University of Groningen

Keratolysis exfoliativa (dyshidrosis lamellosa sicca)

van der Velden, J.; van der Wier, G.; Kramer, D.; Diercks, G.F.; van Geel, M.; Coenraads, P.J.; Zeeuwen, P.L.; Jonkman, M.F.; Chang, Y.Y.

Published in:
BRITISH JOURNAL OF DERMATOLOGY

DOI:
[10.1111/j.1365-2133.2012.11175.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.2012.11175.x)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2012

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

van der Velden, J., van der Wier, G., Kramer, D., Diercks, G. F., van Geel, M., Coenraads, P. J., Zeeuwen, P. L., Jonkman, M. F., & Chang, Y. Y. (2012). Keratolysis exfoliativa (dyshidrosis lamellosa sicca): a distinct peeling entity. *BRITISH JOURNAL OF DERMATOLOGY*, 167(5), 1076-1084.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2133.2012.11175.x>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Keratolysis exfoliativa (dyshidrosis lamellosa sicca): a distinct peeling entity

Y.Y. Chang,¹ J. van der Velden,² G. van der Wier,¹ D. Kramer,¹ G.F.H. Diercks,¹ M. van Geel,² P.J. Coenraads,¹ P.L.J.M. Zeeuwen³ and M.F. Jonkman¹

¹Centre for Blistering Diseases, Department of Dermatology, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, Hanzeplein 1, 9700 RB Groningen, the Netherlands

²Department of Dermatology, Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, the Netherlands

³Department of Dermatology, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, the Netherlands

Summary

Correspondence

Marce F. Jonkman.

E-mail: m.f.jonkman@umcg.nl

Accepted for publication

20 July 2012

Funding sources

This work was supported by Vlinderkind (Butterfly Child Foundation).

Conflicts of interests

None declared.

DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2133.2012.11175.x

Background Keratolysis exfoliativa (KE), also known as dyshidrosis lamellosa sicca, is a palmoplantar dermatosis characterized by air-filled blisters and collarette desquamation. It has been regarded as a subtype of dyshidrotic eczema, a fungal infection or a dermatophytid reaction. KE may also resemble acral peeling skin syndrome and localized epidermolysis bullosa simplex. Although KE is a common disorder, it is a rarely reported and is an under-recognized dermatosis.

Objectives To delineate the characteristic features of KE.

Methods We investigated the clinical, immunohistopathological, ultrastructural and molecular features of KE. Patients were included from the clinical records. Additional diagnostic research consisted of mutation analysis of the candidate genes TGM5, KRT5, KRT14, FLG, SPINK6 and SPINK9.

Results A total of 24 patients with KE were identified, six with familial and 18 with sporadic KE. Lesions consisted of air-filled blisters only on palmoplantar skin, followed by collarette and lamellar peeling. Both light microscopy and electron microscopy showed cleavage and partially degraded corneodesmosomes within the stratum corneum, whereas immunofluorescence microscopy showed normal expression of corneodesmosomal components. No mutations were found in TGM5, KRT5/14 and SPINK6/9. There was no clear link with atopy or with FLG mutations.

Conclusions Our study suggests premature corneodesmolysis as the main pathological mechanism of this palmoplantar skin disorder. We conclude that KE appears to be a distinct peeling entity.

Keratolysis exfoliativa (KE) was first described by Caryon in 1903 under the name 'desquamation estivale en aires des mains'.¹ In 1919, Wende reported the same condition and called it 'keratolysis exfoliativa'.² Since 1947, it has also been known as 'dyshidrosis lamellosa sicca' or 'lamellar dyshidrosis'.³ The last two original publications on KE date back to 1950⁴ and 1996⁵; in the latter, the name 'recurrent focal palmar peeling' was used.

KE affects only the volar aspects of the hands and feet. Typically it starts with an annular erythema with an air-filled blister arising in the centre, followed by superficial collarette and lamellar peeling.^{3,4,6} In the more severe cases, multiple lesions coalesce and this may result in superficial peeling of the entire surface of the palmoplantar skin.^{7,8}

Subjective symptoms are rare,^{5,6,8} although the skin can be sensitive when it becomes thin from successive peeling.^{9,10} The condition worsens in warm weather and patients often

present with palmar and/or plantar hyperhidrosis.^{9,11} No effective treatments have yet been described.⁵

KE has been regarded as a subtype of acrovesicular dermatitis or dyshidrotic eczema and associated with atopy.^{11,12} Moreover, it has been proposed that KE is a fungal infection³ or a dermatophytid reaction caused by interdigital dermatomycosis of the feet.⁸ KE clinically also resembles acral peeling skin syndrome (APSS), which is characterized by superficial exfoliation on the volar and dorsal aspects of the hands and feet, caused by mutations in the TGM5 gene.¹³ In addition, epidermolysis bullosa simplex (EBS) of the hands and feet caused by mutations in either KRT5 or KRT14 and characterized by fluid-filled blisters on the volar and dorsal aspects of the hands and feet can be mistaken for KE.¹⁴

Here we describe the clinical, immunohistopathological, ultrastructural¹ and molecular features of KE and we discuss a possible pathological mechanism for this common, but relatively underappreciated palmoplantar dermatosis.

