University of Groningen

Adaptive CO2 emissions mitigation strategies of global oil refineries in all age groups

Lei, Tianyang; Guan, Dabo; Shan, Yuli; Zheng, Bo; Liang, Xi; Meng, Jing; Zhang, Qiang; Tao, Shu

Published in:
One Earth

DOI:
[10.1016/j.oneear.2021.07.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2021.07.009)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2021

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Lei, T., Guan, D., Shan, Y., Zheng, B., Liang, X., Meng, J., Zhang, Q., & Tao, S. (2021). Adaptive CO2 emissions mitigation strategies of global oil refineries in all age groups. *One Earth*, 4(8), 1114-1126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2021.07.009>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Adaptive CO₂ emissions mitigation strategies of global oil refineries in all age groups

Highlights

- We develop a comprehensive time-series CO₂ emission dataset for 1,056 global refineries
- CO₂ emissions from global oil refineries rise by 24% between 2000 and 2018
- If they operate as usual, global refineries would cumulatively emit 16.5 Gt during 2020–2030
- Low-carbon measures can help reduce up to 10% of cumulative emissions during 2020–2030

Authors

Tianyang Lei, Dabo Guan, Yuli Shan, ...,
Jing Meng, Qiang Zhang, Shu Tao

Correspondence

guandabo@tsinghua.edu.cn

In brief

As the third-largest stationary emitter of greenhouse gases worldwide, ever-expanding oil refineries will hinder the achievement of the Paris Agreement goals of limiting global warming, but the trend of the refining industry and its CO₂ emission patterns and mitigation potentials remain poorly understood. Lei et al. comprehensively investigate the current emission patterns of global oil refineries by developing a time-series, technical-specific inventory of global refineries' CO₂ emissions during 2000–2018. They analyze the mitigation potentials of various low-carbon measures and discuss the policy implications comprehensively.

Article

Adaptive CO₂ emissions mitigation strategies of global oil refineries in all age groups

Tianyang Lei,¹ Dabo Guan,^{1,2,6,*} Yuli Shan,³ Bo Zheng,⁴ Xi Liang,² Jing Meng,² Qiang Zhang,¹ and Shu Tao⁵¹Department of Earth System Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100080, China²The Bartlett School of Construction and Project Management, University College London, London, UK³Integrated Research for Energy, Environment and Society (IREES), Energy and Sustainability Research Institute Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen 9747 AG, the Netherlands⁴Institute of Environment and Ecology, Tsinghua Shenzhen International Graduate School, Tsinghua University, Shenzhen 518055, China⁵College of Urban and Environmental Sciences, Laboratory for Earth Surface Processes, Peking University, 100871 Beijing, China⁶Lead contact*Correspondence: guandabo@tsinghua.edu.cn<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2021.07.009>

SCIENCE FOR SOCIETY The oil refining industry remains the third-largest stationary emitter of greenhouse gases in the world, contributing 4% of the total global emissions in 2018. Ever-expanding fossil fuel-based energy infrastructure will hinder the achievement of the Paris Agreement goals of limiting global warming to below 1.5°C by 2100. Our research investigates the current emission patterns of global oil refineries and their emission reduction potential by developing a time-series, technical-specific inventory of global refineries' CO₂ emissions (Carbon Emission Accounts and Datasets-Global Refinery Emission Inventory) from 2000 to 2018. We found that improving refinery efficiency and upgrading heavy oil processing technology will help to reduce up to 10% of CO₂ in the oil refining sector from 2020 to 2030. Different regions need different low-carbon adaptive strategies based on their refineries' characteristics.

SUMMARY

Continuous expansion of fossil fuel-based energy infrastructure can be one of the key obstacles in delivering the Paris Agreement goals. The oil refinery is the world's third-largest stationary emitter of greenhouse gases (GHGs), but the historical mapping of the regional-specific refining industry, their CO₂ emission patterns, and mitigation potentials remain understudied. This study develops a plant-level, technical-specific, and time-series global refinery CO₂ emission inventory, covering 1,056 refineries from 2000 to 2018. The CO₂ emissions of the refinery industry were about 1.3 gigatonnes (Gt) in 2018, representing 4% of the total. If current technical specifications continue, the global refineries will cumulatively emit 16.5 Gt of CO₂ during 2020–2030. The refineries vary in operation age, refining configuration structure, and geographical location, leading to the demand for specific mitigation strategies, such as improving refinery efficiency and upgrading heavy oil processing technologies, which could potentially reduce global cumulative emissions by 10% during 2020–2030.

INTRODUCTION

Climate change is one of the most fundamental challenges facing humanity today. Although global energy-related CO₂ emissions dropped by 5.8% in 2020,¹ because of the impact of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) crisis, driven by population and gross domestic product growth, global emissions will likely rebound to 2019 levels or above,^{2,3} so more efforts are still required to accelerated the energy transition envisaged by the Paris climate goals.³

The petroleum oil refining industry is the third-largest stationary emitter of greenhouse gases (GHGs) in the world,⁴ contributing

6% of all industrial GHG emissions,^{5,6} as both the consumer and the provider of energy.^{7–9} The oil refining industry, thus, plays a crucial role in both the energy supply chain and climate change. CO₂ is the main GHG emitted by petroleum refineries, accounting for about 98% of their GHGs emissions.¹⁰ Potential CO₂ emissions reductions from the refining process at country level,^{5,11–13} the impact of CO₂ emission regulations,^{14,15} and the carbon pricing^{13,16,17} on the oil refining industry have been assessed previously. However, a consistent and publicly published global refineries CO₂ emission dataset with detailed information can provide a firm basis for such discussion. Previous studies have combined global⁵ and regional^{7,11,13,18,19} oil refineries

data, and noted the GHGs^{5,7,18,19} or CO₂^{11,13} emissions mitigation potential for the global or national oil refining sector of a specific year. However, these studies only covered a limited number of refineries and have not contained the analysis of the multi-year trend of CO₂ emissions, which is the basis for exploring the potential emission hot spots and the adaptive reductions methods of the refining industry at unit, regional, and global levels.

Here, we develop a new time-series global inventory of CO₂ from oil refineries from 2000 to 2018 by compiling and harmonizing the available data related to oil refining units (Table S1) and calculate the annual emissions with corresponding emission factors, which we name the Carbon Emission Accounts and Datasets (CEADs)-Global Refinery Emission Inventory (GREI). CEADs-GREI is a publicly available global inventory of annual emissions of CO₂ from individual refinery units, and can be accessed freely from CEADs (www.ceads.net). Details of the methods and data used to construct the CEADs-GREI are shown in Figure S1. We then use the CEADs-GREI to identify the largest annual CO₂ emissions refineries by region, refinery configuration type, and age, and track the temporal and spatial changes of CO₂ emission hotspots, illustrating the historical changes and characteristics of CO₂ emissions in the refining industry at the unit, regional, and global levels. Furthermore, we quantify the committed CO₂ emissions or lock-in effect based on the actual existing or planned refineries and the facility-level historical production and emissions in CEADs-GREI, and analyze the distribution of the remaining committed CO₂ emissions from refineries and their proportion of the carbon budget if mean warming is limited to 1.5°C and identify the key area of CO₂ reduction in the oil refining industry in the future. Finally, we predicted the long-term prospects of the global oil refining industry's CO₂ emission and explored its potential mitigation measures for reducing CO₂ emissions by age groups and by regions. This study provides a detailed picture of oil refining capacity and CO₂ emissions worldwide, which is helpful to conduct a thorough and comprehensive understanding of past emission characteristics of refineries, identify the key impact factors of refineries CO₂ emissions, and predict the development trends in the future. Our results provide a scientific basis for policy making in oil refining industry carbon emission reduction.

