University of Groningen

The removal of hydrogen sulfide from gas streams using an aqueous metal sulfate absorbent

Ter Maat, H.; Hogendoorn, J. A.; Versteeg, G. F.

Published in:
Separation and Purification Technology

DOI:
[10.1016/j.seppur.2004.10.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2004.10.013)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2005

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Ter Maat, H., Hogendoorn, J. A., & Versteeg, G. F. (2005). The removal of hydrogen sulfide from gas streams using an aqueous metal sulfate absorbent: Part I. the absorption of hydrogen sulfide in metal sulfate solutions. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 43(3), 183-197.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2004.10.013>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

The removal of hydrogen sulfide from gas streams using an aqueous metal sulfate absorbent

Part I. The absorption of hydrogen sulfide in metal sulfate solutions

H. ter Maat^{a,*}, J.A. Hogendoorn^b, G.F. Versteeg^b

^a *Procede Group, P.O. Box 328, 7500 AH Enschede, The Netherlands*

^b *Faculty of Chemical Technology of the University of Twente, P.O. Box 217, 7500 AE Enschede, The Netherlands*

Received 22 September 2003; received in revised form 11 October 2004; accepted 19 October 2004

Abstract

The desulfurization of gas streams using aqueous iron(II)sulfate (Fe(II)SO_4), zinc sulfate (ZnSO_4) and copper sulfate (CuSO_4) solutions as washing liquor is studied theoretically and experimentally. The desulfurization is accomplished by a precipitation reaction that occurs when sulfide ions and metal ions are brought into contact with each other. A thermodynamic study has been used to determine a theoretical operating window, with respect to the pH of the scrubbing solution, in which the metal sulfate solution can react with hydrogen sulfide (H_2S), but not with carbon dioxide (CO_2) from the gas or hydroxide ions from the scrubbing solution. When the absorption is carried out in this window the proposed process should be capable of removing H_2S from the gas stream without uptake of CO_2 or the formation of metal hydroxides. The pH operating window increases in the order of iron, zinc to copper. Experimental verification showed that the proposed process indeed efficiently removes H_2S when an aqueous Fe(II)SO_4 , ZnSO_4 or CuSO_4 solution is used as absorbent. However, for an efficient desulfurization the lower pH of the experimental pH operating window using the Fe(II)SO_4 or ZnSO_4 solution was higher than indicated by thermodynamics. The reason for this must probably be attributed to a reduced precipitation rate at decreasing pH. When a CuSO_4 solution is used as washing liquor the solution can efficiently remove H_2S over the entire pH range studied (as low as $\text{pH} = 1.4$). In this case only the upper pH boundary of the operating window (that indicates the possible formation of copper hydroxide or copper carbonates) seems to be a relevant limit in practice. The laboratory experiments indicate that the absorption of H_2S in a CuSO_4 solution, at the experimental conditions tested, is a gas phase mass transfer limited process. This allows a high degree of H_2S removal in a relatively compact contactor. In addition to the lab scale experiments the potential of the new desulfurization process has also been successfully demonstrated for an industrial biogas using a pilot scale packed bed reactor operated with a fresh and regenerated CuSO_4 solution. This study indicates that the precipitation reaction of metal sulfates with H_2S can be used successfully in a (selective) desulfurization process, and that it can be an attractive alternative to the desulfurization methods currently used.

© 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Desulfurization; Metal sulfide; Precipitation reactions

1. Introduction

Many natural and industrial gases contain H_2S . The presence of H_2S usually prohibits the direct use of these gases because of its toxic properties, the formation of SO_2 upon combustion (acid rain), and the problems it (usually) gives in

downstream processing. This means that it is often necessary to remove H_2S from the gas stream prior to use. If any CO_2 is present in the gas, simultaneous removal of CO_2 from the gas stream can occur. This phenomenon generally increases the amount of chemicals required during the removal step, and is therefore not desired. Many processes have been developed to remove H_2S from gas streams, see e.g. Kohl and Nielsen [1]. In case of regenerative processes the reagent used to capture H_2S can be recovered. Selective H_2S removal can be accomplished by using a process based on the precipitation reaction

DOI of original article: [10.1016/j.seppur.2004.10.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2004.10.012).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: henk.termaat@procede.nl (H. ter Maat).

Nomenclature

a	specific surface area in the bubble column ($\text{m}^2 \text{m}^{-3}$)
C	concentration (mol m^{-3})
h	height of the liquid in the bubble column (m)
k	mass transfer coefficient (m s^{-1})
K	equilibrium constant (mol m^{-3} , $\text{mol}^2 \text{m}^{-6}$)
m	distribution coefficient
P	pressure (Pa)
T	temperature (K)
v	velocity (m s^{-1})

Subscripts

a	acid
G	referring to the gas phase
i	interface
in	referring to the gas entering the bubble column
L	referring to the liquid phase
out	referring to the gas leaving the bubble column
sp	solubility product
sup	superficial
w	wetted

of H_2S with metal ions present in an aqueous solution and a subsequent regeneration of the solid metal sulfide formed. For the reaction of e.g. a bivalent metal ion and the sulfide ion the following overall precipitation reaction can take place:

It is possible, with the proper choice of metal ion and process conditions, to remove H_2S without co-absorption of CO_2 . By separating the produced CuS from the spent absorbent, oxidizing it into CuO , and then dissolving the obtained CuO back in the spent absorption liquid a closed loop (regenerative) process can be obtained, for details about this manner of operation see ter Maat et al. [2]. The proposed process will be most attractive when selective removal of H_2S is required, as will be the case in most gases containing a high level of CO_2 . An example of such a gas is biogas, a product of anaerobic digestion of organic waste. A typical composition of such a biogas is given in Table 1 [3]. Normally the H_2S specification for the product gas will vary between 4 and 500 ppmv H_2S , depending upon further use. In the past a number of processes have been developed to utilize the precipitation reaction of metal ions with sulfide. So far, the main utilization of this reaction is found in the removal of heavy metal ions from e.g. electroplating waste streams. In these cases the primary interest was the efficient removal of metal ions from the waste stream. In contrast, only a few processes are dedicated to the removal of H_2S from a gas stream using metal ions. An example is the chemsweet process [4], a non-regenerative process that utilizes zinc ions provided by the dissolution of basic