Materials and methods

Patients

Patients were enrolled from the specialized expertise centres for blistering diseases and eczema of the academic dermatology department in Groningen between 1988 and 2011. Eligible patients satisfied the criteria of recurrent, palmoplantar air-filled blistering and peeling. These patients were approached by letter or were referred to our clinic and all completed a standardized questionnaire.

Clinical examination

The following clinical data were recorded in each patient: age, age at onset, familial occurrence, morphology and localization of the lesions, subjective symptoms, aggravating factors, presence of palmoplantar hyperhidrosis, history of atopy, history of tinea pedis and response to treatments.

Additional diagnostic examinations

Serum levels of IgE specific to inhaled allergens were determined with the ImmunoCap method (Phadia/Thermo Scientific, Uppsala, Sweden). Also, potassium hydroxide (KOH) preparation along with fungal and yeast culture of palmoplantar scales were performed.

Molecular analyses

Genomic DNA was extracted from blood using the QIAamp DNA Blood Maxi Kit (Qiagen, Venlo, the Netherlands). All exons and their flanking intronic regions of TGM5 and KRT5/14 were analysed as described by Cassidy *et al.*¹³ and Bolling *et al.*,¹⁴ respectively. The four most prevalent FLG mutations, i.e. R501X, 2282del4, S3247X and R2447X, were screened as

described by Sandilands *et al.*¹⁵ Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of exons 1–4 of SPINK6 and exons 1–4 of SPINK9 and their flanking intronic regions was performed. The following primers (synthesized by Biolegio, Nijmegen, the Netherlands) were used: SPINK6 exon 1, forward GAAACTTTA GAAAGAAGAGCCG and reverse TTACCATAACCATTTGTCGTC; SPINK6 exon 2, forward TTAAAGATAGCCAAGTACCAGGAG and reverse GTGAAGATCAAGCCAAGCAC; SPINK6 exon 3, forward CACTGATGTCAATGTCACTC and reverse TTGTTTCTTC AGTCTCTATGGG; SPINK6 exon 4, forward TGGTGAGTACTT ACGATATTGC and reverse AGTAAGAACACAGTCAATGC; SPINK9 exon 1, forward TATAAGGCAGGATCAAAGTGAG and reverse TATCCAGAATTACATAGGCAG; SPINK9 exon 2, forward GTAAGATTAGGTTCTTCAGAG and reverse AAAGTAG GATGGGTGACTG; SPINK9 exon 3, forward TTGGTTGAAA GAGTTGAAGG and reverse CTGGAAGGTTCTGTTTGTAGAG; SPINK9 exon 4, forward TGGATGCTAATGAAATGCCT and reverse TATCTATTAGACAGCACCCA. PCR products were electrophoresed on a 1% agarose gel, and the bands were excised and extracted from the agarose gel with the MinElute Gel Extraction kit (Qiagen) and subsequently sequenced.

Skin biopsies

Four biopsies (IIIA, VIA, VII and VIIIA) solely for light microscopy, seven biopsies (IA, IIA, IIIB, IV, V, IX and X) for both electron microscopy and light microscopy, and four biopsies (IB, IIB, VIB and VIIIB) for immunofluorescence microscopy were included in this study (Table 1). Biopsies IA, IIA, IIIA, IV, VIA, VII, VIIIA, IX and X were taken at the edge of an air-filled blister and biopsies IB, IIB, IIIB, V, VIB and VIIIB were taken from perilesional skin.

For light microscopy, the biopsies were fixed in 4% formaldehyde and embedded in paraffin. Then, semithin sections were cut, stained with haematoxylin–eosin and examined with

Table 1 Biopsies and location

Patient	Age (years)/sex	Biopsy			Location
		LM	EM + LM	IF	
1	59/M		IA: edge of blister	IB: perilesional	Plantar aspect of left hallux (EM + LM); plantar aspect of the left sole (IF)
5	36/M		IIA: edge of blister	IIB: perilesional	Volar aspect of right thumb (EM + LM); volar aspect of left thumb (IF)
6	40/M	IIIA: edge of blister	IIIB: perilesional		Palmar aspect of right hand (LM); volar aspect of right thumb (EM + LM)
7	32/F		IV: edge of blister		Volar aspect of right thumb
8	46/F		V: perilesional		Volar aspect of left third digit
11	46/M	VIA: edge of blister		VIB: perilesional	Volar aspect of right second digit (LM + IF)
13	17/F	VII: edge of blister			Plantar aspect of left hallux
17	43/M	VIIIA: edge of blister		VIIIB: perilesional	Volar aspect of left third digit (LM); volar aspect of left thumb (IF)
23	19/F		IX: edge of blister		Plantar aspect of right sole
24	43/M		X: edge of blister		Volar aspect of left fifth digit

LM, light microscopy; EM, electron microscopy; IF, immunofluorescent microscopy.

a light microscope (Zeiss Axio Imager; Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany).

