University of Groningen

Activation of a pertussis-toxin-sensitive guanine-nucleotide-binding regulatory protein during desensitization of Dictyostelium discoideum cells to chemotactic signals

Snaar-Jagalska, B. Ewa; Es, Saskia van; Kesbeke, Fanja; Haastert, Peter J.M. van

Published in:
European Journal of Biochemistry

DOI:
[10.1111/j.1432-1033.1991.tb15758.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1991.tb15758.x)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
1991

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Snaar-Jagalska, B. E., Es, S. V., Kesbeke, F., & Haastert, P. J. M. V. (1991). Activation of a pertussis-toxin-sensitive guanine-nucleotide-binding regulatory protein during desensitization of Dictyostelium discoideum cells to chemotactic signals. *European Journal of Biochemistry*, 195(3), 715-721.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-1033.1991.tb15758.x>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Activation of a pertussis-toxin-sensitive guanine-nucleotide-binding regulatory protein during desensitization of *Dictyostelium discoideum* cells to chemotactic signals

B. Ewa SNAAR-JAGALSKA¹, Saskia VAN ES¹, Fanja KESBEKE¹ and Peter J. M. VAN HAASTERT²

¹ Cell Biology and Genetics Unit, Zoological Laboratory, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands

² Department of Biochemistry, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

(Received May 30, 1990) — EJB 90 0620

The chemoattractant cAMP induces the activation of adenylate cyclase in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Upon prolonged incubation with cAMP, cells become desensitized via two distinct processes: a decrease in the number of available cAMP-binding sites (down regulation) and modification of the receptor (presumably via phosphorylation) correlated with adaptation. These processes occur simultaneously, but differ in the cAMP dose dependency and reversibility. In this study we investigated the mechanism of adaptation; cells were incubated with a cAMP analog to induce desensitization mediated by adaptation. The cells were then washed, lysed and the interaction between cAMP, receptor, guanine-nucleotide-binding regulatory proteins (G proteins) and GTP was investigated. (1) cAMP receptors that are phosphorylated *in vivo* remain phosphorylated for at least 45 min after lysis. (2) Desensitization did not alter basal cAMP binding to the receptor nor the inhibitory effect of guanosine 5'-[γ -thio]triphosphate (GTP[S]) on this binding. (3) The stimulatory effect of cAMP on GTP[S] binding was also unchanged, while basal GTP[S] binding and the kinetics of binding were only slightly different. (4) Basal high-affinity GTPase activity was not altered but cAMP stimulation was reduced from $43 \pm 7\%$ in control lysates to $14 \pm 4\%$ in lysates from desensitized cells. (5) cAMP stimulation of GTPase was decreased by pretreatment of cells with pertussis toxin from $43 \pm 7\%$ to $17 \pm 8\%$ but this was not further altered in lysates from desensitized pertussis-toxin-treated cells. These observations indicate that during desensitization the phosphorylated receptor can still interact with G proteins. Furthermore, desensitization did not affect cAMP stimulation of GTP[S] binding but strongly reduced cAMP stimulation of GTPase, suggesting that a G protein becomes activated. This G protein is pertussis toxin sensitive and may be the inhibitor G protein (G_i). This would imply that adenylate cyclase desensitizes because G_i becomes activated.

The receptor-coupled adenylate cyclase transduction pathway in the eukaryotic microorganism *Dictyostelium discoideum* provides a useful model for comparison with the hormone and neurotransmitter-regulated adenylate-cyclase systems in vertebrates. In *D. discoideum* the hormone-like substance cAMP, functions as a signal during chemotaxis [1], morphogenesis [2] and cell differentiation [3]. cAMP is detected by surface receptors [4] which lead to several intracellular responses including the activation of guanylate cyclase, adenylate cyclase [3, 5], phospholipase C [6], and the phosphorylation of cAMP receptors [7–9] and the myosin heavy and light chains [10]. Intracellular cGMP reaches a peak at 10 s after stimulation and is probably involved in the

chemotactic reaction; the intracellular cAMP is secreted and triggers more distal cells, thus relaying the signal (for reviews see [3, 5]).

Prolonged stimulation of *D. discoideum* cells with cAMP induces desensitization. The cAMP-stimulated guanylate cyclase desensitizes with a half-time of 4 s [11, 12]. Desensitization of adenylate cyclase activity is composed of two components: adaptation and down regulation of surface receptors, which both take several minutes to complete [13–15]. Cells deadapt after removal of cAMP with a half-time of about 2–4 min [16], but the down regulation of receptors reverses more slowly after stimulus removal with a half-time of about 1 h [14, 17, 18]. Half-maximal effects for down regulation are observed at 50 nM cAMP and at 5 nM cAMP for adaptation. Thus, *D. discoideum* cells desensitize by at least three mechanisms: adaptation of adenylate cyclase, adaptation of guanylate cyclase and down regulation of surface receptors. Adaptation of adenylate cyclase has been correlated with the cAMP-induced phosphorylation of cAMP receptors [8, 9]. Down regulation of cAMP receptors can occur without activation of adenylate cyclase or its rapidly reversible modification, since the cAMP antagonist, the (Rp) isomer of adenosine 3',5'-monophosphorothioate, induces down regu-