RESULTS

Emission patterns of the global oil refining industry

Figure 1 shows the trends in oil refining industry CO₂ emissions from 2000 to 2018. As depicted in the CEADs-GREI, 755 refineries were operating in 2000 worldwide, with a total capacity of about 87 million barrels per day (Mbpd) and annual CO₂ emissions of 1,000 Mt; the number of refineries in operation increased to 946 in 2018, with a combined capacity of about 98 Mbpd and annual CO₂ emissions of 1,242 (±7%) Mt (see Figure 1).

Overall, two turning points have occurred in the development of the global oil refining industry since 2000 due to the fluctuation of refinery utilization rate (annual throughput divided by crude distillation capacity). The first was around 2003 with the growth in the global utilization rate of the oil refining industry, which was mainly driven by the growth in the utilization rate of the oil refining industry (Figure 1B) in China and India, which directly resulted in a sharp rise in its CO₂ emissions. Take China as an

example, driven by the surged oil demand since 2002, China's refinery output increased by 11% in 2003 and 12% in 2004, pushing the refinery utilization rate up significantly.²⁰ CO₂ emitted by Chinese oil refineries grew from 27.6 Mt in 2002 to 45.3 Mt in 2004. The second turning point was around 2008 with the plummet in the global refineries utilization rate caused by the onset of the global financial crisis and the drop in global petroleum product demand, resulting in the diminishing of oil refining capacity, CO₂ emissions, and the numbers of operating refineries in each region during 2008/2009.^{21,22} Moreover, the distribution pattern of the global oil refining industry has changed significantly since 2009, with the rapid growth in oil refining capacity in the Asia-Pacific region, especially China and India, which may be caused by the unfolding of construction of the modern major refinery and the rapid growth of its domestic demand for refined petroleum products in the post-financial crisis era.²² In contrast, Europe has been trapped in the crisis in European refining after 2009 due to the impact of both the EU environmental and energy policies and the declining domestic demand for refined petroleum products.²³ It is clear that the development focus of the global oil refining industry has accelerated shifting eastward since 2009.

Specifically, the spatial hotspot of CO₂ emissions in oil refining sector has changed significantly since 2000, but especially around 2009. CO₂ emissions of oil refineries in China and India experienced steady growth between 2000 and 2018, with an average annual growth rate of 7% and 5%, respectively. Their contribution to global oil refineries' CO₂ emissions climbed from 6% and 3% in 2000 to 16% and 7% in 2018, respectively. In contrast, the share of Europe and the United States fell from 22% and 24% in 2000, to 17% and 21% in 2018, respectively. This change in CO₂ emissions' distribution pattern first occurred in 2003 and became more obvious after 2008. CO₂ emissions in Europe and Latin America showed a volatile downward trend since 2009. Their CO₂ emissions from the oil refining industry have been lower than in 2000, with CO₂ emissions from both regions in 2018 being only 90% of their 2000 emissions. Moreover, 2009 is also a key turning point in the age structure of CO₂ contributors to oil refineries (Figure 1C). Before 2009, CO₂ emissions were mainly from middle-aged refineries around 50 years old. However, since 2009, refineries aged 0–19 years have become the main contributor of CO₂ with the advantages of large annual increments.

Figure 2 presents the geographical location, age, and 2018 CO₂ emissions of 1,056 oil refineries that had been, or were, in operation worldwide between 2000 and 2018. The age of the operating refineries is the length of time from the year of its commissioning to 2018.

In 2018, it was clear that the global oil refining industry was dominated by two types of refineries: new refineries (less than 40 years) in China, India, and the Middle East, and older refineries (40 years or older) in developed regions, Europe, the United States, and Japan. The refining capacity of the above two types of refineries accounted for 22% and 35% of the total refining capacity in 2018, respectively, and their CO₂ emissions accounted for 22% and 37% of the total CO₂ emissions of the oil refining industry, respectively.

From a regional perspective, for developing regions, the young age of refineries is striking: in 2018, new refineries aged 0 to

39 years in China (Figure 2C) emitted 121 ($\pm 5\%$) Mt CO₂, accounting for 64% of Chinese refineries' annual CO₂ emissions. As for India and Saudi Arabia (Figure 2F), such new refineries emitted 73.1 ($\pm 7\%$) Mt CO₂ and 35.6 ($\pm 7\%$) Mt CO₂, respectively, accounting for 82% and 89% of total annual CO₂ emissions by local refineries. The young age of oil refineries in developing regions is the result of their rapid urbanization and industrialization in recent decades. As for developed regions, the average age of existing units in Japan (Figure 2D), Europe (Figure 2E), and North

America (Figure 2B) was 56.6, 54.2, and 66.6 years in 2018, respectively. Additionally, the average operating life of refineries in the above areas is 46.0, 62.9, and 73.5 years respectively; therefore, it can be inferred that, although old refineries in Japan, Europe, and the United States are still emitting large amounts of CO₂ in 2018, they are likely to be shut down in the next few years. Moreover, refineries that were closed in 2018 (gray points in Figure 2) are densely distributed in the above three regions and account for 10%, 38%, and 13% of the total number of closed refineries in the world, respectively. Other refineries located in Africa and Latin America are distributed along the coastline, especially in the port areas, and they have a complex age distribution, with small CO₂ emissions.

Figure 3 presents the age distribution of global oil refineries' CO₂ emissions and refining capacity in 2018 by crude oil processing depth (deep complex refineries, Figure 3A, and shallow simple refineries, Figure 3B). The development trend of the world oil refining industry is clear through the age structure of oil refineries in each region: the oil refining industry first developed in the United States in the 1930s and 1940s (refineries older than 75 years), then in Europe in the 1950s (middle-aged refineries), and have sprung up in Asia and the Middle East since the 1990s.

Figure 3 also shows that the proportion of CO₂ emitted from these two types of refineries (deep processing ones and shallow processing ones) varies across regions due to the different development timing of the oil refining industry, as mentioned before. A shallow processing refinery is a processing plant with a simple configuration that usually comprises facilities such as tanks, distillation units, recovery facilities, hydrotreating units, and other necessary utility systems without any conversion units. A deep processing refinery is a processing plant with a complex configuration that is usually equipped with conversion units such as catalytic cracking units and hydrocracking units (HCUs), enabling treating and

Figure 2. Maps of oil refinery CO₂ emissions in 2018

(A) Location, age, and CO₂ emission of 1,056 refining units worldwide.

(B–F) Oil refineries located in the United States (B), China (C), Japan (D), Europe (E), India and Saudi Arabia (F). Refining units are classified by age in 2018 (0–19 years old, 20–39 years old, 40–59 years old, 60–79 years old, 80–99 years old, >100 years old) and CO₂ emissions in 2018 ($\leq 2 \times 10^2$ t, $\leq 2.0 \times 10^6$ t, $\leq 4.0 \times 10^6$ t, $\leq 6.0 \times 10^6$ t). The white bold numbers in the right-bottom of each sub-figures refers to the map scale, indicating the ratio between the distance on a map and the corresponding distance on the ground.

Figure 3. Global 2018 CO₂ emissions by region and by age

(A and B) Bars indicate the estimated CO₂ emissions distribution from different regions by each age group of deep complex units (A) and shallow simple units (B). Note that 0 years means that the refineries began operating from 2018 in this study. The CO₂ emissions are mainly controlled by three age groups: young (0–9 years old), middle (40–64 years old), old (>75 years old), which are dominated by refineries located in East Asia, Europe, and the United States, respectively. The definition of seven regions in this study is shown in Figure S2. A detailed description of the refining configuration type in this study is shown in Table S2.

converting heavy crude oil fractions into lighter products. Globally, the deep processing refineries usually have a much larger refining capacity (see Figure S3), higher CO₂ emissions, and longer service life than the shallow, simple ones. The total CO₂ emissions and refining capacity of complex deep processing refineries are approximately six times and three times higher than those of shallow processing ones, respectively. Moreover, CO₂ emission per unit of deep processing refinery is about four times that of the shallow processing ones (see Figure 4). The number of old complex refineries, older than 80 years, is about four times that of shallow processing refineries (see Figure 5). Furthermore, the proportion of CO₂ emissions from deep processing refineries increases with the age of the refineries, accounting for 80%, 88%, and 93% of the total CO₂ emissions from refineries in the youngest group (0–9 years old), the middle-aged group (40–64 years old), and the elderly group (>75 years old), respectively. Thus, deep processing refineries not only dominate the CO₂ emissions at present but will maintain this dominant position in the future due to the length of service time.