For electron microscopy, three biopsies (IV, IX and X) were fixed by the standard protocol in 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 mol L⁻¹ phosphate buffer and post-fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide in 0.1 mol L⁻¹ cacodylate buffer with 1.5% potassium ferrocyanide. Then, the biopsies were dehydrated in ethanol and embedded in Epon (Hexion Specialty Chemicals Inc., Danbury, CT, U.S.A.). Semithin and ultrathin sections were cut. For light microscopy, the semithin sections were stained with toluidine blue–basic fuchsin. The ultrathin sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, and examined with a Philips CM100 transmission electron microscope (Philips, Eindhoven, the Netherlands).

Four biopsies (IA, IIA, IIIB and V) and control skin were fixed by an adjusted protocol to gain optimal preservation and visualization of lipids and membranes in the stratum corneum. These biopsies were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde and 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 mol L⁻¹ cacodylate buffer. Then, the biopsies were fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide in 0.1 mol L⁻¹ cacodylate buffer and post-fixed with 0.5% ruthenium tetroxide with 0.25% potassium ferrocyanide. The biopsies were dehydrated in ethanol and embedded in Epon. Semithin and ultrathin sections were cut. The semithin sections were stained with toluidine blue and examined with a light microscope. The ultrathin sections were stained and examined as described in the standard protocol.

For immunofluorescence microscopy, four unfixed snap-frozen biopsies of KE skin and a control skin sample were cut in a cryostat into 6-µm sections. The sections were incubated in a humidified chamber in the dark for 30 min at room temperature with primary antibodies for corneodesmosin (Santa Cruz, Heidelberg, Germany), desmocollin-1 (Progen Biotechnik, Heidelberg, Germany) and desmoglein-1 endodomain and ectodomain (Santa Cruz and Progen Biotechnik, respectively). After rinsing with PBS, the sections were incubated for 30 min with the secondary antibodies fluorescein-isothiocyanate-labelled rabbit antigoat IgG antibody (Invitrogen, Paisley, U.K.) or Alexa568-labelled goat antimouse IgG antibody (Dako, Enschede, the Netherlands). Images were recorded using a Leica DMRA immunofluorescence microscope (Leica, Heidelberg, Germany) with the same setting of contrast and brightness in the control and patient sections.

Results

Patients and clinical assessment

A total of 24 patients were included. Patients 1–11, 17, 22 and 24 revisited our outpatient clinic and were clinically reassessed. Data of patients 12–16, 18–21 and 23 were derived from patient files. The clinical features of the patients with KE are summarized in Table 2. Thirteen patients were male and 11 female, and the age ranged between 5 and 69 years with a median of 32 years. The age at onset ranged between 0 and 64 years with a median of 19 years. Familial occurrence was present in

Fig 1. Palmoplantar desquamation in keratolysis exfoliativa starts with an erythematous ring (arrows) bordering collarette desquamation on the volar aspect of the thumb (a), which changes to an air-filled blister (b), and is followed by centrifugal, collarette peeling on the palm and volar aspects of the fingers (c).

patients 1–6. Patients 1 and 2 were father and daughter, patients 3 and 4 were siblings, patient 5 reported that his mother had similar lesions and patient 6 had a sister with similar lesions.

KE started with an erythematous ring (Fig. 1a), which was observed at the time of consultation in 13 patients. Subsequently, a pale air-filled blister (Fig. 1b) developed within the boundaries of the ring, of which the roof opened in the centre, followed by superficial centrifugal and collarette desquamation (Fig. 1c) and, eventually, lamellar peeling in all patients. The peeled areas could become susceptible to the development of erosions, but were rarely erythematous and did not contain any pinpoint vesicles. The condition appeared to be recurrent and mostly subsided completely between each cycle. The peeling occurred only on palms and/or soles, and spread to the lateral aspects of the fingers and/or toes. The fingers were most frequently involved ($n = 18$). Two patients (5 and 20) had lesions only on the fingertips, and two others (10 and 16) had lesions mainly on the tips of the fingers and toes. The condition appeared to be symmetrical on hands and/or feet, except in one patient (no. 20) who had lesions only on the fingers of his right hand. The severity of the peeling varied between patients.