Correspondence to B. E. Snaar-Jagalska, Cell Biology and Genetics Unit, Zoological Laboratory, Leiden University, Kaiserstraat 63, NL-2311 GP Leiden, The Netherlands

Abbreviations. G proteins, guanine-nucleotide-binding regulatory proteins; G_i , guanine-nucleotide-binding regulatory protein which inhibits adenylate-cyclase activity; G_s , guanine-nucleotide-binding regulatory protein which stimulates adenylate-cyclase activity; GTP[S], guanosine 5'-[γ -thio]triphosphate; (Sp)-cAMP[S], (Sp) isomer of adenosine 3',5'-monophosphorothioate; dcAMP, 2'-deoxyadenosine 3',5'-phosphate.

lation of surface receptors but does not induce receptor activation or its modification (phosphorylation) [15].

In vertebrates, communication between hormone-occupied receptors and adenylate cyclase occurs via two distinct regulatory proteins, the stimulatory G protein (G_s) and G_i , that stimulate and inhibit adenylate cyclase activity, respectively [19]. Pertussis toxin catalyzes specifically the ADP ribosylation of several α subunits of GTP-binding proteins, including G_i , the other G protein (G_o) and transducin [20–23]. The role of phosphorylation of the signal-transducing components in desensitization has been extensively studied [24–27]. The experiments suggest that receptor phosphorylation is involved in adenylate-cyclase desensitization, presumably by decreasing the coupling between the receptor and G_s [28].

Genetic and biochemical studies of *D. discoideum* indicate that the surface cAMP receptors are coupled with effectors via G proteins. The primary structure of the cAMP receptor contains seven putative transmembrane domains, a structure identical to other G-protein-linked receptors [29]. Genes for two G-protein α subunits have been cloned from *D. discoideum* [30, 31]. Furthermore, the affinity of the cAMP receptor is reduced by guanine nucleotides [32], and the occupied receptor stimulates GTP binding [33] and GTP hydrolysis [34]. In addition, GTP stimulates inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate formation in permeabilized cells [6] and adenylate cyclase is stimulated in membranes [35, 36] or inhibited by GTP [36] depending on the conditions used.

In this study we have investigated further the adaptation mechanism of cAMP-stimulated adenylate cyclase. Previously, we demonstrated that inhibition of adenylate cyclase by GTP was blocked by treatment of the cells with pertussis toxin [36]. Furthermore, when cells were desensitized by exposure to a cAMP agonist, stimulation by guanine nucleotides was lost, while inhibition was retained. These results suggested that *D. discoideum* adenylate cyclase may be regulated by G_s -like and G_i -like activities, and that these activities are modulated by the phosphorylation of the cAMP receptor. This hypothesis was supported by the observation that treatment of cells with pertussis toxin resulted in a strongly reduced desensitization of adenylate cyclase whereas phosphorylation of the receptor was not altered [37]. In the present study we have investigated the interaction between phosphorylated cAMP receptors and G proteins in lysates from desensitized *D. discoideum* cells. The results show that receptors remain phosphorylated in the lysate and the phosphorylated receptor is still able to interact with the G protein, since the inhibitory effect of GTP[S] on cAMP binding and the stimulatory effect of cAMP on GTP[S] binding were not altered. In contrast, chemoattractant-stimulated GTPase activity was decreased in lysates from desensitized cells; this GTPase is pertussis toxin sensitive. These results suggest that a phosphorylated receptor may interact with a permanently activated pertussis-toxin-sensitive G protein, which leads to desensitization of adenylate cyclase.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

[2,8- ^3H]cAMP (44.6 Ci/mmol) was obtained from Amersham. [^3S]GTP[S] (1355 Ci/mmol) and [γ - ^{32}P]GTP (37.9 Ci/mmol) were from New England Nuclear. Adenosine 5'-[γ -thio]triphosphate, GTP, GTP[S], the (Sp) isomer of adenosine 3',5'-monophosphorothioate, {(Sp)-cAMP[S]}, 2'-

deoxyadenosine 3',5'-phosphate (dcAMP), adenosine 5'-(β,γ -imino)triphosphate, creatine phosphate and creatine kinase were purchased from Boehringer. Anti-(cAMP receptor) anti-serum was a generous gift of Dr P. N. Devreotes.

Culture conditions and cell treatment

D. discoideum cells (strain NC-4) were grown in association with *Escherichia coli* 281 on a buffered glucose-peptone medium [11]. Cells were harvested in the late log phase with 10 mM sodium/potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5 (phosphate buffer), washed and starved in a shaking suspension in phosphate buffer at a density of 10^7 cells/ml. After 5 h the cells were collected by centrifugation, washed twice and resuspended in phosphate buffer at a density of 10^8 cells/ml. During the experiment, the cell suspension was aerated at a flow rate of about 15 ml air/ml suspension.

