University of Groningen

Bis(tetramethylcyclopentadienyl)titanium chemistry. Molecular structures of [(C(5)HMe(4))(mu-eta(1)

deWolf, JM; Blaauw, R; Meetsma, A; Teuben, JH; Gyepes, R; Varga, [No Value]; Mach, K; Veldman, N; Spek, AL

Published in:
 Organometallics

DOI:
[10.1021/om960509w](https://doi.org/10.1021/om960509w)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
 Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
 1996

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

deWolf, JM., Blaauw, R., Meetsma, A., Teuben, JH., Gyepes, R., Varga, N. V., Mach, K., Veldman, N., & Spek, AL. (1996). Bis(tetramethylcyclopentadienyl)titanium chemistry. Molecular structures of [(C(5)HMe(4))(mu-eta(1): eta(5)-C(5)Me(4))Ti](2) and [(C(5)HMe(4))(2)Ti]N-2(2). *Organometallics*, 15(23), 4977-4983. <https://doi.org/10.1021/om960509w>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Bis(tetramethylcyclopentadienyl)titanium Chemistry. Molecular Structures of $[(C_5HMe_4)(m\text{-}h^1:h^5\text{-}C_5Me_4)Ti]_2$ and $[(C_5HMe_4)_2Ti]_2N_2$

Jeannette M. de Wolf,[†] Rolf Blaauw,[†] Auke Meetsma,[†] Jan H. Teuben,^{*,†}
Robert Gyepes,[‡] Vojtech Varga,[‡] Karel Mach,^{*,‡} Nora Veldman,[§] and
Anthony L. Spek[§]

Groningen Center for Catalysis and Synthesis, Department of Chemistry, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands, J. Heyrovský Institute of Physical Chemistry, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Dolejškova 3, 182 23 Prague 8, Czech Republic, and Bijvoet Center for Biomolecular Research, Crystal and Structure Chemistry, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands

Received June 25, 1996[®]

Thermolysis of bis(tetramethylcyclopentadienyl)-stabilized titanium(III) compounds $(C_5HMe_4)_2TiR$ ($R = Me$ (**2**), Ph (**3**)) yields, in marked contrast with the bis(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl) analog, the dimeric product $[(C_5HMe_4)(m\text{-}h^1:h^5\text{-}C_5Me_4)Ti]_2$ (**4**), with a bridging metalated tetramethylcyclopentadienyl ligand. The hydride $(C_5HMe_4)_2TiH$ (**5**), synthesized by hydrogenolysis of **2** or **3**, reacts with N_2 to form the dinuclear Ti(II) dinitrogen compound $[(C_5HMe_4)_2Ti]_2N_2$ (**8**). Under a dynamic vacuum, the dinitrogen complex **8** loses the N_2 ligand to give the titanocene $(C_5HMe_4)_2Ti$ (**10**). The molecular structures of both **4** and **8** were determined by X-ray diffraction methods.

Introduction

Methyl substituents at cyclopentadienyl ligands influence the reactivity of metallocene derivatives by their electron-donating effect and by steric shielding of the central atom.¹ The electron-donating effect leads to an increased electron density at the cyclopentadienyl ligand and, consequently, at the metal atom.² Experimentally, this is observed as a decreased ionization potential of both the dominantly Cp' ($Cp' = C_5H_{5-n}Me_n$, $n = 0\text{--}5$) molecular orbitals and the dominantly metal orbitals.³ UPS spectra of the titanocene dihalides Cp'_2TiX_2 and monohalides Cp'_2TiX ($X = Cl, Br$) show an approximately constant increase in energy of the $p(Cp')$ and $d(Ti)$ orbitals per methyl group.^{3c,f} The additivity of the effect of methylation on the ionization energies does not extend to the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl derivatives of the dihalide compounds,^{3e} probably as a result of the larger CE-Ti-CE (CE = Cp ring centroid) angle affecting the orbital energies.⁴ Structure data show that the difference in this angle between $(C_5HMe_4)_2TiCl_2$ ⁵

and $(C_5Me_5)_2TiCl_2$ ⁶ (4.0°) is larger than between the former and unsubstituted $(C_5H_5)_2TiCl_2$ ⁷ (2.4°). In the Ti(III) series Cp'_2TiCl , the difference in the CE-Ti-CE angle between the C_5Me_5 ⁸ and the C_5HMe_4 ⁵ compound is 4.5° .⁹ The molecular structures of $(C_5HMe_4)_2TiX_n$ ($n = 1$, $X = Cl, I$ and $n = 2$, $X = Cl$) compounds show that the C_5HMe_4 ligands are staggered with the unsubstituted carbon atoms of each Cp ring in hinge positions.⁵ With five instead of four methyl substituents on the Cp ring, steric repulsion between the methyl groups in hinge positions is relieved by opening up the Cp'_2Ti wedge, leading to the above-mentioned increase in the CE-Ti-CE angle.

The number of methyl substituents on the cyclopentadienyl rings of titanocene compounds has a profound effect on their reactivity. In the series Cp'_2TiX ($X = Cl, Br, I$), the number of methyl substituents determines whether the compound is monomeric or dimeric. The C_5H_5 and C_5H_4Me derivatives form dimers with bridging halide ligands, both in the solid state^{10a} and in solution.^{10b} In polar solvents they split to give monomeric solvates.^{10c,11} Recently, the 1,3-dimethylcyclopentadienyl complex $[(C_5H_3Me_2)_2Ti(m\text{-}Cl)]_2$ was found to behave

[†] University of Groningen.

[‡] Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic.

[§] Utrecht University.

[®] Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts*, October 1, 1996.

(1) (a) Thompson, M. E.; Bercaw, J. E. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1984**, *56*, 1-11. (b) Watson, P. L.; Parshall, G. W. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1985**, *18*, 51-56. (c) Calabro, D. C.; Hubbard, J. L.; Blevins, C. H., II; Campbell, A. C.; Lichtenberger, D. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 6839-6846.

