Shape representation and recognition through morphological curvature scale spaces
Jalba, Andrei C.; Wilkinson, Michael H.F.; Roerdink, Jos B.T.M.

Published in:
IEEE transactions on image processing

DOI:
10.1109/TIP.2005.860606

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2006

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

Copyright
Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.
Shape representation and recognition through morphological curvature scale spaces

Andrei C. Jalba, Michael H.F. Wilkinson, Member, IEEE, and Jos B.T.M. Roerdink Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract—A multi-scale, morphological method for the purpose of shape-based object recognition is presented. A connected operator similar to the morphological hat-transform is defined, and two scale-space representations are built, using the curvature function as the underlying 1-D signal. Each peak and valley of the curvature is extracted and described by its maximum and average heights and by its extent, and represents an entry in the top or bottom hat-transform scale spaces. We demonstrate object recognition based on hat-transform scale spaces for three large data sets, a set of diatom contours, the set of silhouettes from the MPEG-7 database and the set of 2-D views of 3-D objects from the COIL-20 database. Our approach outperforms other methods for which comparative results exist.

Index Terms—Mathematical morphology, curvature, scale space, top and bottom hat transforms, connected operators, pattern classification, shape retrieval.

I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, a general-purpose technique based on multi-scale mathematical morphology for object recognition is presented. The aim is to build multi-scale descriptions of objects using shape information and to extract a concise set of attributes that can be used for recognition.

Shape representation is a well-researched domain which plays an important role in many applications ranging from image analysis and pattern recognition, to computer graphics and computer animation, and therefore many methods for shape representation do exist in the literature. As our proposed method is multiscale, we restrict our overview of shape representation and analysis methods only to similar techniques. Multiscale techniques for signal and image analysis are motivated by studies in psychophysics which showed that the human visual system processes and analyzes image information at different resolutions. Witkin [1] proposed a scale-space filtering approach useful to determine the locations of the zero crossings or extrema of a signal. Fermüller and Kropatsch [2] presented a multi-resolution descriptor of planar curves using corners with a hierarchical structure. Saund proposed a scale space of edge elements constructed directly from simple edge fragments [3]. A similar approach based on the aggregation of primitive elements (points and edge fragments) was presented by Lowe [4]. Bajcsy and Kovacic [5] proposed a multi-resolution elastic matching method that postulates that one of the two objects was made of elastic material and the other served as reference. Under the influence of an external force, the shape of the elastic object deforms to match the reference object over a range of scales.

The curvature of a curve has salient perceptual characteristics [6], [7] and has proven to be useful for shape recognition [8–12]. Asada and Brandy have developed the “curvature primal sketch” descriptor [13], a multiscale structure based on the extraction of changes in curvature. From curvature features, a description of the contour in terms of structural primitives (e.g. ends, cranks, etc.) is constructed. Mokhtarian and Mackworth [9], [10] showed that curvature inflection points extracted using a Gaussian scale space can be used to recognize curved objects. One difficulty with this approach is that curves without inflection points fall into the same equivalence class. Dudek and Tsotsos [11] presented a technique for shape representation and recognition of objects based on multi-scale curvature information. Their method provides a single framework for both the decomposition and recognition of both planar curves as well as surfaces in 3-D space.

With the advent of wavelet transforms, several approaches to the representation and analysis of planar curves using this tool have been introduced. Chuang and Jay Kuo [14] have used orthogonal and biorthogonal wavelet expansions for multi-scale representation of curves and studied its properties in the wavelet representation. Yuping and Toraichi [12] presented a curvature-based multi-scale shape representation using B-spline wavelets and investigated the properties and behaviour of evolving curves in B-spline scale spaces.

The basic idea of multi-scale representations is to embed the original signal into a stack of gradually smoothed signals, in which the fine scale details are successively suppressed. The assumption of this approach is the causality of the features (inflection points or signal extrema), i.e. their reproducible and monotonic behaviour in scale space, which was found to depend on the scale-space filter [15]. This elegant idea and the mandatory causality of scale-space features was initially developed for 1-D signals, and it was proved that the Gaussian, ensuring causality of inflection points, is the only linear kernel that can be used [16]. However, for 2-D signals the inflection points form closed contours that can split and evolve independently as the scale increases [16]. In contrast to inflection points, regional extrema have the advantage that they represent single points or plateaux rather than contours, for 2-D signals. Since there exists no linear filter that guarantees causality of extrema in images [17], morphological filters ensuring extrema causality have been proposed [18].

