University of Groningen

Distributional Watson transforms

Dijksma, A.; de Snoo, H.S.V.

Published in:
Siam journal on mathematical analysis

DOI:
[10.1137/0505084](https://doi.org/10.1137/0505084)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
1974

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Dijksma, A., & de Snoo, H. S. V. (1974). Distributional Watson transforms. *Siam journal on mathematical analysis*, 5(6), 888-892. <https://doi.org/10.1137/0505084>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

DISTRIBUTIONAL WATSON TRANSFORMS*

A. DIJKSMA AND H. S. V. DE SNOO†

Abstract. For all Watson transforms W in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ a triple of Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}_G \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \subset \mathcal{L}'_G$ is constructed such that W may be extended to $\mathcal{L}'_G$. These results allow the construction of a triple $\mathcal{L} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \subset \mathcal{L}'$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is a Gelfand–Fréchet space. This leads to a theory of distributional Watson transforms.

Introduction. Guinand [2], [3], Miller [5], [6], [7] and Goldberg [1] have considered linear manifolds, dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, which are invariant under Watson transforms and equipped with a suitable inner product form a Hilbert space. These manifolds were generalized in [11].

Miller [7], [8], [9] has constructed the dual of such linear manifolds, which is of course a Hilbert space, containing a copy of $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. By means of this dual, Miller [7], [8] extended Watson transforms beyond $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

In this note we wish to show that the results in [11] make it possible to construct a linear manifold in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, which is invariant under Watson transforms; however, this linear manifold is not a Hilbert space, but a Gelfand–Fréchet space. This leads to a theory of distributions and Watson transforms defined on them. For all Watson transforms we may choose the same Gelfand–Fréchet space. Specialization of the Gelfand–Fréchet space in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ leads to a theory analogous to the distributional Fourier transform on the space of tempered distributions.

1. Preliminaries. For $K \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ the linear operator $M[K]$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is defined by $M[K]f = Kf$. The operator P on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is given by $(Pf)(x) = (1/x)f(1/x)$. By $\mathcal{M}$ we denote the Mellin transform, which is an isometry from $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ onto $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, cf. [13]. In [10] it was shown that every Watson transform on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ can be written as

$$(1.1) \quad W = \mathcal{M}^{-1}M[K]\mathcal{M}P, \quad K \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

To denote the dependence on K we shall also write W_K instead of W . In [11] the operator $V (= V_G)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ was introduced by

$$V = \mathcal{M}^{-1}M[G]\mathcal{M}, \quad G \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

In the sequel we shall consider a sequence of functions $G_i \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$. We therefore introduce the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} V_i &= V_{G_i}, \\ \bar{V}_i &= V_{\bar{G}_i}, \\ V_{ji} &= V_{G_j/G_i} \quad \text{if } G_j/G_i \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$V'_G = V_{G'},$$

* Received by the editors February 12, 1973.

† Mathematisch Instituut, Rijksuniversiteit Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands.

where

$$G'(x) = G(-x).$$

In [11] it was shown that $V'W = WV$ and hence W maps L_G into $L_{G'}$, where L_G and $L_{G'}$ are the ranges of V_G and $V_{G'}$ respectively.

If $G \neq 0$ a.e., then $V (= V_G)$ is injective and equipped with the inner product

$$(Vf, Vg)_{L_G} = (f, g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}, \quad f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

L_G then is a Hilbert space, isometrically isomorphic to $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. In this case W maps L_G continuously into $L_{G'}$. Instead of the notation $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L_G}$, we shall also use $(\cdot, \cdot)_G$.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $G_1, G_2 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy $G_i \neq 0$ a.e., $i = 1, 2$, and $G_2/G_1 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Then*

$$(1.2) \quad L_{G_2} \subset L_{G_1},$$

$$(1.3) \quad \text{the injection } I: L_{G_2} \rightarrow L_{G_1} \text{ is continuous and has a dense range.}$$

Proof. If $g \in L_{G_2}$, then for some $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $g = V_2 f$ and $g = V_1 h$ with $h = V_{21} f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Hence (1.2) holds. Using the same notation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{G_1} &= \|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \left\| \frac{G_2}{G_1} \mathcal{M}f \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \left\| \frac{G_2}{G_1} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} \\ &= \left\| \frac{G_2}{G_1} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \cdot \|g\|_{G_2}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the continuity of I in (1.3). The remainder of (1.3) follows from

$$(V_1 u, g)_{G_1} = (u, h)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} = (\mathcal{M}u, (G_2/G_1) \mathcal{M}f)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \quad u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+), \quad g \in L_{G_2}.$$

Since $M[G_2/G_1]$ has a dense range in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that if the left-hand side equals zero for fixed $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and arbitrary $g \in L_{G_2}$, then the right-hand side shows that $\mathcal{M}u = 0$ and thus $V_1 u = 0$. This completes the proof.