Table 1
Typical biogas composition

Component	Composition (vol.%)
CH_4	52–95
CO_2	9–45
H_2S	0.001–2
H_2	0.01–2
N_2	0.1–4
O_2	0.02–6.5
Ar	0.001
CO	0.001–2
NH_3	Trace
Organics	Trace

zinc salts to remove H_2S from sour gases. In this semi-batch process solid zinc salts are dissolved to replenish the washing liquor. Regenerative processes for the removal of H_2S from geothermal steam have been described by Brown and Dyer [5], and in the patents of Spevack [6] and Harvey [7]. These processes utilize copper solutions to remove H_2S from geothermal steam. In the regeneration step copper sulfide is oxidized at elevated temperature and pressure to CuSO_4 (in the presence of ammonium ions). The product of this type of process is, apart from a cleaned up steam flow, a concentrated stream of ammonium sulfate. The application of this process is rather limited because the process cannot operate in a closed loop manner if substoichiometric amounts of ammonia are present in the gas stream to be cleaned. For other processes mentioned in literature the recovery of the metal ions from the metal sulfide formed is rather cumbersome in the absence of ammonia. Ehnert et al. [8] describe the use of a cupric halogenide to remove H_2S from a gas. Copper was recovered by means of oxidation of the copper sulfide at elevated temperatures. The products were a regenerated copper solution and impure elemental sulfur. Broekhuis et al. [9] used an acidic $\text{Fe(III)}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$ solution as oxidizing agent at elevated temperatures to oxidize the metal sulfide (in his case copper- and zinc-sulfide) to metal sulfate and elemental sulfur, but a complete conversion of the metal sulfide was not achieved. In the process presently under development the regeneration of the absorbent will be done by means of oxidation of the precipitated metal sulfide to metal oxide [2]. In order to prevent the contamination of the off gases of the oxidation step with components other than sulfur dioxide and water, metal sulfate salts will preferably be used as absorbent. Presented in this contribution are the results of the experiments conducted to investigate the absorption of H_2S in some selected metal sulfates.

2. Theory

Sulfides of most bivalent metal ions, e.g. zinc, copper, silver, lead, magnesium, nickel and tin are highly insoluble. Therefore aqueous solutions containing these metal ions can be used as washing liquid in a desulfurization process. The overall reaction between H_2S and a bivalent metal ion is given

Table 2
Elementary reaction scheme for precipitation reactions of sulfide and carbonate

Equation	Reaction (stoichiometry)	Equilibrium expression
(2)	$\text{H}_2\text{S}_\text{G} \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{S}_\text{L}$	$m_{\text{H}_2\text{S}} = \frac{[\text{H}_2\text{S}_\text{L}]_i}{[\text{H}_2\text{S}_\text{G}]_i} = \left(\frac{[\text{H}_2\text{S}_\text{L}]}{[\text{H}_2\text{S}_\text{G}]} \right)_{\text{Equilibrium}}$
(3)	$\text{H}_2\text{S}_\text{L} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{HS}^- + \text{H}_3\text{O}^+$	$K_{\text{a1H}_2\text{S}} = \frac{[\text{HS}^-][\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]}{[\text{H}_2\text{S}_\text{L}]}$
(4)	$\text{HS}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{S}^{2-} + \text{H}_3\text{O}^+$	$K_{\text{a2H}_2\text{S}} = \frac{[\text{S}^{2-}][\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]}{[\text{HS}^-]}$
(5)	$\text{S}^{2-} + \text{Me}^{2+} \rightleftharpoons \text{MeS} \downarrow$	$K_{\text{sp}} = [\text{S}^{2-}][\text{Me}^{2+}]$
(6)	$\text{CO}_{2,\text{G}} \rightleftharpoons \text{CO}_{2,\text{L}}$	$m_{\text{CO}_2} = \frac{[\text{CO}_{2,\text{L}}]_i}{[\text{CO}_{2,\text{G}}]_i} = \left(\frac{[\text{CO}_{2,\text{L}}]}{[\text{CO}_{2,\text{G}}]} \right)_{\text{Equilibrium}}$
(7)	$\text{CO}_{2,\text{L}} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}_3\text{O}^+$	$K_{\text{a1CO}_2} = \frac{[\text{HCO}_3^-][\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]}{[\text{CO}_{2,\text{L}}]}$
(8)	$\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{H}_3\text{O}^+$	$K_{\text{a2CO}_2} = \frac{[\text{CO}_3^{2-}][\text{H}_3\text{O}^+]}{[\text{HCO}_3^-]}$
(9)	$\text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{Me}^{2+} \rightleftharpoons \text{MeCO}_3 \downarrow$	$K_{\text{sp}} = [\text{CO}_3^{2-}][\text{Me}^{2+}]$

in Eq. (1). The absorption of H_2S in, and the subsequent reaction with a metal sulfate solution will take place in several elementary steps shown in Table 2. First H_2S will be transported from the gas phase and dissolve physically in the liquid phase (Eq. (2)). In most practical cases equilibrium exists at the G/L interface, and the ratio of gas and liquid concentrations can be expressed as a distribution coefficient m [10]. The distribution coefficient is a function of liquid composition and temperature only when the gas phase behaves ideally. The dissolved H_2S molecule can now act as a diprotic acid (Eqs. (3) and (4)¹). If the solubility product of the metal sulfide is exceeded then solid metal sulfide can form (Eq. (5)). Another reaction that can possibly occur when CO_2 is also present is the reaction between CO_2 and the metal ion in the washing liquor. This unwanted side reaction takes place according to a scheme similar to the reaction scheme of the precipitation reaction between H_2S and the metal ion. CO_2 is first physically dissolved in water (Eq. (6)), and the dissolved CO_2 then dissociates stepwise into bicarbonate and carbonate (Eqs. (7) and (8)). Solid metal carbonate can then be formed according to the reaction given in Eq. (9). Whether this precipitation indeed occurs depends on the solubility product. The numerical value of the equilibrium constant of the bisulfide/sulfide equilibrium, as well as the equilibrium constant for the solubility products for the metal sulfides can be found in the work of Licht [11], who evaluated these constants critically. The numerical values for the other equilibrium constants and gas–liquid distribution coefficients can be found in literature [12–14]. An overview of the numerical value of the various equilibrium constants at a temperature of 298 K is given in Tables 3–5.

2.1. Application of the equilibrium model for the determination of an operating window assuring selective desulfurization for a 1 molar metal sulfate solution

This simple model can now be used to estimate the vapor pressure of H_2S or CO_2 in equilibrium with the correspond-

Table 3

The solubility products (based on concentrations) of the carbonate, hydroxide and sulfide salts of the metal ions used in this study at a temperature of 298 K [11,21]

Me^{n+}	X^{m-}		
	CO_3^{2-}	OH^-	S^{2-}
Fe^{2+}	3.1×10^{-11} FeCO_3	4.9×10^{-17} $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_2$	1.0×10^{-21} FeS
Zn^{2+}	1.2×10^{-10} ZnCO_3	4.1×10^{-17} $\text{Zn}(\text{OH})_2$	3.2×10^{-26} ZnS
Cu^{2+}	6.0×10^{-6} CuCO_3	2.4×10^{-13} $\text{Cu}(\text{OH})_2$	5.0×10^{-41} CuS

ing solid metal sulfide or metal carbonate at a given pH and metal sulfate concentrations using the equilibrium constants given in Tables 3–5. Since the concentrations of H_2S and CO_2 present in the gas leaving the desulfurization unit are determined by the product specification, the model can also be used to determine if a scrubbing solution is a suitable reactant for a selective desulfurization process. In Figs. 1–3 the equilibrium vapor pressure of H_2S and CO_2 is plotted against the pH for a 1 molar metal sulfate solution. For a given product gas specification, these figures can be used to determine the operating window (a pH region in which metal sulfide precipitation can, but metal carbonate cannot occur) for a selective H_2S removal. Usually the H_2S specification for the product gas will vary between 4 and 500 ppmv H_2S ,