The growth of the proportion of the number of deep processing refineries is also consistent with the development trend of global oil refining industry; that is, the proportion of deep processing refineries is higher in regions where the oil refining industry started earlier (see Figure 5). For instance, in 2018, the proportion of the number of deep processing refineries in the United States and Europe was 74% and 72%, respectively, contributing 238 Mt CO₂ (20% from middle-aged (40–64 years old) refineries, 22% from aged refineries (older than 75 years), and 187 Mt CO₂ (58% from refineries 40–64 years old), respectively. The proportions of refineries with deep processing in China, India, and the Middle East, where the oil refining industry started later, are smaller, and they are mainly young ones. Deep processing refineries in China represented 68% of the country's total refineries, contributing a total of 153.6 Mt CO₂, 30% of which is emitted by young refineries (aged 0–9 years) and 30% emitted by refineries aged 10–39 years. India's deep processing refineries accounted for a staggering 94% of its total number of oil refineries, contributing a total of 89.5 Mt CO₂, 42% from young refineries (aged 0–9 years), and 32% from refineries aged 10–19 years. Deep processing refineries in the Middle East accounted for 57% of the region's total refineries, emitting about 78.0 Mt CO₂, of which 24% came from young refineries

(aged 0–9 years) and 18% from refineries aged 10–19 years. In contrast, the oil refining industry in Africa is dominated by shallow processing refineries, accounting for 56% of the total number of local refineries, with merely 14.6 Mt CO₂ emissions in 2018. The average emissions per refinery of young deep complex processing refineries in China, India, and the Middle East are also significantly higher than those in other regions. CO₂ emissions per refinery (the average CO₂ emissions of refineries in this group) of 0–9-year-old young deep complex processing refineries in China, India, and the Middle East are all around 2.0 Mt, about twice as much as those of 0–9-year-old young deep complex processing refineries in Europe and the United States (see Figure 4). Therefore, younger complex deep processing refineries, dominated by refineries in China, India, and the Middle East nowadays (see Figure 5), will become the centers of the world's oil refining industry, indicating Asia, represented by China and India, will gradually become the center of both production and CO₂ emission of the oil refining industry in the future.

Committed CO₂ emissions of global oil refineries

Figure 6A shows the committed emissions accounting for the global oil refining industry in 2018 and in 2025. Committed emissions are demonstrated as the total emissions (cumulative amount of annual emissions) that occur over the lifetime (since the date of commissioning) of refineries, which is a new way to quantify the long-term consequences of current actions.^{24,25} Over time, the proportion of the committed emissions that have been achieved and the proportion that is still maintained of each refinery can be tracked.²⁵

In 2018, global total commitment from oil refineries was 38.1 Gt CO₂, 11.5 Gt of which remained to be emitted (orange area before the white dashed line in Figure 6A). As of 2025, the committed CO₂ emissions of global refineries still maintains the upward trend: the 154 planned refineries (39% of which are located in Asia, 17% in the Middle East, and 23% of which will be located in Africa) in the CEADs-GREI that will be put into operation between 2019 and 2025 will generate another 7.2 Gt of remaining CO₂, bringing the total committed CO₂ emissions to 45.3 Gt. The increase in remaining committed CO₂ emissions in 2025 will result in the growth of total CO₂ commitment in the next decade, which will further diminish the gap between the

Figure 4. Global average CO₂ emission per refinery by region and by age

(A and B) Bars indicate the estimated average CO₂ emission per refinery from different regions by each age group of deep complex units (A) and shallow simple units (B). Note that 0 years means that the refineries began operating from 2018 in this study. The definition of seven regions in this study is shown in Figure S2. A detailed description of the refining configuration type in this study is shown in Table S2.

carbon budget and the future CO₂ emissions that are locked in by existing and planned oil refineries. In 2025, up to 18.7 Gt CO₂ emissions will be locked in the operating oil refineries, almost twice that of China in 2018 (10.0 Gt),²⁶ 3.4 times that of the United States in 2018 (5.4 Gt),²⁶ and 7.2 times that of India in 2018 (2.6 Gt).²⁶ Moreover, such growth in commitment from 2018 to 2025 is mainly driven by planned refineries in Asia. This indicates that Asia (especially East Asia, as shown in Figure S4) will become not only the core of the world's oil refining industry but also one of the key areas for reducing CO₂ emissions.

Figure 6B illustrates the changes in the spatial distribution of global remaining CO₂ committed since 1960. Among the stories Figure 6B tells are the growth of the oil refining industry in Europe during the period 1960 to 1980; the growth of oil refining sector in the Middle East and the United States since 1984; and the rapid expansion of the oil refineries in Asia, China, and India, particularly since 1996. The global remaining committed emissions experienced a sharp increase in 2000, reaching over 7,000 Mt per year, before rising steadily to over 9,000 Mt per year in 2010. This was mainly driven by the emission growth in Asia and the Middle East and the massive shutdown of European and United States refineries, which in turn led to the shift of the remaining commitment distribution pattern from Europe to China, India, the rest of Asia, and the Middle East. Specifically, in 2018, China's refineries contributed 2,380.0 Mt CO₂, or 21% of the total remaining commitments, while refineries in India and the Middle East represent 1,721.6 Mt (15% of the total remaining) and 1,545.8 Mt (13% of the total remaining) CO₂, respectively. In comparison, Europe's and the United States' remaining committed emissions of oil refining sector are a mere 1,199.6 Mt and 811.4 Mt, respectively. Moreover, based on the CEADs-GREI and the estimated results of the remaining committed emissions, we predict that a new significant transition of CO₂ emissions from the oil refining sector will appear around 2020, which will be promoted by a large number of planned refineries in Southeast Asia and Africa. More specifically, the remaining committed emissions from traditional oil refining regions, such as Europe and the United States, will both be stable and around the 2018 level. In contrast, remaining commitment from developing regions, such as India and Africa, will soar from 1,722 Mt and 282 Mt in 2018 to 3,184 Mt and 714 Mt in 2025, respectively. Considering the rapidly growing demand

for oil-related products in these emerging regions,²⁷ we find that the development of the oil refining industry in such regions may face more severe pressure of CO₂ emission reductions and rapid expansion than developed regions.

In summary, the growth of commitment accounting indicates that the CO₂ emissions of oil refineries will continue to grow in the next decade, mainly driven by Asia, with numerous young refineries and planned refineries. Moreover, the existing and proposed oil refineries, one of the representatives of carbon-intensive fossil fuel-based infrastructure, will lock the CO₂ emissions in the future, leading to a challenge to the achievement of the objectives of the Paris Agreement.²⁸ According to the longest expected lifetime of refineries (57 years) that we selected, our estimates of CO₂ emissions that are committed or locked in for oil refineries in 2025 account for 9%–23% of the cumulative global CO₂ budget in all pathways, limiting global warming to below 1.5°C for pathways from 2025 to 2030,^{29,30} much more than the contribution of current refineries to the annual global CO₂ emissions. Moreover, if all oil refineries continue to operate as they did historically and the annual CO₂ emissions of each refinery remain unchanged until 2050, the cumulative remaining emissions from operating refineries in 2050 will be 8.2 Gt without any carbon mitigation measures, greatly surpassing the net-zero carbon emission³¹ target of 2050 under the Paris Agreement climate target of 1.5°C.