Cells were incubated for 15 min at 20°C with $3\text{ }\mu\text{M}$ (Sp)-cAMP[S], then washed by one of two methods. In the first method, cells were washed three times with phosphate buffer at 0°C , resuspended in this buffer at a density of 10^8 cells/ml and used for the measurement of cAMP binding to cells or activation of adenylate cyclase *in vivo*. In the second method, cells were washed at 0°C twice with phosphate buffer and once with buffer A (40 mM Hepes/NaOH, 0.5 mM EDTA, 250 mM sucrose, pH 7.7). Cells were lysed at a density of 10^8 cells/ml by pressing them through a Nuclepore filter (pore size $3\text{ }\mu\text{m}$) at 0°C . The lysates were used immediately for the detection of cAMP binding, GTP[S] binding, GTPase and adenylate cyclase activity.

Down regulation of cAMP receptors and desensitization of adenylate cyclase stimulation *in vivo*

The binding of [^3H]cAMP to cells treated with (Sp)-cAMP[S] was detected in a volume of 0.1 ml containing phosphate buffer, 10 mM dithiothreitol, 5 nM [^3H]cAMP and 8×10^6 cells. The incubation period was 75 s at 0°C followed by centrifugation of the cells through silicon oil as described [38]. Non-specific binding was determined by including 0.1 mM cAMP in the incubation mixture and was subtracted from all data shown.

Desensitization of adenylate cyclase was determined as follows. After desensitization with (Sp)-cAMP[S], cells were washed and re-stimulated with 10 mM dithiothreitol and $10\text{ }\mu\text{M}$ dcAMP. The reaction was stopped after 0 min and 5 min by adding 0.1 ml 3.5% perchloric acid. Lysates were neutralized with $50\text{ }\mu\text{l}$ KHCO_3 (50% saturated at 20°C) and the cAMP content was measured using the isotope-dilution assay [39].

cAMP binding in lysates

cAMP binding was measured in a volume of 0.1 ml containing buffer A, 5 nM [^3H]cAMP, 5 mM dithiothreitol, 0.1 mM GTP[S] and $80\text{ }\mu\text{l}$ lysate. The incubation time was 5 min at 0°C . Samples were centrifuged for 3 min at $10000 \times g$, the supernatant was aspirated and the pellet was dissolved in $80\text{ }\mu\text{l}$ 1% SDS; 1 ml Emulsifier (Packard) was added and radioactivity was determined. Non-specific binding was measured by including 0.1 mM cAMP in the incubation mixture and subtracted from all data.

GTP[S] binding in lysates

The binding of [^{35}S]GTP[S] to lysates was detected in 0.1 ml containing buffer A, 0.1 nM [^{35}S]GTP[S], 1 mM MgCl_2

and 80 μ l lysate. Stimulation of GTP[S] binding was measured in the presence of 10 μ M cAMP. The incubation time was 30 min at 0°C. Samples were centrifuged for 3 min at 10000 $\times$ g, the supernatant was aspirated and the radioactivity of the pellet was determined as described for the cAMP-binding assay. Non-specific binding was measured by including 0.1 mM GTP in the incubation mixture and subtracted from all data.

GTPase assay

GTPase activity in the lysates from control and desensitized cells was determined in a reaction mixture of 0.1 ml containing [γ - 32 P]GTP (0.1 μ Ci/assay), 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM EGTA, 0.2 mM adenosine 5-[β , γ -imino]triphosphate, 0.1 mM ATP[S], 10 mM dithiothreitol, 5 mM creatine phosphate, 0.4 mg/ml creatine kinase, 2 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (purified) and 30 μ l lysate in 40 mM Hepes/NaOH, pH 7.7 as described [34]. The reaction was stopped after 3 min by the addition of 0.5 ml phosphate buffer, pH 2.0, containing 5% (mass/vol.) activated charcoal. The reaction tubes were centrifuged for 5 min at 10000 $\times$ g at 4°C and the radioactivity of the supernatant was determined using Cerenkov radiation.

RESULTS

Prolonged exposure of *D. discoideum* cells to the chemo-attractant cAMP, leads to desensitization of adenylate cyclase by adaptation and down regulation of surface receptors [14, 15]. These processes occur simultaneously, but can be distinguished since they differ in the cAMP dose dependency and reversibility after removal of cAMP [4, 13–15]. Adaptation of adenylate cyclase is correlated with receptor phosphorylation [8, 9]. The aim of the present study is to analyse the interactions between the phosphorylated cAMP receptor and GTP-binding proteins in lysates from desensitized *D. discoideum* cells. Therefore conditions for maximal adaptation and minimal down regulation were established. Subsequently we investigated the fate of the receptor *in vivo* after phosphorylation *in vivo*.