Table 4

First and second dissociation constants of CO_2 and H_2S in water at a temperature of 298 K [11,14]

Equilibrium	$\text{p}K_{\text{a}}$
$\text{H}_2\text{S}/\text{HS}^-$	7.05
$\text{HS}^-/\text{S}^{2-}$	17.3
$\text{CO}_2/\text{HCO}_3^-$	6.35
$\text{HCO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}$	10.33

Table 5

Distribution coefficients of CO_2 and H_2S at a temperature of 298 K [12,13]

Equilibrium	m
$[\text{H}_2\text{S}]_\text{L}/[\text{H}_2\text{S}]_\text{G}$	2.5
$[\text{CO}_2]_\text{L}/[\text{CO}_2]_\text{G}$	0.84

¹ Note that the current model assumes ideal behavior of the components.

Fig. 1. Equilibrium vapor pressures of CO_2 and H_2S as a function of pH for a 1 M FeSO_4 solution at a temperature of 293 K. The dotted lines are the H_2S outlet specification (10 ppmv at 1 bara) and the CO_2 vapor pressure in the inlet gas (30 vol.% at 1 bara).

depending upon further use. As an example a possible specification can be 10 ppmv H_2S and 30 vol.% CO_2 at a pressure of 1 bara, which is typical specification for a biogas. This specification will be used in the following examples. In Figs. 1–3 not only the theoretically calculated equilibrium vapor pres-

sures, but also the currently specified vapor pressures of H_2S and CO_2 are plotted as a function of the pH in case of a 1 molar Fe(II)SO_4 (Fig. 1), ZnSO_4 (Fig. 2) and a CuSO_4 (Fig. 3) solution. The lines of the equilibrium pressure and gas phase specification intersect at the pH where precipita-

Fig. 2. Equilibrium vapor pressures of CO_2 and H_2S as a function of pH for a 1 M ZnSO_4 solution at a temperature of 293 K. The dotted lines are the H_2S outlet specification (10 ppmv at 1 bara) and the CO_2 vapor pressure in the inlet gas (30 vol.% at 1 bara).

Fig. 3. Equilibrium vapor pressures of CO_2 and H_2S as a function of pH for a 1 M CuSO_4 solution at a temperature of 293 K. The dotted lines are the H_2S outlet specification (10 ppmv at 1 bara) and the CO_2 vapor pressure in the inlet gas (30 vol.% at 1 bara).

tion can just take place. An overview of the pH values, above which precipitation can take place is given in Table 6. From this table it can be seen that, for the case of Fe(II)SO_4 , the pH of the solution must not be higher than 5.85 to prevent the precipitation of iron hydroxide. Furthermore it can be seen that a pH of at least 3.15 is needed to meet the sulfur specification, while the precipitation of iron carbonate can already

take place at a pH of 2.55 and higher. This means that these theoretical calculations predict that it is not possible to remove H_2S down to 10 ppmv without removing CO_2 if that component is present in a concentration of 30 vol.% at a total pressure of 1 bara. For a 1 molar ZnSO_4 solution a pH of at least 0.90 is required to allow precipitation of zinc sulfide when the gas phase concentration of H_2S is just at the desired

Fig. 4. Schematic representation of the experimental set-up.

Table 6

The minimum pH needed for precipitation when a 1 molar metal sulfate solution in brought in contact with a gas containing 10 ppmv H₂S or 30 vol.% CO₂ at a pressure of 1 bara and a temperature of 298 K

Metal sulfate	pH needed for precipitation of		
	Metal sulfide	Metal carbonate	Metal hydroxide
FeSO ₄	3.15	2.55	5.85
ZnSO ₄	0.90	2.85	5.80
CuSO ₄	<0.00	5.20	7.70

specification. Fig. 2 shows that the precipitation of zinc carbonate may also take place. In this example, with 30 vol.% CO₂ at a pressure of 1 bara, the precipitation of zinc carbonate can take place at a pH of 2.85 and higher. Thus, theoretical calculations show that for a selective desulfurization down to 10 ppmv H₂S without the co-precipitation of zinc carbonate the pH of the solution must be regulated between 0.90 and 2.85. Fig. 3 shows that the precipitation of copper sulfide can always occur if the pH is higher than 0 and the H₂S vapor pressure is higher than 10⁻¹² Pa. From this figure it can also be seen that, with a gas phase composition of 30 vol.% CO₂ at a pressure of 1 bara, the precipitation of copper carbonate can take place at a pH of 5.20 and higher. This means that when using a CuSO₄ solution a very large operating window is obtained. For this theoretical example it is shown that as long as the pH is lower than 5.20 a selective removal of H₂S is possible. These examples, which are given for 1 M metal sulfate solutions, show that for a selective H₂S removal process in the presence of CO₂ the operating range increases in the order from Fe, Zn to Cu.²

3. Experimental

Absorption experiments have been carried out in a laboratory scale set-up schematically shown in Fig. 4. The set-up consists of three sections; a gas mixing section to prepare the desired gas mixture, a reactor section, and an analysis section. A more detailed drawing of the bubble column reactor, with a height of 62 cm and an inner diameter of 3 cm, is given in Fig. 5. During an experiment the bubble column was operated batch wise with respect to the liquid phase, and continuously with respect to the gas phase. The flow rate and composition of the gases were controlled using Brooks 5150 thermal mass flow controllers. A glass frit was used as bottom plate to create an even distribution of the bubbles in the reactor. To ensure isothermal operation of the bubble column a thermostatic bath (Tamson T 1000) was used to pump water with constant temperature through the annular space of the double walled reactor. The pH of the solution in the

Fig. 5. Schematic representation of the reactor.

reactor was monitored by means of a Schott pH electrode. The pressure in the reactor was measured using a pressure gauge. The void fraction of the solution in the reactor could be determined by means of a gauge glass. Via small side openings the addition of e.g. anti-foaming agent or NaOH solution was possible if desired. The following experimental procedure was applied. The bubble column was filled with a freshly prepared metal sulfate solution. Then a small amount of NaOH solution (containing 10 mmol NaOH) was added to set the initial pH of the solution to the desired value and to generate a small amount of metal hydroxide. During the course of an experiment the pH will gradually decrease since hydronium ions are generated in the reaction; the metal hydroxide will act as a buffer and prevent a swift drop in pH immediately after the start of an experiment. When the metal sulfate solution in the reactor reached the desired temperature of 293 K, a gas with the desired composition was bubbled through the reactor at a superficial gas velocity of 0.02 m s⁻¹. The pressure in the reactor was kept at 1.1 bara. A continuous gas sample flow was drawn from the reactor effluent stream. The H₂S content of this stream was determined by mixing the sample stream with air and converting the H₂S present in the sample stream to sulfur dioxide over stainless steel wool at 350 °C [15]. A MAIHAK UNOR 610 IR sulfur dioxide analyzer was used to determine the concentration of sulfur dioxide and therewith, indirectly, the concentration of H₂S. During the experiments the concentration of SO₂ that left the reactor and the pH of the solution were recorded. The time

² It should be noted that for differently concentrated metal sulfate solutions, the same method can be applied to determine an operating window. However, for all equally concentrated solutions the width of the operating window will increase in the same (Fe, Zn to Cu) order as found for 1 M solutions.