Potential changes in oil refining future emissions

Heavier and lower quality of crude oil supply³² and stricter emission regulations¹² are driving the continuing development of refinery equipment technology, which means that cleaner heavy-oil-processing technologies represented by hydrocracking are bound to be an upgrade trend for refineries. Against this background, we estimated the potential CO₂ emissions from refineries in different regions in the case of efficiency improvement, upgrading of deep processing units or refinery configuration structure, and both, as shown in the materials and method section and Table S3.

Figure 7 summarizes the potential pathways in refineries' CO₂ emissions from 2020 to 2030 under different assumed refinery improvements. If all the existing and proposed refineries operate as usual, without the adoption of any low-carbon measures (baseline), we estimated the cumulative CO₂ emissions of global oil refineries from 2020 to 2030 will as large as 16.5 Gt. The development trend of deep processing and cleanliness in the

Figure 5. Number of oil refineries by region and by age in 2018

Bars indicate the number of oil refineries by regions and by age group. Colors of bars represent the age groups of the oil refineries, and the changes of hue represent the processing depth of oil refineries in the corresponding age group from light (shallow processing refineries) to dark (deep processing refineries).

oil refining industry has bidirectional effects on the CO₂ emissions of global refineries.

Figure 7A illustrates that the changes in oil refineries' CO₂ emissions vary across regions under different scenarios due to the different development characteristics of refineries in each region.

Improving the efficiency of refineries without adding new refineries and refining equipment is the surest way to reduce the CO₂ emissions of the oil refining industry. Given that, the possibility of refineries taking efficiency improvement measures may vary across different countries. We divide all countries into three groups: the top 10 countries with refining capacity, the top 30 countries with refining capacity, and other countries. We also divide the improvement of global refining efficiency into three stages: (1) efficiency improvement only occurs in refineries in the top 10 countries with refining capacity; (2) efficiency improvement only occurs in refineries in the top 30 countries with refining capacity; (3) efficiency improvement occurs in all refineries worldwide. Globally, from 2020 to 2030, improving the efficiency of refineries could reduce CO₂ emissions by 3%–6%, with the cumulative reduction of CO₂ emissions growing from 532 Mt (efficiency improvements occur only in refineries in the top 10 countries) to 928 Mt (efficiency improvements occur in all refineries). China and India have the most significant reductions in CO₂ emissions, at 193 Mt and 105 Mt, respectively.

The technical progress of refining processes can also reduce CO₂ emissions in the oil refining industry. Upgrading the catalytic cracking units to the cleaner hydrocracking ones will cut about 3% of oil refineries' CO₂ emissions, and the cumulative reduction of CO₂ emissions from the global oil refining industry during the period 2020 to 2030 is 446–555 Mt. The United States has the greatest potential for emission reduction, with cumulative CO₂ reductions of up to 196 Mt in the scenario that all deep processing refineries upgrade to hydrocracking-

type refineries (HCUs; see Table 1). However, cumulative CO₂ emission reduction in Africa, where deep processing refineries are scarce, is merely 3 Mt.

In order to meet the growing demand for light refined oil products, such as petrol, upgrading the configuration of light processing refineries to increase the depth of crude oil refining will contribute additional CO₂ emissions to the oil refining industry. In this scenario, the global oil refining industry's CO₂ emissions will increase by about 422 Mt to 807 Mt between 2020 and 2030. If all shallow processing refineries are equipped with HCUs and other related units, CO₂ emissions in Asia (with numerous shallow processing refineries) is the most obvious area for improvements, reaching about 417 Mt, accounting for more than 50% of the total emission reduction potential of the oil refining industry under this scenario. Growth of CO₂ emissions from the oil refining industry in other developing regions, such as Africa, Latin America, and the Middle East, are also significant in this scenario, with 74 Mt, 63 Mt, and 68 Mt respectively.

From the perspective of industry development, we explored the potential changes of CO₂ emissions in the oil refining industry under the scenario of keeping the source of refined oil products unchanged, or the scenario of meeting the growing demand for light oil products, respectively.

In order to minimize the CO₂ emissions of the oil refining industry, while keeping the source of refined oil products unchanged, the combination of the two measures will bring more significant CO₂ emissions reduction than improving refineries' efficiency or upgrading deep processing refining units alone. From 2020 to 2030, the world's total CO₂ emission could reduce by 4%–9%, with the cumulative reduction ranging from 731 Mt to 1,452 Mt. CO₂ emissions from the oil refining industry in China and Europe decreased most significantly, with cumulative reductions reaching 271 Mt and 219 Mt respectively.

Considering the growing demand for light refined oil products, we also estimate the CO₂ emissions under the scenario that combines the configuration upgrade of shallow processing refineries with the general efficiency improvement of all refineries to explore the potential changes of CO₂ emissions in the oil refining industry and the trend of refinery complexity. In this scenario, due to the offset of positive and negative effects of the two measures on CO₂ in the oil refining industry, the cumulative change of CO₂ emissions in the oil refining industry is only about 177–274 Mt. Note that the optimal emission reduction combination, with

Figure 6. Committed emissions and future emissions in 2018 and 2025 from oil refineries operated since 1960, under different assumed generator lifetimes

(A) Committed CO₂ emissions from existing refineries in 2018 (before the white dashed line) and committed CO₂ emissions from existing and planned refineries in 2025 (after the white dashed line). (B) Remaining commitments by region from existing refineries in 2018 and remaining commitments by region from existing and planned refineries in 2025. X axis represents the commissioning year of the refinery, and the area represents the cumulative committed emission (A) and cumulative future emission (B) since 1960.

274 Mt cumulative CO₂ emission reductions, is to consider only the configuration structure upgrade and efficiency improvement of the large and medium-sized refineries with the top 30 refining capacity, as this scenario avoids the additional CO₂ emissions brought about by the transformation of more shallow refineries.

As shown in Figure 7B, in each scenario, the CO₂ reduction potential varies across the age group of the refineries. Young refineries (aged 0–19 years, mainly in Asia and the Middle East) have the greatest potential for reducing CO₂ emissions with the improvement of refining efficiency. For example, CO₂ emitted by refineries aged 0–19 years will reduce by nearly 407 Mt between 2020 and 2030 in the scenario that all refineries improve efficiency. For the middle-aged refineries (aged 40–59 years), eliminating the backward catalytic cracking and coking units and cleaning the deep processing refineries are the key measures to reduce CO₂ emissions. For example, up to 164 Mt CO₂ emissions from the middle-aged refineries (aged 40–59, which one-quarter of them are in Europe) will be reduced between 2020 and 2030 by eliminating the backward catalytic cracking and coking units and cleaning all the deep processing refineries. However, the upgrading of refining process configuration for shallow processing units will add the most obvious additional CO₂ emissions for the middle-aged refineries, with up to 265 Mt for refineries between the ages of 40 and 59 years in the scenario that all shallow processing refineries will be upgraded to the deep complex HCU type (see Table 1).

DISCUSSION

Our study has built a unit-based global refineries emission inventory and explored the committed emission and potential CO₂ emission against the backdrop of growing oil demand and the pressure of GHG emissions reduction.