Several techniques for morphological multi-scale shape analysis exist, such as size distributions or granulometries, which are used to quantify the amount of detail in an image at different scales [19], [20]. A similar method, based on alternating sequential filters, has been proposed by Bangham and coworkers [21], [22]. Their method is used on 1-D signals,
though they do discuss extensions to higher dimensions. Kimia [23] developed a method for curvature decomposition based on erosion-diffusion scale spaces. Jang and Chin [24] described a multiple-scale boundary representation based on morphological openings and closings using a structuring element of increasing size. Smooth boundary segments across a continuum of scales are extracted and linked together creating a pattern called the morphological scale space, whose properties are investigated and contrasted with those of Gaussian scale spaces. Chen and Yan [25] have used a scaled disk for the morphological opening of objects in binary images resulting in a theorem for zero-crossings of object boundary curvature. Park and Lee [26] have generalized the concept of zero-crossing for 1-D gray-scale signals. Meyer and Maragos [27] developed a morphological scale-space representation based on a morphological strong filter, the so-called levelings. Jackway and Deriche [18] proposed a multi-scale morphological dilation-erosion smoothing operation and analyzed its associated scale-space expansion for multidimensional signals. They showed that scale-space fingerprints from their approach have advantages over Gaussian scale-space fingerprints in that they are defined for negative values of the scale parameter, have monotonic properties in two and higher dimensions, do not cause features to be shifted by the smoothing, and allow efficient computation. As an application, they demonstrated that reduced multi-scale dilation-erosion fingerprints can be used for surface matching.

At the heart of our scale-space method is a different multi-scale approach to the analysis of 1-D signals, motivated by the work of Leymarie and Levine [28]. They developed a morphological curvature scale space for shape analysis, based on sequences of morphological top-hat or bottom-hat filters with increasing size of the structuring element used. The main difference between our approach and the initial technique of Leymarie and Levine [28] is that our method allows for nested structures (i.e. peaks and valleys of the curvature) whereas their method does not. Allowing for nested structures is important because small structures nested within a larger one can be extracted and represented at some levels in the scale space. In addition, in the 1-D case we do not split the curvature in convex and concave parts, but we construct top and bottom hat scale spaces, based on grey-scale inversion. A problem not addressed by Leymarie and Levine is that of extracting the most important features from the scale space. Our primary contribution in this work is a reformulation of the scale spaces in terms of connected operators [29], leading to a very useful technique for n-dimensional shape recognition. Shapes are represented by closed contours from which sets of points are sampled. Using a spline representation, the curvature is computed at each interpolated point, and two 1-D morphological hat-transform scale spaces [30] are built, using the curvature function as the underlying 1-D signal. For every scale, each peak and valley of the curvature signal is extracted and described by its maximum and average heights and by its extent, and represents an entry in the top or bottom hat scale spaces. The shape descriptor is a vector of numbers computed using the information stored in the scale spaces. Since the shape descriptors do not explicitly use any information regarding positions along the contours, finding correspondences between two shapes is simply equivalent to computing some distance measure between the two shape vectors. Also, for classification techniques which require definition of a pattern space, the pattern vectors are simply given by the shape descriptors.

We demonstrate shape-based object recognition, based on 1-D hat-transform scale spaces, in a wide variety of settings: recognition of diatoms, recognition using silhouettes from the MPEG-7 database and 3-D object recognition based on 2-D views. Our approach turns out to outperform other methods for which comparative data exist.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section II we present the morphological curvature scale spaces. First, we discuss some problems inherent to curvature-based recognition and present approaches to circumvent them. Then, we present the morphological hat-transform scale spaces on which the curvature scale spaces rely. Also, we demonstrate causality and monotonicity of the extrema in the scale spaces, which are important characteristics for any scale-space formulation. In Section III we present the descriptors extracted from the scale-spaces, and in Section IV we report identification and shape retrieval results obtained for all three databases mentioned above. We draw conclusions in Section V.

II. MORPHOLOGICAL CURVATURE SCALE SPACES

A. Curvature definition

Let $\Gamma$ be a smooth planar curve $\Gamma(t) = (x(t), y(t))$ with parameter $0 \leq t \leq b$. It can be shown [31] that the curvature of curve $\Gamma(t)$, not restricted to the normalized arc-length parameter, is given by

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{\dot{x}(t)\ddot{y}(t) - \dot{y}(t)\ddot{x}(t)}{(\dot{x}(t)^2 + \dot{y}(t)^2)^{3/2}}. \quad (1)$$

If $t$ is the normalized arc-length parameter $s$, then (1) can be written as

$$\kappa(s) = \dot{x}(s)\ddot{y}(s) - \dot{y}(s)\ddot{x}(s). \quad (2)$$

As given in (1), the curvature function is computed only from parametric derivatives and therefore it is invariant under rotations and translations. However, the curvature measure is scale dependent, i.e., inversely proportional to the scale. A possible way to achieve scale independence is to normalize this measure by the mean absolute curvature, i.e.,

$$\kappa'(t) \leftarrow \frac{\kappa(t)}{\int_0^b |\kappa(t)| \, dt}. \quad (3)$$

When the size of the curve is an important discriminative feature, the curvature should be used without the normalization in (3); otherwise, for the purpose of scale-invariant shape analysis, the normalization should be performed.

B. Computation of the curvature function

Despite its simple definition, computing curvature measures useful for recognition is not straightforward. The first problem is that curvature is a purely local attribute, the estimation of
which is prone to noise. Secondly, spatially sampled curves are represented by a set of isolated points which are in fact a set of singularities. Thus, some regularizing pre-processing, such as smoothed interpolation, is needed before curvature can be estimated. In order to circumvent these problems some techniques have been proposed based on: alternative measures (c-curvature) [32], numerical differentiation and interpolation [12], [33], and convolution with differential Gaussian kernels [10]. In this paper we use a different approach to estimating curvature, based on bi-cubic spline interpolation. Among many interpolation techniques, cubic splines provide $C^2$ regularity in each point of the curve. Moreover, splines have been shown to be efficient approaches to interpolate curves [34], and appear to represent the best trade-off between accuracy and computational cost.