(2) (a) Robbins, J. L.; Edelstein, N.; Spencer, B.; Smart, J. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 1882-1893 and references therein. (b) Miller, E. J.; Landon, S. J.; Brill, T. B. *Organometallics* **1985**, *4*, 533-538.

(3) (a) Lichtenberger, D. L.; Kellogg, G. E. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1987**, *20*, 379-387. (b) Petersen, J. L.; Lichtenberger, D. L.; Fenske, R. F.; Dahl, L. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1975**, *97*, 6433-6441. (c) Cauletti, C.; Clark, J. P.; Green, J. C.; Jackson, S. E.; Fragala, I. L.; Ciliberto, E.; Coleman, A. W. *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.* **1980**, *18*, 61-73. (d) Condorelli, G.; Fragala, I. L.; Centineo, A.; Tondello, E. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1975**, *87*, 311-315. (e) Vondrák, T.; Mach, K.; Varga, V. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1989**, *367*, 69-76. (f) Vondrák, T.; Mach, K.; Varga, V. *Organometallics* **1992**, *11*, 2030-2034.

(4) Lauher, J. W.; Hoffmann, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 1729-1742.

(5) Troyanov, S. I.; Rybakov, V. B.; Thewalt, U.; Varga, V.; Mach, K. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1993**, *447*, 221-225.

(6) McKenzie, T. C.; Sanner, R. D.; Bercaw, J. E. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1975**, *102*, 457-466.

(7) Clearfield, A.; Warner, D. K.; Saldarriaga-Molina, C. H.; Ropal, R.; Bernal, I. *Can. J. Chem.* **1975**, *53*, 1622-1629.

(8) Pattiasina, J. W.; Heeres, H. J.; Van Bolhuis, F.; Meetsma, A.; Teuben, J. H.; Spek, A. L. *Organometallics* **1987**, *6*, 1004-1010.

(9) Comparison of the CE-Ti-CE angles for bis(cyclopentadienyl)-derivatives Cp'_2TiCl ($Cp' = C_5H_{5-n}Me_n$) with various degrees of methyl substitution is hampered by the fact that for $n = 0, 1$ the compounds are dimers,^{10a} thus adding the steric interaction between the two Cp'_2Ti fragments as a new variable to determine the CE-Ti-CE angle.

(10) (a) Jungst, R.; Sekutowski, D.; Davis, J.; Luly, M.; Stucky, G. *Inorg. Chem.* **1977**, *16*, 1645-1655. (b) Coutts, R. S. P.; Wailes, P. C.; Martin, R. L. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1973**, *47*, 375-382. (c) Samuel, E.; Vedel, J. *Organometallics* **1989**, *8*, 237-241. (d) Mach, K.; Varga, V.; Schmid, G.; Hiller, J.; Thewalt, U. *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.*, in press.

analogously.^{10d} The $\text{Cp}'_2\text{TiX}$ compounds with $n = 3$ –5 are monomeric.^{5,8,11} Dimeric “titanocene”, synthesized by reduction of $(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)_2\text{TiCl}_2$ with LiAlH_4 , contains two bridging hydrides and a bridging fulvalene ligand.¹² Similar compounds can be obtained for cyclopentadienyl ligands up to a maximum of three methyl substituents,¹³ whereas for C_5HMe_4 two adjacent methyl groups are metalated and a new cyclopentadienyl-type ligand is formed, resulting in $\{\text{m-h}^3\text{:h}^4\text{-C}_5\text{H}(\text{CH}_3)_2(\text{CH}_2)_2\}\{(\text{C}_5\text{-HMe}_4)\text{Ti}(\text{m-H})\}_2$ with a bridging $\text{m-h}^3\text{:h}^4$ -1,4-dimethyl-2,3-dimethylenecyclopentadienyl ligand.¹⁴ For the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl derivatives $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{TiR}$, thermolysis ultimately gave analogous dimetalation of a cyclopentadienyl ligand, but here the monomeric compound $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)(\text{h}^3\text{:h}^4\text{-C}_5(\text{CH}_3)_3(\text{CH}_2)_2)\text{Ti}$ ¹⁵ with a trimethyl-1,2-dimethylenecyclopentadienyl ligand was obtained as the main product.¹⁶

In this article we compare reactivity differences of tervalent titanium compounds $\text{Cp}'_2\text{TiR}$ ($\text{R} = \text{Me}, \text{Ph}, \text{H}$) in relation to the stabilizing cyclopentadienyl (C_5HMe_4 vs C_5Me_5) ligand system.

Results and Discussion

Thermolysis of $(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)_2\text{TiMe}$ (2) and $(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)_2\text{-TiPh}$ (3). Compounds **2** and **3** were synthesized by salt metathesis reactions of $(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)_2\text{TiCl}$ (**1**) with MeLi and PhMgBr , respectively, in analogy with the synthesis of $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{TiR}$ compounds.¹⁷ The compounds are paramagnetic, which complicates NMR spectroscopic characterization. ¹H NMR spectroscopy shows two resonances for the ring methyl groups, one very broad signal at 48 ppm for **2** and at 40 ppm for **3** and a much narrower resonance (3.0 ppm (**2**) and 4.1 ppm (**3**)). The Ti-Me group of compound **2** appears at -26 ppm.¹⁸ To facilitate identification, **2** and **3** were oxidized with PbCl_2 ,¹⁹ yielding the diamagnetic $(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)_2\text{Ti}(\text{Me})\text{Cl}$ and $(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)_2\text{Ti}(\text{Ph})\text{Cl}$, respectively. Thermolysis of **2** or **3** in toluene at 130 °C led to formation of a green-brown crystalline compound, which is poorly soluble in organic solvents, thereby precluding proper characterization by NMR spectroscopy. Töpler pump experiments revealed that 1 equiv of CH_4/Ti is released during thermolysis of **2**. Evolution of benzene was observed during thermolysis of the phenyl complex **3** (GC). An X-ray crystal structure determination showed that the dimeric product $[(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)(\text{m-h}^1\text{:h}^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_4)\text{Ti}]_2$ (**4**) had been formed (eq 1), which is in marked contrast with the monomeric fulvene complex $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)(\text{h}^1\text{:h}^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_4\text{-}$