Our global refineries' CO₂ emissions inventory, CEADs-GREI, provides a scientific basis for the further reduction of CO₂ from oil refineries, the formation of CO₂-constrained regulations, and investment in oil refining technologies to reduce emissions in the future. Although previous studies have defined the global refining CO₂ emissions of a specific year at the country level, there is a lack of analysis of the temporal and spatial laws of the development of the global oil refining industry, which leaves a vast possibility space in the assessment of the regional-specific growth trend of CO₂ emissions and the possibility of emission reduction. Understanding the past and future development

trends of the oil refining industry is crucial in guiding the regional and global emission reduction. Our time-series inventory of global oil refineries, CEADs-GREI, provides a substantial database for the task of developing trends of crude oil distillation capacity and CO₂ emissions of refineries of different regions and ages, which can help decision makers understand the development trend of the refining industry and prioritize the focus of refineries' improvement. For example, our results indicate a large-scale and complex development trend of oil refineries in the last two decades. The average output of global oil refineries gradually increased from 65.1 mbd (thousand barrels per day) to 80.2 mbd from 2000 to 2018. In addition, of the 110 refineries shut down or mothballed during this period, 49 are small refineries with a capacity of less than 60 mbd, of which 22 are located in Europe and the United States. In terms of age groups, the average capacity of young refineries (0–19 years old), which are mainly distributed in Asia-Pacific and the Middle East, increased significantly, from 6.8 mbd in 2000 to 63.1 mbd in 2018, while the average capacity of refineries in the other age groups remained stable. Given the greater committed emissions brought about by the long remaining operating time of young refineries, there is an urgent need for these refineries to adopt low-carbon technologies to reduce their CO₂ emissions. As for middle-aged and elderly refineries, improving operational efficiency, eliminating the backward capacity, and speeding up the upgrading of refining configuration are the key means to balance growing refined demand and reducing CO₂ emissions.

In addition, our results define a baseline of committed CO₂ emissions of the oil refining sector based on the known existing and proposed refineries in the near term worldwide, which may help to elucidate the regional-specific potential CO₂ emissions and also help to identify targeted regional opportunities of unlocking future CO₂ emissions. Specifically, due to the impact of resources, emissions, and climate change, five countries/regions will be key to successfully addressing the challenges, and different emission reduction strategies need to be adopted according to the age structure, refining configuration, and refining efficiency of their refineries.

The oil refining industry in the United States and Western Europe experienced a period of rapid development in the twentieth century, and it has gradually become stable in those two regions in the twenty-first century. Nowadays, the number of new operational and planned refineries in the United States and

Figure 7. Potential changes in CO₂ emissions from oil refineries under different assumed refineries improvement

Emission changes for five different upgrades of the refineries: improvement of refineries' efficiency, technical progress of deep processing units, upgrading of shallow refineries' refining configuration structure, efficiency improvement, and technical progress of deep processing units run at the same time, and efficiency improvement and structure upgrade of shallow simple refineries run at the same time. For all scenarios sets, we project annual CO₂ emissions for all refineries (operational and planned) from 2020 to 2030. We also assumed the configuration type of the planned refinery is HCU (see Appendix Table 1), with CO₂ emission factor of 0.327 t CO₂/t of product. Throughout this period, the impact of the improvement of the world oil refineries on CO₂ emissions varies between both regions (A) and age groups (B). In each panel, bars show the changes in CO₂ emissions under improving scenarios compared with baseline (Δ). Panels are organized by region and age group. Top 10 countries and top 30 countries refer to the top 10 and top 30 countries in total refining capacity, respectively.

Western Europe is significantly less than that in Asia, Africa, and Latin America. Moreover, according to the operating lifetime of refineries, 59.6 years, a large number of middle-aged and elderly refineries in those two regions may shut down in the next decade. Furthermore, due to the limitation of the strict CO₂ reduction policies (European Union Emissions Trading Scheme), middle-aged refineries, which dominate the oil refining industry in those two regions, are in urgent need of upgrading for longer-term development.

The past two decades have been an excellent period for the rapid development of the oil refining industry in China and India. A large number of new refineries have come into production in these two countries, with the crude oil refining capacity of China and India also leaping to second and fourth place in the world, respectively, becoming one of the main producing areas of the oil refining industry nowadays. Moreover, the number of refineries planned for production in China and India in the next decade accounts for 10.4% and 11.7% of the global total planned refineries, respectively. However, refining processes in these two regions are backward compared with the other two

major oil refining centers in the world, the United States and Western Europe. China still has a large number of shallow processing refineries, especially the young shallow processing ones, indicating the CO₂ emissions of its oil refining industry still have a great potential to rise (115 Mt growth in CO₂ emissions led by shallow processing refineries upgrading to deep processing ones). In addition, China also has a large number of refineries with Fluid Catalytic Cracking (FCC) units and urgently needs to improve its refining technology to reduce the total CO₂ emissions of its refining industry. The installation of hydrotreating units will not only help China meet its demand for cleaner petro products and reduce refinery emissions but also put itself in a more competitive position in a future world of carbon restrictions. As for India, improving refining efficiency is the key to reducing CO₂ emissions from its large HCU refineries.

After 20 years of rapid development, the Middle East, which has huge crude oil reserves, has become one of the emerging oil refining bases in the world. Similar to China and India, its oil refining industry will continue to expand rapidly over the next decade, with the number of planned refineries accounting for

Table 1. Emission factor by refining configuration and expected lifetime by refining capacity

Refining configuration	Emission factor (t CO ₂ /t crude oil distillation) ^{33,34}
Shallow process	0.205
Deep process-1 (HCU)	0.327
Deep process -2 (FCC)	0.337
Deep process -3 (HCU + FCC)	0.362
Unknown	0.219
Refining capacity	Expected lifetime
Small (≤60 mbd)	36 years
Mid (≤110 mbd)	49 years
Large (>110 mbd)	57 years

16.9% of the total number of planned refineries in the world. Shallow processing refineries also account for a large proportion (43%) in the Middle East nowadays. Speeding up the upgrading of the configuration structure, extracting more value from oil production, and meeting the world's demand for light oil products are the three main directions in the future development of the oil refining industry in the Middle East. However, the upgrading of the configuration structure may lead to the significant growth of CO₂ emissions from its refining industry (68 Mt growth in CO₂ emissions led by shallow processing refineries upgrading to deep processing ones), while improving refining efficiency to reduce emissions per refined unit is the key to alleviating that growth.

A comprehensive analysis was performed to assess uncertainties in our results. The CEADs-GREI is subject to uncertainties and limitations, with the average uncertainties of global CO₂ emissions estimated to be 5%–20%. The uncertainties of unit-level emissions vary across regions and the refining configuration, with larger uncertainties for refineries with unknown configuration structures and developing regions due to incomplete information. A detailed description of uncertainties is presented in the [materials and method](#) section. CEADs-GREI might be still incomplete due to the lack of more detailed data such as unit-level operating hours and the energy consumption data by each refinery configuration structure. More national industrial databases should be collected and incorporated in the future. CEADs-GREI will be updated and improved in the future as more and better data become available.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Resource availability

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and data should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Dabo Guan (guandabo@tsinghua.edu.cn).

Materials availability

This study did not generate new unique materials.

Data and code availability

The numerical results plotted in [Figures 1, 2, 3, and 4](#) are provided with this paper. Our analysis relies on five different datasets, each used with permis-

sion and/or by license. All the data sources and their detailed information are listed in [Table S1](#). Four are freely accessible from their original creators: (1) the IndustryAbout database: <https://www.industryabout.com/world-oil-refineries-map>; (2) the A Barrel Full database: <http://abarrelfull.wikidot.com/list-of-global-oil-refineries>; (3) the British Petroleum database: <https://www.bp.com/en/global/corporate/energy-economics/statistical-review-of-world-energy/downloads.html>; and (4) the Google Maps website for geolocation of the remaining refineries: <https://www.google.com/maps/place/>. The fifth dataset includes plant-level data for global refinery infrastructure, which we obtained from Enerdata: <https://www.enerdata.net/research/world-refineries-database.html>. We do not have permission to share the raw data, but we provide cross-links to the original database. Users can freely access and download CEADs produced dataset by visiting ceads.net.