Table 2 Characteristics and clinical features of the patients with keratolysis exfoliativa

Patient	Age (years)/sex	Age at onset (years)	FO	Morphology	Localization (palmoplantar skin)	Subjective symptoms	Aggravating factors	Hyperhidrosis (palmar/plantar)
1 ^a	59/M	14	+	Erythematous ring, air-filled blisters, peeling	Palms, fingers, soles, toes	BS, pain	Warm weather, friction, water contact	Palmoplantar
2 ^a	16/F	3	+	Erythematous ring, air-filled blisters, peeling	Palms, fingers, soles, toes	BS, pain	Warm weather, friction	No hyperhidrosis
3 ^b	12/F	8	+	Air-filled blisters, peeling	Palms, fingers, soles, toes	Pain	Warm weather, friction, water contact	Palmoplantar
4 ^b	9/F	8	+	Air-filled blisters, peeling	Soles, toes	No symptoms	Warm weather, water contact	No hyperhidrosis
5 ^c	36/M	30	+	Erythematous ring, air-filled blisters, peeling	Fingers	Pain	Warm weather, water contact	No hyperhidrosis
6 ^d	40/M	10	+	Erythematous ring, air-filled blisters, peeling	Palms, fingers	BS, pain	Warm weather, friction, water contact	Plantar
7	32/F	30	–	Erythematous ring, air-filled blisters, peeling	Fingers, soles, toes	Pruritus, pain	Warm weather, water contact	Plantar
8	46/F	35	–	Erythematous ring, air-filled blisters, peeling	Palms, fingers, soles, toes	BS	Friction	No hyperhidrosis
9	62/F	56	–	Air-filled blisters, peeling	Palms, fingers	Pain	Warm weather	No hyperhidrosis
10	9/F	0	–	Air-filled blisters, peeling	Palms, fingers, toes	Pruritus, pain	Water contact	Palmoplantar
11	46/M	44	–	Erythematous ring, air-filled blisters, peeling	Fingers, soles, toes	No symptoms	Warm weather	Palmoplantar
12	16/F	12	–	Erythematous ring, air-filled blisters, peeling	Soles, toes	BS	Friction	Palmoplantar
13	17/F	11	–	Erythematous ring, air-filled blisters, peeling	Soles, toes	Pain	Friction	No hyperhidrosis
14	69/M	64	–	Air-filled blisters, peeling	Palms, fingers, soles, toes	Pruritus	No aggravation	Palmar
15	5/M	1·5	–	Erythematous ring, air-filled blisters, peeling	Soles	No symptoms	No aggravation	No hyperhidrosis
16	10/M	7·5	–	Air-filled blisters, peeling	Fingers, soles, toes	No symptoms	No aggravation	Plantar
17	43/M	27	–	Erythematous ring, air-filled blisters, peeling	Palms, fingers	Pruritus, pain	Warm weather	No hyperhidrosis
18	49/M	43	–	Air-filled blisters, peeling	Palms, soles	No symptoms	No aggravation	No hyperhidrosis
19	52/M	45	–	Air-filled blisters, peeling	Palms, fingers	Pruritus, pain	Friction	No hyperhidrosis
20	32/M	30	–	Erythematous ring, air-filled blisters, peeling	Fingers	Pain	No aggravation	No hyperhidrosis
21	32/F	29	–	Erythematous ring, air-filled blisters, peeling	Fingers, toes	Pain	Warm weather	Palmar
22	13/M	12	–	Air-filled blisters, peeling	Palms, fingers	Pain	Friction	Palmar
23	19/F	10	–	Air-filled blisters, peeling	Soles, toes	No symptoms	Friction	No hyperhidrosis
24	43/M	24	–	Air-filled blisters, peeling	Palms, fingers, soles, toes	Pruritus, pain	Friction, warm weather	No hyperhidrosis

FO, familial occurrence; +, positive; –, negative; BS, burning sensation. ^aPatients 1 and 2 are father and daughter. ^bPatients 3 and 4 are siblings. ^cPatient 5 reported that his mother had similar lesions. ^dPatient 6 has a sister with similar lesions.

The peeling was preceded by slight pruritus in six patients, and by mild burning sensation in five patients. Fifteen patients reported pain when the skin became thin in the peeled areas, and therefore susceptible to the development of erosions. Six patients did not have any subjective symptoms. Aggravating factors were warm weather ($n = 12$), friction ($n = 11$) and

water contact ($n = 7$). Five patients did not experience any aggravating factors. Hyperhidrosis was present in 11 patients (palmoplantar $n = 5$, palmar $n = 3$, plantar $n = 3$). None of the patients experienced improvement of their lesions when treated topically with indifferent compound ointments, keratolytic urea, steroids or antifungals.

Ten of the 24 patients had a history of 'atopic' diseases, although only three of 13 had elevated serum levels of allergen-specific IgE (Table 3). Two of the 24 patients had a positive history of tinea pedis, but KOH preparations along with cultures of palmoplantar scales were negative. Moreover, KOH preparations and cultures of palmar and/or plantar scales in 11 other patients were also negative (Table 3).