Let $\Gamma$ be a smooth planar curve on $[0, b]$, with sample points \( \{p_i = \Gamma(t_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \} \) obtained by sampling the curve at \( t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n \), with \( t_1 = 0 \) and \( t_n = b \). The interpolation problem is to fit cubic polynomials $S_i(t)$ on each interval \( [t_i, t_{i+1}] \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1 \). Using continuity requirements of the first derivatives of the splines and two further continuity conditions at the endpoints, one obtains a tridiagonal system of linear equations, which can be solved in linear time. The process of computing the spline coefficients is applied for each component of the vector.

The choice of the knot sequence \( (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n) \) greatly influences the shape of each spline segment. Close-distanced interpolating knots not only reduce the energy of the resulting curve, but also avoid the occurrence of oscillations and loops [34]. Among many parameterization methods investigated in [31], the arc-length parameterization seems to achieve the best equal space effect, because the use of arc length as parameter attains constant speed of motion along the curve [31], [35]. The simplest approximation to the arc-length parameterization is the so-called chord length parameterization, in which the domain is subdivided according to the distribution of the chord lengths. However, according to results obtained by Lee in [36], a more appropriate way to define the parametric knots for the curve would be to use the centripetal parameterization. This parameterization reduces the energy of the resulting curve and avoids (to some extent) the occurrence of oscillations and loops. Although in the centripetal method the knots will in general be non-uniformly spaced with respect to arc length, it is possible to derive an approximation to the arc-length parameterization as follows.

Let $P = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i$ be the perimeter of the curve and $L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{d_i}$, where $d_i$ is the length of the chord between points $p_i$ and $p_{i+1}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. An approximate arc-length parameterization based on the centripetal method is given by the following relations

\[
\begin{align*}
s_1 &= 0 \\
s_k &= s_{k-1} + \frac{P \sqrt{d_{k-1}}}{L}, \quad k = 2, 3, \ldots, n.
\end{align*}
\]

To summarize, the curvature function given in (2) is computed as follows. A number of $n$ points are sampled equidistantly from the initial curve, resulting in a new sampled curve. This curve is regularized (i.e. smoothed with a Gaussian kernel) and fitted using bi-cubic splines. We use relations (4) to generate the knot sequence \( (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n) \), and compute the curvature function $\kappa(t)$ at each interpolated point, using spline derivatives. Finally, a curvature function $\kappa_c(t)$ invariant with respect to rotations, translations and scaling transforms is computed using relation (3).

C. From curvature to scale-space features

In this section we present the morphological curvature scale spaces, and demonstrate causality of the extrema in the scale spaces. We also briefly describe the features extracted from the scale spaces, and study the behaviour of the scale-space representations under noise conditions.

1) Morphological curvature scale spaces: We begin with the definition of the hat-transform scale spaces [30], but unlike in [30], here we shall formulate the hat scale spaces in terms of the max-tree data structure [37]. The curvature scale spaces are particular 1-D versions of the hat-transform scale spaces, when the underlying 1-D signal is the curvature function.

Let $E$ be an arbitrary nonempty set of vertices, and denote by $P(E)$ the collection of subsets of $E$. Also, let $G = (E, \Gamma)$ be an undirected graph, where $\Gamma$ is a mapping from $E$ to $P(E)$ which associates to each point $x \in E$ the set $\Gamma(x)$ of points adjacent to $x$. It is common in signal processing to assume that $E$ is a regular grid, i.e. $E \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ (\( n = 1, 2 \)), and $\Gamma$ corresponds to 2-adjacency when $n = 1$, or to 4-adjacency or 8-adjacency when $n = 2$. In what follows we assume $E \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$, $n = 1, 2$.

A path $\pi$ in a graph $G = (E, \Gamma)$ from point $x_0$ to point $x_n$ is a sequence $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ of points of $E$ such that $(x_i, x_{i+1})$ are adjacent for all $i \in [0, n]$. Let $X \subseteq E$ be a subset of $E$. A set $X$ is connected when for each pair $(x_o, x_n)$ of points in $X$ there exists a path of points in $X$ that joins $x_0$ and $x_n$. A connected component of $X$ is a connected subset $C(X)$ of $X$ which is maximal. A flat zone $L_h$ at level $h$ of a grey-scale signal $f$ is a connected component $C(X_h(f))$ of the level set $X_h(f) = \{ p \in E \mid f(p) = h \}$. A regional maximum $M_h$ at level $h$ is a flat zone which has only strictly lower neighbours. A peak component $P_h$ at level $h$ is a connected component of the threshold set $T_h(f) = \{ p \in E \mid f(p) \geq h \}$. A connected opening $\Gamma_x(X)$ extracts the connected component of $X$ to which $x$ belongs, if $x \in X$, and equals $\emptyset$ otherwise. Given a set $A$ (the mask), the geodesic distance $d_A(p,q)$ between two pixels $p$ and $q$ is the length of the shortest path joining $p$ and $q$ which is included in $A$. The geodesic distance between a point $p \in A$ and a set $D \subseteq A$ is defined as $d_A(p,D) = \min_{d \in D} d_A(p,d)$.

