation the distances are given by
\[
d_j^o = (x^i - w^j)^\top \Omega^\top \Omega (x^i - w^j).
\]
We define a dissimilarity measure for complex values using a complex transformation matrix \( \Omega \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N} \)
\[
d^j = \sum \left| (x^i - w^j) \Omega^\top \right|^2 = (x^i - w^j)^* \Omega^\top \Omega (x^i - w^j).
\]
where \(^*\) denotes the complex conjugate. The learning is done using a stochastic gradient descent procedure presenting one example \( x^i \) at the time. We denote one sweep through the randomly shuffled training set as an epoch. Derivatives of the real-valued cost function and distances have to be taken with respect to the real and imaginary parts of the variables, respectively. Upon presentation of a single example \( x^i \) we obtain updates of the form \( w \rightarrow w - \Delta w \) and \( \Omega \rightarrow \Omega - \Delta \Omega \)
\[
\Delta w = \frac{\partial e^i}{\partial \Re(w)} + i \cdot \frac{\partial e^i}{\partial \Im(w)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta \Omega = \frac{\partial e^i}{\partial \Re(\Omega)} + i \cdot \frac{\partial e^i}{\partial \Im(\Omega)}.
\]
The derivatives are thus given by:
\[
\gamma^J = \frac{2 \cdot d^K}{(d^J + d^K)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^K = -\frac{2 \cdot d^J}{(d^J + d^K)^2},
\]
\[
\Delta w_n^L = \gamma^L \cdot \left( -2 \cdot \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{M} [(x^i - w^j) \Omega^\top]_m \cdot \Omega_{mn} \right] \right) \quad \text{with} \quad L \in \{J, K\}
\]
\[
\Delta \Omega = \gamma^J \cdot \left( 2 \left( (x^i - w^j) \Omega^\top \right)^* \cdot (x^i - w^j)^* \right) + \gamma^K \cdot \left( 2 \left( (x^i - w^K) \Omega^\top \right)^* \cdot (x^i - w^K)^* \right)
\]
After every iteration the matrix \( \Omega \) is normalized such that \( \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \Omega_{jj}^\top} \Omega_{jj} = 1 \).

### 3 Experiments
To evaluate CGMLVQ we use an artificial three dimensional benchmark and a real world data set for leaf recognition.

#### 3.1 Artificial data
We construct an artificial data set in the following way: 1000 samples are drawn from a three-dimensional uniform distribution with values between -1 and 1.
\[ D = U(-1, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^3. \] Whenever the product of the three dimensions is positive the sample is assigned to class 1 and to class 2, otherwise. This results in a checkerboard-like volume as shown in Fig. 2. The first two dimensions build the real and imaginary part of the first complex dimension. The real and imaginary part of the second dimension equal to dimension three. This two-dimensional complex-valued data set is embedded into 10 dimensions as described in Eq. (8):

\[
X = \{ D_1 + iD_2, D_3 + iD_3, \ U(-0.5, 0.5) + iU(-0.5, 0.5) \} \in \mathbb{C}^{10}. \tag{8}
\]

For the evaluation we perform a ten-fold cross-validation using 10% of the data as a test set and \( t = 300 \) epochs. All algorithms are run with 4 prototypes per class initialized near the class centers with small random deviation. The matrix \( \Omega \) is initialized as the identity matrix. The mean test error development per epoch for all methods is shown in Fig. 2 in the right panel. It can be seen that GMLVQ on the real values only, fails to learn the structure. This was expected, because the class structure is built by a correlation of the real and imaginary parts of the first two dimensions. Also the GMLVQ on the concatenated real and imaginary parts, which takes into account pairwise correlations of the real and imaginary parts, cannot achieve the quality of the CGMLVQ. In the complex version it is possible to take pairwise correlations of the complex dimensions into account. Inspection of GMLVQ and CGMLVQ reveals that GMLVQ has twice the number of free parameters compared to CGMLVQ.

### 3.2 Leaf image classification

The Flavia-Dataset from [11] is a real world data consisting of 1907 images of different leaves in 32 classes such as ginkgo, peach, oleander and others (see Fig. 3). In the original article [11] a training error of 98% and a test error of \( \approx 90\% \) is reported. This was achieved using specific features and a probabilistic neural network model but the evaluation was done on a simple training (1800) / test (107) split rather a full cross-validation.
It can be expected that the samples can be sufficiently represented using the shape information of the leaf. This motivates the use of Zernike-Moments (1) which are known to provide optimal, complex-valued shape descriptors. We generated Zernike-Moments from the green-channel of the images, up to order 20 resulting in 121 complex-valued features per sample. The obtained feature vectors have been normalized to zero mean and unit variance. We compare results of CGMLVQ with GMLVQ on the real-valued features only and by concatenating real and imaginary part.

We used the same experimental setup as for the artificial data but now with one prototype per class. The evolution of the training and test error is shown in Fig. 4. In this case the real values contain already a lot of useful information for the classification task. However, the complex version converges much faster taking into account the nature of the data explicitly. GMLVQ on the concatenated vector of real and imaginary parts can achieve a better classification in this example, but with the additional costs of twice as many free parameters than CMGLVQ.

4 Conclusions

In this contribution we propose a variant of the Generalized Matrix Learning Vector Quantization (GMLVQ) for complex valued data called Complex GM-LVQ (CGMLQ). Many applications which naturally deal with complex values may benefit from methods which take into account the nature of the data rather than ignoring or modifying the structure to fit into conventional techniques. Concatenating the real and imaginary parts facilitates the use of all the information. However, CGMLVQ reduces the number of free parameters. If the data is expected to have just pairwise correlations between real and imaginary parts the concatenation is recommended, but if correlations between complex dimensions are expected, CGMLVQ bears the capability to outperform the naive
Fig. 4: Comparison of the training and test error development during training for GMLVQ using only the real values and CGMLVQ.

approach. Further work should address the use of alternative dissimilarities (for example localized matrices) and the exploration of more complex data domains.
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