Figure 1. ORTEP drawing (50% probability level) and atom-labeling scheme for $[(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)(\text{m-h}^1\text{:h}^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_4)\text{Ti}]_2$ (**4**). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Table 1. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Bond Angles (deg) for $[(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)(\text{m-h}^1\text{:h}^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_4)\text{Ti}]_2$ (**4**)

Ti1-C1	2.320(2)	Ti1-C10	2.360(2)
Ti1-C2	2.435(2)	Ti1-C11	2.431(2)
Ti1-C3	2.434(2)	Ti1-C12	2.430(2)
Ti1-C4	2.325(2)	Ti1-C13	2.365(2)
Ti1-C5	2.284(2)	Ti1-C14	2.311(2)
Ti1-CE1 ^a	2.0231(9)	Ti1-CE2 ^a	2.0515(9)
Ti1-C5a	2.193(2)		
Ti1-C5-Ti1a	104.62(8)	C5-Ti1-C5a	75.38(7)
CE1-Ti1-CE2	141.43(2)		

^a CE1 = C1-C5 ring centroid; CE2 = C10-C14 ring centroid.

$\text{CH}_2\text{)Ti}$, the product of the thermolysis of the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl analog $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{TiMe}$.^{17,20}

Molecular Structure of $[(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)(\text{m-h}^1\text{:h}^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_4)\text{Ti}]_2$ (4**).** The molecular structure of **4** is shown in Figure 1. Selected bond distances and angles are shown in Table 1 and details of the X-ray structure determination in Table 3. Compound **4** possesses a crystallographically imposed C_i symmetry. Each titanium atom is p -bonded to a C_5HMe_4 and a $\text{C}_5\text{Me}_4\text{Ti}$ ligand and s -bonded to a neighboring cyclopentadienyl to form a $\text{C}_5\text{Me}_4\text{Ti}$ group.²¹ In fact, the structure of each $(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)(\text{h}^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_4)\text{Ti}(\text{h}^1\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_4)$ moiety is very similar to that of the monomeric $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{TiR}$ compounds.¹⁷ In $(\text{C}_5\text{-Me}_5)_2\text{TiCH}_2\text{CMe}_3$ the Ti-C s -bond is 2.235(4) Å, which is comparable to the Ti-C s -bond of 2.193(2) Å in **4**. The $\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4\text{-Ti-C}_5\text{Me}_4$ angle is 141.43(2)°, which is only slightly larger than the CE-Ti-CE (CE = Cp ring centroid) angle of 139.4(3)° in $(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_5)_2\text{TiCH}_2\text{CMe}_3$. The Ti-CE distances of 2.0231(9) and 2.0515(9) Å for the C_5Me_4 and the C_5HMe_4 ligands, respectively, are also

(11) Mach, K.; Raynor, J. B. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1992**, 683-688.

(12) (a) Brintzinger, H. H.; Bercaw, J. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1970**, 92, 6182-6185. (b) Davison, A.; Wreford, S. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1974**, 96, 3017-3018. (c) Troyanov, S. I.; Antropiusová, H.; Mach, K. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1992**, 427, 48-55.

(13) The X-ray structure of $[\text{m-C}_{16}\text{H}_{20}][(\text{C}_5\text{Me}_3\text{H}_2)\text{Ti}(\text{m-H})]_2$ derived from 1,2,3-trimethylcyclopentadienyl compounds has recently been determined. Varga, V.; Mach, K.; Polásek, M.; Sedmera, P.; Hiller, J.; Thewalt, U.; Troyanov, S. I. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1996**, 506, 241-251.

(14) Troyanov, S. I.; Mach, K.; Varga, V. *Organometallics* **1993**, 12, 3387-3389.

(15) Pattiasina, J. W.; Hissink, C. E.; De Boer, J. L.; Meetsma, A.; Teuben, J. H.; Spek, A. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, 107, 7758-7759.

(16) Mach, K.; Varga, V.; Hanuš, V.; Sedmera, P. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1991**, 415, 87-95.

(17) Luinstra, G. A.; Ten Cate, L. C.; Heeres, H. J.; Pattiasina, J. W.; Meetsma, A.; Teuben, J. H. *Organometallics* **1991**, 10, 3227-3237.

(18) The resonances are integrated according to the expected intensities.

(19) Luinstra, G. A.; Teuben, J. H. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1990**, 1470-1471.

(20) Luinstra, G. A.; Teuben, J. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, 114, 3361-3367.

(21) A very similar structure has been obtained with $\text{C}_5\text{H}_2\text{Me}_3$ ligands instead of C_5HMe_4 . $[(\text{C}_5\text{H}_2\text{Me}_3)(\text{m-h}^1\text{:h}^5\text{-C}_5\text{HMe}_3)\text{Ti}]_2$: $(\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{21}\text{-Ti})_2$, $M_r = 522.44$, triclinic, $P\bar{1}$, $a = 8.819(1)$ Å, $b = 9.026(1)$ Å, $c = 9.899(1)$ Å, $\alpha = 102.08(1)^\circ$, $\beta = 101.866(9)^\circ$, $\gamma = 113.353(8)^\circ$, $V = 669.85(14)$ Å³, $Z = 1$, $D_{\text{exptl}} = 1.295$ g cm⁻³, $I(\text{Mo K}\alpha) = 0.710$ 73 Å, $m = 6.1$ cm⁻¹, $T = 130$ K, $R_F = 0.039$ for 2830 unique observed reflections with $I \geq 2.5\sigma(I)$ and 238 parameters. Mach, K.; Teuben, J. H.; Meetsma, A. Unpublished results.