Fig. 6. The absorption of H_2S in a NaOH solution at superficial gas velocity of 0.02 m s^{-1} and a liquid column height of 0.265 m at a temperature of 293 K , and a pressure of 1.1 bara .

required to reach a new steady state concentration of SO_2 after a sudden change in H_2S concentration in the gas stream entering the reactor was less than 1.5 min . The Fe(II)SO_4 , ZnSO_4 and CuSO_4 used were of analytical grade and were obtained from Across Chimica. N_2 and CO_2 were obtained from Hoek Loos, and had a purity of at least 99.9% . H_2S was obtained from Hoek Loos and had a purity of 99.0% . The calibration gas was prepared by Scott Specialty Gasses, and had a composition of $1.0 \text{ vol.}\% \text{ H}_2\text{S} \pm 0.05\%$. The air used for oxidation of the gas stream used for analysis was synthetic air and was obtained from Hoek Loos ($21 \text{ vol.}\% \text{ O}_2$). The method of analysis was checked using a mixture of the H_2S calibration gas and air in a known ratio. The H_2S in this calibration mixture was converted to sulfur dioxide over a catalyst at 350°C and the resulting mixture was then sent to the IR analyzer. The deviation between the measured concentration and the expected concentration was typically smaller than 2.5% .

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Characterization of the bubble column with an aqueous NaOH solution

To characterize the bubble column some experiments were performed using the absorption of H_2S in an aqueous 0.1 molar NaOH solution. That system was chosen because the reaction of H_2S and a NaOH solution is instantaneous with respect to mass transfer and the absorption of H_2S is therefore gas phase mass transfer controlled if the concentration of the NaOH concentration is sufficiently high [16]. The experimental data are presented in Fig. 6. From Fig. 6 it can be seen that, at a pH higher than 11 , the conversion of H_2S was more or less independent of the pH and the initial concentration of H_2S in the gas. When the pH dropped below 9.5 the removal efficiency decreased significantly. The gas phase mass transfer coefficient ($k_G a$) can then be determined

Fig. 7. The absorption of H_2S in a 1.0 M Fe(II)SO_4 solution at a superficial gas velocity of 0.02 m s^{-1} and a column height of 0.495 m at a temperature of 293 K , and a pressure of 1.1 bara .

at high pH values with Eq. (10) (analogous to [10, p. 367]):

$$k_{Ga} = -\ln \left[\frac{C_{out}}{C_{in}} \right] \frac{v_{sup,G}}{h} \quad (10)$$

For the NaOH/H₂S system, k_{Ga} was determined to be approximately 0.5 s^{-1} in the present set-up. It should be mentioned that this value could not be determined more accurately since the concentration of H₂S in the gas leaving the reactor is very low (At a C_{in,H_2S} of 1 vol.%, C_{out,H_2S} was approximately 20–80 ppmv) and therefore the concentration of SO₂ in the gas entering the analyzer was near the lower limit of the operating range of the analyzer. Therefore a small deviation in the measured concentration of H₂S in the gas leaving the reactor value causes a large deviation in the determined value for k_{Ga} .

4.2. The absorption of H₂S in an aqueous solution of Fe(II)SO₄

The absorption of H₂S in aqueous 1 molar Fe(II)SO₄ solutions has been studied as a function of the pH of the solution and the concentration of H₂S in the gas entering the reactor. At the start of an experiment the pH was brought to a value of approximately 7 by adding some NaOH. At a pH of approximately 4 the H₂S uptake of the solution decreased significantly and a small amount of NaOH was added to bring the pH of the solution back to its original value. Then a gas stream with a different concentration of H₂S was brought in contact with the solution and the experiment was repeated. Using this procedure the concentration of H₂S in the gas entering the reactor was varied between 1 and 4 vol.%. During the entire experiment the conversion with respect to the metal ions was less than 8%, and thus the assumption that the concentration of metal ions did not change significantly during the experiment is justified. The experimental data are presented in Fig. 7. From the experimental results obtained with an Fe(II)SO₄ solution it can be concluded that it is possible to remove more than 99% of the H₂S from a gas stream that initially contains in between 1 and 4 vol.% H₂S when the pH of the solution is higher than 6.7. With the pH above 6.7 the conversion of H₂S appeared to be somewhat lower than the conversion of H₂S when using NaOH with a pH above 11, although a longer liquid column was used. This might be caused by a change in specific contact area in the reactor in case the process is still gas phase mass transfer controlled. However, another (more likely) cause of the lower conversion may be that the absorption of H₂S was not (completely) gas phase mass transfer limited, but also partly influenced by precipitation kinetics and/or liquid phase mass transfer. At a pH lower than approximately 5 the experimentally determined conversion of H₂S drops considerably. The results of the equilibrium calculations, given in Table 7, however, show that a virtually complete desulfurization should be possible at pH values as low as 3. Since the bulk concentration of Fe²⁺ ions has not dropped significantly due to the precipitation reaction, the most probable cause for this discrepancy is

Table 7

Comparison of the experimental results and the results of the theoretical calculations regarding the absorption of H₂S in an aqueous 1 M solution of FeSO₄ for a $C_{G,H_2S,in}$ of 1 vol.%

pH	$C_{G,H_2S,out}/C_{G,H_2S,in}$	Theoretical prediction	
		Experimental results (Fig. 7)	Equilibrium calculations
		Gas phase mass transport limited absorption ^a	
6.7	0.004	4.2×10^{-6}	7.9×10^{-11}
6	0.023	4.2×10^{-6}	2.0×10^{-9}
5	0.21	4.2×10^{-6}	2.0×10^{-7}
4	0.5	4.2×10^{-6}	2.0×10^{-5}
3	–	4.2×10^{-6}	2.0×10^{-3}
2	–	4.2×10^{-6}	2.0×10^{-1}
1	–	4.2×10^{-6}	–

The lower detection limit of the equipment does not allow measurements below a value of 20 ppmv H₂S, thus the lowest possible experimentally determined value for $C_{G,H_2S,out}/C_{G,H_2S,in}$ was 0.002.