Histopathological and ultrastructural analysis

Light microscopy of the nine biopsies taken at the edge of an air-filled blister (IA, IIA, IIIA, IV, VIA, VII, VIIIA, IX and X; see Table 1) all showed cleavage within the stratum corneum (Fig. 2a). The level of the cleavage varied between biopsies in the upper, mid or lower part of the stratum corneum. Parakeratosis with a thinned stratum granulosum was found in five of the 11 biopsies (IIIA, IIIB, IV, VIA and VIIIA) (Fig. 2b). None of the 11 studied biopsies demonstrated an inflammatory infiltrate, exocytosis of lymphocytes or acanthosis.

Electron microscopy of control skin and of all seven KE skin biopsies (IA, IIA, IIIB, IV, V, IX and X) showed physiologically partially degraded corneodesmosomes at the stratum corneum surface where the outermost corneocytes begin to desquamate. However, in three (IA, IIA and IIIB) of the seven KE biopsies, degradation of corneodesmosomes with intercellular widening was also observed lower in the stratum corneum just above and below the cleft in biopsies taken at the edge of an air-filled blister (IA and IIA) (Fig. 2c), and in the mid part of the stratum corneum in unclefted perilesional skin (IIIB) (Fig. 2d). None of the samples showed other ultrastructural abnormalities in the basal, spinous or granular epidermal layer.

Immunofluorescence microscopy showed similar expression of corneodesmosin, desmocollin-1 and desmoglein-1, both endodomain and ectodomain, in all four KE skin biopsies, compared with the control skin (Fig. 3).

No mutations in five candidate genes for keratolysis exfoliativa

As the KE phenotype often resembles APSS and EBS, molecular analysis was performed to investigate if KE could also be caused by mutations in TGM5, a gene coding for the transglutaminase 5 protein, or the genes encoding keratin 5 or 14 (KRT5/14). We analysed the TGM5 gene in nine patients, and the KRT5/14 genes in two doubtful patients. We also screened for FLG mutations and found in one (no. 6) of 16 tested patients the prevalent R501X mutation heterozygously present, thus confirming that an association with atopy is unlikely. Furthermore, we analysed the genes SPINK6 and SPINK9, which both code for epidermal protease inhibitors, in 15 patients. No mutations were found in the exons and flanking intronic regions of these genes (Table 3).

Discussion

This study showed that KE is a separate entity, characterized by superficial collarette-like dry peeling of glabrous palmoplantar skin, which is definitely distinct from dyshidrotic eczema, tinea, APSS and localized EBS. We prefer the term KE rather than dyshidrosis lamellosa sicca, as the latter evokes an association with dyshidrotic eczema, hyperhydrosis or eczema in general, which we demonstrated in this study not to be the case. 'Keratolysis exfoliativa' better describes the clinical

Fig 2. Cleavage in the stratum corneum in keratolysis exfoliativa. Light microscopy shows cleavage (asterisk) within the stratum corneum (a; biopsy VII, bar = 80 μ m) and parakeratosis accompanied by a thinned granular layer (b; biopsy IV, bar = 80 μ m). Electron microscopy shows partially degraded corneodesmosomes (arrows) accompanied by intercellular widening in the mid to upper part of the stratum corneum (c and d; biopsy IA, bar = 0.5 μ m and biopsy IIIB, bar = 125 nm, respectively).

Table 3 Additional diagnostic research in series of patients with keratolysis exfoliativa

Patient	Atopy		History of tinea pedis	KOH and culture		Molecular analysis			
	History	Allergen-specific IgE		Palmar	Plantar	TGM5	KRT5/14	FLG	SPINK6/9
1	—	Normal	—	NP	—	No mutations	NP	No mutations	No mutations
2	Eczema, asthma	Normal	—	NP	NP	No mutations	No mutations	No mutations	No mutations
3	—	Normal	—	—	—	NP	NP	No mutations	No mutations
4	—	Normal	—	NP	NP	NP	NP	No mutations	No mutations
5	Allergic rhinitis	Elevated	+	—	—	NP	NP	No mutations	No mutations
6	Asthma, allergic rhinitis	Elevated	—	—	—	No mutations	NP	R501X	No mutations
7	Asthma, allergic rhinitis	Normal	—	NP	—	No mutations	NP	No mutations	No mutations
8	—	Normal	—	—	—	NP	NP	No mutations	No mutations
9	—	Normal	+	—	—	NP	NP	No mutations	No mutations
10	—	Normal	—	—	—	No mutations	NP	No mutations	No mutations
11	—	Normal	—	—	—	NP	NP	No mutations	No mutations
12	Eczema	NP	—	NP	NP	No mutations	NP	No mutations	No mutations
13	—	NP	—	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
14	Allergic rhinitis	Normal	—	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
15	—	NP	—	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
16	—	NP	—	NP	NP	No mutations	NP	No mutations	No mutations
17	—	NP	—	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
18	Allergic rhinitis	NP	—	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
19	—	NP	—	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
20	—	NP	—	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP	NP
21	Allergic rhinitis	Elevated	—	—	—	NP	NP	No mutations	No mutations
22	Eczema	NP	—	—	NP	NP	NP	No mutations	NP
23	Asthma, allergic rhinitis	NP	—	NP	—	No mutations	No mutations	No mutations	NP
24	—	NP	—	—	—	No mutations	NP	NP	No mutations

+, Positive; —, negative; NP, not performed.

phenomenon of exfoliative peeling due to keratolysis of the stratum corneum.