Desensitization of adenylate-cyclase stimulation and down regulation of cAMP receptors

The difference in dose dependency of adaptation and down regulation were used to obtain desensitization of adenylate cyclase caused mainly by adaptation. Cells were incubated for 15 min with low concentrations (3 μ M) of the non-hydrolyzable cAMP analog (Sp)-cAMP[S]. Cells were washed extensively at 0°C and dcAMP-stimulated production of cAMP (Fig. 1A) and binding of 5 nM [3 H]cAMP to cell surface receptors (Fig. 1B) was measured. Treatment of cells with (Sp)-cAMP[S] induced $\approx$ 20% loss of binding activity and $\approx$ 80% desensitization. As was shown previously [37], pertussis toxin did not affect the loss of binding activity but strongly diminished desensitization. The results of Fig. 1 indicate that 3 μ M (Sp)-cAMP[S] induces 80% desensitization of adenylate cyclase which is composed of 20% pertussis-toxin-insensitive down regulation of surface receptors and 60% pertussis-toxin-sensitive adaptation.

Kinetics of receptor dephosphorylation *in vitro*

During desensitization of *Dictyostelium* cells, the surface receptor becomes phosphorylated; this phosphorylation is as-

Fig. 1. Relationship between down regulation of surface cAMP receptors and adaptation of adenylate cyclase activation in cells desensitized by (Sp)-cAMP[S]. Control cells and cells treated for 5 h with 0.1 μ g/ml pertussis toxin (PT) were incubated for 15 min at 20°C in the absence (open bars) or presence of 3 μ M (Sp)-cAMP[S] (shaded bars). The cells were then washed extensively at 0°C and dcAMP-stimulated production of cAMP (A) or [3 H]cAMP binding to cell surface receptors (B) was measured. The results shown are means of duplicate determinations from three independent experiments

Table 1. Effect of 0.1 mM GTP[S] on cAMP binding to lysates from control and desensitized cells

Cells were incubated with or without 3 μ M (Sp)-cAMP[S] for 15 min at 20°C. The cells were then washed extensively at 0°C, lysed and at the times indicated, cAMP binding to cell lysates in the presence or absence of 0.1 mM GTP[S] was determined and expressed as % inhibition by GTP[S]. The results shown are the means and standard deviations of duplicate determinations from four independent experiments

Time after lysis min	Inhibition by GTP[S]	
	control	desensitized
	%	
0	67 $\pm$ 11	71 $\pm$ 7
15	71 $\pm$ 13	66 $\pm$ 10
30	68 $\pm$ 7	72 $\pm$ 9

sociated with a shift in the electrophoretic mobility of the receptor as determined by SDS/PAGE [8, 9]. Upon removal of cAMP the receptor becomes dephosphorylated and the responsiveness of the cells recover; these processes do not occur at 0°C [8, 9]. However, little is known about the kinetics of receptor dephosphorylation *in vitro*. For our purpose it is necessary to know whether the receptor stays phosphorylated *in vitro* during our experimental conditions. Therefore, *D. discoideum* cells were incubated at 20°C for 15 min with 3 μ M (Sp)-cAMP[S] or buffer, washed and lysed at 0°C. At the indicated times (Fig. 2) samples of the lysates were taken and added to SDS/PAGE sample buffer. Proteins were separated by SDS/PAGE and the receptor was detected by immunoblotting. In the lysates from control cells more than 90% of the receptors were in the R form (40 kDa). The incubation of cells with (Sp)-cAMP[S] induced a transition of the receptor from the R to the D form (43 kDa). In the homogenates from desensitized cells, 50–60% of the receptor reached the D form and remained in the D form during 60 min after lysis. A slight reduction of the amount of the receptor protein during the experiment was observed. All subsequent experiments were performed within 30–45 min after lysis.

Fig. 2. Kinetics of the cAMP receptor dephosphorylation in lysates from control and desensitized cells. Cells were incubated at 20°C for 15 min in the absence or presence of 3 μ M (Sp)-cAMP[S], washed and lysed at 0°C. At the indicated times, samples of lysate were taken and added to sample buffer. Proteins were separated by SDS/PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose, stained with the anti-receptor antiserum followed by 125 I-labelled protein A and autoradiography. Lanes 9 and 10 represent standard samples of the unmodified form of the receptor (R) and the modified form (D) obtained from cells stimulated with 0.5 μ M cAMP + 10 mM dithiothreitol

Fig. 3. Effect of cAMP on GTP[S] binding to lysates from control and desensitized cells. (A) Cells were incubated at 20°C for 15 min in the absence or presence of 3 μ M (Sp)-cAMP[S], washed and lysed at 0°C. Association of 0.1 nM [35 S]GTP[S] to lysates from control and desensitized cells in the absence (○, △) or presence (●, ▲) of 10 μ M cAMP was determined. (B) A semi-logarithmic plot of the data from Fig. 3A; $b(\infty)$ equals the specific binding at equilibrium (30 min) and $b(t)$ at t min. The results shown are the means of three experiments performed in triplicate

cAMP binding in lysates from control and desensitized cells

The lysates from control and desensitized cells were incubated with 5 nM [3 H]cAMP to reach binding equilibrium in the absence and presence of 0.1 mM GTP[S] (Table 1). Binding of cAMP was reduced $\approx 70\%$ in the presence of GTP[S]. The inhibition by GTP[S] was essentially identical in both lysates; this was observed when the binding assay was performed directly after lysis or 30 min later. Furthermore, shorter desensitization times with (Sp)-cAMP[S] (1.5 and 3 min) yielded similar results (data not shown).