in the range normally observed.⁵ The ring slippage (0.129 Å, with the shortest Ti-C distance toward the unsubstituted carbon atom) of the C₅HMe₄ ligand has been observed for other (C₅HMe₄)₂Ti complexes.⁵ The bridging C₅Me₄ ligand displays a somewhat larger ring slippage (0.176 Å, with the shortest Ti-C distance toward the *h*¹-bonded carbon atom). The Ti-Ti distance (3.5430(6) Å) is significantly longer than the Ti-Ti distance in (C₅H₅)₃(*m*-*h*¹:*h*⁵-C₅H₄)Ti₂(THF) (3.336(4) Å)²² or in [(C₅H₅)(*m*-*h*¹:*h*⁵-C₅H₄)Ti(PMe₃)₂] (3.223(1) Å).²³ The *h*⁵- and *h*¹-Ti-C bond lengths of these two complexes are comparable to those in **4**. Similar structures with *m*-*h*¹:*h*⁵-cyclopentadienyl ligands have been found for other metals, e.g., [(C₅H₅)₂Th(*m*-C₅H₄)₂]₂²⁴ and [(C₅H₅)₂Nb(H)(*m*-C₅H₄)₂]₂.²⁵

Formation of [(C₅HMe₄)(*m*-*h*¹:*h*⁵-C₅Me₄)Ti]₂ (4**) vs (C₅Me₅)(*h*¹:*h*⁵-C₅Me₄CH₂)Ti.** Both titanocene(III) methyl compounds (C₅HMe₄)₂TiMe (**2**) and (C₅Me₅)₂TiMe decompose upon heating, liberating 1 equiv of CH₄ per Ti. The resulting organometallic products are quite different: the dimeric [(C₅HMe₄)(*m*-*h*¹:*h*⁵-C₅Me₄)Ti]₂ (**4**) for (C₅HMe₄)₂TiMe (**2**) vs the monomeric (C₅Me₅)(*h*¹:*h*⁵-C₅Me₄CH₂)Ti for (C₅Me₅)₂TiMe. Since arene C-H bonds (sp² hybridized) are more reactive than aliphatic C-H bonds (sp³ hybridized),²⁶ it is not surprising that upon thermolysis of **2**, activation of an sp²-hybridized ring C-H bond is preferred to activation of an sp³-hybridized methyl C-H. However, thermolysis of (C₅Me₅)₂TiR_n (*n* = 1, 2) compounds is known to proceed via complicated mechanisms such as the formation of a carbene intermediate during the thermolysis of (C₅Me₅)₂TiMe₂ to (C₅Me₅)(*h*¹:*h*⁵-C₅Me₄CH₂)TiMe.²⁷ Another example is the thermolysis of (C₅Me₅)₂TiR compounds to (C₅Me₅)(*h*¹:*h*⁵-C₅Me₄CH₂)Ti, which is catalyzed by (C₅Me₅)₂TiH, formed during the reaction itself.²⁰ A similar autocatalytic mechanism, including the activation of the ring C-H bond, may well take place in the decomposition of **2** to **4**, but the actual thermolysis mechanism was not studied. An intramolecular activation of the ring C-H bond would lead to a highly constrained monomeric (C₅HMe₄)(*h*¹:*h*⁵-C₅Me₄)Ti compound, which is considered unlikely. Though the intermediacy of such a product cannot be excluded, the formation of stable dimeric **4** presumably occurs via intermolecular activation of ring C-H bonds, which allows the bridging *h*¹:*h*⁵-C₅Me₄ ligands to retain their planar geometry.²⁸

(C₅HMe₄)₂TiH (5**) and Reaction with N₂.** Hydrogenolysis of (C₅Me₅)₂TiR produces a monomeric tita-

niun(III) hydride, (C₅Me₅)₂TiH.^{27a,29} Recently the molecular structure of the first monomeric titanocene(III) hydride (C₅PhMe₄)₂TiH has been determined.³⁰ These titanocene(III) hydrides are intriguing compounds, because of their key role in the "titanocene enigma" and their activity as catalysts for many organic reactions.³⁰

Upon hydrogenolysis of (C₅HMe₄)₂TiR (R = Me (**2**), R = Ph (**3**)), the green solution turned red-brown, characteristic for formation of titanocene(III) hydride (C₅HMe₄)₂TiH (**5**). Töpler pump analysis of the gas formed on reaction of **2** with D₂ revealed that 1 equiv of CH₃D/Ti had been liberated. Surprisingly, as soon as N₂ was admitted to a solution of **5**, a blue precipitate formed, which prevented isolation and characterization of the pure hydride.³¹ However, the close similarity between the NMR (broad resonances for the methyl substituents of the C₅HMe₄ ligands are at 46.4 and 9.6 ppm; no resonances for the hydride proton or the ring protons are observed) and ESR (singlet at *g* = 1.9789, with *a*(Ti) = 9.3 G) solution spectra of the red-brown **5** with those of (C₅Me₅)₂TiH^{29a} and (C₅PhMe₄)₂TiH³⁰ reliably allows identification as (C₅HMe₄)₂TiH. Additional proof was obtained from reactivity studies. When 1,3-butadiene was admitted to a solution of **5**, purple (C₅HMe₄)₂Ti(*h*³-1-methylallyl) (**7**) was formed exclusively, indicating that **5** behaves as a regular titanocene(III) hydride.³² In analogy to (C₅Me₅)₂TiH^{29a} and (C₅PhMe₄)₂TiH,³⁰ oxidation of **5** with PbCl₂¹⁹ yielded the diamagnetic (C₅HMe₄)₂Ti(H)Cl (**6**), in which the hydride resonance appears at 4.10 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum. The hydride **5** is not as stable as the corresponding hydrides of (C₅Me₅)₂TiH and (C₅PhMe₄)₂TiH, because at room temperature crystalline **4** slowly separates from solutions of **5**, accompanied by liberation of H₂.