^a Based on experimental conditions as specified in Section 4.2 and a k_{Ga} value of 0.5 s^{-1} .

that the rate of the precipitation reaction drops significantly long before the reacting system approaches equilibrium, an effect also reported by Nielsen [17] and Söhnle and Garside [18]. Thermodynamic equilibrium calculations show that at the pH values needed for an efficient H₂S removal one cannot exclude the formation of solid iron(II) hydroxide or iron(II) carbonate (see Fig. 1 and Table 6). Even at lower pH values, where the scrubbing solution would be less efficient (and consequently a longer contact time would be required), the possible formation of iron carbonate cannot be excluded when CO₂ is present in the gas. Aqueous Fe(II)SO₄ solutions therefore do not seem very suited when a selective desulfurization process is required.

4.3. The absorption of H₂S in an aqueous solution of ZnSO₄

For an aqueous ZnSO₄ solution the H₂S conversion was also measured for various ingoing H₂S concentrations and as a function of the pH of the solution. The experimental procedure was identical to the procedure in the experiments with FeSO₄ solutions. The pH of the solution immediately after the addition of NaOH was 5.8 (the precipitation of zinc hydroxide at that pH prohibits a higher pH value). At a pH of approximately 2.5 the H₂S conversion became lower than 75% and the experiment was stopped. A number of experiments was performed with superficial gas velocities varying between 0.02 and 0.04 m s^{-1} and with H₂S concentrations in the gas entering the reactor varying between 1 and 4 vol.%. The experimental data are presented in Fig. 8. When the experimental results are compared, it can be seen that aqueous ZnSO₄ and Fe(II)SO₄ solutions behave similarly with respect to the absorption of H₂S. Again the experimental maximum conversion of H₂S was slightly lower than the conversion observed when using a NaOH solution with a pH above 11, although a longer liquid column was used. When the absorp-

Fig. 8. The absorption of H_2S in a 1.0 M ZnSO_4 solution at a superficial gas velocity of 0.02 and 0.04 m s^{-1} and a liquid height of 0.495 m at a temperature of 293 K, and a pressure of 1.1 bara.

tion of H_2S in a ZnSO_4 solution is compared to the absorption of H_2S in an Fe(II)SO_4 solution, a noticeable difference is that when using a ZnSO_4 solution a high conversion of H_2S can also be achieved at pH values below 6. It appeared for example to be possible to remove more than 99% of H_2S from a gas stream that initially contained 1 vol.% H_2S at a pH value of 5. To see a substantial decrease in H_2S conversion, the pH had to drop below a value of 4. Equilibrium calculations however, show that for the conditions applied a near complete removal of H_2S should be possible at pH values as low as 0.90 (see also Fig. 2 and Table 8). A possible explanation for the difference between the experimentally determined and the maximum theoretical conversion could be the aforementioned decrease in the rate of the precipitation reaction. The experimental results in Fig. 8 show that, in order to maintain a high H_2S conversion when using a ZnSO_4 solution in the experimental set-up, the pH of the washing liquor must be kept at a value of 5 or higher. From Fig. 2 it can be seen that at a pH of 5 the formation of solid zinc carbonate is already possible at CO_2 vapor pressures as low as 1.6 Pa. Therefore the precip-

Table 8

Comparison of the experimental results and the results of the theoretical calculations regarding the absorption of H_2S in an aqueous 1 M solution of ZnSO_4 for a $C_{G,\text{H}_2\text{S},\text{in}}$ of 1 vol.%

pH	$C_{G,\text{H}_2\text{S},\text{out}}/C_{G,\text{H}_2\text{S},\text{in}}$	Theoretical prediction	
		Experimental results (Fig. 8)	Equilibrium calculations
			Gas phase mass transport limited absorption ^a
7	–		4.2×10^{-6}
5.7	0.006		4.2×10^{-6}
5	0.01		4.2×10^{-6}
4	0.02		4.2×10^{-6}
3	0.18		4.2×10^{-6}
2	–		4.2×10^{-6}
1	–		4.2×10^{-6}
0	–		4.2×10^{-6}

The lower detection limit of the equipment does not allow measurements below a value of 20 ppmv H_2S , thus the lowest possible experimentally determined value for $C_{G,\text{H}_2\text{S},\text{out}}/C_{G,\text{H}_2\text{S},\text{in}}$ was 0.002.

^a Based on experimental conditions as specified in section 4.3 and a k_{GA} value of 0.5 s^{-1} .

Fig. 9. The absorption of H_2S in a 1.0 M CuSO_4 solution at a superficial gas velocity of 0.02 m s^{-1} and a liquid height in the column of 0.265 m at a temperature of 293 K, and a pressure of 1.1 bara.

itation of ZnCO_3 that can occur when CO_2 is present in the gas stream that has to be desulfurized can only be excluded when the column is operated at lower pH values, where a high H_2S conversion might only be possible at the cost of a much longer contact time, and thus much larger equipment size. The equilibrium diagram given in Fig. 2 therefore only seems suitable to establish an operating window to assure a selective desulfurization using a ZnSO_4 solution, but does not tell everything about the width of the operating window for an efficient H_2S removal process.

4.4. The absorption of H_2S in an aqueous solution of CuSO_4

Since copper sulfide has an extremely low solubility product, it is expected that a CuSO_4 solution will have the best desulfurization performance of the three metal sulfate solutions studied. A H_2S absorption experiment using a 1 molar CuSO_4 solution was carried out to verify this. Since H_2S conversions of more than 99% could be achieved during the

entire experiment, no NaOH was added to the solution during the entire experiment. The initial pH of the CuSO_4 solution was 3.2. During the experiment the amount of H_2S in the gas entering the reactor was varied between 1 and 4 vol.%. The experimental results are presented in Fig. 9.

4.4.1. Absorption of H_2S from a gas containing 1 vol.% H_2S in a CuSO_4 solution

During two periods of the experiment (from the start of the experiment until $t = 15$ min, and from $t = 52$ to $t = 66$ min) the concentration of H_2S in the inlet gas was 1 vol.%. From Fig. 9 it can be seen that a conversion of H_2S of more than 99.5% could be reached during these periods. The pH of the solution decreased from 3.2 to 2.1 during the first period, and remained constant at a value of 1.4 during the second period. During the experiment the solid copper sulfide formed appeared to induce foaming in the bubble column. An anti-foaming agent (a silicon oil/water emulsion) was added to the solution to reduce the extent of foaming and to improve the column performance. Small amounts of anti-foaming agent

Fig. 10. Schematical representation of the experimental set-up.