A max-tree is a rooted tree, in which each of the nodes $C_h$ at grey-level $h$ corresponds to a peak component $P_h$. However,
C_h^k contains only those pixels in P_h^k which have grey level h. In other words, it is the union of all flat zones L_h^i ≤ P_h^k. An example of a 1-D signal, its peak components and its max-tree are shown in Fig. 1.

Inspired by the work of Leymarie and Levine [28], we wish to split the curvature profile at points where the width of a feature suddenly changes. This can be formalized in terms of maximal distances of pixels of a max-tree node from its nearest child pixel. If this distance is larger than some threshold λ we consider this an abrupt change. Let f be a grey-scale image with an associated max-tree as in Fig. 1. Let P_h^k be a peak component at level h which has N_c peak components P_h^{k+} ⊆ P_h^k at level h^+, which is the smallest grey level greater than h, with i from some index set I_h^k. The associated max-tree nodes are of course C_h^k and C_h^{i+}, respectively. Consider the attribute A(P_h^k) defined as

\[
A(P_h^k) = \begin{cases} \max_{x \in I_h^k} \left( \max_{x \in P_h^k} d(x, P_h^{k+}) \right) & \text{if } N_c > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
\]

where \(d(x, P_h^{k+})\) denotes a distance measure from a pixel x to set \(P_h^{k+}\). This distance might be the Euclidean or geodesic distance within \(P_h^k\) from x to the nearest member of \(P_h^{k+}\). The filter criterion T which preserves nodes in the path from leaf to root where abrupt changes take place is

\[
T(P_h^k) = (A(P_h^k) > \lambda).
\]

It is easy to see that this criterion is not increasing, so any max-tree filter \(\gamma_h^Q\) using it is an attribute thinning rather than an opening. The max filter rule for attribute thinnings proposed by Breen and Jones [19] will now allow us to remove branches of the max-tree at those points where the width suddenly changes. The max rule works by descending from each leaf of the max-tree, removing nodes until a node is found for which the criterion T is true. Any node between this node and the root is unaffected. A problem with the formulation of this filtering rule is that it is cast in terms of an algorithm. More formally, we can define a new criterion Q which takes the max-rule into account

\[
Q(P_h^k) = (A(P_h^k) > \lambda) \lor 
\left( \exists x \in P_h^k, \exists h' > h : A(\Gamma_x(T_h^e(f))) > \lambda. \right)
\]

Note that \(\Gamma_x(T_h^e(f))\) extracts a peak component \(P_{h'}^k \subseteq P_h^k\). In other words, peak component \(P_h^k\) is preserved if it meets criterion T or if any peak component \(P_{h'}^k \subseteq P_h^k\) with \(h' > h\) meets T. An example of filtering a max tree, using criterium Q according to the max rule is given in Fig. 2.

Attribute thinning \(\gamma_h^Q\) can now be defined as

\[
\gamma_h^Q(f)(x) = \max \{ h : Q(\Gamma_x(T_h^e(f))) \}. \tag{8}
\]

Unlike most attribute thinnings, \(\gamma_h^Q\) is not idempotent. It can be seen from (5) that all regional maxima will be removed for any positive \(\lambda\) because A is zero for these peak components. However, any component for which Q is true in image f will have at least one nested peak component at \(h^+\). Let \(P_h^k\) be such a component. There are two situations: (i) Q(\(P_{h'}^k\)) is false for all \(i \in I_h^k\), or (ii) Q(\(P_{h'}^k\)) is true for at least one \(i \in I_h^k\). In the first case, node \(P_h^k\) in the filtered image \(\gamma_h^Q(f)\) will be a regional maximum, and therefore be removed by a second application of \(\gamma_h^Q\). In the second case, it can easily be verified that there must exist a \(P_{h'}^k \subseteq P_{h'}^k, h' > h\), for which Q is true and for which the first case holds, because all regional maxima have \(A(P_{h'}^k) = 0\). Therefore, unless there are no nodes in the max-tree of f for which Q is true, \(\gamma_h^Q(\gamma_h^Q(f)) \neq \gamma_h^Q(f)\).

Then, letting d in (5) be the geodesic distance within \(P_h^k\) (i.e., \(d(x, P_{h'}^k) = d_{P_h^k}(x, P_{h'}^k)\)), and setting \(\lambda = 1\) in (7), the top-hat scale space [30] of a grey-scale image f is given by the sequence \((\tau_0, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_S)\) defined by the iteration

\[
f_{s+1} = \gamma_h^Q(f_s), \quad \tau_s = f_s - f_{s+1}, \tag{9}
\]

with \(f_0 = f\) and \(s \geq 0\).