It is remarkable that **5** immediately reacts with even traces of N₂ at room temperature, whereas (C₅Me₅)₂TiH^{29a} and (C₅PhMe₄)₂TiH³⁰ appear to be completely indifferent toward N₂ at room temperature, even under 1 atm of N₂. The dramatic color change from red-brown to blue upon exposure of a solution of **5** to N₂ gas, followed by the separation of shiny metal-luster crystals, suggests the formation of the dinitrogen complex [(C₅HMe₄)₂TiH]₂N₂, like their regular cyclopentadienyl analogs (Cp₂TiR)₂N₂.³³ However, formation of H₂ during complexation of N₂ indicates a more complicated reaction sequence. An X-ray structure determination of the product showed that instead of the dinuclear titanocene(III) dinitrogen complex [(C₅HMe₄)₂TiH]₂N₂ the dinitrogen complex of titanocene(II) [(C₅HMe₄)₂Ti]₂N₂ (**8**) had been formed.

Molecular Structure of [(C₅HMe₄)₂Ti]₂N₂ (8**).** The molecular structure of **8** is shown in Figure 2, and selected bond distances and angles and details of the

(22) Pez, G. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 8072-8078.

(23) Kool, L. B.; Rausch, M. D.; Alt, H. G.; Herberhold, M.; Thewalt, U.; Honold, B. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1986**, *310*, 27-34.

(24) Baker, E. C.; Raymond, K. N.; Marks, T. J.; Wachter, W. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1974**, *96*, 7586-7588.

(25) Guggenberger, L. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **1973**, *12*, 294-301.

(26) Thompson, M. E.; Baxter, S. M.; Bulls, A. R.; Burger, B. J.; Nolan, M. C.; Santarsiero, B. D.; Schaefer, W. P.; Bercaw, J. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 203-219.

(27) (a) Bercaw, J. E.; Marvich, R. H.; Bell, L. G.; Brintzinger, H. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, *94*, 1219-1238. (b) Bercaw, J. E.; Brintzinger, H. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1971**, *93*, 2046-2048. (c) McDade, C.; Green, J. C.; Bercaw, J. E. *Organometallics* **1982**, *1*, 1629-1634.

(28) The situation is different for the thermolysis of the titanocene(IV) dimethyl compound (C₅HMe₄)₂TiMe₂,¹⁶ which decomposes to the monomeric fulvene compound (C₅HMe₄)(C₅HMe₃CH₂)TiMe. Titanium in (C₅HMe₄)₂TiMe₂ is surrounded by four ligands instead of three, which sterically prevent intermolecular activation of ring C-H bonds. Instead, intramolecular activation of a less reactive methyl C-H bond occurs, so that a monomeric fulvene compound is isolated, similar to the decomposition of (C₅Me₅)₂TiMe₂ to (C₅Me₅)(C₅Me₄CH₂)TiMe.²⁶

(29) (a) Teuben, J. H. In *Fundamental and Technological Aspects of Organo-f-Element Chemistry*; Marks, T. J., Fragala, I. L., Eds.; Reidel: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1985; pp 195-227. (b) Bercaw, J. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1974**, *96*, 5087-5094.

(30) De Wolf, J. M.; Meetsma, A.; Teuben, J. H. *Organometallics* **1995**, *14*, 5466-5468 and references therein.

(31) Even under Ar, formation of an intensely blue solution was observed. Apparently traces of N₂ are sufficient to set off the disproportionation of **5** and form the dinitrogen complex [(C₅HMe₄)₂Ti]₂N₂ (**8**).

(32) (a) Mach, K.; Antropiusová, H.; Varga, V.; Hanuš, V. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1988**, *358*, 123-133. (b) Gao, Y.; Iijima, S.; Urabe, H.; Sato, F. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1994**, *222*, 145-153.

(33) (a) Teuben, J. H.; De Liefde Meijer, H. J. *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas* **1971**, *90*, 360-363. (b) Zeinstra, J. D.; Teuben, J. H.; Jellinek, F. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1979**, *170*, 39-50.

Figure 2. ORTEP drawing (50% probability level) and atom-labeling scheme for $[(C_5HMe_4)_2Ti]_2N_2$ (**8**). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

Table 2. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Bond Angles (deg) for $[(C_5HMe_4)_2Ti]_2N_2$ (**8**)

Ti1-N1	1.987(3)	N1-N1a	1.170(4)
Ti1-CE1 ^a	2.0338(6)	Ti1-CE2 ^a	2.0348(7)
Ti1-C1	2.327(3)	Ti1-C10	2.312(4)
Ti1-C2	2.355(3)	Ti1-C11	2.360(3)
Ti1-C3	2.388(3)	Ti1-C12	2.397(3)
Ti1-C4	2.394(3)	Ti1-C13	2.399(3)
Ti1-C5	2.356(4)	Ti1-C14	2.342(4)
Ti1-N1-N1a	178.5(3)	CE1-Ti1-CE2	140.99(3)
N1-Ti1-CE1	108.11(9)	N1-Ti1-CE2	110.33(9)

^a CE1 = C1-C5 ring centroid; CE2 = C10-C14 ring centroid.