The lesions in KE involved only palmoplantar skin, most frequently the volar aspects of the fingers. The peeling was preceded by air-filled blisters, which were never filled with fluid. Skin lesions in KE began typically with an erythematous ring bordering the imminent lesions. Our findings concerning the clinical presentation of KE are in agreement with the earlier literature.^{3,5}

KE has often been described as essentially asymptomatic,^{5,6,8} although some authors report sensitiveness of the peeled skin.^{9,10} This study demonstrated that slight pruritus or a burning sensation may precede the peeling, as well as pain in peeled areas, although an absence of subjective symptoms was also found.

This study confirmed that friction and water contact may aggravate KE.^{3,11} An explanation for this could be the disruption of the epidermal barrier by mechanical forces or soaking of the skin.¹⁶ In addition, and similar to APSS and localized EBS,^{13,17} KE worsens in warm weather in about half of the patients. Also, palmar and/or plantar hyperhidrosis has been described as being associated with KE,^{9,11} yet this was seen in

only 11 of the 24 patients in this study. Thus, it remains unclear whether palmoplantar hyperhidrosis and warm weather are associated with KE. However, it seems plausible that palmoplantar hyperhidrosis could have similar effects on the skin as water contact, which may increase the pH of the stratum corneum (loss of 'acid mantle') and therefore push the balance towards desquamation, as an alkaline pH increases serine protease activity enforcing premature corneodesmolysis and thus impairing the stratum corneum cohesion.¹⁸

It has been suggested that KE is a subtype of dyshidrotic eczema.^{11,12} However, dyshidrotic eczema is characterized by pruritic fluid-filled vesicles mainly on the lateral aspects of the fingers, the palms and occasionally on the soles.¹⁹ Based on our data and previous reports,^{3,9} it appears that KE differs from dyshidrotic eczema, as it is not pruritic nor vesicular. In our patients, atopic diathesis does not appear to be associated with KE, nor was the disease associated with filaggrin mutations. In addition, none of the studied biopsies showed an inflammatory infiltrate, exocytosis of lymphocytes or acanthosis. Therefore, this study also demonstrates that KE differs histopathologically from dyshidrotic eczema and atopic dermatitis.²⁰

Fig 3. Normal expression of corneodesmosomal components in keratolysis exfoliativa (KE). Analysis of (a) corneodesmosin (CDSN), (b) desmocollin-1 (DSC1), (c) desmoglein-1 endodomain (DSG1-B11) and (d) desmoglein-1 ectodomain (DSG1-P23) in skin sections of patient no. 1. The images show a normal expression of corneodesmosomal components in KE skin, which was similar to control skin (not shown). Bar = 40 μ m.

It has been proposed that KE is a fungal infection³ or a dermatophytid reaction caused by interdigital dermatomycosis of the feet.⁸ Our findings demonstrated that KE is neither a fungal infection nor a dermatophytid reaction (eczematide).

The histopathological hallmark of KE is clean cleavage within the stratum corneum without a cellular infiltrate. Also, parakeratosis with a thinned stratum granulosum was observed possibly arising from enhanced keratinocyte proliferation. Furthermore, the stratum corneum cleavage easily distinguishes KE histopathologically from localized EBS, which is characterized by cytolysis in the basal layer.¹⁴ Histopathological differentiation of KE from APSS may be more difficult, as the level of blistering in APSS is located at the junction of the stratum granulosum and the stratum corneum or within the stratum corneum.^{13,17,21}

In contrast to KE, fluid-filled blisters characterize localized EBS and some cases of APSS, and both involve the dorsal aspects in addition to the volar or plantar aspects of hands and feet.^{14,21,22} In this study, molecular analysis of TGM5 and KRT5/14 in doubtful cases excluded APSS and localized EBS, respectively. In addition, KE appeared to be familial in a minority of cases (six of 24, 25%), which keeps the possibility of a genetic cause open.

Degradation of corneodesmosomes at the upper stratum corneum is a normal physiological process of desquamation to maintain a constant thickness of the corneal layer by shedding the outermost corneocytes at the skin surface.²³ More interesting is our observation that corneodesmosomes also degraded in the middle part of the corneal layer. This finding suggests premature corneodesmolysis, which may play a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of KE.

We first examined deficiency of structural corneodesmosomal components, such as corneodesmosin, desmocollin-1 and desmoglein-1, which are associated with peeling skin disease, staphylococcal scalded skin syndrome and palmoplantar keratoderma, respectively.²⁴ However, immunofluorescence microscopy in KE revealed normal staining for these components.