Eq. (9) is iterated until \(f_S = f_{\text{min}}\) for all pixels, where \(f_{\text{min}}\) is the minimum value of f. Using the grey-scale inversion \(f \leftrightarrow -f\), a bottom-hat scale space can be formulated. Note that this formulation differs from that in [30]; however, it can be shown to be equivalent.
The curvature scale spaces are obtained by iterating relations (9) on the curvature function \( f = \kappa' \) and on its grey-scale inversion \( \kappa' \leftrightarrow -\kappa' \). An example is shown in Fig. 3. Then, each extracted residual (i.e. peak of the curvature, represented in the top-hat scale space, and valley represented in the bottom-hat scale space) is described by its extremal curvature, mean curvature, extent and location.

The scale spaces can be visualized by “reconstructing” the original signal in the following manner. We start with a constant signal \( f = 0 \). Then, we stack the boxes corresponding to the nested features (peaks) on top of one another, i.e. we accumulate their maximum (or average) values in \( f \) at appropriate positions (given by the extents of the peaks) along the \( x \) axis; this has been done in Fig. 4.

The current method is sensitive to differences in the relative locations of the curvature features. This means that, for example, an elongated rectangle and a square are distinguishable, because the widths of the major valleys in the curvature correspond to the distances between major peaks, so it is possible to discriminate between these.

2) Causality and monotonicity of the extrema in the hat-transform scale spaces: An important characteristic of scale-space theory, in contrast to other multi-scale approaches, is the property that a signal feature present at some scale must be present all the way through scale-space to zero-scale (i.e. original signal) [18]. This is often called the causality principle and ensures that no new spurious features are created due to the filter. The stronger property of monotonicity requires that the number of features must decrease with increasing scale.

There are several morphological scale-space representations which have been shown to obey the causality principle. Jackway and Deriche [18] proved that their dilation-erosion scale spaces have monotonic properties in two and higher dimensions, and do not cause features to be shifted by the smoothing. Extensive analysis of scale-space properties satisfied by n-dimensional sieves is given in [38].

It can be shown that the top-hat scale spaces (9) constitute a special case of levelings [27], [39], a general nonlinear scale-space representation which satisfies the causality principle. However, since no complete proof was given in [27], [39], we will briefly analyze the behaviour of the extrema in the hat-transform scale space and prove that our scale-space representations fulfill these principles.

Let \( P_s \) be the set of all peak components, and \( M_s \) the set of all regional maxima of \( f_s \), as defined in (9). Furthermore the set \( R_s \) is defined as

\[
R_s = \{ P^k_h \in P_s \mid \neg Q(P^k_h) \}.
\]

Obiously \( M_s \subseteq R_s \), and \( P_{s+1} = P_s \setminus R_s \). Finally, we define a set \( Q_s \) as

\[
Q_s = \{ P^k_h \in P_s \setminus R_s \mid \forall i \in I^*_h : P^i_{h+} \in R_s \}.
\]

In other words, \( Q_s \) is the set of peak components \( P^k_h \) for which \( Q \) holds, but which have no \( P^i_{h+} \subseteq P^k_h \) for which \( Q \) holds. It can be seen that

\[
Q_s = M_{s+1}.
\]

Each feature extracted from \( f_s \) by \( \gamma^Q \) consists of precisely one member of \( M_s \), and possibly a set of members of \( R_s \setminus M_s \). For example, in the leftmost max-tree in Fig. 2 the maximum associated with \( C^0_p \) also contains non-maximum node \( C^0_h \). Unless \( P_s \setminus R_s = \emptyset \), a feature associated with regional maximum \( M \in M_s \) is associated with exactly one component \( P^k_h \in P_s \setminus R_s \), which is the peak component at the highest grey level for which \( M \subseteq P^k_h \) and \( Q(P^k_h) \) holds. Multiple maxima may be associated with any \( P^k_h \), and \( P^k_h \) need not be a member of \( Q_s \). In Fig. 2, \( C^0_p \) is associated with two maxima: \( C^0_h \) and \( C^1_h \). Furthermore, the peak component \( P^0_i \) represented by \( C^0_i \) in Fig. 2 is associated with maximum \( C^0_i \), but \( P^0_i \notin Q_h \). Should \( P^0_h \notin Q_h \), then there exists a component at a coarser scale than \( s \) which is nested within it. For these reasons, \(#(Q_s) = #(M_{s+1}) \leq #(M_s)\), with \# denoting cardinality. Furthermore, if \( P_s \neq \emptyset \) there must be at least one
regional maximum, so $(\mathcal{P}_{s+1}) < (\mathcal{P}_s)$. If $(\mathcal{P}_s) > 1$ we have $(\mathcal{M}_s) \leq (\mathcal{P}_s - 1)$. This means that because the total number of peak components decreases strictly, the number of maxima must decrease for sufficiently large $s$, proving monotonicity. Furthermore any new maximum $M \in \mathcal{M}_{s+1}$ of $f_{s+1}$ is identical to a peak component $P \in \mathcal{Q}_s$ of $f_s$. Therefore, no new maxima are introduced, the number of maxima decreases, and there is an explicit nesting relationship between features at small and large scales in the scale space. Thus, the localization of the contours is preserved, and the causality principle is verified: coarser scales can only be caused by what happened at finer scales.