Table 3. Details of the X-ray Structure Determinations of $[(C_5HMe_4)(m-h^1:h^5-C_5Me_4)Ti]_2$ (**4**) and $[(C_5HMe_4)_2Ti]_2N_2$ (**8**)

	$C_{36}H_{50}Ti_2$ (4)	$C_{36}H_{52}N_2Ti_2$ (8)
mol wt	578.55	608.58
cryst syst	triclinic	monoclinic
space group	$P1$ (No. 2)	$P2_1/c$ (No. 14)
<i>a</i> , Å	8.583(1)	8.4661(9)
<i>b</i> , Å	9.249(1)	10.3254(12)
<i>c</i> , Å	11.085(1)	20.3205(17)
α , deg	68.766(6)	
β , deg	88.553(6)	114.541(8)
γ , deg	69.285(4)	
<i>V</i> , Å ³	761.9(2)	1615.9(3)
<i>Z</i>	1	2
<i>D</i> _{calc} , g cm ⁻³	1.261	1.251
<i>F</i> (000), e	310	652
μ (Mo K α), cm ⁻¹	5.4	5.1
cryst size, mm	0.25 × 0.37 × 0.50	0.25 × 0.25 × 0.25
radiation, Å	Mo K α , 0.710 73	Mo K α , 0.710 73
monochromator	graphite	graphite
temp, K	130	150
total no. of data	3920	6191
no. of unique data	3678	3712
no. of obsd data	3397	2296
(<i>I</i> ≥ 2.5 σ (<i>I</i>))		
no. of refined params	273	214
<i>R</i> _F ^a	0.029	0.053
<i>R</i> _w ^b	0.039	0.054
<i>w</i>	1	1/[<i>S</i> ² (<i>F</i>) + 0.000413 <i>F</i> ²]
<i>S</i> ^c	0.625	1.32
Residual density, e Å ⁻³	-0.33, 0.35	-0.51, 0.48

^a $R_F = \Sigma(|F_o| - |F_c|)/\Sigma|F_o|$. ^b $R_w = [\Sigma(w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2)/\Sigma w|F_o|^2]^{1/2}$. ^c $S = [\Sigma w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2/(m - n)]^{1/2}$; *m* = number of observations, *n* = number of variables.

X-ray structure determination are shown in Tables 2 and 3. Compound **8** is a dinuclear complex, in which two $(C_5HMe_4)_2Ti$ moieties are bridged by N_2 in an essentially linear arrangement. It is a centrosymmetric

molecule with the center of inversion in the middle of the N-N bond. No hydride ligands are present. The CE(1)-Ti-CE(2) angle of 140.99(3)° and the CE(1)-Ti-N(1) and CE(2)-Ti-N(1) angles of 108.11(9) and 110.33(9)° add up to 359.4°, which illustrates a planar triangular coordination around titanium. The distance between the nitrogen atoms is 1.170(4) Å, which compares well with the N-N distances in $[(C_5Me_5)_2Ti]_2N_2$ (1.160(14) Å)³⁴ and $[(C_5H_5)_2Ti(4-CH_3C_6H_4)]_2N_2$ (1.162(12) Å).^{35b} The Ti-N distance of 1.987(3) Å is between those found for $[(C_5Me_5)_2Ti]_2N_2$ (2.017(12) Å) and $[(C_5H_5)_2Ti(4-CH_3C_6H_4)]_2N_2$ (1.962(6) Å). The Ti-N-N-Ti skeleton is almost linear (Ti(1)-N(1)-N(2) = 178.5(3)°, as in $[(C_5Me_5)_2Ti]_2N_2$ (177.4(4)°) and $[(C_5H_5)_2Ti(4-CH_3C_6H_4)]_2N_2$ (176.5(5)°). Within each $(C_5HMe_4)_2Ti$ unit a staggered conformation is adopted with the *sp*² C-H bond of one C_5HMe_4 ligand pointing between two methyl groups of the other. Other dinuclear $(C_5HMe_4)_2Ti$ complexes display the same orientation of the C_5HMe_4 ligands.³⁵ In mononuclear $(C_5HMe_4)_2Ti$ complexes such as $(C_5HMe_4)_2TiCl$ and $(C_5HMe_4)_2TiCl_2$ the ligands are staggered too, but with the *sp*² C-H bonds of each C_5HMe_4 ligand pointing toward each other, thereby minimizing the steric hindrance between the ligands. In dinuclear $(C_5HMe_4)_2Ti$ complexes such as **8**, such an orientation would produce more steric hindrance between the methyl substituents of the two different $(C_5HMe_4)_2Ti$ moieties on each side of the bridging N_2 ligand.

Reduction of $(C_5HMe_4)_2TiH$ (5**) to $[(C_5HMe_4)_2Ti]_2N_2$ (**8**).** Surprisingly, the Ti(III) hydride **5** is reduced to the dinuclear Ti(II) N_2 complex $[(C_5HMe_4)_2Ti]_2N_2$ (**8**) upon reaction with N_2 , under liberation of H_2 (eq 2).

The question arises how titanium is reduced from the 3+ to the 2+ oxidation state. Most likely, equilibria are involved in which the Ti(III) hydride **5** disproportionates to the Ti(IV) dihydride $(C_5HMe_4)_2TiH_2$ (**9**) and a Ti(II) compound, $(C_5HMe_4)_2Ti$ (**10**) (eq 3). The dihydride **9** can lose dihydrogen in a subsequent equilibrium reaction to form the titanocene **10** (eq 4). Such equilibria have been proposed by Bercaw to explain the decomposition of $(C_5Me_5)_2TiH_2$.^{29b}

Next, the titanocene **10** reacts with N_2 to form the dinuclear N_2 complex $[(C_5HMe_4)_2Ti]_2N_2$ (**8**) (eq 5). Because of the consumption of **10** by reaction with N_2 , the equilibria 3 and 4 are shifted to the right and eventually all Ti(III) hydride **5** is converted into **8**.

The reactivity of $(C_5HMe_4)_2TiH$ (**5**) is in contrast with the related Ti(III) hydrides $(C_5Me_5)_2TiH$ and $(C_5PhMe_4)_2-$

(34) Sanner, R. D.; Duggan, D. M.; McKenzie, T. C.; Marsh, R. E.; Bercaw, J. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 8358-8365.

(35) (a) Gyepes, R.; Mach, K.; Cisarová, I.; Loub, J.; Hiller, J.; Šindelár, P. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1995**, *497*, 33-41. (b) Troyanov, S. I.; Varga, V.; Mach, K. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1993**, *461*, 85-90.