Fig. 11. H₂S conversion as a function of time during experiment 1, $T = -5$ to 9°C , $P = 1$ atm $[\text{CuSO}_4]_{\text{initial}} = 0.37$ M, $[\text{H}_2\text{S}]_{\text{in}} = 500\text{--}2000$ ppm.

were added to the CuSO₄ solution during the initial 15 min of the experiment, see also Fig. 9. When precautions taken to prevent foaming are effective, like e.g. during the first 10 min of the experiment of which the results are shown in Fig. 9, the observed conversion appeared to be similar to the conversion of H₂S when using a 0.1 M NaOH solution. In both cases ultimately approximately 99.9% of the H₂S present in a gas stream containing 1 vol.% H₂S was removed. During the second period of the experiment in which the concentration of H₂S in the inlet gas was 1 vol.% (from $t = 52$ to $t = 66$ min), the conversion of H₂S increased gradually from 99.5 to 99.9%. This H₂S concentration in the outlet gas however is too close to the lower detection limit of the set-up to allow for a conclusion with respect to the observed variation in H₂S concentration.

4.4.2. Absorption of H₂S from a gas containing 2 vol.% H₂S in a CuSO₄ solution

When a gas containing 2 vol.% H₂S was fed to the reactor the extent of foaming increased, therefore, larger amounts of antifoaming agent were added to the solution; 1 ml of antifoaming agent was added after 15, 21, 25 and 31 min while 4 ml of anti-foaming agent was added after 32 min. The results presented in Fig. 9 show that the H₂S conversion varies between approximately 75 and 90% when the foaming phenomena is insufficiently suppressed (in the time frame from 15 to 32 min). However, more than 99% conversion of H₂S can be achieved if sufficient anti-foaming agent is added to the washing solution (from $t = 32$ to $t = 41$ min). The maximum observed conversion of H₂S is somewhat lower than the conversion when 1 vol.% of H₂S was present in the gas entering the bubble column, but this effect can probably be ascribed to a change in the specific contact area in the reactor, or a slightly different gas flow pattern caused by the presence of solids near the gas/liquid interface.

4.4.3. Absorption of H₂S from a gas containing 4 vol.% H₂S in a CuSO₄ solution

Due to foaming of the solution in the bubble column it was not possible to realize stable operation at a H₂S concentration of 4 vol.% (from $t = 43$ to $t = 52$ min). In this situation the observed conversion of H₂S dropped significantly, but this most probably has to be attributed to precipitation related foaming phenomena.

4.4.4. General conclusions regarding the absorption of H₂S from a gas stream in an aqueous solution of CuSO₄

The results of the experiments carried out in a bubble column using a 1 M CuSO₄ solution show that the H₂S conversion can be similar to the conversion of H₂S when using a 0.1 M NaOH solution at similar column length. In both the CuSO₄ and the NaOH case approximately 99.8% of the H₂S present in a gas stream containing 1 vol.% H₂S could be removed. No restrictions were found with respect to a lower limit of the pH during the experiment (the pH value of the solution varied between 3.2 and 1.4 during the experiment). It also appeared that the H₂S removal efficiency of the bubble column decreased significantly with increasing H₂S concentration in the inlet gas. It is likely that the cause for this must be found in foaming related changes in the hydrodynamic behavior of the column. From the results of the thermodynamical equilibrium calculations shown in Fig. 3, and the experimental results it can be concluded that a relatively large operating window to assure a selective desulfurization using a CuSO₄ solution can be defined: to avoid the precipitation of CuCO₃ at a CO₂ vapor pressure of 0.3 bara (30 vol.% at 1 bara) the pH of the solution must be kept below 5.20, while experiments show that the scrubbing solution still works effectively at pH values as low as 1.4.

5. Pilot plant scale experiments: the absorption of H₂S from a biogas stream

5.1. Introduction

To demonstrate the absorption of H₂S from an industrial gas in a CuSO₄ solution on a larger scale a pilot plant was constructed. In this pilot plant an industrial biogas stream, containing a range of components besides H₂S, was brought in contact with a CuSO₄ solution. The feasibility of the re-use of a regenerated copper sulfate solution was also investigated in a separate experimental series.

5.2. Experimental set-up and procedure

The pilot plant (see Fig. 10) can be subdivided in the reactor section and the liquid circulation circuit.

5.2.1. Reactor section and gas circuit

From an industrial biogas holder, in which biogas is stored at a pressure of 0.008 barg and at ambient temperature, a gas stream was withdrawn. The bio-gas stream consisted of a mixture of CO₂ (approximately 35 vol.%) and CH₄ (approximately 65 vol.%) with a H₂S content varying from 350 to 2000 ppmv. The biogas was led through the reactor (K1) (i.d. 0.15 m), which was operated in co-current down flow mode. In the reactor a random packing, consisting of polypropylene 16 mm Pall rings was dumped. The height of the packing was 0.8 m. A liquid distributor was used to ensure an optimal division of the CuSO₄ solution over the packing. The co-current down flow packed bed contactor was chosen because it is suited for a fast reaction, has a low pressure drop, and allows for a high gas phase reactant conversion [19]. In the reactor the CuSO₄ solution reacts with H₂S present in the biogas. The desulfurized gas that leaves the absorber was led through vessel V2 and a gas flow meter (F11). To prevent the possible carry-over of foam that might have formed in the biogas scrubber a gas–liquid separator (V2) was installed in the gas outlet.

5.2.2. Liquid circulation circuit

The CuSO₄ solution was stored in vessel V1. The centrifugal pump P1 was used to transport the CuSO₄ solution from vessel V1 via flow meter F12 to the packed bed reactor (K1). After the slurry left the reactor, it flowed into a vessel under the reactor, designed to separate the solid particles from the solution. An overflow on the separator vessel allowed the washing liquor to flow back to vessel V1. The settled particles could, together with a certain amount of washing liquid, be removed from the set-up through valve T1.

5.2.3. Experimental procedure

To ensure adequate supervision, the pilot plant was operated during day shift hours only. During the weekend the biogas producing facility was not operated, and hence the

pilot plant was also not operated. The following experimental procedure was applied. Vessel V1 was filled with 20 dm³ of a CuSO₄ solution. When the ambient temperature was below the freezing point some anti-freeze (ethylene glycol) was added to the washing liquid. The washing liquid was pumped through the liquid circulation circuit at a fixed flow rate. Once the liquid circulation was operational the biogas was fed to the pilot plant. During operation the concentration of H₂S of the gas entering and leaving the reactor was monitored daily. The experiment was terminated when the degree of H₂S removal decreased considerably.

5.3. Results

Two long-term experiments have been carried out with the pilot plant set-up. First goal was to show that the proposed desulfurization process is suitable to realize a high degree of H₂S removal (see Section 5.3.1). Secondly, the effect of the re-use of a regenerated copper sulfate solution on the absorption characteristics was investigated (see Section 5.3.2).