Materials and method description

CEADs-GREI

The CEADs-GREI encompasses 141 countries or regions (aggregated into seven world regions for this study; [Figure S4](#)) and all refining units that are operational, stopped, test, under construction, or canceled (10 specific refinery status, as listed in [Table S1](#)).

Here, we developed a new time-sequence global refinery CO₂ emission inventory. We started with the Enerdata refinery database to compile unit-based information on refineries in service as of 2019 (for example, production capacity, start and stop year of operation, physical address, refinery status, ownership).

Because geographical locations (exact latitudes and longitudes) are not included in the Enerdata refinery database, we obtained the locations of 649 refineries (45% of the total 1,444 refineries) from the IndustryAbout database.³⁵ We then geolocated and cross-checked one by one all operational refinery units using data from Google Maps, the website of Barrel Full,³⁶ and the websites of some refineries (for example, Sinopec³⁷, Shell³⁸), which represent locations for an additional 720 units (50%). For the remaining smaller refineries or refineries that have been closed, we obtained locations by using Google Maps to map the physical addresses provided in the Enerdata refinery database. Further details of this analysis and a summary refinery unit are shown in [Table S1](#).

Unit-based CO₂ emission estimation. Of 1,444 oil refinery units included in the basic information dataset of global refineries, 1,056 are operating during the period from 2000 to 2018, or 73% of the unit, while 155 are planned, bid process, approved, or under construction and will be put into operation by the end of 2025, which amounts to 11% of the units. The remaining 16% are stopped, mothballed units that were closed before 2000.

We estimate annual CO₂ emissions of the 1,056 refineries operating from 2000 to 2018 using the following equation:

$$E_{i,t} = A_{i,t} \times EF_{i,t} \quad (\text{Equation 1})$$

where i , t , j represent the refining unit, year, and refining configuration respectively. E represents unit-based emissions (t), A represents specific annual production for each refining unit (t), and EF represents the emission factors (CO₂ emissions per ton of crude oil being distilled)

Activity rates. Because detailed activity data for each refinery are not available, we estimate unit-based activity data from the total refinery throughput at country level as reported by British Petroleum (BP). Unit-level production is a function of crude atmospheric distillation capacity, annual operating hours, and the detailed refined units, but, of these, only crude atmospheric distillation capacity data and the regional-level operating hours are readily available. We therefore make the simplifying assumption that the annual average operating hours of a refinery are consistent at the regional/country level. Thus, we calculate unit-level refinery throughput from country-level throughput by the equation:

$$A_{i,t} = A_{k,t} \times \frac{C_i}{\sum C_{i,k}} \quad (\text{Equation 2})$$

where k represents the country, A represents refined oil production, and C represents the installed capacity of refining units.

CO₂ emissions

A large proportion of process emissions is one of the main emission characteristics of the refinery industry, which is also the main difference between oil refineries and other industries in terms of CO₂ emission.

CO₂ emissions from oil refineries vary with configuration, process integration, crude oil quality, refined petroleum products, and so on.¹³ Historic data of CO₂ emissions have been estimated by several sources, but we have found no comprehensive record for the world's refining industry. The most freely available information for CO₂ emission factor for the worldwide refining industry that is suitable for fundamental information on refineries that we collected previously was proposed by the International Energy Agency (IEA) based on European refinery research.^{33,34} Based on this study and the study of Johansson et al.,¹³ we divided these refineries into five types according to their configuration structures, and selected different emission factors to estimate their CO₂ emissions, as shown in Table 1. Details of refining configuration classifications are provided in Table S2. As for refineries whose refining configurations are unknown, the default CO₂ emission factor, 0.219 t CO₂/t of product, proposed by the IEA Greenhouse Gas Program (IEAGHG), was used to estimate the refineries' CO₂ emissions. Estimates of the emissions are based on standard global average conversion factors compiled on the basis of average carbon content of the refining product and suggested that, for 95% of the time, refineries operate at full load (8,300 h).³⁴ Therefore, we estimated the unit-level CO₂ emission factors as follows:

$$EF_{i,t} = EF_{IEA} \times \frac{A_{i,t}/C_i}{0.95} \quad (\text{Equation 3})$$

where $A_{i,t}/C_i$ represents the refineries' operable utilization rate, and 0.95 was the operable utilization rate IEAGHG used to calculate the Global Emission Factor.

Committed CO₂ emissions accounting

In this paper, committed emissions of each device were estimated based on five pieces of information: (1) the year of commissioning; (2) operational status of the device; (3) if the device is no longer operating, the year of the decommissioning; (4) average annual emissions; (5) the expected operating lifetime. We assume that the dates of commissioning and decommissioning of each refinery provided by the CEADs-GREI are accurate estimates throughout a unit's lifetime. Therefore, the first four types of information (information 1 to 4) can be obtained directly from the CEADs-GREI we constructed, while the fifth (information of the expected operating lifetime of the device) needs to be obtained from the analysis of the year of commissioning and the average lifetime of the refinery.

Of 1,444 refineries listed in the CEADs-GREI, 266 units have stopped operating, about 18% of the total. Of these, we chose the 157 refineries covering all geographical regions and all refinery configurations, with known commissioning year and the decommissioning year as our primary data source to estimate the expected lifetimes.

As for the refineries that were installed since 1960 and were operated between 2000 and 2018, we chose the expected lifetime of each refinery based on the median lifetime of its refining category. As is shown in Table 1, the median ages of small refineries (≤ 60 mbd), mid-sized refineries (>60 Kbpd and ≤ 110 mbd) and large refineries (>110 mbd) are 36, 49, and 57 years, respectively. The average annual emissions of each refinery are its average annual CO₂ emissions from 2000 to 2018.

The same method was used to choose the expected lifetime for planned refineries that will be put into operation from 2019 to 2025 based on their expected refining capacity. The annual emission of each planned refinery was calculated using its expected refining capacity and the median capacity utilization rate (actual output divided by refining capacity) for 2018 in its region.

Adjustments (defined as age + 5 years) are necessary if refineries operated more years than the assumed lifetime over the entire period (1960–2018, 1960–2025 respectively). However, we assume that all refining units older than their expected lifetimes in 2018/2025 will be shut down immediately, which means that the units whose operating ages are equal to their expected lifetimes in 2018/2025 have realized all their committed emissions.

Potential changes in refinery CO₂ emissions estimation

Based on the previous studies and statistical data from global⁷ and regional institutions,^{39,40} two key factors that have had, and most likely will continue

to have, implications for refineries and CO₂ emissions have been identified in the analysis: refining configuration and efficiency of refineries. Thus, we set up five sets of scenarios of oil refining industry CO₂ emissions in the future.

Scenario efficiency improvement: referring to the United States experience,⁴⁰ we assumed that the CO₂ emissions per unit production of global refineries will decrease by 1.4% per year due to shifts in production to more efficient refineries and/or implementation of energy efficiency projects at existing refineries.⁴⁰ Considering the differences in the development of oil refining technology among regions, we simulated CO₂ emissions under three specific scenarios according to the regions where the refinery is located: (1) assuming that the CO₂ emission factors for refineries located in the top 10 countries of oil refining capacity will decline by year; (2) assuming that refineries in the top 30 countries of oil refining capacity will decline by year; (3) assuming that all refineries' CO₂ emission factors will decrease due to the improving efficiency. Detailed information on refinery capacity country rankings is shown in [supplemental information](#).