(w), 1562 (w), 1412 (w), 1233 (w), 1173 (w), 1150 (w), 1113 (w), 1049 (w), 1022 (s), 824 (vs), 721 (vs), 704 (vs), 610 (m), 473 (s), 428 (s). Oxidation with PbCl_2 ¹⁹ quantitatively yielded red $(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)_2\text{Ti}(\text{Ph})\text{Cl}$. ^1H NMR (200 MHz, toluene- d_8): δ 7.36 (d, 1H, H_{ortho} , $J = 6.4$ Hz), 7.01 (m, 2H, H_{meta}), 6.90 (m, 1H, H_{para}), 6.20 (d, 1H, H_{ortho}), 4.95 (s, 2H, C_5HMe_4), 1.94, 1.75, 1.62, 1.52 (s, 6H, C_5HMe_4).

Synthesis of $[(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)(m\text{-}h^1\text{:}h^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_4)\text{Ti}]_2$ (4) by Thermolysis of $(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)_2\text{TiMe}$ (2). A solution of **2** (1.23 g, 4.03 mmol) in 20 mL of toluene in a sealed ampule was heated to 130 °C for 4 h. The green-brown solution was cooled to room temperature slowly, and dark green-brown crystals separated, which were isolated and washed with pentane. Yield: 0.78 g of **4** (67%). MS (75 eV, m/e): 578 (M^+). UV/vis (toluene, nm): 295, 360 (sh), 435, 525, 610 (sh). Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{50}\text{Ti}_2$: C, 74.74; H, 8.71; Ti, 16.55. Found: C, 74.65; H, 8.67; Ti, 16.63. In an identical manner **4** was synthesized by thermolysis of **3**; GC analysis showed the formation of benzene during the thermolysis. The thermolysis product **4** was also synthesized by removing H_2 (MS analysis) from a solution of hydride **5** in hexane under a dynamic vacuum.

Thermolysis of $(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)_2\text{TiMe}$ (2): Gas Analysis. On a vacuum line a solution of 0.069 g of **2** (0.226 mmol) in 5 mL of toluene was heated to 130 °C for 4 h. The amount of liberated gas was measured using a Töpler pump: 0.224 mmol (0.99 mol/mol of Ti). It was analyzed as methane (MS).

X-ray Structure Determination of $[(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)(m\text{-}h^1\text{:}h^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_4)\text{Ti}]_2$ (4). Single crystals of **4** were grown by slow cooling of a hot toluene solution of **4**. A green-brown parallelepiped crystal was selected, glued on a glass fiber in a drybox, transferred to the goniostat, and cooled to 130 K using an on-line liquid nitrogen cooling system mounted on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4F diffractometer interfaced to a VAX-11/730 computer. Unit cell parameters were determined from a least-squares treatment of the setting angles of 22 reflections in the range $13.18^\circ < \theta < 18.88^\circ$ in four alternate settings.⁴⁰ A search of a limited hemisphere of reciprocal space yielded a set of reflections that showed no evidence of symmetry or systematic extinction. The unit cell was identified as triclinic, space group $P1$. This choice was confirmed by the solution and the successful refinement in this space group of the structure. Reduced cell calculations did not indicate any higher metrical lattice symmetry,⁴¹ and examination of the final atomic coordinates of the structure did not yield extra metric symmetry elements.⁴² The structure was solved by Patterson methods and subsequent partial structure expansion (SHELXS86⁴³). The positional and anisotropic thermal parameters for the non-hydrogen atoms were refined with block-diagonal least-squares procedures (XTAL⁴⁴), minimizing the function $Q = \sum_h [w|F_o| - |F_c|]^2$. A subsequent difference Fourier synthesis gave all the hydrogen atoms, whose coordinates and isotropic thermal parameters were refined. Final full-matrix least-squares refinement (based on F_o) with anisotropic thermal parameters for the non-hydrogen atoms and isotropic thermal parameters for the hydrogen atoms converged at $R_F = 0.029$ ($R_w = 0.039$, $w = 1$). The crystal exhibited some secondary extinction, for which the F values were corrected by refinement of an empirical isotropic extinction parameter.⁴⁵ A final difference Fourier map did not show residual peaks outside the range $\pm 0.35 \text{ e}/\text{\AA}^3$. Scattering factors were taken from Cromer and Mann.⁴⁶ Anomalous dispersion

factors taken from Cromer and Liberman⁴⁷ were included in F_c . All calculations were carried out on the CDC-Cyber 962-31 computer of the University of Groningen with the program packages XTAL,⁴⁸ EUCLID⁴⁹ (calculation of geometric data), and ORTEP⁵⁰ (preparation of illustrations).

Synthesis of $(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)_2\text{TiH}$ (5). A 0.83 g portion of $(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)_2\text{TiPh}$ (**3**; 2.26 mmol) was dissolved in pentane and degassed thoroughly by several freeze/pump/thaw cycles. H_2 was admitted to the frozen solution, which was warmed to room temperature with stirring. The color of the solution changed to red-brown. It proved impossible to isolate pure **5** from this solution, since it reacted immediately with the slightest trace of N_2 and small crystals of **4** separated as well. ^1H NMR (200 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 46.4 (s, 12 H, $\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_2\text{Me}_2$, WHM = 1.68 kHz), 9.6 (s, 12 H, $\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_2\text{Me}_2$, WHM = 160 Hz). ESR (toluene): $g = 1.9789$, $\Delta H = 5$ G, $a(\text{Ti}) = 9.3$ G. In an identical manner **5** was synthesized by hydrogenolysis of **2**.

Synthesis of $(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)_2\text{Ti}(\text{H})\text{Cl}$ (6). Excess PbCl_2 ¹⁹ was added to a C_6D_6 solution of 30 mg of **5**. After filtration a red-brown solution of $(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)_2\text{Ti}(\text{H})\text{Cl}$ (**6**) was obtained. ^1H NMR (200 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 4.68 (s, 2H, C_5HMe_4), 4.10 (s, 1H, TiH), 2.19, 2.09, 1.77, 1.74 (s, 6H, C_5HMe_4).