Subsequently, we hypothesized that premature corneodesmolysis could be the result of an imbalance in the activity level of enzymes involved in the desquamation process, specifically in the palmoplantar skin.²⁵ Furthermore, SPINK6 (serine protease inhibitor Kazal-type), encoding lymphoepithelial kazal-type inhibitor (LEKTI)-3,²⁶ and SPINK9, encoding LEKTI-2,²⁷ are recently discovered serine protease inhibitors of kallikrein-related peptidases (KLK) 5/7/14 and KLK5, respectively. LEKTI-3 immune expression was found within the stratum granulosum and stratum corneum of various anatomical sites of the skin (face, arm, plantar site), sebaceous glands and sweat glands, whereas LEKTI-2 immune expression was found within the stratum granulosum and stratum corneum of palmoplantar skin only. Activated KLK5, 7 and 14 can degrade corneodesmosomal components.²⁴ We selected SPINK6/9 as candidate genes for KE, because we hypothesized that premature corneodesmolysis could be the result of increased activity of KLK5, 7 and/or 14 caused by dysfunction or absence of

protease inhibitors LEKTI-2/3. For example, in the case of Netherton syndrome, which is characterized by ichthyosiform dermatosis, hair shaft abnormality (trichorrhexis invaginata) and atopic diathesis, mutations in *SPINK5*, encoding LEKTI, cause unrestricted serine protease activity in the stratum corneum resulting in overdesquamation of corneocytes.^{28,29} As no mutations were found in *SPINK6/9* in our patients with KE, our theory of an imbalance between enzyme activity of serine proteases and their inhibitors in the desquamation process could not be demonstrated. However, it might be possible that other proteases, such as cathepsins, which are also present in human epidermis, are involved in the pathophysiology of KE.

In summary, KE is a distinct entity characterized by cyclic air-filled blisters and centrifugal collarette peeling of glabrous digital, palmar and/or plantar skin. Both sporadic and familial cases were found. The histopathological and ultrastructural characteristics are cleavage within the stratum corneum and partial degradation of corneodesmosomes in the mid stratum corneum, suggesting premature corneodesmolysis as the main pathological mechanism in KE. However, immunofluorescent staining showed normal expression of corneodesmosomal components and no mutations were found in *SPINK6/9*.

Further studies in KE cases on other desquamation enzymes and inhibitors, such as secretory leucocyte protease inhibitor (SLPI), alpha-2 macroglobulin-like 1 (A2ML1), cholesterol sulphate and zinc ion may shed more light on the possibly genetic or acquired cause of this succinct dermatological entity.³⁰

What's already known about this topic?

- Keratolysis exfoliativa also known as dyshidrosis lamellosa sicca was initially described a century ago, but has almost been forgotten.
- This palmoplantar peeling disorder has been confused with dyshidrotic eczema, dermatophytid reaction or acral peeling skin syndrome.

What does this study add?

- Delineation of this distinct peeling entity, not related to eczema, was carried out by studying the largest cohort of 24 patients using modern laboratory techniques.
- Premature corneodesmolysis was found in the stratum corneum. Mutations in *TGM5*, *KRT5/14* and *SPINK6/9* were excluded.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the patients and their families who participated in this study. We thank Mr Aat Mulder of the Department of Drug Delivery Technology at Leiden University for being generous with his expert technical assistance and pro-

viding us with crucial chemical substances. We also thank Dr Hendri Pas of the Department of Dermatology of the University Medical Centre Groningen for his expert assistance and advice during the assessment of our immunofluorescence microscopy. This study was supported by the Vlinderkind (Dutch Butterfly Child) Foundation. The study was performed in Groningen, Nijmegen and Maastricht, the Netherlands.