Scenario technical progress of deep processing units: assuming that the global deep processing refineries will upgrade to HCU-type deep processing refineries from 2020; that is, the catalytic cracking units will be eliminated from the refineries and replaced by HCUs. We simulated CO₂ emissions under three specific scenarios according to the capacity of each refinery: (1) assuming that only large refineries (refining capacity >110 mbd) will upgrade to HCU type refineries; (2) assuming that large and medium-sized refineries (refining capacity >60 mbd) will upgrade to HCU type refineries, and (3) assuming that all refineries will upgrade to HCU-type refineries. We also assumed that the configuration type of the planned refinery is HCU, with a CO₂ emission factor of 0.327 t CO₂/t of product.

Scenario upgrading of refining process configuration: assuming that the global shallow simple refineries will upgrade to hydrocracking-type deep processing refineries from 2020 by equipping with HCUs, which will result in the growth of global production of light oil products. CO₂ emissions in three specific scenarios are estimated according to the capacity of each refinery. The classification of refining capacity is the same as the scenario for technical progress of deep processing units.

Scenario efficiency improvement and technical progress of deep processing units: assuming that the world's refineries will carry out the improvement of efficiency and upgrading of deep processing units at the same time. We show the three most representative of the nine specific scenarios in this scenario set: (1) assumes that only large oil refineries in the top 10 countries of oil refining capacity will upgrade both in the deep processing units and in the efficiency; (2) assumes that large and medium-sized refineries in the top 30 countries will upgrade; (3) assumes that all refineries will upgrade.

Scenario efficiency improvement and configuration upgrade of shallow simple refineries: assuming that the world's refineries will carry out the upgrading of shallow simple refineries' refining configuration and the improvement of efficiency at the same time. We show the three most representative of the nine specific scenarios in this scenario set: (1) assumes that only large oil refineries in the top 10 countries of oil refining capacity will upgrade both in the refining configuration structure and in the efficiency; (2) assumes that large and medium-sized refineries in the top 30 countries will upgrade; (3) assumes that all refineries will upgrade.

Baseline: the control group, indicating the CO₂ emissions without any improvements of oil refineries. We also assumed that no refineries will be shut down after 2020 and that all refineries will be operational by 2030.

Uncertainty analysis

Emissions estimates may be uncertain due to incomplete information of activity data and emission factors.^{41–44} We conducted a comprehensive analysis of national emissions and unit-level estimates to assess uncertainties in our results. Following the method demonstrated by Tong et al.⁴³ for uncertainty analysis of global power plant emissions, we used a Monte Carlo simulation method that varied key parameters (activity data, emission factors). The term "uncertainty" in this study refers to the lower and upper bounds of a 95% confidence interval (CI) around our central estimate.⁴¹ Input parameters, activity data, and emission factors are both simulated 10,000 times based on their probability distribution in a Monte Carlo framework to analyze the uncertainty of estimated emissions by oil refining configuration types.

For the uncertainty analysis of the national CO₂ emission estimates, we assumed national activity rates are normally distributed, with coefficients of

variations (CVs) ranging from 0.02 to 0.03 according to the data sources, BP database and Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) guidelines.⁴⁵ For the uncertainties of emission factors, we assume that the emission factors of refineries with known configuration types (shallow process, HCU deep process, FCC deep process, HCU + FCC deep process; see appendix Table 1) are normally distributed, with a CV of 5%, while, for refineries with unknown configuration structures (unknown type; see Table 1), we again assume that their emission factors are normally distributed, with CV of 10%. In summary, the global average uncertainty of CO₂ emissions in the CEADs-GREI ranges from −7% to 7% (at 95% confidence level).

For the uncertainty analysis of unit-level CO₂ emission estimates, uncertainties associated with emission estimates varied with regions and refining configuration types. Following the method proposed by Tong et al.,⁴³ we select a specific refinery from each region (see Figure S2) and each refining configuration type (Table 1) to assess the uncertainties of different types. We again assume the activity rates are normally distributed, and for the uncertainties of unit-level refining production in developed regions, Europe, the United States, and Canada, we assume the CVs of activity rates are normally distributed with CV of 5%, while, for the uncertainties of unit-level refining production in developing regions, such as China, India, and the Middle East, we assume the CVs of activity rates are normally distributed with CV of 10%. The uncertainty analysis of emission factors is the same as national emission estimates. In summary, the global average uncertainties for CO₂ emissions from refineries with HCU deep process, FCC deep process, HCU + FCC deep process, shallow process, and unknown configurations are −20% to 20%, −19% to 19%, −18% to 18%, and −26% to 26%, respectively, with larger uncertainties for unknown configuration structure refineries and developing regions due to incomplete information.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2021.07.009>.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41921005, 41991312), China International Science and Technology Cooperation (2020ICR103) and the UK Natural Environment Research Council (NE/P019900/1).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

D.G., Q.Z., S.T., and B.Z. conceived the idea and supervised the entire project. T.L. and Y.S. collected the basic requisite global plant-level refineries information and region/country-level oil refining industry information. T.L., B.Z., and Y.S. estimated the historical plant-level specific CO₂ emission and committed CO₂ emissions of global refineries. D.G. and L.X. led the construction of a plant-level inventory model for global oil refineries CO₂ emissions reductions. T.L. and J.M. evaluated the specific reductions of CO₂ from the five low-carbon upgrading measures. D.G., Q.Z., and S.T. contributed to the planning and coordination of the project. T.L., D.G., B.Z., and J.M. co-wrote the manuscript. T.L. led the drafting of the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: January 11, 2021

Revised: June 9, 2021

Accepted: July 23, 2021

Published: August 20, 2021

REFERENCES

1. IEA. (2021). Global Energy Review: CO₂ Emissions in 2020 (IEA). <https://www.iea.org/articles/global-energy-review-co2-emissions-in-2020>.

2. IEA. (2021). Global Energy Review 2021 (IEA). <https://www.iea.org/reports/global-energy-review-2021>.
3. Newell, R., Raimi, D., Villanueva, S., and Prest, B. (2021). Global energy outlook 2021: pathways from Paris. June, https://media.rff.org/documents/RFF_GEO_2021_Report_1.pdf.
4. Abella, J.P., and Bergerson, J.A. (2012). Model to investigate energy and greenhouse gas emissions implications of refining petroleum: impacts of crude quality and refinery configuration. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 13037–13047. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es3018682>.
5. Jing, L., El-Houjeiri, H.M., Monfort, J.-C., Brandt, A.R., Masnadi, M.S., Gordon, D., and Bergerson, J.A. (2020). Carbon intensity of global crude oil refining and mitigation potential. *Nat. Clim. Change* 10, 526–532. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-0775-3>.
6. IEA. (2018). World Energy Outlook 2018 (IEA). <https://www.iea.org/reports/world-energy-outlook-2018>.
7. Talaei, A., Oni, A.O., Ahiduzzaman, M., Roychaudhuri, P.S., Rutherford, J., and Kumar, A. (2020). Assessment of the impacts of process-level energy efficiency improvement on greenhouse gas mitigation potential in the petroleum refining sector. *Energy* 191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.116243>.
8. Young, B., Hottle, T., Hawkins, T., Jamieson, M., Cooney, G., Motazed, K., and Bergerson, J. (2019). Expansion of the petroleum refinery life cycle inventory model to support characterization of a full suite of commonly tracked impact potentials. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 53, 2238–2248. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b05572>.
9. Leeson, D., Mac Dowell, N., Shah, N., Petit, C., and Fennell, P.S. (2017). A techno-economic analysis and systematic review of carbon capture and storage (CCS) applied to the iron and steel, cement, oil refining and pulp and paper industries, as well as other high purity sources. *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Con.* 61, 71–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2017.03.020>.
10. EPA. (2010). Available and emerging technologies for reducing greenhouse gas emissions from the petroleum refining industry. Sector Policies and Programs Division, Office of Air quality Planning and Standards, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. October 2010. <https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-12/documents/refineries.pdf>.
11. Morrow, W.R., Marano, J., Hasanbeigi, A., Masanet, E., and Sathaye, J. (2015). Efficiency improvement and CO₂ emission reduction potentials in the United States petroleum refining industry. *Energy* 93, 95–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2015.08.097>.
12. Szklo, A., and Schaeffer, R. (2007). Fuel specification, energy consumption and CO₂ emission in oil refineries. *Energy* 32, 1075–1092. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2006.08.008>.
13. Johansson, D., Rootzén, J., Berntsson, T., and Johnsson, F. (2012). Assessment of strategies for CO₂ abatement in the European petroleum refining industry. *Energy* 42, 375–386. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2012.03.039>.
14. Masnadi, M.S., El-Houjeiri, H.M., Schunack, D., Li, Y., Roberts, S.O., Przesmitzki, S., Brandt, A.R., and Wang, M. (2018). Well-to-refinery emissions and net-energy analysis of China's crude-oil supply. *Nat. Energy* 3, 220–226. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-018-0090-7>.
15. Elkamel, A., Ba-Shammakh, M., Douglas, P., and Croiset, E. (2008). An optimization approach for integrating planning and CO₂ emission reduction in the petroleum refining industry. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 47, 760–776.
16. Abdul-Manan, A.F.N., Arfaj, A., and Babiker, H. (2017). Oil refining in a CO₂ constrained world: effects of carbon pricing on refineries globally. *Energy* 121, 264–275. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2017.01.046>.
17. Branco, D.A.C., Szklo, A., Gomes, G., Borba, B.S.M.C., and Schaeffer, R. (2011). Abatement costs of CO₂ emissions in the Brazilian oil refining sector. *Appl. Energy* 88, 3782–3790.
18. Yáñez, E., Ramírez, A., Uribe, A., Castillo, E., and Faaij, A. (2018). Unravelling the potential of energy efficiency in the Colombian oil industry. *J. Clean. Prod.* 176, 604–628. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.12.085>.