Hence, the reduction of connected components for descending grey levels, by filtering the initial signal according to relations (9) ensures causality. This means that the location and shape of regional maxima and flat zones are preserved all the way through scale-space to zero-scale. By duality, a similar result can be formulated, regarding local minima in the bottom-hat scale space.

3) Coping with noise: We carried out an experiment to test the stability of the curvature scale spaces under noise conditions. Fig. 4 shows the initial contour, its curvature function, and the reconstructed curvature using information extracted from the top scale space (maximum heights). The computations were made using $n = 150$ sampled points (marked with ‘x’ in the contour graph), and Gaussian smoothing of the sampled contour with $\sigma = 3.0$. Fig. 5 shows the same contour affected by significant amounts of uniform, random noise, added to it. The noisy contours were obtained by randomly translating the coordinates of each point in the intervals $[-5; 5]$, and $[-20; 20]$, respectively. As expected, the scale-space signals show differences in detail, cf. Fig. 5. However, remarkable similarities of the structures present in these graphs can be observed. The same behaviour is exhibited by the features extracted from the bottom-hat scale spaces (results not shown). This experiment shows that the curvature scale spaces are reliable and stable even when large amounts of noise corrupt the shape of the original curve.

III. SCALE-SPACE DESCRIPTORS

This section is devoted to the extraction of pattern vectors, based on the information stored in the scale spaces, and to the computation of a dissimilarity measure between two shapes, useful for shape matching.

A. Extraction of pattern vectors

In principle, knowledge of the curvature function is sufficient to determine a planar curve, up to a rigid transform. However, if a curve underwent non-rigid transforms and/or is affected by a large degree of noise, its curvature function would be affected accordingly. Although the very purpose of a scale-space representation is to gain robustness with respect to such factors, special care must be taken to address these problems. Since for regularization purposes, the input curves are smoothed with Gaussian kernels, selecting a suitable width $\sigma$ for each curve is by no means trivial. To tackle this problem, a simple yet not very efficient solution is to smooth each curve successively by Gaussian kernels of increasing widths (i.e. $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < \cdots < \sigma_I$), and to build hat scale spaces at each iteration $i = 1, 2, \ldots, I$. The final pattern vector is then constructed by concatenating the pattern vectors obtained at each iteration, from top and bottom scale spaces. Since the curvature function becomes smoother when the width of the Gaussian kernel increases, decreasing lengths of the pattern vectors extracted from the scale spaces are used.

Let $N_i(\sigma_i)$ be the length of the pattern vector extracted at scale $\sigma_i$ from the top scale space, and $N_b(\sigma_i)$ be the length of the pattern vector extracted from the bottom scale space. In order to further shorten the final pattern vector, we choose $N_i$ and $N_b$ such that $N_i(\sigma_i) \geq N_b(\sigma_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, I$.

Since curvature is a local attribute, additional global shape parameters are also computed at each iteration; we included two global curvature-related descriptors and two global shape descriptors. The first global curvature descriptor is the bending energy, defined as the sum of the squared curvatures along the contour. The second global curvature descriptor is defined as the number of scale space entries from both top and bottom.
scale spaces having average curvatures above a threshold $t_{\sigma}$. This descriptor is similar to the curvature scalar descriptor, which is defined as the number of contour points where the boundary changes significantly, divided by the total length of the contour. The two global shape descriptors are eccentricity and elongation [40]. Note that similar global descriptors were also used in [9], [41] and in the MPEG-7 standard [42] to supplement the Curvature Scale Space (CSS) descriptors.

Finally, the pattern vector extracted at iteration $i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, I$ is given by

$$V_i = (h_{t,i,1}, h_{t,i,2}, \ldots, h_{t,i,N_t(\sigma_i)}),$$

$$h_{b,i,1}, h_{b,i,2}, \ldots, h_{b,i,N_b(\sigma_i)}, ecc_i, elg_i, \sqrt{be_i}, csd_i),$$

(13)

where $ecc_i$, $elg_i$, $be_i$, $csd_i$, are eccentricity, elongation, bending energy, and curvature scalar descriptor, respectively. The values $h_{t,i,j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, N_t(\sigma_i)$, represent the $N_t(\sigma_i)$ features with the largest maximum curvature extracted from the top scale space, at iteration $i$. Similarly, $h_{b,i,j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, N_b(\sigma_i)$, represent the $N_b(\sigma_i)$ features with the largest maximum curvature extracted from the bottom scale space, at iteration $i$.