References

- 1 Caryon A. Desquamation estivale en aires des mains. *Ann Dermatol Syphiligr* 1903; **4**:283.
- 2 Wende GW. Keratolysis exfoliativa. *J Cutan Dis* 1919; **37**:174.
- 3 Von Skramlik E. Die Dyshidrosis lamellosa sicca. *Dermatol Wochenschr* 1947; **119**:412–15.
- 4 Von Skramlik E. Bemerkungen zur Entstehung und Heilung der Dyshidrosis lamellosa sicca. *Dermatol Wochenschr* 1950; **122**:715–19.
- 5 Lee YC, Rycroft RJ, White IR *et al*. Recurrent focal palmar peeling. *Australas J Dermatol* 1996; **37**:143–4.
- 6 Porosz M. Eine für ansteckend gehaltene Hautabschuppung der Hände (Dyshidrosis sicca lamellosa). *Dermatol Wochenschr* 1923; **76**:216–17.
- 7 Jadassohn J, Alexander A, Sprinz O. Hautkrankheiten der Handteller und Fußsohlen. In: *Handbuch der Haut- und Geschlechtskrankheiten* (Jadassohn J, ed.). Berlin: Springer, 1930; 611–12.
- 8 Mackee GM, Lewis GM. Keratolysis exfoliativa and the mosaic fungus. *Arch Dermatol Syph* 1931; **23**:445–71.
- 9 Habif TP. Eczema and hand dermatitis. In: *Clinical Dermatology* (Habif TP, ed.), 4th edn. Philadelphia, PA: Mosby, 2004; 41–80.
- 10 Veien NK, Menné T. Acute and recurrent vesicular hand dermatitis (pompholyx). In: *Hand Eczema* (Menné T, Maibach HI, eds), 2nd edn. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2000; 147–64.
- 11 Schandelaar F. Wie behandle ich dyshidrosiforme Eruptionen? *Z Hautkr* 1986; **61**:735–7.
- 12 Braun-Falco O, Burgdorf WHC, Plewig G *et al*. Dermatitis. In: *Dermatology* (Braun-Falco O, Plewig G, Wolff HH, Burgdorf WHC, eds), 2nd edn. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 2000; 457–520.
- 13 Cassidy AJ, van Steensel MA, Steijlen PM *et al*. A homozygous missense mutation in *TGM5* abolishes epidermal transglutaminase 5 activity and causes acral peeling skin syndrome. *Am J Hum Genet* 2005; **77**:909–17.
- 14 Bolling MC, Lemmink HH, Jansen GH *et al*. Mutations in *KRT5* and *KRT14* cause epidermolysis bullosa simplex in 75% of the patients. *Br J Dermatol* 2011; **164**:637–44.
- 15 Sandilands A, Terron-Kwiatkowski A, Hull PR *et al*. Comprehensive analysis of the gene encoding filaggrin uncovers prevalent and rare mutations in ichthyosis vulgaris and atopic eczema. *Nat Genet* 2007; **39**:650–4.
- 16 Proksch E, Brandner JM, Jensen JM. The skin: an indispensable barrier. *Exp Dermatol* 2008; **17**:1063–72.
- 17 Hashimoto K, Hamzavi I, Tanaka K *et al*. Acral peeling skin syndrome. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2000; **43**:1112–19.
- 18 Hachem JP, Roelandt T, Schurer N *et al*. Acute acidification of stratum corneum membrane domains using polyhydroxyl acids improves lipid processing and inhibits degradation of corneodesmosomes. *J Invest Dermatol* 2010; **130**:500–10.
- 19 Veien NK. Acute and recurrent vesicular hand dermatitis. *Dermatol Clin* 2009; **27**:337–53.
- 20 Barnhill RL, Crowson AN, Magro CM, Piepkorn MW. *Dermatopathology*, 3rd edn. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Medical, 2010.
- 21 Shwayder T, Conn S, Lowe L. Acral peeling skin syndrome. *Arch Dermatol* 1997; **133**:535–6.
- 22 Wakade O, Adams B, Shwayder T. Acral peeling skin syndrome: a case of two brothers. *Pediatr Dermatol* 2009; **26**:328–30.

- 23 Simon M, Jonca N, Guerrin M *et al.* Refined characterization of corneodesmosin proteolysis during terminal differentiation of human epidermis and its relationship to desquamation. *J Biol Chem* 2001; **276**:20292–9.
- 24 Ishida-Yamamoto A, Igawa S, Kishibe M. Order and disorder in corneocyte adhesion. *J Dermatol* 2011; **38**:645–54.
- 25 Caubet C, Jonca N, Brattsand M *et al.* Degradation of corneodesmosome proteins by two serine proteases of the kallikrein family, SCTE/KLK5/hK5 and SCCE/KLK7/hK7. *J Invest Dermatol* 2004; **122**:1235–44.
- 26 Meyer-Hoffert U, Wu Z, Kantyka T *et al.* Isolation of SPINK6 in human skin: selective inhibitor of kallikrein-related peptidases. *J Biol Chem* 2010; **285**:32174–81.
- 27 Meyer-Hoffert U, Wu Z, Schroder JM. Identification of lympho-epithelial Kazal-type inhibitor 2 in human skin as a kallikrein-related peptidase 5-specific protease inhibitor. *PLoS ONE* 2009; **4**:e4372.
- 28 Chavanas S, Bodemer C, Rochat A *et al.* Mutations in SPINK5, encoding a serine protease inhibitor, cause Netherton syndrome. *Nat Genet* 2000; **25**:141–2.
- 29 Komatsu N, Takata M, Otsuki N *et al.* Elevated stratum corneum hydrolytic activity in Netherton syndrome suggests an inhibitory regulation of desquamation by SPINK5-derived peptides. *J Invest Dermatol* 2002; **118**:436–43.
- 30 Ishida-Yamamoto A, Kishibe M. Involvement of corneodesmosome degradation and lamellar granule transportation in the desquamation process. *Med Mol Morphol* 2011; **44**:1–5.