5.3.1. Experimental series 1 in the pilot plant

A total of 20 dm³ of an aqueous 0.5 M CuSO₄ solution was brought in vessel V1. Since the ambient temperature varied between –5 and 9 °C, 5 dm³ of anti-freeze (ethylene glycol) was added to the washing liquid, resulting in a mixture of 25 dm³ 0.37 M CuSO₄. The gas stream through the column was set at approximately 3 m³ h^{–1} and the flow of the washing liquid was approximately 0.14 m³ h^{–1}. During operation the concentration of H₂S of the gas entering and leaving the reactor was monitored daily (see Fig. 11). From this figure it can be seen that when the CuSO₄ concentration in the washing liquid is sufficiently high, the scrubber is capable of decreasing the H₂S concentration in the gas with approximately 85%. The average concentration of H₂S in the gas stream leaving the absorber was approximately 170 ppm. This removal efficiency may seem somewhat lower than might be expected based on the removal efficiency of the lab scale bubble column experiments, but the relatively small wetted fraction of the packing explains for this observation as will be demonstrated via calculations of which the results are shown in Table 9. The CuSO₄ concentration is also plotted in Fig. 11 as a function of time.³ When the CuSO₄ concentration decreased to approximately 0.17 mol dm^{–3} (at a pH value of approximately 0.60), the degree of removal dropped substantially. It is not likely that the lower copper ion concentration is responsible for this effect. However, since a steadily increasing concentration of (hydrophobic) CuS particles is present in

³ The course of the copper sulfate concentration in time has been estimated from the total absorbed amount of H₂S. The initial and final copper concentration of the washing liquid have been determined analytically however. The difference between the two approaches appeared to be less than 20%, which seems quite acceptable taking into consideration that e.g. the H₂S concentration in the biogas varies during the day and was not monitored continuously.

Fig. 12. H_2S conversion as a function of time during experiment 2. $P = 1 \text{ atm}$, $[\text{CuSO}_4]_{\text{initial}} = 0.375 \text{ M}$, $[\text{H}_2\text{S}]_{\text{in}} = 350\text{--}1000 \text{ ppm}$.

the circulating liquid (as was visually observed) the foaming phenomenon (also observed during the lab scale bubble column experiments) might be held responsible for the decrease in H_2S removal efficiency. In Table 9 the characteristics of the absorption column are listed together with the mass transfer

parameters of that column calculated using the well-known relations given by Onda et al. [20]. It appears that the experimentally obtained degree of H_2S removal (85% removal) agrees quite well with the theoretical degree of H_2S removal expected in case of a totally gas phase mass transfer limited H_2S absorption (79% removal).

Table 9

Absorption column characteristics and calculated performance based on a gas phase mass transfer limited process

Parameter	Experiment 1	Experiment 2
Column		
Diameter (m)	0.15	0.15
Height packing (m)	0.8	0.8
Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)	−5 to 9	5–12
Pressure (Pa)	10^5	10^5
Packing		
Type	Pall rings	Pall rings
Diameter (m)	16×10^{-3}	16×10^{-3}
Specific surface area ($\text{m}^2 \text{m}^{-3}$)	340	340
Absorbent slow		
Mass flow liquid (kg s^{-1})	0.039	0.28
Copper concentration (mol m^{-3})	170–370	90–370
Liquid loading ($\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)	2.2	15.8
Hydrodynamic regime	Trickling flow	Trickling flow
Gas flow		
Gas volume flow ($\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$)	8.3×10^{-4}	11.5×10^{-4}
Gas loading ($\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)	0.031	0.042
Gas residence time (s)	22	16
Mass transfer parameters		
k_L [20] (m s^{-1})	1.1×10^{-4}	2.6×10^{-4}
a_w [20] ($\text{m}^2 \text{m}^{-3}$)	46	93
k_G [20] (m s^{-1})	2.0×10^{-3}	2.4×10^{-3}
k_{La} (s^{-1})	5.3×10^{-3}	2.5×10^{-2}
k_{Ga} (s^{-1})	9.0×10^{-2}	2.3×10^{-1}
Fraction of H_2S removed		
Theoretical conversion in case of gas phase mass transfer limitation (Eq. (10))	0.79	0.96
Experimental value	0.85	0.985

5.3.2. Experimental series 2 in the pilot plant

The objective of this experiment was to demonstrate that H_2S can be efficiently removed from a biogas stream, on a pilot plant scale, using a regenerated CuSO_4 solution. The spent solution from the previous experiment (Section 5.3.1) was regenerated and used in the subsequent experimental series. The following procedure was used to regenerate the solution. First the copper sulfide particles were separated from the spent solution and then the copper sulfide was oxidized to copper oxide (for the regeneration procedure see [2]). Finally the CuSO_4 solution was regenerated by dissolving the obtained copper oxide in the original (acidic) spent solution. A total amount of 20 dm^3 of an aqueous CuSO_4 solution (0.37 M) was brought in vessel V1. The temperature of the set-up was equal to the outdoor temperature. During this experiment the gas stream through the column was approximately $4 \text{ m}^3 \text{h}^{-1}$, and to maximize the wetted fraction of the packing (as a learning experience of the results from the previous experiment) the flow of the washing liquid was increased to approximately $1.0 \text{ m}^3 \text{h}^{-1}$. During operation the concentration of H_2S of the gas entering and leaving the reactor was monitored daily. When the CuSO_4 concentration in the washing liquid was above approximately 0.15 mol dm^{-3} , the scrubber was capable of decreasing the H_2S concentration in the gas with approximately 98.5%. This is quite close to the theoretically predicted conversion of 96% given in Table 9 for a gas phase mass transfer limited process. When the CuSO_4 concentration of the circulating liquid decreased until approximately 0.15 mol dm^{-3} (at a pH value of approximately 0.60), as in the previous experiment, the degree of removal

dropped considerably. The exact reason for this behavior is unknown, but it can probably be ascribed to the appearance of foaming in the column caused by the increasing concentration of CuS particles in the circulating liquid (Fig. 12).⁴ As a consequence of the high liquid circulation rate the solid particles did not settle completely in vessel V1 (this was observed visually). However, the solids in the slurry (at the end of the experiment the slurry contained approximately 3 wt.% of solids) did not cause any notable problems with respect to plugging of the packed bed. The experimental results show that the removal of H₂S on a pilot plant scale can take place very efficiently. The concentration of H₂S in the biogas that leaves the scrubber is comparable to the concentration of H₂S in the biogas leaving the reactor in case of a gas phase mass transfer limited absorption process (see Table 9). Furthermore, it is shown that use of regenerated copper sulfate solution does lead to removal efficiencies as expected based on a gas phase mass transfer limited process.

6. Conclusions

The desulfurization of gas streams using aqueous Fe(II)SO₄, ZnSO₄ and CuSO₄ solutions as washing liquor has been studied theoretically and experimentally. A thermodynamic study has been used to determine a theoretical operating window for selective desulfurization, with respect to the pH of the scrubbing solution, in which the metal sulfate solution can react with H₂S, but not with CO₂ in the gas or hydroxide from the solution. The theoretical operating window increases in the order of iron, zinc to copper.