### B. A measure of dissimilarity between shapes

Let $V$ be the final pattern vector representing the reference shape $S$, and $V'$ be the final pattern vector corresponding to a test shape $S'$, obtained by concatenating the corresponding vectors $V_i$ and $V'_i$, $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, I$, as defined in (13); here $s$ is the maximum scale index, as defined in subsection III-A. As is common in content-based retrieval literature, we define a measure of dissimilarity $d_{SS'}$ between shapes $S$ and $S'$ as the following weighted $L_1$ distance

$$d_{SS'} = w_1 \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N_t} |h_{t,j} - h'_{t,j}| + \sum_{k=1}^{N_b} |h_{b,k} - h'_{b,k}| \right)$$

$$+ w_2 \sum_{l=1}^{s} |ecc_l - ecc'_l| + |elg_l - elg'_l|$$

$$+ w_3 \sum_{m=1}^{s} (|be_m - be'_m| + |csd_m - csd'_m|),$$

(14)

where $N_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} N_t(\sigma_i)$, $N_b = \sum_{i=1}^{N_b} N_b(\sigma_i)$, and $w_1$, $w_2$, $w_3$ are weights. The "prime" symbol indicates features corresponding to the test shape $S'$.

### IV. CASE STUDIES

To test the reliability of the proposed method for shape-based object recognition, we used three data sets, and for each of them we performed two types of recognition experiments: shape retrieval and shape identification. A content-based (or query by example) retrieval system contains a database of objects (e.g. images, shapes), and responds to a query object presented by the user with ranked similar objects. Usually these systems do not use a training stage and compute similarity between objects based on some distance measure. Contrary to content-based retrieval, in supervised shape identification a classification function is learned from, or fitted to, training data, and then the classifier is tested on unseen (test) data.

For shape retrieval, the performance was measured using the so-called "bulls-eye test", in which each shape contour is used as query and one counts the number of correct hits in the top $2 \times K$ matches, where $K$ is the number of prototypes per class. In our shape identification experiments we have used the C4.5 algorithm [43] for constructing decision trees, with bagging [44] as a method of improving the accuracy of the classifier. The performance was evaluated using the holdout [45] method. We compare the results obtained using our method with those obtained using Fourier descriptors (FD) [46], wavelet descriptors (WD) [14], and the CSS descriptors developed for the MPEG-7 standard (CSSD) [42]. In cases for which there are published results, other than those obtained with the above methods, we will also refer to them.

### A. Pre-processing and settings of parameters

For each technique, parameter values have to be determined that would give the best results for each data set. This involves an iterative process of initially guessing suitable parameter values, evaluating the results, and then refining the values. Since this is a time-consuming procedure, two points should be made: (i) the values used are not the result of an exhaustive search of the parameter space, because such a search would be impractical, requiring a very long time, and (ii) the parameter values were adjusted in an attempt to give best average performance, across all data sets. Although the values may not be optimal (as a consequence of the first observation), they produced the best classification performances in our experiments.

The parameters of the morphological scale spaces were set as follows. Each input curve is sampled at an equal number of points, such that the resulting curve has $n = 150$ points. This curve is regularized by smoothing with Gaussian kernels of increasing width. We selected $I = 4$ values for the smoothing parameter $\sigma_i : 3.0, 6.0, 10.0, 16.0$, in an attempt to cover a large interval of smoothing degrees. The numbers of features extracted from both the top scale space and the bottom hat scale space were set to $N_t(\sigma_i) : 10, 10, 5, 5$, and $N_b(\sigma_i) : 5, 5, 5, 5$, respectively. Finally, the weights were set to $w_1 = 2.0$, $w_2 = 0.5$ and $w_3 = 3.0$, and the threshold parameter was $t_k = 0.1$.

The parameters of the other methods were set according to [41], [42] for the CSS method, [14] for the WD, and [47] for the FD.
class (taxon) has at least 37 retrieval results on a large set of diatom images, which consists of 37 different taxa, comprising a total of 781 images. Each class (taxon) has at least 20 representatives.

Diatoms are microscopic, single-celled algae, which show highly ornate silica shells or frustules. Some examples of diatom images are shown in Fig. 6. Each image represents a single shell of a diatom, and each diatom image is accompanied by the outline of its view (see Fig. 6). For additional details on diatoms, segmentation of diatom images, or other identification results than those presented here, we refer to [48], which contains the results of the Automatic Diatom Identification and Classification (ADIAC) project, aimed at automating the process of diatom identification by digital image analysis.

With the experimental setup given in section IV-A and the identification technique briefly described at the beginning of section IV, the identification performances obtained by all methods for each data set are given in Table I. Similarly, retrieval performances obtained using the so-called “bulls-eye test” are given in Table II. Table I shows identification performances using the C4.5 decision tree classifier with bagging. The column ‘$\bar{x}$’ contains the average number of errors; the column ‘$\sigma$’ contains the standard deviation of the number of errors; the columns ‘min’ and ‘max’ contain the minimum and maximum number of errors, respectively; the column ‘performance’ contains the percentage (average with standard deviation) of samples identified correctly. In both tables, MCSSD stands for the morphological curvature scale space descriptor from section III-A, CSSD represents the curvature scale space descriptor, Fourier descriptors are denoted by FD, and the wavelet descriptors are denoted by WD.