GTP[S] binding in lysates from control and desensitized cells

The association kinetics of [35 S]GTP[S] binding to lysates from control and desensitized cells are presented in Fig. 3. Binding equilibrium in both lysates was reached within 15 min (Fig. 3A). Analysis of the association rate of GTP[S] binding (Fig. 3B) indicates one binding type with a half-time of association of about 2.3 min and 3.3 min for lysates from control and desensitized cells, respectively. Equilibrium GTP[S] binding to lysates from desensitized cells was about 25% lower than to control lysates. However stimulation of GTP[S] binding by 10 μ M cAMP had the same relative level for both

lysates (Fig. 3A); the stimulation by cAMP was $101 \pm 7\%$ and $128 \pm 14\%$ above basal levels for lysates from control and desensitized cells, respectively. The kinetics of GTP[S] binding were also slightly changed by cAMP. The half-time of association in the presence of cAMP was 4.1 min and 4.2 min for lysates from control and desensitized cells, respectively.

These observations suggest that desensitization conditions affect total GTP[S] binding and its kinetics but does not disturb the signal-transduction pathway from phosphorylated cAMP receptor to a putative G protein, since cAMP binding to lysates from desensitized cells is still altered by guanine nucleotides and alternatively, cAMP still increases GTP[S] binding to these lysates.

Characteristics of GTPase activity

It was shown previously that GTP hydrolysis in *D. discoideum* membranes is caused by at least two enzymes with high ($K_m = 6.5 \mu$ M) and low ($K_m > 1$ mM) affinity. The high-affinity GTPase is stimulated by cAMP, with half-maximal effects at a cAMP concentration of 3 μ M. Treatment of wild-type cells with pertussis toxin decreased the cAMP-induced stimulation of GTPase activity [34].

Table 2. *GTPase activity in lysates from control, desensitized and pertussis-toxin-treated cells*

Cells were starved for 5 h in the absence or presence of 0.1 µg/ml pertussis toxin (PT), washed and incubated for 15 min with or without 3 µM (Sp)-cAMP[S] at 20°C. Cells were washed and lysed at 0°C. GTP hydrolysis by high-affinity GTPase was determined in the absence and presence of 3 µM cAMP at a GTP concentration of 10 nM. GTP hydrolysis by low-affinity GTPase was determined in the presence of 0.1 mM GTP. Means $\pm$ SD of three experiments are presented with 100% = 3.1 pmol P_i hydrolyzed $\cdot$ min $^{-1}$ $\cdot$ mg protein $^{-1}$ for high-affinity GTPase and 100% = 6.1 nmol P_i $\cdot$ min $^{-1}$ $\cdot$ mg protein $^{-1}$ for low-affinity GTPase

Conditions	GTPase activity		
	high affinity		low affinity
	-cAMP	+cAMP	
	%		
Control	100	143 ± 7	100
PT	102 ± 5	117 ± 8	90 ± 12
Desensitized	100 ± 6	114 ± 4	95 ± 17
PT desensitized	104 ± 6	115 ± 5	98 ± 20

Table 3. *Effects of temperature on cAMP-induced adaptation of adenylate cyclase, receptor phosphorylation and decrease of GTPase stimulation in lysates from desensitized cells*

Response	$t_{1/2}$ at 20°C	Ratio 20°C/0°C	Reference
	min		
Adaptation guanylate cyclase	$\approx$ 0.067	> 30	[35]
Adaptation adenylate cyclase	$\approx$ 2	2	[7, 35, 39]
Down regulation	$\approx$ 2	2	[40, 41]
Receptor phosphorylation	$\approx$ 2	$\approx$ 3	[19, 43]
Decrease of GTPase stimulation	$\approx$ 2	$\approx$ 2	this report

In Table 2, results are shown of GTPase activity in lysates from wild-type and pertussis-toxin-treated cells before and after desensitization with 3 µM (Sp)-cAMP[S]. GTPase activity was measured at 10 mM GTP; at this concentration the high-affinity enzyme is detected more accurately and stimulation by cAMP is optimal. Basal high- and low-affinity GTPase were not significantly changed by the treatment of cells with pertussis toxin or (Sp)-cAMP[S]. About two-thirds of the cAMP-stimulated GTPase activity was blocked in lysates prepared from pertussis-toxin-treated cells (from 43 $\pm$ 7% to 17 $\pm$ 8% stimulation) suggesting that at least half of the cAMP-stimulated GTP hydrolysis resulted from pertussis-toxin-sensitive G protein(s). Chemoattractant stimulated GTPase activity was also decreased from 43 $\pm$ 7% to 14 $\pm$ 4% in lysates prepared from desensitized cells. In the lysates from desensitized pertussis-toxin-treated cells, GTPase activity was stimulated by cAMP to the same level as in lysates prepared from cells that were treated with either pertussis toxin or (Sp)-cAMP[S]. This suggests that pertussis toxin treatment and desensitization by (Sp)-cAMP[S] *in vivo* lead to the alteration of the same signal-transduction component, by which stimulation of GTPase activity by cAMP is decreased.