19. Cooney, G., Jamieson, M., Marriott, J., Bergerson, J., Brandt, A., and Skone, T.J. (2017). Updating the U.S. life cycle GHG petroleum baseline to 2014 with projections to 2040 using open-source engineering-based models. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 51, 977–987. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b02819>.
20. Wu, K., and Fesharaki, F. (2005). As oil demand surges, China adds and expands refineries. *Oil Gas J.* 103, 20–24.
21. Ruble, I. (2019). The U.S. crude oil refining industry: recent developments, upcoming challenges and prospects for exports. *J. Econ. Asymmetries* 20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeca.2019.e00132>.
22. Australian Institute of Petroleum. (2020). Downstream petroleum. Australian Institute of Petroleum (AIP). April. <https://aip.com.au/resources/downstream-petroleum>.
23. Marschinski, R., Barreiro-Hurle, J., and Lukach, R. (2020). Competitiveness of energy-intensive industries in Europe: the crisis of the oil refining sector between 2008 and 2013. *Econ. Energy Environ. Pol.* 9. <https://doi.org/10.5547/2160-5890.8.2.mmar>.
24. Davis, S.J., Caldeira, K., and Matthews, H.D. (2010). Future CO₂ emissions and climate change from existing energy infrastructure. *Science* 329, 1330–1333. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1188566>.
25. Davis, S.J., and Socolow, R.H. (2014). Commitment accounting of CO₂ emissions. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 9, 084018. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/9/8/084018>.
26. Ritchie, H., and Roser, M. (2021). CO₂ emissions by region. *Our World in Data (Our World in Data)*.
27. IEA. (2020). World energy outlook 2020. www.iea.org/weo.
28. Shan, Y., Ou, J., Wang, D., Zeng, Z., Zhang, S., Guan, D., and Hubacek, K. (2020). Impacts of COVID-19 and fiscal stimuli on global emissions and the Paris Agreement. *Nat. Clim. Change*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-00977-5>.
29. Huppmann, D., Kriegler, E., Krey, V., Riahi, K., Rogelj, J., Rose, S.K., Weyant, J., Bauer, N., Bertram, C., Bosetti, V., et al. (2018). IAMC 1.5°C Scenario Explorer and Data hosted by IIASA. Integrated Assessment Modeling Consortium & International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis. <https://data.ene.iiasa.ac.at/iadc-sr15-explorer>.
30. Huppmann, D., Rogelj, J., Kriegler, E., Mundaca, L., Forster, P., Kobayashi, S., Séferian, R., and Vilariño, M.V. (2018). Scenario analysis notebooks for the IPCC special report on global warming of 1.5°C. https://github.com/iiasa/ipcc_sr15_scenario_analysis.
31. Jacobson, M.Z., Delucchi, M.A., Cameron, M.A., Coughlin, S.J., Hay, C.A., Manogaran, I.P., Shu, Y., and von Krauland, A.-K. (2019). Impacts of green new deal energy plans on grid stability, costs, jobs, health, and climate in 143 countries. *One Earth* 1, 449–463. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2019.12.003>.
32. Bredeson, L., Quiceno-Gonzalez, R., Riera-Palou, X., and Harrison, A. (2010). Factors driving refinery CO₂ intensity, with allocation into products. *Int. J. Life Cycle Assess.* 15, 817–826. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-010-0204-3>.
33. Reinaud, J. (2005). European refinery industry under the EU emissions trading scheme: Competitiveness, trade flows and investment implications (Paris: IEA Information Paper/IEA). <https://www.industryabout.com/world-oil-refineries-map>.
34. Veritas, D.N. (2010). Global technology roadmap for CCS in industry sectoral assessment: refineries. Det Norske Veritas. August 26th. <https://www.globalccsinstitute.com/archive/hub/publications/15691/global-technology-roadmap-ccs-industry-sectoral-assessment-refineries.pdf>.
35. IndustryAbout (2019). IndustryAbout oil refining. <http://www.sinopecgroup.com/group/gsjshwq/gzfgs.shtml>.
36. Full, A.B. (2014). A barrel full. <http://abarrellfull.wikidot.com/list-of-global-oil-refineries>.
37. Sinopec. (2020). China Sinopec website group. <http://www.sinopecgroup.com/group/gsjshwq/gzfgs.shtml>.
38. Shell. (2020). Shell's projections and locations. <https://www.shell.us/about-us/projects-and-locations.html>.
39. BP (2019). BP statistical review of world energy. <https://www.bp.com/en/global/corporate/energy-economics/statistical-review-of-world-energy.html>.
40. EPA. (2019). Factors influenced the trend in emissions for refineries?. <https://ccdsupport.com/confluence/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=477757525>.
41. Liu, F., Zhang, Q., Tong, D., Zheng, B., Li, M., Huo, H., and He, K.B. (2015). High-resolution inventory of technologies, activities, and emissions of coal-fired power plants in China from 1990 to 2010. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 15, 13299–13317. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-13299-2015>.
42. Cai, B., Cui, C., Zhang, D., Cao, L., Wu, P., Pang, L., Zhang, J., and Dai, C. (2019). China city-level greenhouse gas emissions inventory in 2015 and uncertainty analysis. *Appl. Energy* 253. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2019.113579>.
43. Tong, D., Zhang, Q., Davis, S.J., Liu, F., Zheng, B., Geng, G., Xue, T., Li, M., Hong, C., Lu, Z., et al. (2018). Targeted emission reductions from global super-polluting power plant units. *Nat. Sustain.* 1, 59–68. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-017-0003-y>.
44. Hoesly, R.M., and Smith, S.J. (2018). Informing energy consumption uncertainty: an analysis of energy data revisions. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 13. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aabebc3>.
45. Eggleston, S., Buendia, L., Miwa, K., Ngara, T., and Tanabe, K. (2006). 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (Institute for Global Environmental Strategies Hayama, Japan).