Experimental verification in a lab scale bubble column showed that when a 1 molar Fe(II)SO₄ solution was used as washing liquor the degree of acidity in the bubble column appeared to have a pronounced influence on the desulfurization performance. Equilibrium calculations show that at the experimentally required pH the precipitation of iron carbonates is hard to prevent when CO₂ is also present in the gas that has to be desulfurized. This makes it unlikely that an aqueous Fe(II)SO₄ solution is a suitable absorbent when a selective desulfurization process is required.

The experimental results show that, although the operating window becomes larger, an aqueous ZnSO₄ solution behaves similarly with respect to the absorption of H₂S as an FeSO₄ solution. Equilibrium calculations show that the formation of solid zinc carbonate can be avoided for a typical biogas composition. Therefore an aqueous ZnSO₄ solution shows

some promise for a selective desulfurization process as long as the pH of the solution is regulated within certain boundaries ($2 < \text{pH} < 2.85$ for a typical biogas composition).

A high H₂S conversion could be obtained down to a pH of 1.4 (lowest pH tested) when a 1M CuSO₄ solution was used as absorbent. The experimentally observed H₂S conversion when using a concentration of 1 vol.% H₂S in the inlet stream appeared to be similar to the conversion of H₂S when using a 0.1 M NaOH solution, indicating that in this case the absorption was gas phase mass transfer controlled. Unfortunately during the experiments the copper sulfide precipitate caused foaming problems in the column; the extent of foaming increased with an increasing percentage H₂S in the gas stream entering the column. Addition of an anti-foaming agent reduced these problems to a certain extent. Since thermodynamic calculations show that the formation of solid copper hydroxide is only possible at a pH above 7.70, an acidic or unbuffered CuSO₄ solution is a suitable solvent for the proposed desulfurization process. Selective desulfurization is only possible when the co-precipitation of copper carbonate is avoided. The exact value of the pH at which this precipitation occurs depends on the partial pressure of CO₂ in the contaminated gas stream: at a pH value of 5.2 the theoretical maximum allowable CO₂ partial pressure using a 1 M CuSO₄ solution is 30,000 Pa (30% at 1 bar).

To demonstrate the potential of the desulfurization process additional desulfurization experiments have been carried out on a pilot plant scale using an industrial biogas stream. The pilot plant was a co-current operated packed bed reactor in which fresh and regenerated CuSO₄ solutions were used. The experimental results show that the removal of H₂S from biogas takes place very efficiently and is, at the applied conditions, probably dictated by gas phase mass transfer limitations. No problems with respect to plugging of the random packing by the precipitate were encountered. However, it is likely that for long operation times foaming in the packed column did occur.

From the thermodynamic study and the results obtained from the pilot plant and laboratory scale absorber, it can be concluded that when using a CuSO₄ solution this new desulfurization process is able to remove H₂S from gas streams selectively with respect to CO₂. The observed high conversion of H₂S over a wide operating window makes the further development of a selective desulfurization process based on the use of aqueous CuSO₄, and possibly ZnSO₄ solutions very attractive.

References

- [1] A.L. Kohl, R.B. Nielsen, *Gas Purification*, 5th ed., Gulf Publishing Co., Houston, 1997.
- [2] H. ter Maat, J.A. Hogendoorn, G.F. Versteeg, The removal of hydrogen sulfide from gas streams using an aqueous metal sulfate absorbent. Part II. The regeneration of copper sulfide to copper oxide—an experimental study, *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 43 (2005) 199–213.

⁴ After the experiment was terminated a relatively large amount (7 dm³) of liquid was found in the overflow vessel. The copper concentration of that liquid was found to be 0.20 mol dm⁻³. The copper concentration demonstrates that at least a significant fraction of that liquid must have entered the overflow vessel in the time frame before the decrease in removal efficiency occurred (see Fig. 12). The most likely mechanism that causes the carry-over of the washing liquid to the overflow vessel is foaming. This indicates that prior to the sudden decrease in removal efficiency, at least some degree of foaming took place.

- [3] A. Pauss, H. Naveau, E.-J. Nyns, *Biomass: Regenerable Energy*, Wiley, Chichester, 1987, pp. 273–291.
- [4] W.P. Manning, Chemsweet, a new process for sweetening low value sour gas, *Oil Gas J.* 77 (1979) 42, 122–124.
- [5] F.C. Brown, W.H. Dyer, Status of the EIC process for hydrogen sulfide abatement, *Geotherm. Resour. Council Trans.* 4 (1980) 667–669.
- [6] J.S. Spevack, Process for controlling environmental pollution from steam containing hydrogen sulfide, US Patent 4,202,864 (1980).
- [7] W.W. Harvey, Process for removing hydrogen sulfide and ammonia from gaseous streams, US Patent 4,191,854 (1980).
- [8] H.H. Ehnert, et al., 1973, Verfahren zum entfernen von Schwefelwasserstoff aus diesen enthaltenden Gasen, German Patent 2,304,497 (1973).
- [9] R.R. Broekhuis, D.J. Koch, S. Lynn, A medium temperature process for removal of hydrogen sulfide from sour gas streams with aqueous metal sulfate solutions, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 31 (1992) 2635–2642.
- [10] K.R. Westerterp, W.P.M. van Swaaij, A.A.C.M. Beenackers, *Chemical Reactor Design and Operation*, Wiley, 1984.
- [11] S. Licht, Aqueous solubilities, solubility products and standard oxidation–reduction potentials of the metal sulfides, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* 135 (1988) 2971–2975.
- [12] P.G.T. Fogg, C.L. Young, *IUPAC Solubility Data Series*, vol. 32: Hydrogen Sulfide, Deuterium Sulfide and Hydrogen Selenide, Oxford University Press, 1988.
- [13] P. Scharlin, *IUPAC Solubility Data Series*, vol. 62: Carbon Dioxide in Water and Aqueous Electrolyte Solutions, Oxford University Press, 1996.
- [14] D.B. Perrin, *Ionization Constants of Inorganic Acids and Bases in Aqueous Solution*, 2nd ed., Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1982.
- [15] H.W.J.P. Neomagus, W.P.M. van Swaaij, G.F. Versteeg, The catalytic oxidation of H_2S in a stainless steel membrane reactor with separate feed of reactants, *J. Membr. Sci.* 148 (1998) 147–160.
- [16] P.V. Danckwerts, *Gas–Liquid Reactions*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1970.
- [17] A.E. Nielsen, *Kinetics of Precipitation*, Pergamon Press, 1964.
- [18] O. Söhnel, J. Garside, *Precipitation, Basic Principles and Industrial Applications*, Butterworths/Heinemann Ltd., 1992.
- [19] P. Trambouze, H. van Landeghem, J.P. Wauquier, *Chemical Reactors, Design, Engineering, Operation*, Institut Français du pétrole Publications, 1988.
- [20] K. Onda, H. Takeuchi, Y. Okumoto, Mass transfer coefficients between gas and liquid phases in packed columns, *J. Chem. Eng. Jpn.* 1 (1968) 56.
- [21] D.R. Lide, *Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, 76th ed., CRC Press, New York, 1995.