Fig. 4. *Time course of loss of GTPase stimulation by cAMP in the lysates from desensitized cells.* Cells were incubated at 20°C with 3 µM (Sp)-cAMP[S]. At the times indicated, cells were washed, lysed at 0°C and GTPase stimulation by cAMP was determined. The results from two independent experiments were combined. Inset represents a semi-logarithmic plot of the data from the main figure. $\alpha = (R' - R^\infty) / (R^\infty - R^\infty)$, where R indicates the response and the superscript indicates the time period that the cells were incubated with (Sp)-cAMP[S], ($\infty = 15$ min). The slope yields the rate constant of loss of GTPase stimulation, $k = 7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $t_{1/2} = 1.66$ min

Fig. 5. *Model of the regulation of adenylate cyclase in D. discoideum*

Time course and temperature dependence of (Sp)-cAMP[S]-induced decrease of GTPase stimulation

The decrease of GTPase stimulation by chemoattractant in lysates from desensitized cells was dependent on the duration of the incubation with (Sp)-cAMP[S]. About half of the total loss occurred after $\approx$ 2 min incubation at 20°C with 3 µM (Sp)-cAMP[S] (Fig. 4). The temperature dependency was determined by incubating *D. discoideum* cells for 3 min with 3 µM (Sp)-cAMP[S] at 0°C and 20°C. Cells were washed extensively at 0°C, lysed and GTPase stimulation by cAMP was determined. Desensitization of cells at 0°C caused a decrease of cAMP stimulation of GTPase activity from 46 $\pm$ 3% to 33 $\pm$ 6%, while desensitization at 20°C reduced cAMP stimulation of GTPase to 16 $\pm$ 4%.

DISCUSSION

In *D. discoideum*, cAMP binds to cell surface receptors and induces the transient activation of adenylate cyclase, which is followed by desensitization that is due to down regulation and adaptation. These processes may occur simultaneously, show similar kinetics but differ in the cAMP dose dependency and reversibility after removal of cAMP [14, 15]. Down regulation

has been correlated with cAMP-induced reduction of the number of detectable cAMP-binding sites [4, 13–15], while adaptation is associated with phosphorylation of the cAMP receptor [8, 9]. Pertussis toxin alters adaptation of adenylate cyclase without having an effect on receptor down regulation and receptor modification ([37], Fig. 1). These observations indicate that pertussis toxin specifically inhibits adaptation of adenylate cyclase and that receptor phosphorylation is not sufficient for adaptation. It is likely that a pertussis toxin substrate is directly involved in the regulation of the adaptation process in *D. discoideum*. Previously we have observed that in *D. discoideum*, adenylate cyclase may be regulated by G_s -like and G_i -like activities. The action of G_s but not G_i was lost during desensitization *in vivo* [36]. This suggests a change in the coupling between receptor, putative G proteins and adenylate cyclase during desensitization.

In the present report we investigated the interaction between phosphorylated-cAMP receptors and GTP-binding proteins in lysates from desensitized cells. The major findings are the following: (1) the cAMP receptor remains phosphorylated in lysates for at least 45 min after lysis of desensitized cells. (2) The phosphorylated receptor is still capable of cAMP binding and interaction with G proteins. (3) Stimulation of GTP[S] binding by cAMP is not changed, while GTP[S] binding and kinetics are slightly different; (4) basal and low-affinity GTPases are not reduced; (5) GTPase activity stimulated by cAMP is reduced to one-third in the lysates from either desensitized or pertussis-toxin-treated cells (the effects of desensitization and pertussis toxin were not additive). (6) The decrease of chemoattractant-stimulated GTPase during desensitization is rapid ($t_{1/2} \approx 2$ min) at 20°C and about two-fold slower at 0°C. Similar kinetics, dose and temperature dependence have been reported for adaptation of adenylate cyclase and not for adaptation of guanylate cyclase (Table 3). These data suggest that adaptation of adenylate cyclase and the decrease of cAMP-stimulated GTPase are correlated and may have a causal relationship.

