University of Groningen

Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (SCCS) – Final Opinion on propylparaben (CAS No 94-13-3, EC No 202-307-7)

SCCS Members; SCCS External Experts; Bodin, Laurent; Rogiers, Vera; Bernauer, Ulrike; Chaudhry, Qasim; Coenraads, Pieter Jan; Dusinska, Maria; Ezendam, Janine; Gaffet, Eric

Published in:

Regulatory toxicology and pharmacology

DOI:

[10.1016/j.yrtph.2021.105005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2021.105005)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2021

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

SCCS Members, SCCS External Experts, Bodin, L., Rogiers, V., Bernauer, U., Chaudhry, Q., Coenraads, P. J., Dusinska, M., Ezendam, J., Gaffet, E., Galli, C. L., Granum, B., Panteri, E., Rousselle, C., Stepnik, M., Vanhaecke, T., Wijnhoven, S., Koutsodimou, A., Uter, W., & von Goetz, N. (2021). Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (SCCS) – Final Opinion on propylparaben (CAS No 94-13-3, EC No 202-307-7). *Regulatory toxicology and pharmacology*, 125, [105005].
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2021.105005>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (SCCS) – Final Opinion on propylparaben (CAS No 94-13-3, EC No 202-307-7)

SCCS Members^{*}, Laurent Bodin^a, Vera Rogiers^b, Ulrike Bernauer^c, Qasim Chaudhry^d, Pieter Jan Coenraads^e, Maria Dusinska^f, Janine Ezendam^g, Eric Gaffet^h, Corrado Ludovico Galliⁱ, Berit Granum^j, Eirini Panteri^k, Christophe Rousselle^l, Maciej Stepniak^m, Tamara Vanhaecke^b, Susan Wijnhovenⁿ, SCCS External Experts, Aglaia Koutsodimou^o, Wolfgang Uter^p, Natalie von Goetz^q

^a Alternative Energies and Atomic Energy Commission (CEA), Saclay, France

^b Vrije Universiteit, Brussels, Belgium

^c Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BfR), Berlin, Germany

^d Institute of Food Science & Innovation, University of Chester, United Kingdom

^e University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands

^f Norwegian Institute for Air Research (NILU), Kjeller, Norway

^g National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, the Netherlands

^h Institute Jean Lamour (UMR 7198 CNRS Université de Lorraine), Nancy, France

ⁱ Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences University of Milan, Italy

^j Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway

^k National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, School of Pharmacy, Division of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Athens, Greece

^l French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety (ANSES), Maisons-Alfort, France

^m Qsar Lab Ltd., Gdańsk, Poland

ⁿ RIVM, Centre for Safety of Substances and Products (VSP), Centre for Substances and Integrated Risk Assessment (SIR), Bilthoven, the Netherlands

^o General Chemical State Laboratory, Greece

^p University of Erlangen/Nürnberg, Germany

^q Federal Office of Public Health (FOPH), Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Handling Editor: Dr. Lesa Aylward

Keywords

SCCS
Scientific opinion
Propylparaben
Preservative
Regulation 1223/2009
CAS No 94-13-3
EC No 202-307-7
SCCS/1623/20

In cosmetic products, the ingredient propylparaben (CAS No 94-13-3, EC No 202-307-7) with the chemical names Propyl 4-hydroxybenzoate and 4-Hydroxybenzoic acid propyl ester is currently regulated as a preservative in a concentration up to 0.14% (as acid) (Annex V/12a). In addition, a safe concentration was established for mixtures of parabens, where the sum of the individual concentrations should not exceed 0.8% (as acid). However, in such mixtures the sum of the individual concentrations of butyl- and propylparaben and their salts should not exceed 0.14%.

Propylparaben was subject to different safety evaluations in 2005 (SCCP/0874/05), 2006 (SCCP/1017/06), 2008 (SCCP/1183/08), 2010 (SCCS/1348/10), 2011 (SCCS/1446/11), and in 2013 (SCCS/1514/13).

On the basis of the safety assessment of propylparaben, and considering the concerns related to potential endocrine disrupting properties, the SCCS has concluded that propylparaben is safe when used as a preservative in cosmetic products up to a maximum concentration of 0.14%.

The available data on propylparaben provide some indications for potential endocrine effects. However, the current level of evidence is not sufficient to regard it as an endocrine disrupting substance, or to derive a toxicological point of departure based on endocrine disrupting properties for use in human health risk assessment.

The SCCS mandate does not address environmental aspects. Therefore, this assessment did not cover the safety of propylparaben for the environment. Link to the Opinion (SCCS/1623/20): https://ec.europa.eu/health/sites/default/files/scientific_committees/consumer_safety/docs/sccs_o_243.pdf

* Corresponding author. SCCS Secretariat at the European Commission, Directorate General for Health and Food Safety, 11, rue E. Ruppert, L-2920, Luxembourg.
E-mail address: SANTE-C2-SCCS@ec.europa.eu.

Funding body information

None.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.