The performances obtained using MCSSD were at least 8% larger than the others, while the identification performance for this data set is the best result obtained during the ADIAC project [47]. Fourier and wavelet descriptors performed well, resulting in identification performances close to 80%, and retrieval performances of almost 75%. The poor performances obtained using the CSSD (based on inflection points) can be explained by the fact that most diatoms in this data set exhibit convex shapes and there are no inflection points on the contour of a convex object. Since the MCSS method extracts information about both convexities and concavities, our method is not upset by convex shapes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set</th>
<th>Descriptors</th>
<th>$\bar{x}$</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>min</th>
<th>max</th>
<th>performance (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diatoms</td>
<td>MCSSD</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>91.3 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CSSD</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>75.4 ± 9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FD</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>79.6 ± 7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WD</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>79.0 ± 10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPEG-7</td>
<td>MCSSD</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>91.9 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CSSD</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>85.2 ± 9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FD</td>
<td>114.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>67.2 ± 11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WD</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>71.8 ± 12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COIL</td>
<td>MCSSD</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>98.0 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CSSD</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>89.1 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FD</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>83.4 ± 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WD</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>87.7 ± 6.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE II**

SHAPE RETRIEVAL PERFORMANCES (%) FOR THE DIATOMS DATA SET (DIATOMS), MPEG-7 SILHOUETTE DATABASE (MPEG-7) AND COIL-20 (COIL) DATABASE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set</th>
<th>MCSSD</th>
<th>CSSD</th>
<th>FD</th>
<th>WD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diatoms</td>
<td>82.2</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>74.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPEG-7</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>58.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COIL</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>64.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C. Recognition using silhouettes. The MPEG-7 database**

Our next experiment was performed using the MPEG-7 shape silhouette data set, a database of 1400 objects used in the MPEG-7 Core Experiment CE-Shape-1 part B [48]. This database consists of 70 shape categories, with 20 objects per category.

Using the same setup as in the first experiment, identification performances for this data set are shown in Table I, and retrieval performances are given in Table II. For this data set both methods based on curvature information performed much better than Fourier and wavelet descriptors. However, the MCSS method outperformed the CSS technique, yielding performances which are 5% larger than those of the latter.

Other published retrieval results for this data set, using the same methodology, do exist and range from 75.44% to 78.38% [41], [48–51].

A possible reason for achieving improved results is that our descriptor is invariant with respect to reflections, whereas shape contexts [51] and CSS descriptors [41] are not. This reflection invariance also holds for the method in [49], which has a performance of 78.38%, but this method requires substantially more computation time than ours. Also, as shown in [48] there are cases in which shapes perceived as conceptually different have the same positions of the maxima of the CSS image, and hence the CSS method fails.

**D. 3-D object recognition based on 2-D views**

This experiment involved recognition of objects based on their 2-D appearances. We used the COIL-20 database [52]. Each of the 20 objects from this database is represented by 72 2-D views, corresponding to successive rotations of the object over an angle of 5°.

Closed, outside contours were extracted using the Canny edge detector, followed by a contour-tracing algorithm [40]. Otherwise, we have used the same experimental setup as with the other experiments.

For this data set, the number of prototypes can be reduced because some of the views of the objects have approximately...
the same appearance. Belongie et al. [51] report 2.4% error rate using only four 2-D views for each object (i.e. 80 prototypes). They used a modified k-means clustering algorithm for adaptively selecting (and therefore reducing) prototypes, and a nearest-neighbour classifier. Instead of using more advanced clustering algorithms in order to further reduce the number of prototypes, we use the same experimental setup as in our previous experiments, and report the identification and retrieval performances shown in Tables I and II, respectively.

Once again the performances obtained using MCSSD were at least 8% larger than the others. For this data set, the CSS method outperformed the Fourier and wavelet methods.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have proposed a multi-scale method for object recognition, based on contour information. The method is based on two morphological scale-space representations, the hat-transform scale spaces, which showed successful applicability for shape-based recognition. These representations of the curvature signal result in a novel representation, the morphological curvature scale spaces.

We demonstrated causality of the extrema in the scale spaces, an essential characteristic to any scale-space formulation. Besides this theoretical result, we have shown the relevance of these representations to object recognition and illustrated their usage for identification and shape retrieval. We evaluated the performance of the method in three recognition experiments: recognition of diatoms based on natural images of diatom shells, recognition using silhouettes from the MPEG-7 database, and 3-D object recognition based on 2-D views. Our method outperforms all shape comparison methods previously reported in the literature, in both identification and retrieval performances.

The shape descriptor uses only maximum heights of the extrema of the curvature function and some global shape descriptors, and no information regarding the positions of the extrema along the contour. The advantage of this approach is that matching two shapes means simply computing a distance between the two descriptors, without any alignment (i.e. shifting) of the maxima as is required in the CSS method. Also, the method can be used for scale-invariant shape analysis, e.g. when a non-uniformly scaled square and a rectangle are assigned to the same class. However, if this is not desired, the descriptor can be augmented with the relative sizes of the extracted features to discriminate between such cases. The shape descriptor incorporates both convexities and concavities of shapes, and hence it is possible to discriminate between convex shapes. Furthermore, the method is robust to local shape deformations and copes well with large amounts of noise.

Finally, the method is fast, and the computational complexity of constructing the scale spaces is linear in the number of points of the input contour. For example, the CPU time spent to compute and collect the shape descriptors for all 1400 contours of the MPEG-7 dataset is under two minutes on a Pentium III machine at 670 MHz.
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