Treatment of cells with pertussis toxin decreased the cAMP-induced stimulation of GTPase from $43 \pm 7\%$ to $17 \pm 8\%$, suggesting that at least half of the GTP hydrolysis resulted from the action of a pertussis-toxin-sensitive G protein. The presence of a pertussis toxin substrate in *D. discoideum* has been suggested [37, 40], but not identified so far. During ADP ribosylation, catalysed by pertussis toxin, one specific polypeptide (28 kDa) becomes ADP ribosylated [40]. This band can be an unusual pertussis toxin substrate. cAMP-stimulated GTPase activity is also decreased to the same level in lysates prepared from desensitized cells and in lysates from desensitized pertussis-toxin-treated cells. This suggests that pertussis toxin treatment and desensitization of adenylate cyclase by (Sp)-cAMP[S] *in vivo* resulted in the alteration of the same G protein. In *D. discoideum*, adenylate cyclase can be stimulated and inhibited by GTP[S]. Pertussis toxin did not affect the stimulation of adenylate cyclase but nullified the inhibition by GTP[S], consistent with the hypothesis that this toxin probably catalyses the ADP ribosylation of a specific G_i protein and blocks the stimulation of GTPase. Furthermore, the action of G_s but not G_i was lost during desensitization *in vivo* [36, 37].

Previous and present observations are combined in a model as shown in Fig. 5. Occupation of the surface cAMP receptor leads to the activation of a G_s -like G protein and the activation of adenylate cyclase. Prolonged occupation of the receptor induces phosphorylation of the receptor. This phosphorylation of the receptor does not prevent its interaction

with G proteins, but it induces the preferential interaction with a G_i -like G protein. In addition, the GTPase activity of this G_i -like G protein is inhibited, thus this pertussis toxin substrate becomes more permanently activated thereby counteracting the action of G_s and therefore inducing desensitization. The model predicts that desensitization will not occur in the absence of receptor phosphorylation or in the absence of the activation of G_i (e.g. by treatment with pertussis toxin as was shown previously [37]). The molecular mechanisms of the inhibition of cAMP-stimulated GTPase during desensitization is presently unknown. However, the phosphorylation of the G_i -like G protein cannot be excluded.

In vertebrates, the role of phosphorylation of the signal-transducing components in desensitization has been extensively studied, suggesting that receptor phosphorylation is involved in adenylate-cyclase desensitization, presumably by decreasing receptor- G_s coupling [28]. The β_2 -adrenergic receptor that is phosphorylated by protein kinase A has a reduced ability to activate G_s in a reconstitution system [24]. Also protein kinase C and adrenergic receptor kinase phosphorylate the pure β_2 -adrenergic receptor [25]. Furthermore desensitization involves functional alteration of the G_s protein [41] and an increase of the apparent level of G_i by altering the G_s/G_i ratio [42]. A purified G_i subunit from liver can be phosphorylated by protein kinase C *in vitro* which suppresses the ability of G_i to inhibit adenylate cyclase [43]. The phosphorylation occurs on the α_i subunit of G_i and is promoted by factors that dissociate α_i from $\beta\gamma$ subunits, suggesting that activation of G_i *in vivo* might be essential for covalent modification to occur. No evidence for the phosphorylation of G_i in intact cells has been reported. There is as yet no evidence documenting the physiological relevance of the phosphorylation of the purified catalytic unit of adenylate cyclase [44]. These findings indicate that in vertebrates ligand-induced receptor phosphorylation is involved in adenylate cyclase desensitization and decreased receptor-G-protein coupling. This mechanism is not observed in *D. discoideum*. The phosphorylated receptor remains available for interaction with the GTP-binding proteins and probably preferentially couple to and permanently activate a pertussis-toxin-sensitive G protein, which causes desensitization of adenylate cyclase.

We gratefully acknowledge Peter Devreotes for the generous gift of anti-receptor antiserum and Theo Konijn for critical reading of the manuscript. We thank Peter Devreotes and Robert Gundersen for discussions and suggestions. This work was supported by the Organization for Fundamental Medical Research (FUNGO) and the C. and C. Huygens Fund, which are subsidized by the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Pure Scientific Research (NWO).

REFERENCES

1. Konijn, T. M., Van de Meene, J. G. C., Bonner, J. T. & Barkley, D. S. (1967) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 58, 1152–1154.
2. Schaap, P., Konijn, T. M. & Van Haastert, P. J. M. (1984) *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 81, 2122–2126.
3. Gerisch, G. (1987) *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 56, 853–879.
4. Devreotes, P. N. (1983) *Adv. Cyclic Nucleotide Res.* 15, 55–96.
5. Janssens, P. M. W. & Van Haastert, P. J. M. (1987) *Microbiol. Rev.* 51, 396–418.
6. Europe-Finner, G. N. & Newell, P. (1987) *J. Cell Sci.* 87, 513–518.
7. Klein, P., Theibert, A., Fontana, D. & Devreotes, P. N. (1985) *J. Biol. Chem.* 260, 1757–1764.