For $G \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $G \neq 0$ a.e., denote by L'_G the dual of L_G .

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $G \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy $G \neq 0$ a.e. Then L'_G is (isomorphic to) the completion of $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ under the norm $\|\bar{V}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}$.*

Proof. Denote by A and B the antilinear isometries from L_G onto L'_G and $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ onto $L^{2'}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ respectively. Then the restriction of Bf to L_G belongs to L'_G and $A^{-1}Bf = V\bar{V}f, f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, for

$$|(Bf)(Vg)| = |(Vg, f)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}| = |(g, \bar{V}f)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}| \leq \|\bar{V}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} \|Vg\|_G$$

and

$$(Vg, A^{-1}Bf)_G = (Bf)(Vg) = (Vg, f)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} = (Vg, V\bar{V}f)_G,$$

where $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

If $w \in L'_G$ and $A^{-1}w = Vh, h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, then with $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$,

$$(Bf, w)_{L'_G} = (A^{-1}Bf, A^{-1}w)_G = (V\bar{V}f, Vh)_G = (\bar{V}f, h)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}.$$

From this it follows that $BL^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is dense in L'_G . Also,

$$(Bf, Bf)_{L'_G} = (\bar{V}f, \bar{V}f)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}.$$

If we identify Bf with f in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, the theorem follows.

2. The space $\mathcal{L}$ and its dual $\mathcal{L}'$. Let $(G_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of functions on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad G_j \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(2.2) \quad G_j \neq 0 \quad \text{a.e.}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(2.3) \quad G_{j+1}/G_j \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad G_k/G_j \text{ is locally bounded on } \mathbb{R}, k = 1, 2, \dots, j-1; j = 2, 3, \dots.$$

The conditions (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) imply that we have obtained a descending chain of Hilbert spaces:

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \supset L_{G_1} \supset \dots \supset L_{G_j} \supset L_{G_{j+1}} \supset \dots.$$

Put $\mathcal{L} = \bigcap_{j=1}^\infty L_{G_j}$.

PROPOSITION 3. $\mathcal{L}$ is dense in all L_{G_k} , $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. Denote by $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ the space of all continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$ having a compact support. For each $\phi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, let $u_\phi = G_k\phi$. Then

$$u_\phi = G_j(G_k/G_j)\phi, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots.$$

By (2.3) and (2.4), $(G_k/G_j)\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus

$$\mathcal{M}^{-1}u_\phi = V_j\mathcal{M}^{-1}(G_k/G_j)\phi, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Consequently, $\mathcal{M}^{-1}u_\phi \in \mathcal{L}$. Since for all $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\phi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$,

$$(2.5) \quad (\mathcal{M}^{-1}u_\phi, V_k g)_{G_k} = (\phi, \mathcal{M}g)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},$$

and since $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that if the left-hand side of (2.5) equals zero for a fixed $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and arbitrary $\phi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, then the right-hand side of (2.5) implies that $\mathcal{M}g = 0$ and consequently $V_k g = 0$. Hence $\mathcal{L}$ is dense in L_{G_k} , $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

PROPOSITION 4. $\mathcal{L}$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Proof. We use the notation of the proof of Proposition 3. Proposition 4 follows from

$$(f, \mathcal{M}^{-1}u_\phi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} = (\bar{G}_k \mathcal{M}f, \phi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

We equip $\mathcal{L}$ with the initial topology, that is the coarsest topology such that all identity mappings $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow L_{G_j}$ are continuous. A consequence of Proposition 3 is that $\mathcal{L}$ is complete. Hence $\mathcal{L}$ is a Fréchet–Gelfand space. The dual $\mathcal{L}'$ of $\mathcal{L}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}' = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty L'_{G_j}.$$

Here equality means that if $f \in L'_{G_j}$, then f restricted to $\mathcal{L}$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}'$ and, conversely, that if $g \in \mathcal{L}'$, then for some integer j , g can be extended to the whole space L_{G_j} and thus belongs to L'_{G_j} .

We equip $\mathcal{L}'$ with the strong topology $\beta(\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{L})$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is bornological, $\mathcal{L}'$ is complete (see [4, p. 223]).

3. The distributional Watson transform. Let

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} L_{G_j},$$

equip $\mathcal{H}$ with the initial topology, and give the dual $\mathcal{H}'$ of $\mathcal{H}$ the strong topology.