Reaction of $(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)_2\text{TiH}$ (5) with D_2 : Gas Analysis. A solution of 0.114 g of **2** (0.374 mmol) in pentane was degassed by three freeze/pump/thaw cycles. A 0.692 mmol amount of D_2 was admitted to the solution, yielding a red-brown solution. The amount of liberated gas and excess of D_2 were measured using a Töpler pump (0.710 mmol). The gas mixture was cycled over a CuO column at 300 °C in order to burn D_2 . D_2O was collected in a trap cooled with liquid nitrogen, leaving 0.391 mmol of gas (1.05 mol/mol of Ti), which was analyzed as CH_3D (MS).

Reaction of $(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)_2\text{TiH}$ (5) with 1,3-Butadiene: Synthesis of $(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)_2\text{Ti}(h^3\text{-1-methylallyl})$ (7). To a stirred solution of 0.87 g of **5** (3.0 mmol) in 20 mL of hexane was admitted 1,3-butadiene. The red-brown solution turned intensely purple immediately. After evaporation of the solvent a purple solid was isolated, which was characterized spectroscopically as $(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)_2\text{Ti}(h^3\text{-1-methylallyl})$ (**7**).^{32a} Yield: 0.96 g (93%).

Synthesis of $[(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)_2\text{Ti}]_2\text{N}_2$ (8). To a degassed solution of 1.00 g of **2** (3.28 mmol) in 20 mL of pentane was admitted H_2 . The solution was stirred for 2 h, during which time the H_2 atmosphere was refreshed several times. A red-brown solution of **5** had formed, which was cooled to 0 °C. N_2 was allowed to slowly diffuse into the solution. Beautiful shiny metal-luster crystals formed, which were isolated from the dark blue solution by filtration and washed with pentane. Yield: 0.73 g of **8** (73%). UV/vis (toluene, nm): 310 (sh), 350 (sh), 592. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{52}\text{N}_2\text{Ti}_2$: C, 71.04; H, 8.61; N, 4.60; Ti, 15.74. Found: C, 70.94; H, 8.65; N, 4.43; Ti, 15.62.

X-ray Structure Determination of $[(\text{C}_5\text{HMe}_4)_2\text{Ti}]_2\text{N}_2$ (8). Suitable single crystals of **8** were grown by slow diffusion of N_2 into a pentane solution of **5**. A crystal sealed in a Lindemann-glass capillary was mounted on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4-T diffractometer on a rotating anode and was held under a cold nitrogen stream. Accurate unit-cell parameters and an orientation matrix were determined from the setting angles of 25 well-centered reflections (SET4)⁴⁰ in the range $10.0^\circ < \theta < 14.0^\circ$. The unit-cell parameters were checked for the presence of higher lattice symmetry.⁴¹ Data were corrected for Lp effects. Standard deviations of the intensities as obtained by counting statistics were increased according to an analysis of the excess variance of the reference reflections: s^2 -

(40) De Boer, J. L.; Duisenberg, A. J. M. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1984**, A40, C410.

(41) Spek, A. L. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **1988**, 21, 578-579.

(42) Le Page, Y. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **1987**, 20, 264-269.

(43) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXS86, Program for Crystal Structure Solution; University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1986.

(44) Olthof-Hazekamp, R. In "CRYLSQ", XTAL2.6 User's Manual; Hall, S. R., Stewart, J. M., Eds.; Universities of Western Australia and Maryland, 1989.

(45) Zachariasen, W. H. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1967**, 23, 558-564.

(46) Cromer, D. T.; Mann, J. B. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1968**, A24, 321-324.

(47) Cromer, D. T.; Liberman, D. J. *Chem. Phys.* **1970**, 53, 1891-1898.

(48) Hall, S. R.; Stewart, J. M. XTAL2.6 User's Manual; Universities of Western Australia and Maryland, 1989.

(49) Spek, A. L. The EUCLID Package. In *Computational Crystallography*; Sayre, D., Ed.; Oxford University Press (Clarendon): London, New York, 1982; p 528.

(50) Johnson, C. K. ORTEP; Report ORNL-3794; Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, 1965.

$(I) = S_{\text{cs}}(I) + (pI)^2$, with $p = 0.01$.⁵¹ An empirical absorption/extinction correction was applied (DIFABS⁵²). The structure was solved by automated Patterson methods and subsequent difference Fourier techniques (DIRDIF-92).⁵³ Refinement on F was carried out by full-matrix least-squares techniques (SHELX76⁵⁴). Hydrogen atoms (except the Cp-ring hydrogens) were included in the refinement on calculated positions (C-H = 0.98 Å), riding on their carrier atoms. Weights were optimized in the final refinement cycles. The final difference map was inspected for possible hydride atoms. The largest

peaks are within 1.5 Å from Ti but were rejected, being artifacts. Neutral atom scattering factors were taken from Cromer and Mann⁴⁶ and anomalous dispersion corrections from Cromer and Liberman.⁴⁷ Geometrical calculations and the ORTEP illustrations were done with PLATON.⁴⁹

Acknowledgment. This work was supported in part (N.V. and A.L.S.) by the Netherlands Foundation of Chemical Research (SON) with financial aid from the Netherlands Foundation for Scientific Research (NWO).

Supporting Information Available: Further details of the structure determinations, including tables of atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters for **4** and **8** (20 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

OM960509W

(51) McCandlish, L. E.; Stout, G. H.; Andrews, L. C. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1975**, A31, 245-249.

(52) Walker, N.; Stuart, D. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1983**, A39, 158-166.

(53) Beurskens, P. T.; Admiraal, G.; Beurskens, G.; Bosman, W. P.; García-Granda, S.; Gould, R. O.; Smits, J. M. M.; Smykalla, C. The DIRDIF Program System, Technical Report of the Crystallography Laboratory; University of Nijmegen, Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 1992.

(54) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELX76, Program for Crystal Structure Determination; University of Cambridge, Cambridge, U.K., 1976.