8. Klein, P., Vaughan, R., Borleis, J. & Devreotes, P. N. (1987) *J. Biol. Chem.* 262, 358–364.
9. Vaughan, R. & Devreotes, P. N. (1988) *J. Biol. Chem.* 263, 14538–14543.
10. Berlot, C. H., Spudich, J. A. & Devreotes, P. N. (1985) *Cell* 43, 307–314.
11. Van Haastert, P. J. M. & Van der Heijden, P. R. (1983) *J. Cell Biol.* 96, 347–353.
12. Van Haastert, P. J. M. (1987) *J. Cell Biol.* 105, 2301–2306.
13. Dinauer, M. C., Steck, T. L. & Devreotes, P. N. (1980) *J. Cell Biol.* 86, 554–561.
14. Van Haastert, P. J. M. (1987) *J. Biol. Chem.* 262, 7700–7704.
15. Van Haastert, P. J. M. (1987) *J. Biol. Chem.* 262, 7705–7710.
16. Dinauer, M. C., Steck, T. L. & Devreotes, P. N. (1980) *J. Cell Biol.* 86, 545–553.
17. Kesbeke, F. & Van Haastert, P. J. M. (1985) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 847, 33–39.
18. Klein, C., Lubs-Haukeness, J. & Simons, S. (1985) *J. Cell Biol.* 100, 715–720.
19. Gilman, A. G. (1987) *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 56, 615–649.
20. Ui, M. (1984) *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 5, 277–279.
21. Neer, E. J., Lok, J. M. & Wolf, L. G. (1984) *J. Biol. Chem.* 259, 14222–14229.
22. Van Dop, C., Yamanaka, G., Steinberg, F., Sekura, R. D., Manclark, L. R., Stryer, L. & Bourne, H. R. (1984) *J. Biol. Chem.* 259, 23–26.
23. Manning, D. R. & Gilman, A. G. (1983) *J. Biol. Chem.* 258, 7059–7063.
24. Benovic, J. L., Pike, L. J., Corione, R. A., Staniszewski, C., Yoshimasa, T., Codina, J., Caron, M. G. & Lefkowitz, R. J. (1985) *J. Biol. Chem.* 260, 7094–7101.
25. Bouvier, M., Hausdorff, W. P., De Blasi, A., O'Dowd, B. F., Kobilka, B. K., Caron, M. G. & Lefkowitz, R. J. (1988) *Nature* 333, 370–373.
26. Katada, T., Bokoch, G. M., Smigel, M. D., Ui, M. & Gilman, A. G. (1984) *J. Biol. Chem.* 259, 3586–3595.
27. Stadel, J. M., Nambi, P., Shorr, R. G. L., Sawyer, D. R., Caron, M. G. & Lefkowitz, R. J. (1983) *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 80, 3173–3177.
28. Benovic, J. L., Bouvier, M., Caron, M. G. & Lefkowitz, R. J. (1988) *Annu. Rev. Cell Biol.* 4, 405–428.
29. Klein, P., Sun, T. J., Saxe, C. L., Kimmel, A. R. & Devreotes, P. N. (1988) *Science* 241, 1467–1472.
30. Puppillo, M., Kumagai, A., Pitt, G., Firtel, R. A. & Devreotes, P. N. (1989) *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 86, 4892–4896.
31. Kumagai, A., Puppillo, M., Gundersen, R., Miake-Lye, R., Devreotes, P. N. & Firtel, R. A. (1989) *Cell* 57, 265–275.
32. Van Haastert, P. J. M. (1984) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 124, 597–604.
33. Snaar-Jagalska, B. E., De Wit, R. J. W. & Van Haastert, P. J. M. (1988) *FEBS Lett.* 232, 148–152.
34. Snaar-Jagalska, B. E., Jakobs, K. H. & Van Haastert, P. J. M. (1988) *FEBS Lett.* 236, 139–144.
35. Theibert, A. & Devreotes, P. N. (1986) *J. Biol. Chem.* 261, 15121–15125.
36. Van Haastert, P. J. M., Snaar-Jagalska, B. E. & Janssens, P. M. W. (1987) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 162, 251–258.
37. Snaar-Jagalska, B. E. & Van Haastert, P. J. M. (1990) *Mol. Cell Biochem.* 92, 177–189.
38. Van Haastert, P. J. M. & De Wit, R. J. W. (1984) *J. Biol. Chem.* 259, 13321–13332.
39. Van Haastert, P. J. M. (1984) *J. Gen. Microbiol.* 130, 2559–2564.
40. Khachatryan, L., Klein, C. & Howlett, A. (1987) *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 149, 975–981.
41. Briggs, M. M., Stadel, J. M., Iyengar, R. & Lefkowitz, R. J. (1983) *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 224, 142–151.
42. Rich, K. A., Codina, J., Floyd, G., Sekura, R., Hildebrandt, J. D. & Iyengar, R. (1984) *J. Biol. Chem.* 259, 7893–7901.
43. Katada, T., Gilman, A. G., Watanabe, Y., Bauer, S. & Jakobs, K. H. (1985) *Eur. J. Biochem.* 151, 431–437.
44. Yoshimasa, T., Sibley, D. R., Bouvier, M., Lefkowitz, R. J. & Caron, M. G. (1987) *Nature* 327, 67–70.