The Watson transform $W = W_K$ given by (1.1) is a mapping from $\mathcal{L}$ into $\mathcal{H}$. For, if $g \in \mathcal{L}$, then there exists a sequence $(f_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, such that $g = V_j f_j$ and $Wg = W V_j f_j = V'_j W f_j$. Consequently, $Wg \in \mathcal{H}$. Furthermore, $W: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is continuous. For, with the same notation,

$$\|Wg\|_{G_j} = \|W f_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} \leq \|W\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} \|f_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \|W\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} \|g\|_{G_j}.$$

The adjoint W^* of W is a Watson transform given by

$$W^* = \mathcal{M}^{-1} M[\bar{K}] \mathcal{M} P.$$

Let $\mathcal{W} = {}^t W^*$ be the transpose of W^* . It maps $\mathcal{H}'$ continuously into $\mathcal{L}'$ (see [4, p. 256]). We call $\mathcal{W}$ the distributional Watson transform. The following proposition says why.

PROPOSITION 5. $\mathcal{W}|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} = W$.

Proof. Let B be the antilinear isometry from $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ onto its dual. Then $Bf \in \mathcal{H}'$, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$. For each $g \in \mathcal{L}$ we have

$$\langle {}^t W^* Bf, g \rangle = \langle Bf, W^* g \rangle = (W^* g, f)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} = (g, Wf)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \langle BWf, g \rangle.$$

Hence ${}^t W^* B = BW$. If we identify the elements of $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $BL^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ the proposition follows.

Remark 1. If with $K \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, also $1/K \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then W_K maps $\mathcal{L}$ onto $\mathcal{H}$ and hence $\mathcal{W}$ maps $\mathcal{H}'$ onto $\mathcal{L}'$.

Remark 2. If $K(x)K(-x) = 1$ and if $L_{G_j} = L_{G_j}$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots$, then W_K is involutory and hence $\mathcal{W}$ is involutory.

4. Remarks. Let ϕ be a measurable function on $\mathbb{R}_+$ for which the integral

$$\int_0^\infty t^{-1/2} |\phi(t)| dt$$

is finite. Let G be defined by

$$G(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{-1/2 + ix} \phi(t) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then $G \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$(V_G f)(x) = \int_0^\infty \phi(xt^{-1}) t^{-1} f(t) dt$$

(see [12]).

For each integer j , we choose ϕ to be the function ϕ_j defined by

$$\phi_j(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(j)}(1-t)^{j-1}, & 0 < t < 1, \\ 0, & t \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$G_j(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + ix)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + ix + j)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and the sequence $(G_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ satisfies the conditions (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4). Furthermore we have

$$L_{G_j} = \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \mid f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(j)} \int_x^\infty (t-x)^{j-1} f^{(j)}(t) dt \right. \\ \left. \text{for some function } f^{(j)}(t) \text{ defined on } \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ with } t^j f^{(j)}(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \right\}.$$

These spaces have been considered by Guinand, Miller and Goldberg. Since ϕ_j is real, we have $L_{G_j} = L_{G_j^*}$ and therefore $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H}$. Hence Watson transforms map $\mathcal{L}$ into $\mathcal{L}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. R. GOLDBERG, *Spaces of convolutions and fractional integrals*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 3 (1961), pp. 336–343.
- [2] A. P. GUINAND, *General transformations and the Parseval theorem*, Quart. J. Math., 12 (1941), pp. 51–56.
- [3] ———, *Reciprocal convergence classes for Fourier series and integrals*, Canad. J. Math., 13 (1961), pp. 19–36.
- [4] J. HORVATH, *Topological Vector Spaces and Distributions*, vol. I, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1966.
- [5] J. B. MILLER, *A continuum of Hilbert spaces on L^2* , Proc. London Math. Soc., 9 (1959), pp. 224–241.
- [6] ———, *A symmetrical convergence theory for general transforms, II*, Ibid., 9 (1959), pp. 451–464.
- [7] ———, *Hilbert spaces of generalized functions extending L^2 , I*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., 1 (1960), pp. 281–298.
- [8] ———, *Hilbert spaces of generalized functions extending L^2 , II*, Ibid., 3 (1963), pp. 267–281.
- [9] ———, *Normed spaces of generalized functions*, Compositio Math., 15 (1963), pp. 127–146.
- [10] H. S. V. DE SNOO, *A note on Watson transforms*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 73 (1973), pp. 83–85.
- [11] ———, *On invariant linear manifolds of Watson transforms*, Quart. J. Math., 24 (1973), pp. 217–221.
- [12] ———, *Integral representations of Watson transforms*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk., 21 (1973), pp. 48–58.
- [13] E. C. TITCHMARSH, *Introduction to the Theory of Fourier Integrals*, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, London, 1948.